

Cross-Cultural Communication Between the U.S. and China: Ethics, Relationships, and Gender in Business Practices

A Comparative Analysis of Workplace Dynamics and Business Ethics

Maryam Tariq
Erik Jonsson School of Engineering and Computer Science
University of Texas at Dallas
Richardson, Texas
maryam.tariq@utdallas.edu

Abstract—This paper explores the dynamics of cross-cultural communication between the United States and China, focusing on the role of cultural foundations, relationships, and gender in guiding business practices. This paper examines the cultural elements of trust and long-term orientation through the lens of Confucian ethics and *guanxi*. Additionally, it approaches the topic of gender-based inequality in employment and income, underlining the impact of sexism in the workplace. By analyzing central organizational structures and processes in both business cultures, this work aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the intricacies involved in cross-cultural communication and their impact on global business and success.

Keywords—*Confucian ethics, cross-cultural communication, Chinese business practices, guanxi, trust in business, long-term orientation, gender differences, sexism in the workplace, cognition-based trust, organizational structures, cultural business networks, workplace gender inequality, Chinese vs. American managers, employment and income in China, ethical business communication*

I. INTRODUCTION

The United States and China are two economic superpowers with strikingly different business ideologies shaping competition, innovation, and growth on the global stage. With their differences, cultural sensitivity and awareness are essential for cross-cultural communication and seamless adaptation to various situations, norms, and expectations. Understanding differences builds trust and rapport, helps resolve conflict, and successfully enters markets. Success is through negotiations, contracts, and performance in the United States. In China, a successful partnership may take months or years to cultivate – established on mutual trust, obligations and favors, and carefully maintained relationships. Chinese business culture is deeply rooted in Confucianism, a way of life followed by the Chinese people for over two millennia, embodying a social code. Principles of hierarchy, obligation, obedience, respect, and social harmony in corporate and organizational terms support Chinese business ethics and practices. In the United States, business culture is built on values of individualism, self-reliance,

efficiency, productivity, and a challenging culture that encourages constructive dissent. This culture is driven by the belief that achievements are directly proportional to the hard work and effort one invests. While the United States and China value professionalism and etiquette, their business practices differ due to cultural influences that shape ethical workplace frameworks and expressions of respect and relationship-building. However, they are similar in their treatment of women in the workplace.

II. MAIN BODY

A. Cultural Foundations of Business Practices in the United States and China

Confucian principles in China and American individualism shape distinct ethical frameworks and values in business communication, influencing how workplace interactions and decisions are approached in each culture. Chinese marketers prioritize being perceived as collaborative, host-like, sincere, and respectful. As a result, they may employ persuasive strategies such as showing respect, establishing host-guest relationships, and minimizing imposition; this aligns with the emphasis on *renqing* (humanized feelings) and interdependence [1]. In contrast to the more direct and individualistic approaches often seen in Western marketing and business tactics, the Chinese emphasize being hospitable and respectful—this strong cultural adherence to social harmony and exchange highlights. The Chinese are committed to building and nurturing long-term, trust-based relationships by emphasizing host-guest dynamics and using persuasive techniques. These values are heavily based on Confucian teachings of interdependence, which maintains building relationships through reciprocity and consideration instead of for transactional motives or purely for self-interest. These marketing tactics are an example of the greater cultural understanding of business in China that is rooted in social bonds and collective well-being, guiding how business relationships are formed and maintained.

Contrastingly, in an American context, decisions at the office are expected to be based on impersonal criteria of efficiency and effectiveness. Similarly, Western norms of friendship outline that true friendship excludes instrumental benefits. Therefore, a relationship mixing business with emotional closeness may violate Western norms on business and friendship [2]. Since combining affect and instrumentality in a relationship causes tension for Americans, they are less likely to develop emotional (affect-based) and rational (cognition-based) trust in the same person. Although American business people can experience both types of trust simultaneously, this combination is more commonly observed among Chinese business people, who operate within a framework of familial collectivism. American business functions on values of individualism, meritocracy, and efficiency, where professional relationships are often transactional, and success is driven by personal achievement, contrasting the collectivist approach in Chinese business culture. These distinctions in Chinese and American culture shape business frameworks and highlight the fundamental differences in trust-building and collaboration.

B. Expressions of Respect and Relationship-Building in the U.S. and China

The concepts of respect and relationship-building differ between the two countries. China focuses on long-term, deeply-rooted personal connections through *guanxi*, and the U.S. prioritizes professional networking based on efficiency and merit. A particular Confucian principle is *guanxi*, which encompasses an individual's social network of mutually beneficial personal and professional relationships. *Guanxi*: one's self followed by a system of successive concentric circles of one's family, siblings, relatives, friends, and colleagues. Thus, self-identity exists only in relation to others, meaning the concept of self is collectively defined. First, *guanxi* is a formality. It is a needed procedure that people (particularly salespeople) must go through to establish the intention of conducting business with strangers. Without *guanxi*, there is no intention to engage. Second, there is a minimum threshold required to move beyond remaining strangers. Third, establishing *guanxi* is a gradual process that requires time, unlike in the United States, where strangers can start discussing business immediately after being introduced by a third party [3]. In China, relationship building is deeply rooted in cultural values. *Guanxi* is more than just networking; it is a social obligation that requires time and effort to be cultivated, often within a hierarchical structure that factors in seniority and status. Therefore, with *guanxi*, business interactions are not purely transactional but rely on mutual understanding, loyalty, and respect in professional dealings. *Guanxi* is necessary for creating an interpersonal relationship between seller-buyers and partners.

Unlike *guanxi* in China, American professional relationships are built through networking, which emphasizes efficiency and individual connections rather than long-term obligations. American cultural norms place less emphasis on hierarchy. For example, it is acceptable to regard one's teachers and superiors as friends. Friendship ties are often considered as strong as other relations, such as family ties. Therefore, friendship generally coincides with affective closeness [2]. The emphasis on networking in American business culture reflects greater flexibility and an egalitarian relationship approach. Rather than

long-standing personal ties, connections are formed on individual merit and professional opportunities. Networking allows individuals to quickly establish relationships without needing deeply-rooted personal investment. This process conducts dynamic and transactional business interactions. While networking creates various, broad connections from all industries, *guanxi* solidifies relationships through time, trust, and social commitment, reinforcing a system where personal and professional ties are intertwined.

C. Similarities in Gender Treatment in the Workplace

Although both nations have made significant economic advancements, workplace norms, and societal traditions continue to disadvantage both Chinese and American women in business environments. Despite economic progress and forward-thinking, male preference still dominates in modern China, and women are generally less educated than men. The salary income of women is also usually lower than men's across all industries; the most significant gap was in mining and public service industries, where the salary of women was approximately 74 percent of that of men. There remains a gap between women in decision-making and leadership roles, and women must navigate social and cultural restrictions and the personal conflict between career ambition and respecting tradition [4]. System barriers continue to limit women's professional opportunities, and societal and cultural restrictions emphasize that these challenges are deeply rooted in traditional gender roles. China's gender inequality impacts business by limiting the full utilization of the workforce, hindering competition, and lowering productivity.

In America, some examples of how workplace discrimination disadvantages women's earnings and opportunities are the gender wage gap, the shortage of women in leadership, and the longer time required for women (vs. men) to advance in their careers. Discrimination of these forms limits earning potential and contributes to lower economic status [5]. In comparison to China, where gender disparities are still prevalent in both wage and leadership roles, global patterns emerge that reveal similar structural issues. Contextually, women in both countries are hindered from career advancement and financial equality due to societal expectations, cultural norms, and workplace discrimination. These discriminatory norms persistently challenge women in both countries as they affect global business by limiting diverse perspectives, innovation, and leadership essential for competitive success in the modern economy and achieving full equality in the workplace.

III. CONCLUSION

In an increasingly interconnected world, business professionals must understand distinct cultural expectations and norms for success in cross-cultural business interactions. Although the United States and China both strive for professionalism, their business practices respect their respective cultures regarding ethical frameworks, relationship-building, and gender roles. These factors significantly impact cross-cultural business interactions, communication styles, approaches to relationship-building, and gender dynamics in the workplace.

To successfully navigate Chinese business environments, Western professionals must understand the significance of guanxi and deal with Confucian hierarchy values with respect. Professionals should approach relationships with patience, intention, and mutual regard. Likewise, understanding women's structural and societal disadvantages in the business world can also help foster more inclusive and equitable interactions.

Cultural awareness and adaptability are fundamental for success in cross-cultural communication and business. By embracing cultural differences with respect and deep understanding, professionals can strategically enhance cross-cultural communication, build stronger connections, and foster collaboration for growth and success in our global world.

REFERENCES

- [1] G Y. Zhu, "Confucian ethics exhibited in the discourse of Chinese business and marketing communication," *Journal of Business Ethics*, vol. 88, pp. 517–528, 2009. [Online]. Available: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/27749723>. [Accessed: Feb. 12, 2025].
- [2] . Y. J. Chua, P. Ingram, and M. W. Morris, "Guanxi vs networking: Distinctive configurations of affect- and cognition-based trust in the networks of Chinese vs American managers," *Journal of International Business Studies*, vol. 40, no. 3, pp. 490–509, 2009. [Online]. Available: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/40262837>. [Accessed: Feb. 12, 2025].
- [3] D. Y. Lee and P. L. Dawes, "Guanxi, trust, and long-term orientation in Chinese business markets," *Journal of International Marketing*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 28–56, 2005. [Online]. Available: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/25049008>. [Accessed: Feb. 12, 2025].
- [4] J. Kim, "Gender difference in employment and income in China's labor market," *The Journal of East Asian Affairs*, vol. 27, no. 2, pp. 31–53, 2013. [Online]. Available: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/23722400>. [Accessed: Feb. 12, 2025].
- [5] C. S. Stamarski and L. S. Son Hing, "Gender inequalities in the workplace: The effects of organizational structures, processes, practices, and decision makers' sexism," *Frontiers in Psychology*, vol. 6, p. 1400, Sep. 2015. [Online]. Available: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4584998/>. [Accessed: Feb. 12, 2025].