

Problem 1)

Consider again the classical complex Klein-Gordon field with the Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L} = (\partial_\mu \phi)(\partial^\mu \phi^*) - m^2 \phi \phi^*. \quad (1)$$

Repeat and write out all the steps that I showed in class for converting a real, lattice Klein-Gordon field to a quantum continuum version, but now for the complex scalar field above. Get the Heisenberg field operators $\hat{\phi}(x)$ and $\hat{\phi}^\dagger$ in terms of the creation and annihilation operators for particles and antiparticles.

In this problem, we can introduce some system with complex generalized coordinates q_n as in HW 4, problem 3 governed by a Lagrangian

$$L = \sum_n \frac{1}{2} |\dot{q}_n|^2 - \sum_n \frac{m^2}{2} |q_n|^2 - \sum_n \sum_i \frac{\kappa}{2} |q_{n+\hat{e}_i} - q_n|^2. \quad (2)$$

Notice that we can write this in terms of the real and imaginary parts of q_n . For brevity, let us adopt notation where $\text{Re}(q_n) = q_{R,n}$ and $\text{Im}(q_n) = q_{I,n}$

$$\begin{aligned} L = & \sum_n \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \dot{q}_{R,n}^2 - \frac{m^2}{2} q_{R,n}^2 - \frac{\kappa}{2} [q_{R,n+\hat{e}_i} - q_{R,n}]^2 \right\} \\ & + \sum_n \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \dot{q}_{I,n}^2 - \frac{m^2}{2} q_{I,n}^2 - \frac{\kappa}{2} [q_{I,n+\hat{e}_i} - q_{I,n}]^2 \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Hence, we can treat the real and imaginary parts as separate real-valued generalized coordinates and carry over the treatment from the prior homework and lectures. Summarizing, we find that the conjugate momenta to the real and imaginary parts of q_n are

$$p_{R,n} = \dot{q}_{R,n}, \quad p_{I,n} = \dot{q}_{I,n}, \quad (4)$$

respectively. Beware: it is tempting to conflate $p_{R,n}$ and $p_{I,n}$ with the real and imaginary parts of the complex generalized momentum p_n conjugate to q_n , but this is not exactly the case since

$$p_n = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_n} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{R,n}} - i \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{I,n}} \right) = \frac{1}{2} (p_{R,n} - i p_{I,n}) = \frac{1}{2} \dot{q}_n^*. \quad (5)$$

In terms of these momenta and coordinates, we find

$$\begin{aligned} H = & \sum_n \left\{ \frac{1}{2} p_{R,n}^2 - \frac{m^2}{2} q_{R,n}^2 - \frac{\kappa}{2} [q_{R,n+\hat{e}_i} - q_{R,n}]^2 \right\} \\ & + \sum_n \left\{ \frac{1}{2} p_{I,n}^2 - \frac{m^2}{2} q_{I,n}^2 - \frac{\kappa}{2} [q_{I,n+\hat{e}_i} - q_{I,n}]^2 \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

At this point, normal coordinates are introduced such that

$$q_{R/I,\mathbf{n}} = \frac{1}{N^{D/2}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \bar{q}_{R/I,\mathbf{k}} e^{i\mathbf{a}\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{n}} \quad (7)$$

$$p_{R/I,\mathbf{n}} = \frac{1}{N^{D/2}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \bar{p}_{R/I,\mathbf{k}} e^{i\mathbf{a}\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{n}}, \quad (8)$$

and the imposition of periodic boundary conditions in our finite space of volume L^D gives

$$\mathbf{k} = \frac{2\pi\bar{\mathbf{n}}}{L} \quad (9)$$

with the components of our reciprocal lattice vector $\bar{n}_i \in (-N/2, N/2]$. Additionally, imposing the realness of our coordinates and momenta gives that

$$\bar{q}_{R/I,\mathbf{k}}^* = \bar{q}_{R/I,-\mathbf{k}}, \quad \bar{p}_{R/I,\mathbf{k}}^* = \bar{p}_{R/I,-\mathbf{k}}. \quad (10)$$

Thus, using all the machinery built up in the previous homeworks and lectures, we can find that

$$\begin{aligned} H = & \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \bar{p}_{R,\mathbf{k}} \bar{p}_{R,-\mathbf{k}} + \frac{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^2}{2} \bar{q}_{R,\mathbf{k}} \bar{q}_{R,-\mathbf{k}} \right\} \\ & + \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \bar{p}_{I,\mathbf{k}} \bar{p}_{I,-\mathbf{k}} + \frac{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^2}{2} \bar{q}_{I,\mathbf{k}} \bar{q}_{I,-\mathbf{k}} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where

$$\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^2 = m^2 + 2\kappa \sum_i [1 - \cos(k_i a)]. \quad (12)$$

Again, one can see using the realness condition that the real and imaginary parts of the k -modes are fully decoupled harmonic oscillators. We can then introduce the canonical commutation relations

$$[\bar{q}_{R/I,\mathbf{k}}, \bar{p}_{R/I,-\mathbf{k}'}] = i\delta_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}, \quad (13)$$

where the rest of the combinations are identically zero. From this, we introduce the creation and annihilation operators $a_{R/I,\mathbf{k}}^\dagger$ and $a_{R/I,\mathbf{k}}$, respectively, such that

$$\bar{q}_{R/I,\mathbf{k}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}} (a_{R/I,\mathbf{k}} + a_{R/I,-\mathbf{k}}^\dagger) \quad (14)$$

$$\bar{p}_{R/I,\mathbf{k}} = -i\sqrt{\frac{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}{2}} (a_{R/I,\mathbf{k}} - a_{R/I,-\mathbf{k}}^\dagger). \quad (15)$$

Observe then that the creation and annihilation satisfy the typical commutation relations:

$$[a_{R/I,\mathbf{k}}, a_{R/I,\mathbf{k}'}^\dagger] = \delta_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}, \quad (16)$$

and thus, the Hamiltonian can be expressed as

$$H = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \omega_{\mathbf{k}} \left(a_{R,\mathbf{k}}^\dagger a_{R,\mathbf{k}} + a_{I,\mathbf{k}}^\dagger a_{I,\mathbf{k}} + 1 \right). \quad (17)$$

At this point, we would like to translate our results to complex coordinates and momenta. In terms of the real and imaginary parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} q_{\mathbf{n}} &= \frac{1}{N^{D/2}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} (\bar{q}_{R,\mathbf{k}} + i\bar{q}_{I,\mathbf{k}}) e^{i\mathbf{a}\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{n}} = \frac{1}{N^{D/2}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \bar{q}_{\mathbf{k}} e^{i\mathbf{a}\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{n}} \\ p_{\mathbf{n}} &= \frac{1}{N^{D/2}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{1}{2} (\bar{p}_{R,\mathbf{k}} - i\bar{p}_{I,\mathbf{k}}) e^{i\mathbf{a}\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{n}} = \frac{1}{N^{D/2}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \bar{p}_{\mathbf{k}} e^{i\mathbf{a}\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{n}}. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Observe that these redefined Fourier coefficients have the desired commutation relations:

$$[\bar{q}_{\mathbf{k}}, \bar{p}_{-\mathbf{k}}] = \frac{1}{2} [q_{R,\mathbf{k}} + i\bar{q}_{I,\mathbf{k}}, \bar{p}_{R,-\mathbf{k}} - i\bar{p}_{I,-\mathbf{k}}] = i\delta_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'} \quad (19)$$

$$[\bar{q}_{\mathbf{k}}^*, \bar{p}_{-\mathbf{k}}^*] = \frac{1}{2} [\bar{q}_{R,\mathbf{k}} - i\bar{q}_{I,\mathbf{k}}, \bar{p}_{R,-\mathbf{k}} + i\bar{p}_{I,-\mathbf{k}}] = i\delta_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}. \quad (20)$$

Additionally, we have

$$\bar{q}_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}} \left[(a_{R,\mathbf{k}} + ia_{I,\mathbf{k}}) + (a_{R,-\mathbf{k}}^\dagger + ia_{I,-\mathbf{k}}^\dagger) \right] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}} (a_{\mathbf{k}} + b_{-\mathbf{k}}^\dagger) \quad (21)$$

$$\bar{q}_{\mathbf{k}}^* = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}} \left[(a_{R,\mathbf{k}} - ia_{I,\mathbf{k}}) + (a_{R,-\mathbf{k}}^\dagger - ia_{I,-\mathbf{k}}^\dagger) \right] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}} (b_{\mathbf{k}} + a_{-\mathbf{k}}^\dagger), \quad (22)$$

Again, it is not too difficult to show that these redefined creation and annihilation operators satisfy the necessary commutation relations

$$[a_{\mathbf{k}}, a_{\mathbf{k}'}^\dagger] = \frac{1}{2} [a_{R,\mathbf{k}} + ia_{I,\mathbf{k}}, a_{R,\mathbf{k}'}^\dagger - ia_{I,\mathbf{k}'}^\dagger] = \delta_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'} \quad (23)$$

$$[b_{\mathbf{k}}, b_{\mathbf{k}'}^\dagger] = \frac{1}{2} [a_{R,\mathbf{k}} - ia_{I,\mathbf{k}}, a_{R,\mathbf{k}'}^\dagger + ia_{I,\mathbf{k}'}^\dagger] = \delta_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'} \quad (24)$$

and that our Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \omega_{\mathbf{k}} (a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}} + b_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger b_{\mathbf{k}} + 1). \quad (25)$$

At this point, we can go directly and write the generalized coordinates in terms of these creation and annihilation operators:

$$q_{\mathbf{n}} = \frac{1}{N^{D/2}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}} \left(a_{\mathbf{k}} e^{i\mathbf{a}\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{n}} + b_{-\mathbf{k}}^\dagger e^{i\mathbf{a}\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{n}} \right) \quad (26)$$

$$q_{\mathbf{n}}^\dagger = \frac{1}{N^{D/2}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}} \left(a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger e^{-i\mathbf{a}\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{n}} + b_{-\mathbf{k}} e^{-i\mathbf{a}\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{n}} \right). \quad (27)$$

These are the Schrödinger picture operators, but we can change pictures using the typical prescription and the fact about the time dependence of the Heisenberg picture creation and annihilation operators:

$$q_{\mathbf{n}}(t) = \frac{1}{N^{D/2}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}} \left(a_{\mathbf{k}} e^{-i\omega_{\mathbf{k}}t + i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{n}} + b_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} e^{i\omega_{\mathbf{k}}t - i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{n}} \right) = \frac{1}{N^{D/2}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}} \left(a_{\mathbf{k}} e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} + b_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \right) \quad (28)$$

$$q_{\mathbf{n}}^{\dagger}(t) = \frac{1}{N^{D/2}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}} \left(a_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} e^{i\omega_{\mathbf{k}}t - i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{n}} + b_{\mathbf{k}} e^{-i\omega_{\mathbf{k}}t + i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{n}} \right) = \frac{1}{N^{D/2}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}} \left(a_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} + b_{\mathbf{k}} e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \right). \quad (29)$$

Finally, we take the continuum limit by first taking $a \rightarrow 0$ and $N \rightarrow \infty$, holding L fixed. Defining $\phi(\mathbf{x}) = q_{\mathbf{n}}/a^{D/2}$ and similarly for the complex conjugate, we have

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{1}{L^{D/2} \sqrt{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}} \left(a_{\mathbf{k}} e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} + b_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \right) \quad (30)$$

$$\phi^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{1}{L^{D/2} \sqrt{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}} \left(a_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} + b_{\mathbf{k}} e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \right). \quad (31)$$

Next, we take the infinite volume limit via $L \rightarrow \infty$ and redefining our continuum limit creation and annihilation operators via $a_{\mathbf{k}} \rightarrow a_{\mathbf{k}}/L^{D/2}$ and similarly for $b_{\mathbf{k}}$. Thus,

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}) = \int \frac{d^D \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^D \sqrt{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}} \left(a_{\mathbf{k}} e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} + b_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \right) \quad (32)$$

$$\phi^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x}) = \int \frac{d^D \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^D \sqrt{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}} \left(a_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} + b_{\mathbf{k}} e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \right). \quad (33)$$

Problem 2)

Checking steps from class.

- (a) Show that the effect of normal ordering on the Hamiltonian and Noether momentum is to eliminate any constant terms and puts $:\hat{H}:$ and $:\hat{P}_j:$ into a form that only involves number operators.
- (b) Verify that the expression for the identity in the Fock space that we discussed class is

$$\hat{1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int \prod_{j=0}^n \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}_j}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{\mathbf{p}_j}} |p_n\rangle \langle p_n| \quad (34)$$

for the case of a three-excitation momentum state $|\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3\rangle$.

(c) As in class, let a single excitation element of a bosonic Fock space at time t be

$$|f, 1, t\rangle = \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3 \sqrt{2E_{\mathbf{p}}}} \tilde{f}(\mathbf{p}) |\mathbf{p}\rangle = \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger |0\rangle \tilde{f}(\mathbf{p}) \quad (35)$$

with a wavepacket function $\tilde{f}(\mathbf{p})$. Let the coordinate space wavepacket function be defined by

$$f(x) = \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3 \sqrt{2E_{\mathbf{p}}}} \tilde{f}(\mathbf{p}) e^{-ip \cdot x} = \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3 \sqrt{2E_{\mathbf{p}}}} \tilde{f}(\mathbf{p}) e^{-ip^0 t + i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x}}. \quad (36)$$

Note the time dependence in the exponential despite the fact that the integral is only over spatial components. Show that

$$|f, 1, t\rangle = \int d^3\mathbf{x} \phi(\mathbf{x}) |0\rangle 2i \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial t}. \quad (37)$$

(d) By using Fock states expressed like in Eq. (3) above, show directly that $a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}}$ is a density of excitations with respect to three momentum.

(a) For simplicity, we work in the context of a free scalar field theory (i.e. real Klein-Gordon theory). In this theory, our energy-momentum tensor

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \partial^\mu \phi \partial^\nu \phi - \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} (\partial^\rho \phi \partial_\rho \phi - m^2 \phi^2). \quad (38)$$

Thus, the 4-momentum operator

$$P^\mu = \int d^3\mathbf{x} T^{0\mu} = \int d^3\mathbf{x} \left\{ \dot{\phi} \partial^\mu \phi - \frac{1}{2} g^{0\mu} (\partial^\rho \phi \partial_\rho \phi - m^2 \phi^2) \right\}. \quad (39)$$

The Hamiltonian is then

$$H = P^0 = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3\mathbf{x} \left\{ \dot{\phi}^2 + (\nabla \phi)^2 + m^2 \phi^2 \right\} \quad (40)$$

whilst the components of the momentum operator

$$P^i = \int d^3\mathbf{x} \dot{\phi} \partial^i \phi. \quad (41)$$

Inserting the mode expansion of ϕ we find

$$H = \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}{2} (a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}} + a_{\mathbf{k}} a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger) \Rightarrow H := \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \omega_{\mathbf{k}} a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}} \quad (42)$$

$$P^i = \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{k^i}{2} (a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}} + a_{\mathbf{k}} a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger) \Rightarrow P^i := \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} k^i a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}}. \quad (43)$$

It is simple to see that these normal ordered operators only contain number operators and no infinities.

(b) We verify this directly:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{1} |\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3\rangle &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int \prod_{j=0}^n \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}_j}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{\mathbf{p}_j}} |p_n\rangle \langle p_n | \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3\rangle \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int \prod_{j=0}^n \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}_j}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{\mathbf{p}_j}} |\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_3\rangle \delta_{n3} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \prod_{\ell} (2\pi)^3 2E_{\mathbf{k}_{\ell}} \delta(\mathbf{k}_{\ell} - \mathbf{p}_{\sigma(\ell)}) \\
 &= \frac{1}{3!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} |\mathbf{k}_{\sigma(1)}, \mathbf{k}_{\sigma(2)}, \mathbf{k}_{\sigma(3)}\rangle = |\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_3\rangle.
 \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

(c) We can invert the “Fourier transform” via the following procedure

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int d^3 \mathbf{x} f(x) e^{ip^0 t - i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x}} &= \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}'}{(2\pi)^3 \sqrt{2E_{\mathbf{p}'}}} \tilde{f}(\mathbf{p}') \underbrace{\int d^3 \mathbf{x} e^{i(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}') \cdot \mathbf{x}}}_{(2\pi)^3 \delta(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}')} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2E_{\mathbf{p}}}} \tilde{f}(\mathbf{p}) \\
 \tilde{f}(\mathbf{p}) &= \int d^3 \mathbf{x} \sqrt{2E_{\mathbf{p}}} e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x}} f(x).
 \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

Putting this into the wavepacket state

$$\begin{aligned}
 |f, 1, t\rangle &= \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} a_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger} |0\rangle \int d^3 \mathbf{x} \sqrt{2E_{\mathbf{p}}} e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}) \\
 &= \int d^3 \mathbf{x} f(x) \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3 \sqrt{2E_{\mathbf{p}}}} 2E_{\mathbf{p}} a_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger} e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x}} |0\rangle \\
 &= \int d^3 \mathbf{x} f(x) \left(-2i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \phi(x) |0\rangle \right) \\
 &= \int d^3 \mathbf{x} \phi(x) |0\rangle 2i \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial t}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

(d) We can take the expectation value of the number operator in a generic n –“particle” wavepacket and show that its integral gives us the expected number of particles in such a state:

$$|f^{(n)}\rangle = \int \left(\prod_{j=0}^n \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}_j}{(2\pi)^3 \sqrt{2E_{\mathbf{p}_j}}} \right) \tilde{f}^{(n)}(\mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_n) |\mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_n\rangle, \tag{47}$$

where the state is normalized if and only if

$$\int \prod_{j=0}^n \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}_j}{(2\pi)^3} |\tilde{f}^{(n)}(\mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_n)|^2 = \frac{1}{n!}. \tag{48}$$

Let us now take the expectation value:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f^{(n)} | a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}} | f^{(n)} \rangle &= \int \prod_{i=0}^n \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}_i}{(2\pi)^3 \sqrt{2E_{\mathbf{p}_i}}} \prod_{j=0}^n \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}'_j}{(2\pi)^3 \sqrt{2E_{\mathbf{p}'_j}}} [\tilde{f}^{(n)}(\mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_2)]^* \tilde{f}^{(n)}(\mathbf{p}'_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}'_n) \\ &\quad \times \langle \mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_n | a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}} | \mathbf{p}'_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}'_n \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

The relevant thing to determine now is the momentum matrix element of this number operator. First, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\mathbf{k}} | \mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_n \rangle &= \sqrt{2E_{\mathbf{p}_1}} \dots \sqrt{2E_{\mathbf{p}_n}} a_{\mathbf{k}} a_{\mathbf{p}_1}^\dagger \dots a_{\mathbf{p}_n}^\dagger | 0 \rangle \\ &= \sqrt{2E_{\mathbf{p}_1}} \dots \sqrt{2E_{\mathbf{p}_n}} \left[(2\pi)^3 \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}_1) + a_{\mathbf{p}_1}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}} \right] a_{\mathbf{p}_2}^\dagger \dots a_{\mathbf{p}_n}^\dagger | 0 \rangle \\ &= (2\pi)^3 \sum_{i=1}^n \sqrt{2E_{\mathbf{p}_i}} \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}_i) | \mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{i-1}, \mathbf{p}_{i+1}, \dots, \mathbf{p}_n \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_n | a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}} | \mathbf{p}'_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}'_n \rangle \\ &= (2\pi)^6 \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sqrt{2E_{\mathbf{p}_i}} \sqrt{2E_{\mathbf{p}_j}} \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}_i) \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}'_j) \langle \mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{i-1}, \mathbf{p}_{i+1}, \dots, \mathbf{p}_n | \mathbf{p}'_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}'_{j-1}, \mathbf{p}'_{j+1}, \dots, \mathbf{p}'_n \rangle \\ &= (2\pi)^6 \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sqrt{2E_{\mathbf{p}_i}} \sqrt{2E_{\mathbf{p}_j}} \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}_i) \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}'_j) \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n-1}} \prod_m (2\pi)^3 2E_{\mathbf{p}_m} \delta(\mathbf{p}_m - \mathbf{p}'_{\sigma(m)}) \\ &= (2\pi)^3 (2\pi)^{3n} 2E_{\mathbf{p}_1} \dots 2E_{\mathbf{p}_n} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n-1}} \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}_i) \delta(\mathbf{p}_i - \mathbf{p}'_j) \prod_m \delta(\mathbf{p}_m - \mathbf{p}'_{\sigma(m)}). \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

Note that the notation for the permutations is not technically correct since we are omitting i from the domain set and j from the codomain set, but it should be understood implicitly nevertheless that we are just forming all possible pairings of momentum delta-functions. If we put this back into the expectation value, we see that

$$\langle f^{(n)} | a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}} | f^{(n)} \rangle = n! \sum_{i=1}^n \int \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}_j}{(2\pi)^3} |\tilde{f}^{(n)}(\mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{i-1}, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_{i+1}, \dots, \mathbf{p}_n)|^2. \quad (52)$$

At this point, it is difficult to see how we have a number density. Recall that densities live to be integrated, so we integrate over all \mathbf{k} as follows:

$$\int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \langle f^{(n)} | a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}} | f^{(n)} \rangle = \langle f^{(n)} | \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}} | f^{(n)} \rangle = n. \quad (53)$$

Since the right-hand-side is independent of the shape of our wavepacket, we see that we have a true number density operator:

$$dn = a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{d^3 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3}. \quad (54)$$

Problem 3)

The following is a simple undergraduate electrodynamics problem that I aim to use to motivate you to think about the interpretation of infinite energies: Let there be a continuous line of electric charge with linear density $\lambda = dQ/dy$ running along the y -axis from a point $-L$ to a point $+L$. Consider a position at a perpendicular distance x away from the center of the line. What is the electric potential there if I use the standard expression $dV = dQ/(4\pi\epsilon_0 r)$ for a differential element of charge? Show that the potential energy of a charge placed at that point is infinite if $L \rightarrow \infty$. Does this mean that the physics outside an infinitely long line of charge like this is pathological or ill-defined? Elaborate on the analogy with the “infinite” constant we found in the continuum limit of the lattice Klein-Gordon theory.

Problem 4)

Let $\phi_\ell(t)$ be a massless real Klein-Gordon field averaged with a function proportional to e^{-r^2/ℓ^2} , where r is the distance from the origin of spatial coordinates. That is,

$$\phi_\ell(t) = \frac{\int d^3\boldsymbol{\ell} \phi(\boldsymbol{x}) e^{-r^2/\ell^2}}{\int d^3\boldsymbol{\ell} e^{-r^2/\ell^2}}. \quad (55)$$

Calculate the vacuum expectation value of $\phi_\ell(t)^2$,

$$\langle 0 | \phi_\ell(t)^2 | 0 \rangle. \quad (56)$$

The square root of this expectation value is an estimate of the size of fluctuations in the field when probed with some kind of detector with resolution ℓ . Convert this quantity to volts. This estimate should also be roughly good for the electromagnetic field, to within a modest factor. Compute numerical values for a few distance scales of physical interest. In what situations might these ‘zero point fluctuations’ be of significance?