

Below is a **clear, executive + architect-level comparison of Enterprise, Solution, and Application Architecture**, tailored for **large healthcare multi-product initiatives** (like the one you've been designing).

This is **interview-ready, delivery-ready, and governance-ready**.

---

## Enterprise vs Solution vs Application Architecture — Comparison

---

### 1 High-level purpose (simple explanation)

| Architecture                         | Primary Question Answered  | Simple Meaning                 |
|--------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|
| <b>Enterprise Architecture (EA)</b>  | <i>What should the whole organization look like to achieve strategy?</i> | The <b>city plan</b>           |
| <b>Solution Architecture (SA)</b>    | <i>How do we implement a specific initiative correctly?</i>              | The <b>building blueprint</b>  |
| <b>Application Architecture (AA)</b> | <i>How do we build a system or service right?</i>                        | The <b>room-by-room design</b> |

---

### 2 Scope & Time Horizon

| Dimension        | Enterprise Architecture   | Solution Architecture    | Application Architecture |
|------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Scope            | Entire organization       | One program / initiative | One system / service     |
| Time horizon     | 3–5 years                 | 6–18 months              | Sprint-level to yearly   |
| Change frequency | Low                       | Medium                   | High                     |
| Stability        | High                      | Medium                   | Low                      |
| Audience         | Executives, portfolio, EA | Product, architects, PMs | Engineers, SRE, QA       |

---

### **3 Ownership & Accountability**

| <b>Architecture Owner</b> |                              | <b>Accountability</b>                  |
|---------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| Enterprise                | Chief Architect / EA         | Strategy alignment, reuse, governance  |
| Solution                  | Solution / Product Architect | Delivery success, risk, compliance     |
| Application               | Tech Lead / App Architect    | Code quality, reliability, performance |

---

### **4 Primary Outputs (Artifacts)**

#### **Architecture Typical Artifacts**

|             |   |
|-------------|---|
| Enterprise  | Reference architecture, capability maps, roadmaps, standards, governance model      |
| Solution    | Solution diagrams, ADRs, integration patterns, NFRs, security model, migration plan |
| Application | Component diagrams, APIs, DB schemas, sequence diagrams, CI/CD, runbooks            |

---

### **5 Level of Abstraction**

#### **Architecture Level**

|             |                           |
|-------------|---------------------------|
| Enterprise  | Conceptual + logical      |
| Solution    | Logical + physical        |
| Application | Physical + implementation |

---

## **6 Decision Types**

### **Architecture Decisions Made**

Enterprise      Platforms, standards, cloud, data strategy, security posture

Solution      Integration patterns, NFRs, product boundaries, migration approach

Application    Frameworks, libraries, data models, code structure

---

## **7 Governance & Control**

### **Architecture Governance Mechanism**

Enterprise      Architecture Review Board, standards, guardrails

Solution      ADRs, design reviews, contract testing

Application    CI/CD gates, code reviews, SRE checks

---

## **8 Example (Healthcare)**

### **Enterprise Architecture**

- Adopt platform-first model
  - Central identity & consent
  - Lakehouse + data mesh
  - Zero Trust security
  - Event-driven integration
  - GenAI governance
- 

### **Solution Architecture (Telehealth Program)**

- Use platform identity & consent
- API + event integration
- Façade for EHR

- NFRs: 99.9% availability
  - Audit all PHI flows
  - Safe GenAI summarization
- 

## Application Architecture (Televisit Service)

- Clean architecture
  - OAuth2 enforcement
  - Domain model for visits
  - Event emission
  - Circuit breakers
  - Runbooks
- 

### 9 How they relate (this is key)

Enterprise Architecture

↓ sets constraints

Solution Architecture

↓ applies constraints

Application Architecture

↓ implements constraints

---

### 10 Common failure modes

| Failure       | Cause          | Fix                     |
|---------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| EA is ignored | Too abstract   | Reference architectures |
| SA is bloated | Too detailed   | Clear scope             |
| AA diverges   | No enforcement | Automated governance    |

| Failure       | Cause         | Fix                     |
|---------------|---------------|-------------------------|
| Duplication   | Weak EA       | Platform enforcement    |
| Slow delivery | Heavy reviews | Self-service guardrails |

---

### 1 1 Interview-ready one-liner

“Enterprise Architecture sets direction, Solution Architecture ensures safe delivery, and Application Architecture ensures quality execution. When aligned, teams move fast without breaking safety or governance — which is critical in healthcare.”

---

### 1 2 When to use which (quick rule)

- **Enterprise Architecture** → Strategic, cross-product, irreversible
  - **Solution Architecture** → Program-level, high risk, high integration
  - **Application Architecture** → System-level, implementation detail
-

Below is a **practical, one-page cheat sheet** for new architects joining a **large healthcare, multi-product platform program**. This is designed to help them **avoid common mistakes, know what to do when, and speak the right language from day one**.

---

## **Architect Cheat Sheet (Enterprise • Solution • Application)**

### **For Healthcare Multi-Product Platforms**

---

#### **1 Architecture at a Glance**

| <b>Level</b>                    | <b>What You Do</b> | <b>Your Focus</b>               | <b>Typical Mistake</b> |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>Enterprise Architecture</b>  | Set direction      | Strategy, platforms, guardrails | Too abstract           |
| <b>Solution Architecture</b>    | Enable delivery    | Integration, risk, NFRs         | Too detailed           |
| <b>Application Architecture</b> | Build correctly    | Code, quality, resilience       | Too isolated           |

---

#### **2 The Golden Rule**

**Enterprise constrains → Solution adapts → Application implements**

If you break this order, chaos follows.

---

#### **3 What to Produce at Each Level**

##### **Enterprise Architecture**

- Capability map
- Reference architecture
- Standards & guardrails
- Roadmap
- Governance model
- Security posture
- Data strategy

---

## Solution Architecture

- Solution diagram (C4 L2/L3)
  - ADRs
  - Integration patterns
  - NFRs
  - Security model
  - Migration plan
  - Risk register
- 

## Application Architecture

- Component diagram
  - API specs
  - Domain model
  - DB schema
  - CI/CD pipeline
  - Runbooks
  - Resilience patterns
- 

### 4 When to Create an ADR (Always Ask This)

Create an ADR if:

- Decision is hard to reverse
- It affects security, data, or PHI
- Multiple teams are involved
- It will be questioned later
- It sets a new pattern

**If you don't write an ADR, you will re-fight the same battle.**

---

## 5 Healthcare Non-Negotiables

| Area      | Rule                         |
|-----------|------------------------------|
| PHI       | Always encrypted, audited    |
| Identity  | Platform IAM only            |
| EHR       | Façade + strangler only      |
| Analytics | Never query EHR directly     |
| GenAI     | RAG + audit + human approval |
| Security  | Zero Trust always            |
| Downtime  | Manual fallback required     |

---

## 6 Integration Patterns (Use These Only)

- API-first (sync)
  - Event-driven (async)
  - Façade + strangler
  - Read/write separation
  - Batch for claims
  - Direct DB access
  - Point-to-point spaghetti
- 

## 7 Architecture Review Checklist (5-minute version)

Before approving:

- Uses platform services?
- PHI flows documented?
- ADR created?
- NFRs defined?
- Security model approved?

- Integration pattern standard?
- Runbook exists?

If any answer is “no” → stop.

---

## 8 Metrics Architects Must Watch

- Platform reuse %
- API bypass %
- MTTR
- PHI access violations
- DQ score
- Exception age
- Cost per integration

Metrics tell you when architecture is failing **before incidents happen.**

---

## 9 Common Traps & How to Avoid Them

### Trap              How to Avoid

Over-design    Timebox decisions

Under-design    Use reference architecture

Being ignored    Speak in business risk

Slowing teams    Automate guardrails

Shadow IT        Make platform easier

Losing trust      Document decisions

---

## 10 How to Influence Without Authority

- Bring options, not opinions
- Translate tech risk to business risk

- Quantify impact
  - Document decisions
  - Let data speak
  - Align to patient safety
- 

## **1 1 First 30–60–90 Day Plan for New Architects**

### **30 days**

- Learn domain & constraints
- Read reference architectures
- Map stakeholders
- Observe reviews

### **60 days**

- Own a solution
- Write ADRs
- Lead design reviews
- Enforce patterns

### **90 days**

- Improve governance
  - Simplify platforms
  - Mentor others
  - Influence roadmap
- 

## **1 2 Interview One-Liner (use this)**

“I use enterprise architecture to set guardrails, solution architecture to reduce risk, and application architecture to ensure quality — especially critical in healthcare where safety and compliance are non-negotiable.”

Below are **role-based architecture checklists** plus a **review automation checklist** that turns governance into repeatable, low-friction execution.

This is exactly what mature healthcare platforms use to **scale architecture without slowing teams**.

---

## Role-Based Architecture Checklists

---

### **1 Enterprise Architect (EA) Checklist**

*(Strategy, platforms, guardrails)*

#### **Strategy & Alignment**

- Business capability map updated (L1–L3)
- Portfolio OKRs mapped to capabilities
- Platform vs product boundaries defined
- Regional/regulatory differences identified
- Exec sponsors confirmed

#### **Standards & Reference Architecture**

- Enterprise reference architecture published
- Technology standards catalog updated
- Approved integration patterns defined
- Data architecture standards defined
- Security posture (ZTA) enforced

#### **Governance**

- ARB cadence active
- ADR template enforced
- Exception process with expiry
- Automated policy checks enabled
- Architecture health metrics reviewed quarterly

## Risk & Compliance

- HIPAA controls mapped
  - GenAI governance defined
  - Vendor lock-in risks assessed
  - Audit evidence automated
- 

## 2 Solution Architect (SA) Checklist

(Program / initiative delivery)

### Scope & Context

- Problem statement clear
- Product boundaries defined
- Dependencies mapped
- Legacy impact assessed
- Migration plan defined

### Solution Design

- Solution diagram (C4 L2/L3)
- Enterprise standards applied
- Platform services reused
- Integration patterns approved
- No direct EHR or DB access

### Security & Compliance

- PHI flows documented
- Threat model created
- IAM integrated
- Audit logging defined
- Consent enforced

## **Quality & NFRs**

- Availability SLO defined
- Performance targets set
- DR/RTO/RPO defined
- Manual fallback for clinical flows
- Cost estimate reviewed

## **Delivery Governance**

- ADRs written
  - API contracts defined
  - Data contracts defined
  - CI/CD with security gates
  - Runbooks planned
- 

## **3 Application Architect / Tech Lead Checklist**

*(System / service-level execution)*

### **Design**

- Single bounded context
- Clean/hex architecture
- One DB per service
- Domain events defined
- No shared schemas

### **API & Integration**

- OpenAPI documented
- Versioning defined
- Contract tests implemented
- Idempotency handled

- Retries & timeouts set

## Security

- OAuth2/OIDC enforced
- RBAC/ABAC applied
- Secrets in vault
- PHI masked in logs
- Audit events emitted

## Resilience

- Circuit breakers
- Bulkheads
- Graceful degradation
- Health checks
- Chaos tests planned

## DevOps & Ops

- CI/CD implemented
  - SAST/DAST/SCA enabled
  - IaC used
  - Canary/blue-green deploy
  - Runbooks ready
-

## Review Automation Checklist

*(Turn governance into code)*

---

### 1. Pre-Commit Automation

- Linting
  - Secret scanning
  - Dependency vulnerability scanning
  - IaC scanning
  - Schema validation
- 

### 2. CI Pipeline Automation

- Build + unit tests
  - Contract tests
  - SAST / DAST
  - Container image scan
  - License compliance check
- 

### 3. Architecture Policy Checks (Critical)

- Approved frameworks only
- Platform services used
- No direct EHR endpoints
- No shared DB access
- Encryption enabled
- Logging enabled

*(Use OPA / Sentinel / policy-as-code)*

---

#### **4. Data Governance Automation**

- Schema registered
  - Lineage captured
  - Data quality checks
  - Access policy enforced
  - PHI classification applied
- 

#### **5. Security Automation**

- IAM policy validation
  - Least privilege check
  - JIT access enforced
  - DLP scans
  - Threat model uploaded
- 

#### **6. Deployment Automation**

- Blue/green or canary
  - Health check validation
  - Rollback automation
  - Observability hooks enabled
- 

#### **7. Post-Deploy Automation**

- SLO monitoring
- Cost anomaly detection
- Drift detection
- Access review automation
- Evidence archived for audit

---

### How Mature Teams Use This

- EA checklist → quarterly governance
  - SA checklist → design review gate
  - App checklist → PR / pipeline gate
  - Automation checklist → **no-human gatekeeping**
- 

### Interview-ready summary (use this)

“I scale architecture by giving each role a checklist and automating the review gates. Architects define the rules, pipelines enforce them, and teams move fast without breaking compliance or safety.”

---