DataBelow is the table containing our findings. All measurements are in seconds, and the data was obtained with a blur radius of 10.

| image Dimen | ISIONS | | |
|-------------|-------------|---|---|
| 1920×1080 | 2560x1600 | 2880x2560 | 3840x2160 |
| 22.05 | 37.727 | 72.766 | 119.575 |
| 15.132 | 22.117 | 48.571 | 82.787 |
| 11.386 | 16.935 | 25.652 | 61.476 |
| 10.087 | 14.236 | 39.178 | 44.997 |
| 8.036 | 10.115 | 29.294 | 25.074 |
| 6.759 | 10.359 | 10.333 | 15.974 |
| 20.91 | 33.27 | 54.467 | 61.469 |
| Speedup | | | |
| Image Dimen | | | |
| 1920×1080 | 2560x1600 | 2880x2560 | 3840x2160 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | | | |
| | | | 1.945067994 |
| | | | 2.657399382 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| 1.054519369 | 1.133964533 | 1.335964896 | 1.945289496 |
| Γ#:-: | | | |
| | cione | | |
| | | 2880~2560 | 3840x2160 |
| | | | J040XZ100 |
| | | | _ |
| | | | |
| | | | 0.664349846 |
| | | | 0.596110513 |
| | | | 0.4678501 |
| 0.203894807 | 0.227622116 | 0.440131133 | U 46/8500 |
| | 1920x1080 | 22.05 37.727 15.132 22.117 11.386 16.935 10.087 14.236 8.036 10.115 6.759 10.359 20.91 33.27 Speedup Image Dimensions 1920x1080 2560x1600 1 1 1.457176844 1.705791925 1.936588793 2.227753174 2.185981957 2.650112391 2.743902439 3.729807217 3.262316911 3.641953857 1.054519369 1.133964533 Efficiency Image Dimensions 1920x1080 2560x1600 1 1 0.728588422 0.852895962 0.645529598 0.742584391 0.546495489 0.662528098 0.342987805 0.466225902 | 1920x1080 2560x1600 2880x2560 22.05 37.727 72.766 15.132 22.117 48.571 11.386 16.935 25.652 10.087 14.236 39.178 8.036 10.115 29.294 6.759 10.359 10.333 20.91 33.27 54.467 Speedup Image Dimensions 2560x1600 2880x2560 1 1 1 1.457176844 1.705791925 1.498136748 1.936588793 2.227753174 2.83665991 2.185981957 2.650112391 1.857317882 2.743902439 3.729807217 2.483989896 3.262316911 3.641953857 7.042098132 1.054519369 1.133964533 1.335964896 Efficiency Image Dimensions 1 1 1920x1080 2560x1600 2880x2560 1 1 1 0.728588422 0.852895962 0.749068374 <t< td=""></t<> |

Discussion

From the data it can be seen that performance improves as the number of processes increases, although, the speedup effect becomes more diminished as each new processor gets added. Efficiency decreases as the number of processors increases for an image. (This means the program is not strongly scalable).

The data obtained with 32 processors is an outlier in every data set. At the time of testing, the server cluster was returning massively slowed down results for any processor count above 17.

The data points for 16 processors and the 2880x2560 and 3840x2160 resolutions were unusually high.

The program is not weakly scaleable because the efficiency doesn't hold constant when the problem size increases at the same rate as the number of processes. (For example the efficiency between a 1920x1080 image and 2 processors to 2560x1600 and 4 processors.)