

Retail Policy Q&A Assistant (RAG) — End-to-End Example

1) Discovery meeting

Goal: Align on problem, value, constraints.

Agenda: intro → current process → pain points → data tour → risks/compliance → success metrics → next steps.

Key questions:

- What questions do employees/customers ask most? What's the cost of slow/incorrect answers?
- Where do the answers live (PDFs, SharePoint, Confluence, ticketing history)? Who owns them?
- Required accuracy/latency? (e.g., $\geq 85\%$ exactness)
- Who's allowed to see what? PII/PCI/PHI considerations, data residency?
- Failure modes: what should the bot do when unsure?
- Change cadence: how often do policies change? Who updates the source of truth?
- Success = ...? (deflect 30% tickets, cut handle time 25%, CSAT +0.3)

Outputs: problem statement, success metrics, constraints, stakeholders, initial system boundaries.

2) Requirements

User stories

- *As a store associate*, I want to ask policy questions ("What's the return window for electronics?") and get a sourced, up-to-date answer in <3s.
- *As compliance manager*, I need answers to include citations and to log every response for audit.
- *As content owner*, I want to be able update a policy and see it reflected in <1 hour.

Non-functionals

- p95 latency < 2.5s; uptime 99.9%; support 5k DAU.
- Guardrails: no personal data in prompts; redact PII; refusal on out-of-scope.

Sidenote: p95 means the **95th percentile** of a set of values.

- **Plain English:** 95% of the observations are **at or below** this value; the slowest/top 5% are **above** it.
- **Example (latency):** "p95 latency = 2.4s" \Rightarrow 95% of requests finish in ≤ 2.4 seconds; 5% take longer.
- **Why it's used:** Averages hide tail pain. Percentiles (p50/median, p90, p95, p99) show real user experience, especially the slow tail.

Acceptance criteria

- $\geq 85\%$ *correctness* on a blinded test set; $\geq 95\%$ answers include at least one valid citation.
 - Hallucination rate $\leq 3\%$ (measured by human eval with rubric).
 - Security sign-off passed; audit logs retained 1 year.
-

3) High-level solution

Pattern: Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG) with policy PDFs/FAQs as the knowledge base.

Flow:

1. User asks a question in web/Teams/Slack.
2. Query \rightarrow embed \rightarrow vector search (top-k + re-rank).
3. Retrieved chunks + system guardrails \rightarrow LLM generates answer *with citations*.
4. Safety checks (PII redaction, toxicity/refusal) \rightarrow response + links.
5. Observability logs: prompt, retrieved docs, answer, feedback.

Core components

- Document pipelines: PDF→text, chunking (e.g., 1-2k tokens with overlap), metadata tags (policy version, owner).
 - Vector DB (e.g., pgvector/FAISS/managed).
 - Re-ranker (cross-encoder) to improve hit quality.
 - LLM (managed API) with safe-prompting + tool use (search, escalate to human).
 - Admin UI: document status, re-index, feedback review.
-

4) Data & governance

- **Sources:** Policy PDFs, SharePoint pages, Zendesk macros.
 - **Access:** Service account with least privilege; nightly delta crawl + on-change webhooks.
 - **PII:** Strip emails/order IDs at ingestion; runtime redaction in prompts & outputs.
 - **Versioning:** Each chunk tagged with `policy_id`, `version`, `effective_date`. Answers display version.
-

5) Evaluation plan

Offline (before pilot)

- Build a 150-question test set from real tickets; label gold answers + citations.
- Metrics: top-k recall, exactness, groundedness, citation validity, latency.

Online (pilot)

- A/B: legacy FAQ vs. RAG assistant to 10% users.
- Success: deflection rate, time-to-answer, CSAT, override-to-human rate.

Human-in-the-loop

- Thumbs up/down with reasons; weekly error clinic to fix bad chunks/prompts.

6) Implementation timeline (example 6 weeks)

- **Week 1:** Discovery, access to data, security review, draft KPIs.
 - **Week 2:** Ingestion pipeline & chunking; initial embeddings; vector DB stood up.
 - **Week 3:** Retrieval tuning (k, chunk size, re-rank); prompt v1; guardrails.
 - **Week 4:** Admin & analytics; audit logging; offline eval > target.
 - **Week 5:** Pilot rollout to 10%; collect online metrics; iterate prompts/filters.
 - **Week 6:** Org training; SLOs set; scale to 100%; handover & runbook.
-

7) Risks & mitigations

- **Stale content** → owners & webhook re-index; “This policy updated on...” banner.
 - **Hallucinations** → strict *cite-or-say-I-don't-know* prompting; retrieval-only answering for policy facts; uncertainty threshold to escalate.
 - **Security** → prompt scraping defenses; no data retention at vendor; redaction.
 - **Adoption** → embed where users already work; fast (<2.5s) or they'll bypass it.
-

8) Example artifacts (ready to reuse)

System prompt (excerpt)

You answer retail policy questions using ONLY the provided context.
If the answer isn't fully supported, say “I don't have enough information.”

Always include numbered citations [1], [2] with titles and links from the context.

Refuse questions that ask for personal data or out-of-scope topics.

Answer template (few-shot)

Q: What is the electronics return window?

A: Electronics can be returned within 30 days if unopened. Open-box items are 15 days. [1][2]

Limits: Extended holiday returns apply Nov 1–Jan 15. [3]

If unsure: “I don’t have enough information...”

Retrieval parameters (starting point)

- `chunk_size`: 1200–1600 tokens, overlap 150–200
- `k`: 8 initial, re-rank to top 3
- `filters`: `department:retail`, `doc_type:policy`

Test cases (sample)

- Edge: “Is a 32-day return allowed if receipt is lost?” → Expect refusal + escalation note.
- Ambiguous: “What about returns?” → Ask clarifying question.
- Off-policy: “Can I return worn shoes?” → Cite wear-and-tear exception.

Go-live checklist

- SOC2/DPoA approved

Sidenote:

- **SOC 2 approved** → The vendor has passed a **SOC 2** audit (System and Organization Controls, Type I or Type II) covering controls for **Security, Availability, Processing Integrity, Confidentiality, and/or Privacy**. In practice this means your security team has reviewed the vendor’s SOC 2 report (often Type II + a current bridge letter) and signed off.
- **DPoA approved** → This is almost certainly a shorthand/typo for **DPA** (Data Processing Addendum/Agreement). “Approved” means your legal/privacy team has executed and accepted the **DPA** with the vendor (GDPR roles, SCCs, data locations, sub-processors, retention, breach notice, etc.).
- If it truly says **DPoA**, ask what policy it refers to—some orgs use it to mean “Data Protection Office Approval” or an internal privacy approval step, but **DPA** is the standard term.

- Data cataloged; owners listed
 - Rollback switch & rate limits
 - Dashboards: latency, retrieval recall, hallucinations, feedback
 - Runbook: incident, drift, re-index
-

9) Handover & operations

- Weekly content sync with policy owners.
- Monthly evaluation with fresh tickets; refresh test set.
- Alerting: spike in “I don’t know” or citation failures.
- Prompt & retrieval reviewed on drift or KPI regression.