



# **CSc 28**

# **Discrete Structures**

## **Chapter 13**

## **Side Effects**

**Herbert G. Mayer, CSU CSC**  
**Status 4/1/2021**

# Syllabus

- **Side Effects**
- **Languages and Side Effect**
- **Sample Side Effect**
- **References**

# Side Effects

***Side Effects*** of an executing imperative program are:

- Actions performed by a function
- Caused by changes of the program state
- In a way that is at times **surprising**
- Due to their **unnatural** location or time! – careful: loaded term!
- As a consequence, side effects are hard to track, their impact difficult to account for, and programs generating them hard to maintain

What ***Side Effects*** are NOT!

- These changes are **not caused by external agents**, aside from programmer; instead you/the programmer put them there for a reason, sometimes good ones
- Side effects are **not bad (poor programming)** per se!
- Functional languages are designed to be **side effect free**!

# Side Effects

- **Why do Side Effects occur? Why are they practiced?**
  - For convenience lumped together with action proper of a function
  - For efficiency, to avoid invoking another, separate function
- **How to avoid Side Effects?**
  - Either use a functional language, or
  - Assuming the impact of the Side Effect is needed:
  - Separate the Side Effect out in an imperative language, thus simulating the behaviour/constraint of a functional language
- **Why avoid Side Effects?**
  - A program written with Side Effects, no matter how well designed, is often more **difficult to read** --harder to maintain-- than a program without Side Effects
  - But watch out for “snake-oil” propaganda ☺
  - The work of the Side Effect has to be accomplished somehow

# Language and Side Effect

**Imperative languages (e.g. C++) encourage side effects**

- Underlying compute model is **Turing Machine**
- Side Effect means: a change in machine/program state that is hard to see
- Enabled by references to, and changes of, **global** objects
- Can change global objects directly, or indirectly via **reference parameters or pointers**
- Can change files, state, condition codes, any machine resource
- Languages prone to side effects: Fortran, PL/I, Pascal, Ada, C, C++
- Also **pointer type objects** enable programming with side effects!

# Language and Side Effect

**Can be more obscure in languages other than C/C++**

- **More complex languages with nested procedural scope offer even more chances for side effects; C has no lexically nested functions**
- **E.g. PL/I, Ada, Pascal, Modula-2, Algol-60, Algol68, ...**

**Goal of Functional languages: Avoid Side Effects**

- **E.g. Haskell, ML, Prolog**

**Caveat**

- **Functional language are not inherently better, just because they reduce chances for side effects**
- **Imperative languages with nested procedural scope are not inherently better, just because they enable easy data sharing**

# Sample Side Effect

```
#include <stdio.h>
#define MAX 10          // arbitrary array bound

int global_i = 5;      // arbitrary number within array bounds
int arr[ ] = { 0, 1, 4, 9, 16, 25, 36, 49, 64, 81 };

int one()              // bad function with side effect
{ // one
    global_i++;
    return 1;
} //end one

void print()
{ // print
    for( int i = 0; i < MAX; i++ ) {
        printf( "arr[%d] = %2d\n", i, arr[ i ] );
    } //end for
} //end print

int main()
{ // main
    arr[ one() + global_i ] = arr[ one() + global_i ];
    print();
    exit( 0 );
} //end main
```

# Sample Side Effect, Output

```
arr[0] = 0
arr[1] = 1
arr[2] = 4
arr[3] = 9
arr[4] = 16
arr[5] = 25
arr[6] = 36
arr[7] = 64 ← element arr[ 7 ] overridden with arr[ 8 ]
arr[8] = 64
arr[9] = 81
```



# Sample Side Effect, Output

In the following assignment, what is computed?

```
arr[ one() + global_i ]  
    = arr[ one() + global_i ]  
      = arr[ one() + global_i ];
```

Not necessarily visible from the source code!

# Sample Side Effect, Output

```
arr[0] = 0
arr[1] = 1
arr[2] = 4
arr[3] = 9
arr[4] = 16
arr[5] = 25
arr[6] = 36
arr[7] = 81 ← element arr[ 7 ] overridden with arr[ 9 ]
arr[8] = 81 ← element arr[ 8 ] overridden with arr[ 9 ]
arr[9] = 81
```

# Sample Side Effect

- Was the correct element overridden?
- Was the right element referenced?
- Which language rule should apply?
- Must left-hand side of assignment operator = be evaluated first? Or the right-hand side? And every part of it?
- Should the function `main()` be allowed to change `global_i` or any other global object?
- Did the programmer commit a SWE sin?

# References

- 1. Side effect: [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Side\\_effect\\_\(computer\\_science\)](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Side_effect_(computer_science))**
- 2. Functional language: [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List\\_of\\_programming\\_languages\\_by\\_category#Functional\\_languages](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_programming_languages_by_category#Functional_languages)**
- 3. Turing Machine: <http://plato.stanford.edu/entries/turing-machine/>**
- 4. Functional vs Imperative: <http://msdn.microsoft.com/en-us/library/bb669144.aspx>**