

Insolvability of $x^x = a$ in elementary functions*

Alexey Kanel-Belov, Rodion Zaytsev

November 2023

Abstract

In the recent paper "Solvability of equations in elementary functions" the insolvability in elementary functions of equation $\tan(x) - x = a$ was proved. This work applies the same topological method to prove the insolvability of equation $x^x = a$.

1 Introduction

In [1] the technique from topological Galois theory informally discussed in the following paragraph was applied to prove the insolvability in elementary functions of the equation $\tan(x) - x = a$.

Suppose we are trying to solve in elementary functions (i.e. roughly speaking compositions and algebraic operations of the logarithm, exponent and identity functions) an equation $f(x) = a$, where $f(x)$ is holomorphic and non-constant. When a draws a closed curve in the complex plane, the roots also draw curves which, however, aren't necessarily closed. A permutation on roots is thus induced, and it is shown in [1] that if this group is not solvable, then the equation cannot be solved in elementary functions.

The structure of this article is as follows. In section 2 we simplify the problem by transforming the equation and do the technical computations to analyse the critical points. Having established the critical points and values, we compute the action of the monodromy group. In section 3 we use the algebraic results we derived to show that the equation is not solvable in elementary functions.

2 Analytical computations

In this section the main computations are carried out. The equation is transformed to make it easier to analyse, the critical points and values are found, and the action of the monodromy group is computed.

2.1 Transform

Taking logarithm twice on both sides, we obtain an equivalent equation

$$\ln(x) + \ln \ln(x) = \ln \ln(a)$$

*The work was supported by Russian science foundation grant No 22-19-20073

Since we only care about the solvability in elementary functions, any transforms of x, a which can be expressed as compositions elementary functions are allowed (because we can express the initial parameters through the transformed in elementary functions). Let

$$z = \ln \ln(x), b = \ln \ln(a)$$

Then we have an equivalent equation

$$z + e^z = b$$

To make the notation consistent with [1], rename $b \rightarrow a$, so that the equation is

$$z + e^z = a$$

2.2 Critical points

Recall that for a complex function $f(z)$ multiple roots merging is equivalent to $f'(z) = 0$. In our case,

$$f(z) = z + e^z \Rightarrow f'(z) = 1 + e^z$$

$$f'(z) = 0 \Leftrightarrow e^z = -1 \Leftrightarrow z = (2n + 1)\pi i, n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Notice that the critical points are all located along the imaginary axis. The corresponding values of a are

$$a = f((2n + 1)\pi i) = (2n + 1)\pi i - 1$$

Thus the corresponding a -s are just shifted to the left. We shall call the n -th critical point z_n , and the corresponding $a(z_n) = a_n$ (where n is the parameter that appears naturally above). Let's find the order of the critical points.

$$f''(z) = e^z \neq 0$$

So all the critical points are precisely of the first order, that is there are exactly two roots that are merged.

2.3 Monodromy group action

Here we investigate the connection between the path and the permutation. First of all, for the sake of simplicity, let's consider $a = 0$ the starting point.




Figure 1: $y = x + e^x$

It can be easily shown that there is only one real root, as seen on the graph. Let's consider the path $z(t)$, which brings this real root to the n -th critical point, then makes a semi-loop around it, exchanging it with the other root, and then the new root comes back along the same path. For the sake of simplicity, case $n = 2$ is illustrated.




Figure 2: The path of the real root

We will now derive the path that a follows as the real root takes the path described above. In the first part of the path,

$$z(t) = x + it$$

where x is the real root (i.e. $e^x = -x$), so

$$a(t) = x + it + e^{x+it} = x(1 - \cos t) + i(t - x \sin t)$$

An important observation to make is that the cycles bend around the 'dangerous' points, that is a_k , because when $t = (2k+1)\pi$,

$$a = 2x + i(2k+1)\pi$$

which is to the left of a_k , since $x < -\frac{1}{2}$ (this can be easily proved), and the real part of a_k is -1 . This also shows that by the end of the first part of the path, a is located to the left of a_n . Let $y_n = (2n+1)\pi$, then the second part of the path is given by

$$z(s) = s + iy_n + e^{s+iy_n} \Rightarrow a(s) = s - e^s + iy_n$$

Thus $a(s)$ also goes in a straight line parallel to the real axis. As for the third part of the path, when the two roots are swapped a makes a loop around a_n , as follows from the general theory. We can therefore sketch the path drawn by a




Figure 3: The path followed by a

This path is homotopically equivalent to a simple loop around the corresponding point.




Figure 4: Homotopically equivalent loop

3 Main result

In this section the unsolvability of the equation in question is proved. Since a plane with a discrete set of points removed is homotopically equivalent to a bouquet of circles, it follows that any loop around the given points can be decomposed into a product of simple loops, where a simple loop looks like the one above. This means that any possible permutation can be achieved by subsequently transposing the real root with one of the others multiple times. On the other hand, we know that the group is transitive, because it is true in the general case. Consider now the decomposition of permutation σ that makes r_n the real root (number the roots with natural numbers in some way such that $r_1 = x$ is the real root. As shown above, it will have the form

$$\sigma = (1n_1)(1n_2)\dots(1n_N)$$

Clearly, the transposition $(1n)$ must appear in this product, otherwise r_n will remain untouched. Hence the group contains all the transpositions $(1n)$ and is therefore the symmetric group on all the roots. In particular, the symmetric group is not solvable (as the number of roots is infinite). Therefore the equation is not solvable in elementary functions.

References

- [1] Alexey Belov-Kanel, Alexei Malistov, and Rodion Zaytsev. “Solvability of equations in elementary functions”. In: *Journal of Knot Theory and Its Ramifications* 29.02 (Feb. 2020), p. 2040005. DOI: 10.1142/s0218216520400052. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1142/s0218216520400052>.
- [2] AG Khovansky. “Topological Galois theory”. In: *Solvability and non-solvability of equations in finite terms (in Russian)*, MCCME, Moscow (2008).
- [3] Corrado De Concini et al. *Noncommutative algebra and geometry*. CRC Press, 2005.
- [4] Henryk Żoładek. “The topological proof of Abel-Ruffini theorem”. In: *Topological Methods in Nonlinear Analysis* 16.2 (2000), pp. 253–265.
- [5] Irving Kaplansky. “An introduction to differential algebra”. In: *(No Title)* (1957).
- [6] Ellis Robert Kolchin. “The Picard-Vessiot theory of homogeneous linear ordinary differential equations”. In: *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 32.12 (1946), pp. 308–311.
- [7] Niels Henrik Abel. *œuvres complètes de Niels Henrik Abel*. Vol. 1. Grøn-dahl & søn, 1881.
- [8] E Galois. “Sur les conditions de résolubilité des équations par radicaux”. In: *Journal de mathématiques pures et appliquées* 11 (1846), pp. 417–444.
- [9] Joseph Liouville. *Premier mémoire sur la détermination des intégrales dont la valeur est algébrique*. Impr. Royale, 1833.
- [10] Paolo Ruffini. “Teoria generale delle equazioni, in cui si dimostra impossibile la soluzione algebrica delle equazioni generali di grado superiore al quarto”. In: *Stamperia di S. Tommaso d’Aquino* (1799).

- [11] Joseph-Louis Lagrange. “Reflexions sur la resolution algebrique des equations, Nouveaux Memoires de l’Acade.” In: *Royale des sciences et belles-lettres, avec l’histire pour la meme annee* 1 (1770), pp. 134–215.