# Notes on N-Queens Solutions

### Robert Dougherty-Bliss

March 15, 2016

The *n*-queens problem is this: Given an  $n \times n$  chessboard, can *n* queens be placed on it such that no queen can attack another? The answer, proved by E. Pauls in 1874, is yes, for n = 1 and n > 3 [1]. We list some notes on the running times of various solutions.

### **Running Times**

Here we will list various solutions to the n-queens problem and compare their running times. Included with each are pseudocode algorithms.

Table 1: Running Time of n-Queens Solution	Table 1.	Running	Time of	$n_{-}O$ neen	s Solutions
--	----------	---------	---------	---------------	-------------

Combinatoric Brute Force	$O(n^2(n^2!))$
Row-based Brute Force	$O(n^{n+2})$
Backtracking	O(n!)
Explicit Solution	O(n)

#### Guarded Check

Some of the solutions check if a given answer is a solution. Here is an  $O(n^2)$  algorithm to check if this is true.

First, some definitions. The  $n \times n$  chessboard is represented as  $[0, n-1] \times [0, n-1]$ , indexed by row-column points (r, c). The row r = 0 is the top of the board, and the column c = 0 is the leftmost column.

The kth sum diagonal on a chess board is the set of points (r, c) on the board such that r+c=k. The kth difference diagonal is the set of points (r, c) on the board such that r-c=k. Sum diagonals run from bottom-left to top-right, and difference diagonals run from top-left to bottom-right.

Queens on a chessboard guard their rows, columns, and diagonals. That motivates the definition of a guarded point. Given a point P(r,c) and a set of queens Q, the point P is guarded by Q iff there exists some  $R(a,b) \in Q$  such that R and P share a column, row, or diagonal. That is, iff a+b=r+c, a-b=r-c, a=r, or b=c. In the worst-case for a set of n queens, the running time of checking if a point is guarded is O(n). To check if an answer is a solution, simply perform this check against all n points, which is  $O(n)O(n)=O(n^2)$ .

```
Input: P(r,c), a point, and Q, a set of queens
Output: True if P is guarded by Q, False if not

1 foreach (a,b) in Q do

2 | if a+b=r+c or a-b=r-c then

3 | return True;

4 | end

5 | if a=r or b=c then

6 | return True;

7 | end

8 end

9 return False;
```

Algorithm 1: Guarded Check

#### Combinatoric Brute Force

A first attempt to solve the n-queens problem might be to try every possible combination of n distinct points from an  $n \times n$  chessboard. This gives

$$\binom{n^2}{n} = \frac{n^2!}{n!(n^2 - n)!}$$

possible combinations. For all  $n \ge 1$ , we have that  $n^2 - n \ge 0$ , so  $n!(n^2 - n)! \ge 1$ . So for all  $n \ge 1$ ,

$$\frac{n^2!}{n!(n^2-n)!} \le n^2!$$

That is, to enumerate every possible combination is  $O(n^2!)$ . But we also need to check if each combination is a solution, which is  $O(n^2)$ . So the total worst-case running time of this solution is  $O(n^2!)O(n^2) = O(n^2(n^2!))$ .

#### Row-Based Brute Force

An improvement on the previous technique is to note that no solution has two queens placed in the same row. Then, restrict the queens from being placed in the same row. There are n choices for the first row, n for the second, and so on until the nth row. That gives

$$\underbrace{n \times n \times n \times \cdots \times n}_{n \text{ times}} = n^n$$

combinations to check. To enumerate all of these is  $O(n^n)$ , but we also need to check each, which is  $O(n^2)$ . Thus the worst case running time is  $O(n^n)O(n^2) = O(n^{n+2})$ . The solution algorithm is identical except for the method of generating combinations.

#### Backtracking

Another improvement is to use backtracking. This method starts by placing a queen at (0,0), then moving to the next row. Once a non-guarded point is found on that row, place a queen there, and move to the next row. Do this until there are n queens placed.

```
Input: n, a non-negative integer
   Output: A set of (r, c) points that solve the n-queens problem, or an empty set if no
             solution exists
1 combinations \leftarrow [0, n-1] \times [0, n-1];
2 foreach answer in combinations do
      solved \leftarrow True;
      foreach point in answer do
4
          if point is guarded by rest of answer then
5
              solved \leftarrow False;
6
7
              break;
          end
8
      end
9
10
      if solved then
11
         return answer;
12
      end
13 end
```

Algorithm 2: Combinatoric Brute Force

If every point on a row is guarded, then move back one row and delete the queen there. Then attempt to find another non-guarded point in that row. If there are none, move back another row, and attempt to delete and move the queen there. If the queen in the first row is moved past the end of its row, then there is no solution possible.

In the worst case, this will search roughly n points in the first row, then n-1 in the next, and so on, so the worst-case running time is O(n!).

```
Input: n, a non-negative integer
   Output: A set of (r, c) points that solve the n-queens problem, or an empty set if no
             solution exists
 1 place queen at (0,0);
 2 \text{ (row, col)} \leftarrow (0, 0);
 \mathbf{3} while number of queens \neq n do
       if (row, col) is not quarded then
          place a queen at (row, col);
 5
          (row, col) \leftarrow (row + 1, 0);
 6
 7
       end
       else
 8
          while col = n - 1 do
 9
              if row = 0 then
10
                  /* End of first row.
                                                                                                   */
                  return no solution
11
              end
12
              /* End of current row; backtrack until we can move the previous
                  queen.
              (row, col) \leftarrow previous queen;
13
              delete previous queen;
14
15
          end
16
          col \leftarrow col + 1;
      end
17
18 end
```

Algorithm 3: Backtracking

#### **Explicit Solution**

This solution is due to Pauls, discussed in [1]. Pauls breaks down n > 3 by congruence classes modulo 6, then offers a solution for each of them. This requires one comparison, and then the creation of a number of sets that are joined together. The number of elements in the sets are linear in n, and so this solution is O(n). Note that for Pauls the board is  $[1,n] \times [1,n]$  instead of  $[0,n-1] \times [0,n-1]$ . An outline of Pauls proof is given by [1]. Another solution and proof is given by [2].

```
Input: n, a non-negative integer
   Output: A set of (r, c) points that solve the n-queens problem, or an empty set if no
              solution exists
 1 if n=1 then
 \mathbf{2} \quad | \quad \mathbf{return} \ \{(1,1)\}
 з end
 4 if n = 2, 3 then
 5 | return ∅;
 6 end
 7 switch n \mod 6 do
       case \theta, 4 do
           A1 = \{(2k, k) : 1 \le k \le n/2\};
 9
           A2 = \{(2k - 1, n/2 + k) : 1 \le k \le n/2\};
10
           return A1 \cup A2;
11
12
       end
       case 1, 5 do
13
           B1 = \{(n,1)\};
14
           B2 = \{(2k, k+1) : 1 \le k \le (n-1)/2\};
15
           B3 = \{(2k-1, (n+1)/2 + k) : 1 \le k \le (n-1)/2\};
16
17
          return B1 \cup B2 \cup B3;
       \mathbf{end}
18
       case 2 do
19
           C1 = \{(4,1)\};
20
           C2 = \{(n, n/2 - 1)\};
21
           C3 = \{(2, n/2)\};
22
           C4 = \{(n-1, n/2 + 1)\};
23
           C5 = \{(1, n/2 + 2)\};
24
           C6 = \{(n-3, n)\};
25
           C7 = \{(n-2k, k+1) : 1 \le k \le n/2 - 3\};
26
           C8 = \{(n-2k-3, n/2+k+2) : 1 \le k \le n/2-3\};
27
           return C1 \cup C2 \cup C3 \cup C4 \cup C5 \cup C6 \cup C7 \cup C8;
28
29
       end
30
       case 3 do
31
          return \{(n,n)\} \cup (solution \ for \ n-1);
32
зз end
```

Algorithm 4: Pauls' Explicit Solution

## References

- [1] Bell, Jordan, and Stevens, Brett, 2007: A survey of known results and research areas for n-queens, *Discrete Math*, **309**, 1–31.
- [2] E.J. Hoffman, and J.C. Loessi, and R.C. Moore, 1969: Constructions for the Solution of the m Queens Problem, *Math. Mag.*, **42**, 66–72