

Problem 1)

We have been thinking carefully about how to set up relativistic wavepackets, which will be important when we get to a formal treatment of the S -matrix and scattering theory. We used wavepacket functions $\tilde{f}(\mathbf{p})$ and $\tilde{g}(\mathbf{p})$ to describe the three-momentum parts of initial and final wavepackets. I also suggested using

$$F(t - t_0, \Delta t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}\Delta t} e^{-(t-t_0)^2/\Delta t^2} \quad (1)$$

for the temporal part of the wavepacket. To make analyzing the propagation amplitude

$$\langle g; \text{out}; \Delta | f; \text{in}; \Delta \rangle \quad (2)$$

more manageable, write out an expression for it that only involves integrals over d^4p , dx_0 , and dy_0 . The integrand should only involve $\tilde{f}(\mathbf{p})$, $\tilde{g}(\mathbf{p})$, $1/(p^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon)$, and $F(t - t_0, \Delta t)$.

In the free, complex Klein-Gordon theory, we set up a simple scattering amplitude, for a particle or anti-particle wave-packet to evolve into some other particle or anti-particle wave-packet, respectively, at some much later time. Generically, we found that

$$\langle g; \text{out}; \Delta | f; \text{out}; \Delta \rangle = \int d^4x d^4y \underline{g}^*(y) \underline{f}(x) \langle 0 | T \phi(y) \phi^\dagger(x) | 0 \rangle. \quad (3)$$

The time-ordering handily takes care of whether we consider particles or anti-particles, and the propagator

$$\langle 0 | T \phi(y) \phi^\dagger(x) | 0 \rangle = \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{ie^{-ip \cdot (y-x)}}{p^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon}. \quad (4)$$

Recall that we can write

$$\underline{f}(x) = 2i \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial t} F(x^0 - \bar{x}^0, \Delta x^0), \quad (5)$$

where

$$f(x) = \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3 \sqrt{2E_{\mathbf{p}}}} \tilde{f}(\mathbf{p}) e^{-ip \cdot x} \quad (6)$$

and similarly for \underline{g} . If we plug the propagator and the wave-packet expressions into the scattering amplitude, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \langle g | f \rangle &= \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{i}{p^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon} \left\{ \int d^4y \, 2i \frac{\partial g(y)}{\partial t} G(y^0 - \bar{y}^0, \Delta y^0) e^{ip \cdot y} \right\}^* \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \int d^4x \, 2i \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial t} F(x^0 - \bar{x}^0, \Delta x^0) e^{ip \cdot x} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Let us analyze one of the integrals in the curly braces:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int d^4x \, 2i \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial t} F(x^0 - \bar{x}^0, \Delta x^0) e^{ip \cdot x} \\
&= \int d^4x \, 2i F(x^0 - \bar{x}^0, \Delta x^0) e^{ip \cdot x} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3 \sqrt{2E_{\mathbf{k}}}} \tilde{f}(\mathbf{k}) e^{-ik \cdot x} \\
&= \int d^4x \, F(x^0 - \bar{x}^0, \Delta x^0) e^{ip \cdot x} \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \sqrt{2E_{\mathbf{k}}} \tilde{f}(\mathbf{k}) e^{-ik \cdot x} \\
&= \int dx^0 \, F(x^0 - \bar{x}^0, \Delta x^0) e^{i(p^0 - E_{\mathbf{k}})x^0} \int d^3\mathbf{k} \, \sqrt{2E_{\mathbf{k}}} \tilde{f}(\mathbf{k}) \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{x}}{(2\pi)^3} e^{-i(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{x}} \\
&= \sqrt{2E_{\mathbf{p}}} \tilde{f}(\mathbf{p}) \int dx^0 \, F(x^0 - \bar{x}^0, \Delta x^0) e^{i(p^0 - E_{\mathbf{p}})x^0}.
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Thus, the scattering amplitude

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle g|f \rangle &= \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{i}{p^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon} \left\{ \sqrt{2E_{\mathbf{p}}} \tilde{g}(\mathbf{p}) \int dy^0 \, G(y^0 - \bar{y}^0, \Delta y^0) e^{i(p^0 - E_{\mathbf{p}})y^0} \right\}^* \\
&\times \left\{ \sqrt{2E_{\mathbf{p}}} \tilde{f}(\mathbf{p}) \int dx^0 \, F(x^0 - \bar{x}^0, \Delta x^0) e^{i(p^0 - E_{\mathbf{p}})x^0} \right\} \\
&= \boxed{\int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} dx^0 dy^0 \frac{i}{p^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon} 2E_{\mathbf{p}} \tilde{g}^*(\mathbf{p}) \tilde{f}(\mathbf{p}) F(x^0 - \bar{x}^0, \Delta x^0) G(y^0 - \bar{y}^0, \Delta y^0) e^{-i(p^0 - E_{\mathbf{p}})(y^0 - x^0)}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Problem 2)

In our treatment of the charged (complex) Klein-Gordon field, we have treated ϕ and ϕ^\dagger as if they are independent fields, even though knowledge of ϕ determines ϕ^\dagger . Give a more rigorous explanation for why this is reasonable than what we did in class.

The Lagrangian for the free, complex Klein-Gordon theory is

$$\mathcal{L} = \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi^* - m^2 \phi^* \phi. \tag{10}$$

Let us rewrite this in terms of some scaled real and imaginary parts of ϕ , where

$$\phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\phi_1 + i\phi_2), \tag{11}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L} &= \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu (\phi_1 - i\phi_2) \partial^\mu (\phi_1 + i\phi_2) - \frac{m^2}{2} (\phi_1 - i\phi_2)(\phi_1 + i\phi_2) \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi_1 \partial^\mu \phi_1 - \frac{m^2}{2} \phi_1^2 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi_2 \partial^\mu \phi_2 - \frac{m^2}{2} \phi_2^2 \right) \\
&= \mathcal{L}_1 + \mathcal{L}_2,
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{1,2}$ are real scalar KG Lagrangians. We already know how to solve the real scalar Klein-Gordon theory, and here we just have two copies:

$$\phi_{1,2}(x) = \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3 \sqrt{2E_{\mathbf{p}}}} (a_{\mathbf{p}}^{(1,2)} e^{-ip \cdot x} + a_{\mathbf{p}}^{(1,2)\dagger} e^{ip \cdot x}). \quad (13)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \phi &= \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3 \sqrt{2E_{\mathbf{p}}}} \left(\frac{a_{\mathbf{p}}^{(1)} + ia_{\mathbf{p}}^{(2)}}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-ip \cdot x} + \frac{a_{\mathbf{p}}^{(1)\dagger} + ia_{\mathbf{p}}^{(2)\dagger}}{\sqrt{2}} e^{ip \cdot x} \right) \\ &= \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3 \sqrt{2E_{\mathbf{p}}}} (a_{\mathbf{p}} e^{-ip \cdot x} + b_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger e^{ip \cdot x}) \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

and similarly for ϕ^\dagger . Note that we have defined $a_{\mathbf{p}} = (a_{\mathbf{p}}^{(1)} + ia_{\mathbf{p}}^{(2)})/\sqrt{2}$ and $b_{\mathbf{p}} = (a_{\mathbf{p}}^{(1)} - ia_{\mathbf{p}}^{(2)})/\sqrt{2}$.

Up to this point, we have not dealt directly with the fields ϕ and ϕ^\dagger directly, but as we have seen, there are some nice interpretations that are more transparent when dealing with them as opposed to their real constituents. Observe that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_1} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_2} \right) \quad (15)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi^*} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_1} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_2} \right). \quad (16)$$

Therefore, the two independent Euler-Lagrange equations of motion for the real scalar field ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 can be expressed and rewritten to obtain

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi_1} - \partial_\mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi_1)} = 0 \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi_2} - \partial_\mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi_2)} = 0 \end{cases} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi} - \partial_\mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi)} = 0 \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi^*} - \partial_\mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi^*)} = 0. \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

Thus, we have exchanged our two independent real scalar fields for ϕ and ϕ^* . Here, we can see a justification for treating ϕ and ϕ^* independently. The Euler-Lagrange equations for the real scalar fields and the complex scalar fields are equivalent only when we have the two equations on the right-hand-side for ϕ and ϕ^* .

Note that the resulting equations of motion for ϕ and ϕ^\dagger are identical and take the form

$$(\partial^2 + m^2)\phi = 0 \quad (18)$$

$$(\partial^2 + m^2)\phi^\dagger = 0, \quad (19)$$

and because our differential operator is real, or $(\partial^2 + m^2)^* = \partial^2 + m^2$, once ϕ is known, we can immediately determine ϕ^\dagger by simply taking the hermitian conjugate of ϕ (i.e. the differential operator and complex conjugation commute).

Problem 3)

Repeat the steps for quantizing the charged Klein-Gordon field, but now impose anticommutation relations on the fields rather than commutation relations. Why would one consider trying this in the first place? What happens to the Hamiltonian?

Writing our solutions for the field and conjugate momenta we have

$$\phi = \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3 \sqrt{2E_{\mathbf{p}}}} (a_{\mathbf{p}} e^{-ip \cdot x} + b_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger e^{ip \cdot x}) \quad (20)$$

$$\phi^\dagger = \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3 \sqrt{2E_{\mathbf{p}}}} (a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger e^{ip \cdot x} + b_{\mathbf{p}} e^{-ip \cdot x}) \quad (21)$$

$$\pi_\phi = -i \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \sqrt{\frac{E_{\mathbf{p}}}{2}} (a_{\mathbf{p}} e^{-ip \cdot x} - b_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger e^{ip \cdot x}) \quad (22)$$

$$\pi_{\phi^\dagger} = i \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \sqrt{\frac{E_{\mathbf{p}}}{2}} (a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger e^{ip \cdot x} - b_{\mathbf{p}} e^{-ip \cdot x}). \quad (23)$$

We can take Fourier transforms and set $t = 0$ to obtain

$$\tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2E_{\mathbf{p}}}} (a_{\mathbf{p}} + b_{-\mathbf{p}}^\dagger) \quad (24)$$

$$\tilde{\phi}^\dagger(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2E_{\mathbf{p}}}} (a_{-\mathbf{p}}^\dagger + b_{\mathbf{p}}) \quad (25)$$

$$\tilde{\pi}_\phi(\mathbf{p}) = -i \sqrt{\frac{E_{\mathbf{p}}}{2}} (a_{\mathbf{p}} - b_{-\mathbf{p}}^\dagger) \quad (26)$$

$$\tilde{\pi}_{\phi^\dagger}(\mathbf{p}) = i \sqrt{\frac{E_{\mathbf{p}}}{2}} (a_{-\mathbf{p}}^\dagger - b_{\mathbf{p}}) \quad (27)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\mathbf{p}} &= \sqrt{\frac{E_{\mathbf{p}}}{2}} \tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{p}) + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2E_{\mathbf{p}}}} \tilde{\pi}_\phi(\mathbf{p}) = \int d^3\mathbf{x} \left(\sqrt{\frac{E_{\mathbf{p}}}{2}} \phi(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2E_{\mathbf{p}}}} \pi_\phi(\mathbf{x}) \right) e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \\ b_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger &= \sqrt{\frac{E_{\mathbf{p}}}{2}} \tilde{\phi}(-\mathbf{p}) - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2E_{\mathbf{p}}}} \tilde{\pi}_\phi(-\mathbf{p}) = \int d^3\mathbf{x} \left(\sqrt{\frac{E_{\mathbf{p}}}{2}} \phi(\mathbf{x}) - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2E_{\mathbf{p}}}} \pi_\phi(\mathbf{x}) \right) e^{-i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \\ a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger &= \sqrt{\frac{E_{\mathbf{p}}}{2}} \tilde{\phi}^\dagger(\mathbf{p}) - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2E_{\mathbf{p}}}} \tilde{\pi}_{\phi^\dagger}(\mathbf{p}) = \int d^3\mathbf{x} \left(\sqrt{\frac{E_{\mathbf{p}}}{2}} \phi^\dagger(\mathbf{x}) - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2E_{\mathbf{p}}}} \pi_{\phi^\dagger}(\mathbf{x}) \right) e^{-i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \\ b_{\mathbf{p}} &= \sqrt{\frac{E_{\mathbf{p}}}{2}} \tilde{\phi}^\dagger(-\mathbf{p}) + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2E_{\mathbf{p}}}} \tilde{\pi}_{\phi^\dagger}(-\mathbf{p}) = \int d^3\mathbf{x} \left(\sqrt{\frac{E_{\mathbf{p}}}{2}} \phi^\dagger(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2E_{\mathbf{p}}}} \pi_{\phi^\dagger}(\mathbf{x}) \right) e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x}}. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

From here, we can see that imposing anti-commutation relations for the fields and conjugate momenta yields

$$\begin{aligned}\{a_{\mathbf{p}}, a_{\mathbf{p}'}^\dagger\} &= \int d^3\mathbf{x} d^3\mathbf{y} \left[\frac{\sqrt{E_{\mathbf{p}}E_{\mathbf{p}'}}}{2} \{\phi(\mathbf{x}), \phi^\dagger(\mathbf{y})\} - \frac{i}{2} \sqrt{\frac{E_{\mathbf{p}}}{E_{\mathbf{p}'}}} \{\phi(\mathbf{x}), \pi_{\phi^\dagger}(\mathbf{y})\} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{i}{2} \sqrt{\frac{E_{\mathbf{p}'}}{E_{\mathbf{p}}}} \{\pi_\phi(\mathbf{x}), \phi(\mathbf{y})\} + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{E_{\mathbf{p}}E_{\mathbf{p}'}}} \{\pi_\phi(\mathbf{x}), \pi_{\phi^\dagger}(\mathbf{y})\} \right] e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}} e^{-i\mathbf{p}'\cdot\mathbf{y}} \\ &= 0\end{aligned}\tag{29}$$

and the same with $\{b_{\mathbf{p}}, b_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger\}$.

Problem 4)

Checking steps from class:

- (a) In class, I went through the steps for setting up the Dirac field and showing that it gives the Dirac equation quite fast. Fill in the steps for both the symmetrized and non-symmetrized form of the Lagrangian.

The typical Dirac Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi}(i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu - m)\psi = \bar{\psi}(i\gamma^0\partial_0 + i\gamma^i\partial_i - m)\psi = \psi^\dagger(i\partial_0 + i\gamma^0\gamma^i\partial_i - m\gamma^0)\psi.\tag{30}$$

Hence the equation of motion for ψ is

$$\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\psi^\dagger} - \partial_\mu \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu\psi^\dagger)} = (i\partial_0 + i\gamma^0\gamma^i\partial_i - m\gamma^0)\psi = 0,\tag{31}$$

and if we multiply by γ^0 , we obtain

$$(i\gamma^0\partial_0 + i\gamma^i\partial_i - m)\psi = (i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu - m)\psi = 0.\tag{32}$$

Next, we can define the symmetrized Lagrangian as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{sym}} = \frac{\mathcal{L} + \mathcal{L}^*}{2}.\tag{33}$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}^* &= \psi^\dagger(-i\overleftarrow{\partial}_0 - i\gamma^0\gamma^0\gamma^i\gamma^0\overleftarrow{\partial}_i - m\gamma^0)\psi \\ &= \bar{\psi}(-i\gamma^0\overleftarrow{\partial}_0 + i\gamma^i\overleftarrow{\partial}_i - m)\psi \\ &= \bar{\psi}(-i\gamma^\mu\overleftarrow{\partial}_\mu - m)\psi + 2i\gamma^i\overleftarrow{\partial}_i.\end{aligned}\tag{34}$$

Thus

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{sym}} = \frac{i}{2}\bar{\psi}(\gamma^\mu\overleftrightarrow{\partial}_\mu - m)\psi.\tag{35}$$