PSC4375: Loops & Predicting

Week 5: Lecture 9

Prof. Weldzius

Villanova University

Slides Updated: 2025-02-17





• 2016 election popular vote:



• 2016 election popular vote:

Clinton: 65,853,516 (48.2%)Trump: 62,984,825 (46.1%)



• 2016 election popular vote:

• Clinton: 65,853,516 (48.2%)

• Trump: 62,984,825 (46.1%)

Why did Trump win? Electoral college

Trump: 304, Clinton: 227



2016 election popular vote:

• Clinton: 65,853,516 (48.2%)

• Trump: 62,984,825 (46.1%)

Why did Trump win? Electoral college

• Trump: 304, Clinton: 227

Election determined by 77,744 votes (margins in WI, MI, PA)

0.056% of the electorate (~136million)

Electoral college system

- Electoral college system
 - Must win an absolute majority of 538 electoral votes

- Electoral college system
 - Must win an absolute majority of 538 electoral votes
 - \bullet 538 = 435 (House of Representatives) + 100 (Senators) + 3 (DC)

- Electoral college system
 - Must win an absolute majority of 538 electoral votes
 - 538 = 435 (House of Representatives) + 100 (Senators) + 3 (DC)
 - Must win at least 270 votes

Electoral college system

- Must win an absolute majority of 538 electoral votes
- 538 = 435 (House of Representatives) + 100 (Senators) + 3 (DC)
- Must win at least 270 votes
- nobody wins an absolute majority → House vote

- Electoral college system
 - Must win an absolute majority of 538 electoral votes
 - 538 = 435 (House of Representatives) + 100 (Senators) + 3 (DC)
 - Must win at least 270 votes
 - nobody wins an absolute majority → House vote
- Must predict winner of each state

Electoral college system

- Must win an absolute majority of 538 electoral votes
- 538 = 435 (House of Representatives) + 100 (Senators) + 3 (DC)
- Must win at least 270 votes
- nobody wins an absolute majority → House vote
- Must predict winner of each state



• Predict state-level support for each candidate using polls

- Predict state-level support for each candidate using polls
- Allocate electoral college votes of that state to its predicted winner

4/19

- Predict state-level support for each candidate using polls
- Allocate electoral college votes of that state to its predicted winner
- Aggregate EC votes across states to determine the predicted winner

- Predict state-level support for each candidate using polls
- Allocate electoral college votes of that state to its predicted winner
- Aggregate EC votes across states to determine the predicted winner
- Coding strategy:

- Predict state-level support for each candidate using polls
- Allocate electoral college votes of that state to its predicted winner
- Aggregate EC votes across states to determine the predicted winner
- Coding strategy:
 - For each state, subset to polls within that state

- Predict state-level support for each candidate using polls
- Allocate electoral college votes of that state to its predicted winner
- Aggregate EC votes across states to determine the predicted winner
- Coding strategy:
 - For each state, subset to polls within that state
 - 2 Further subset the latest polls

- Predict state-level support for each candidate using polls
- Allocate electoral college votes of that state to its predicted winner
- Aggregate EC votes across states to determine the predicted winner
- Coding strategy:
 - For each state, subset to polls within that state
 - Further subset the latest polls
 - Average the latest polls to estimate support for each candidate

- Predict state-level support for each candidate using polls
- Allocate electoral college votes of that state to its predicted winner
- Aggregate EC votes across states to determine the predicted winner
- Coding strategy:
 - For each state, subset to polls within that state
 - 2 Further subset the latest polls
 - 4 Average the latest polls to estimate support for each candidate
 - Allocate the electoral votes to the candidate who has greatest support

- Predict state-level support for each candidate using polls
- Allocate electoral college votes of that state to its predicted winner
- Aggregate EC votes across states to determine the predicted winner
- Coding strategy:
 - For each state, subset to polls within that state
 - 2 Further subset the latest polls
 - Average the latest polls to estimate support for each candidate
 - 4 Allocate the electoral votes to the candidate who has greatest support
 - 6 Repeat this for all states and aggregate the electoral votes

- Predict state-level support for each candidate using polls
- Allocate electoral college votes of that state to its predicted winner
- Aggregate EC votes across states to determine the predicted winner
- Coding strategy:
 - For each state, subset to polls within that state
 - Further subset the latest polls
 - Average the latest polls to estimate support for each candidate
 - 4 Allocate the electoral votes to the candidate who has greatest support
 - Seperate this for all states and aggregate the electoral votes
- Sounds like a lot of subsets, ugh...

• Let's create a new variable that multiples each value in a vector by 2:

- Let's create a new variable that multiples each value in a vector by 2:
 - Easy in R: values * 2
 - Pretend you didn't know this approach

Slides Updated: 2025-02-17

- Let's create a new variable that multiples each value in a vector by 2:
 - Easy in R: values * 2
 - Pretend you didn't know this approach

```
values <- c(2,4,6)

## number of values
n <- length(values)

## create container to hold results
results <- rep(NA, times = n)

## multiply each value by 2
results[1] <- values[1] * 2
results[2] <- values[2] * 2
results[3] <- values[3] * 2</pre>
```

• Let's create a new variable that multiples each value in a vector by 2:

- Let's create a new variable that multiples each value in a vector by 2:
 - Easy in R: values * 2
 - Pretend you didn't know this approach

- Let's create a new variable that multiples each value in a vector by 2:
 - Easy in R: values * 2
 - Pretend you didn't know this approach

```
## print results
results
```

```
## [1] 4 8 12
```

Loops in R

Loops in R

• What if you had more values? Not efficient!

Loops in R

- What if you had more values? Not efficient!
 - for loop: a way to iteratively execute the same code multiple times.

- What if you had more values? Not efficient!
 - for loop: a way to iteratively execute the same code multiple times.
- Basic structure:

- What if you had more values? Not efficient!
 - for loop: a way to iteratively execute the same code multiple times.
- Basic structure:

```
for (i in X) {
   expression1
   expression2
   ...
   expression3
}
```

- What if you had more values? Not efficient!
 - for loop: a way to iteratively execute the same code multiple times.
- Basic structure:

```
for (i in X) {
  expression1
  expression2
  ...
  expression3
}
```

• Elements of a loop:

- What if you had more values? Not efficient!
 - for loop: a way to iteratively execute the same code multiple times.
- Basic structure:

```
for (i in X) {
  expression1
  expression2
  ...
  expression3
}
```

- Elements of a loop:
 - i: counter (can use any name)

- What if you had more values? Not efficient!
 - for loop: a way to iteratively execute the same code multiple times.
- Basic structure:

```
for (i in X) {
  expression1
  expression2
  ...
  expression3
}
```

- Elements of a loop:
 - i: counter (can use any name)
 - X: vector containing a set of ordered values the counter takes

- What if you had more values? Not efficient!
 - for loop: a way to iteratively execute the same code multiple times.
- Basic structure:

```
for (i in X) {
  expression1
  expression2
  ...
  expression3
}
```

- Elements of a loop:
 - i: counter (can use any name)
 - X: vector containing a set of ordered values the counter takes
 - expression: a set of expressions that will be repeatedly evaluated.

- What if you had more values? Not efficient!
 - for loop: a way to iteratively execute the same code multiple times.
- Basic structure:

```
for (i in X) {
  expression1
  expression2
  ...
  expression3
}
```

- Elements of a loop:
 - i: counter (can use any name)
 - X: vector containing a set of ordered values the counter takes
 - expression: a set of expressions that will be repeatedly evaluated.
 - { }: curly braces to define beginning and end of the loop.

- What if you had more values? Not efficient!
 - for loop: a way to iteratively execute the same code multiple times.
- Basic structure:

```
for (i in X) {
  expression1
  expression2
  ...
  expression3
}
```

- Elements of a loop:
 - i: counter (can use any name)
 - X: vector containing a set of ordered values the counter takes
 - expression: a set of expressions that will be repeatedly evaluated.
 - { }: curly braces to define beginning and end of the loop.
- Indentation is important for readability of the code.

Loop example:

```
values <- c(2,4,6)
n <- length(values)</pre>
results <- rep(NA, times = n)
## begin loop
for (i in 1:n) {
  results[i] <- values[i] * 2
  print(str c(values[i], " times 2 is equal to ", results[i]))
}
```

```
## [1] "2 times 2 is equal to 4"
## [1] "4 times 2 is equal to 8"
## [1] "6 times 2 is equal to 12"
```

2016 polling prediction

• Election data: pres.csv

Name	Description
state_abb	abbreviated name of state
clinton	Clinton's vote share (percentage)
trump	Trump's vote share (percentage)

Polling data polls16.csv

Name	Description
state middate daysleft pollster clinton trump	abbreviated name of state in which polls was conducted middate of the period when polls was conducted number of days between middate and election day name of organization conducting poll predicted support for Clinton (percentage) predicted support for Trump (percentage)

Some preprocessing

```
## download; don't forget to setwd()
pres16 <- read_csv("../data/pres2016.csv")
polls16 <- read_csv("../data/polls2016.csv")

## calculate Trump's margin of victory
polls16 <- polls16 %>%
    mutate(margin = Trump - Clinton)
pres16 <- pres16 %>%
    mutate(margin = Trump - Clinton)
```

What does the data look like?

head(polls16)

```
## # A tibble 6 x 8
       id state Clinton Trump days_to_election electoral_votes
##
                  <dbl> <dbl>
##
    <dbl> <chr>
                                        <dbl>
                                                        <dbl>
## 1 26255 TX
                     38
                          41
                                           24
                                                           38
  2 26253 WI
                  48 44
                                           23
                                                           10
  3 26252 VA
                     54 41
                                           23
                                                           13
  4 26251 NV
                  47 40
                                           19
                                                            6
  5 26250 TX
                  46 48
                                           23
                                                           38
## 6 26249 NH
                           43
                                           23
                     50
## # i 2 more variables: population <chr>, margin <dbl>
```

```
## place holder
poll.pred <- rep(NA, 51)

## get list of unique state names to iterate over
state_names <- unique(polls16$state)

## add labels to place holder
names(poll.pred) <- state_names</pre>
```

```
for (i in seq_along(state_names)) {
```

```
for (i in seq_along(state_names)) {
    ## subset the ith state
    state.data <- polls16 %>%
        filter(state == state_names[i])
```

```
for (i in seq_along(state_names)) {
  ## subset the ith state
  state.data <- polls16 %>%
    filter(state == state names[i])
  ## pull out the closest date (minimum days to election)
  min_days <- min(state.data$days_to_election)</pre>
```

```
for (i in seq_along(state_names)) {
    ## subset the ith state
    state.data <- polls16 %>%
        filter(state == state_names[i])

## pull out the closest date (minimum days to election)
    min_days <- min(state.data$days_to_election)

## subset only the latest polls within the state
    state.data <- state.data %>%
        filter(days_to_election == min_days)
```

```
for (i in seq_along(state_names)) {
  ## subset the ith state
  state.data <- polls16 %>%
    filter(state == state_names[i])
  ## pull out the closest date (minimum days to election)
  min_days <- min(state.data$days_to_election)</pre>
  ## subset only the latest polls within the state
  state.data <- state.data %>%
    filter(days_to_election == min_days)
  ## compute the mean of the latest polls and store it
  poll.pred[i] <- mean(state.data$margin)</pre>
}
head(poll.pred)
           VA
    TX
        WI
                NV
                    NH
                         PA
```

-8 -15 -7 -6

Poll prediction for each state (my way)

```
poll.list <- list()</pre>
state_names <- unique(polls16$state)</pre>
for (i in seq_along(state_names)) {
  state.data <- polls16 %>%
    filter(state == state names[i]) %>%
    filter(days_to_election == min(days_to_election)) %>%
    mutate(margin_poll = mean(margin)) %>%
    select(state, margin_poll)
  poll.list[[i]] <- state.data</pre>
  print(i)
PollPred <- do.call(rbind,poll.list)</pre>
head(PollPred)
```

Comparing polls to outcomes

