HW 2 Student

Andy Ackerman

10/17/2023

This homework is meant to illustrate the methods of classification algorithms as well as their potential pitfalls. In class, we demonstrated K-Nearest-Neighbors using the <code>iris</code> dataset. Today I will give you a different subset of this same data, and you will train a KNN classifier.

1

Above, I have given you a training-testing partition. Train the KNN with K=5 on the training data and use this to classify the 50 test observations. Once you have classified the test observations, create a contingency table – like we did in class – to evaluate which observations your algorithm is misclassifying.

```
pr <- knn(iris_train,iris_test,cl=iris_target_category,k=5)
tab <-table(pr, iris_test_category)
tab</pre>
```

```
##
                iris_test_category
## pr
                 setosa versicolor virginica
                       5
                                   0
##
     setosa
##
     versicolor
                       0
                                  25
                                              0
                                              9
##
     virginica
                                  11
```

```
accuracy <- function(x){
  sum(diag(x)/(sum(rowSums(x)))) * 100
}
accuracy(tab)</pre>
```

```
## [1] 78
```

2

Discuss your results. If you have done this correctly, you should have a classification error rate that is roughly 20% higher than what we observed in class. Why is this the case? In particular run a summary of the iris_test_category as well as iris_target_category and discuss how this plays a role in your answer.

STUDENT INPUT

```
summary(iris_test_category)

## setosa versicolor virginica
## 5 36 9
```

1 of 2 9/26/2024, 10:36 AM

```
summary(iris_target_category)

## setosa versicolor virginica
## 45 14 41
```

The test and training categories seem to be not sampled randomly. versicolor is much more present in the test data than the training data. Because of this there are a lot of versicolor being tested that come back from the tests virginica; because there are not a representative amount of virginica in the training and test data.

Choice of K can also influence this classifier. Why would choosing K=6 not be advisable for this data? $STUDENT\ INPUT$

3 Choosing K = 6 would not make much sense because then you can have an instance where you essentially have a "tie". This is not good because then R just picks which side to classify it as and this is not as good as making it the 5 nearest or 7 nearest.

Build a github repository to store your homework assignments. Share the link in this file.

https://github.com/ryan-dee/HW2/blob/main/HW%202%20Student(final).pdf (https://github.com/ryan-dee/HW2/blob/main/HW%202%20Student(final).pdf)

2 of 2 9/26/2024, 10:36 AM