# Assignment Four – Dynamic & Greedy

Ryan Munger Ryan.Munger1@marist.edu

November 22, 2024

## 1 Introduction

#### 1.1 Goals

Assignment 4 focuses on dynamic programming and greedy algorithms. First, I have to load in several weighted, directed graphs by parsing a file of instructions. Then, I must implement the Bellman-Ford dynamic programming algorithm for Single Source Shortest Path (SSSP) in order to find the optimal path from a source vertex to each other vertex in the graph. Graphs aside, the greedy algorithm I need to implement is a version of the fractional knapsack problem. I need to read in a file that contains information about spices (price, quantity, etc.) and I must conduct a spice heist on Arrakis for each knapsack provided, maximizing my take.

## 1.2 Write-up Format

In this report I will describe the logic being presented and the asymptotic running time of the algorithms implemented. Below the text explanation, relevant code will follow in C++.

#### 1.3 Limerick of Luck

To maximally fill your knapsack
And remain unburdened with a fallback,
One must employ an algo of greed,
Your capacity will not exceed,
A heist for the ages, engraved on a plaque.

# 2 Weighted Directed Graphs

## 2.1 Reading the Instructions

I was provided a file of instructions to create weighted directed graphs such as add vertex and add edge. I was able to utilize most of the code from my previous assignment (with different regex) to create my graph. This time, I only had to create a linked object representation.

```
void createGraphs(const string& filename) {
154
       int graphCount = 1;
       cout << "Graph #" << graphCount << ":\n" << endl;</pre>
156
157
       Graph currentGraph(to_string(graphCount));
       regex newGraphRe(R"(new graph)");
158
       regex addVertexRe(R"(add\s*vertex\s*(\S+))");
159
       \label{eq:regex} \verb"regex" addEdgeRe(R"(add\s*edge\s*(\S+)\s*-\s*(\S+)\s+(-?\d+))");
160
161
       ifstream file(filename); // input file stream
       if (!file) {
            cerr << "File opening failed." << endl;</pre>
164
165
       string instruction;
167
       while (getline(file, instruction)) {
            // ignore any commands we don't know, empty lines, comments
           // regex will allow some slack with white space, but
       assuming perfect syntax by user
            // case 1: start a new graph
            if (regex_match(instruction, newGraphRe)) {
172
                // check to see if the current graph has anything in it
173
                // if not, no need to start a new one
174
                if (!currentGraph.isEmpty()) {
176
                    currentGraph.displayGraph();
177
                    graphCount++;
                    cout << "\n\nGraph #" << graphCount << ": \n" <<
178
       endl;
                    currentGraph = Graph(to_string(graphCount)); //
179
       start a new graph
                }
           } else {
181
                smatch match; // captures subexpressions/groups
182
183
                if (regex_match(instruction, match, addVertexRe)) { //
184
       case 2: new vertex
                    string newVertex = match[1].str();
185
                    currentGraph.addVertex(newVertex);
186
                } else if (regex_match(instruction, match, addEdgeRe))
187
       { // case 3: new edge
                    string v1 = match[1].str();
188
                    string v2 = match[2].str();
189
                    int weight = stoi(match[3].str());
190
                    currentGraph.addEdge(v1, v2, weight);
191
                };
           };
193
       };
194
```

```
file.close();
currentGraph.displayGraph();
file.close();
file.close
```

## 2.2 Graph Object

The graph object is even simpler than last time. Each graph has an ID and a map of linked vertex objects. This time, since my graph is weighted, I stored each edge in the neighbor list as a tuple. Adding vertices and edges is much simpler when we only have one representation to update! Since this is a directed graph, we only need to update one neighbor list. I made a new graph display function to ensure the graphs were created correctly.

```
19 struct linkedVertex {
20
       string id;
       int distance; // for SSSP
21
       linkedVertex* predecessor; // for SSSP
22
       vector<tuple<linkedVertex*, int>> neighbors; // no limit to
23
24
  };
25
   void printLinkedVertex(linkedVertex v) {
26
       cout << "LinkedVertex " << v.id << "; Neighbors: " << endl;</pre>
27
       if (v.neighbors.empty()) {
28
           cout << "\tNo Neighbors" << endl;</pre>
29
       } else {
30
31
           for (const auto& tuple : v.neighbors) {
               cout << "\tVertex: " << get<vertexTupleIdx>(tuple)->id
32
       << " Weight: " << get<weightTupleIdx>(tuple) << endl;
           }
33
34
35 };
```

```
public:
61
           Graph(string id) {
62
               this->graphID = id;
63
64
65
66
           void addVertex(string vertex) {
               linkedObjs[vertex] = linkedVertex{vertex}; // store by
67
      value
68
          };
69
70
           void addEdge(string vertex1, string vertex2, int weight) {
               this ->linkedObjs[vertex1].neighbors.push_back(
71
      make_tuple(&linkedObjs[vertex2], weight));
          };
72
73
74
           bool isEmpty() {
               return this->linkedObjs.empty();
76
77
           void displayGraph() {
78
               // print graph objects to ensure validity
79
               for (const auto& pair : this->linkedObjs) {
80
```

#### 2.3 SSSP

The Single Source Shortest Path (SSSP) algorithm aims to find the shortest paths from a single source vertex to all other vertices in a graph. This is a powerful algorithm in scenarios like routing and navigation systems. Two important algorithms for SSSP are Dijkstra's algorithm and the Bellman-Ford algorithm, which I have implemented in this lab.

- 1. Initialize single source: O(|V|).
- 2. Relax all edges |V| 1 times: O(|V| \* |E|).
- 3. Check for negative weight cycles: O(|E|).
- 4. Report the optimized paths (Not part of the algorithm directly).

I will explain the time complexities for each portion later. Combining the complexities of the algorithm's subroutines, the time complexity of Bellman-Ford is O(|V|\*|E|) where V is the set of vertices and E is the set of edges.

#### **Initialize Single Source**

- 1. Assign an initial distance of infinity to all vertices.
- 2. Set the source vertex distance to 0.

Why? This ensures that the shortest distance to the source vertex itself is 0, and all other vertices start with an "infinite" distance so that any path we compute is "cheaper." Since we traverse all the vertices once, this operation is O(|V|).

```
void initSingleSource(linkedVertex* s) {
42
43
               // set all vertices to distance infinite (large but not
       max)
               // no predecessors yet
44
               for (auto& pair : this->linkedObjs) {
                   pair.second.distance = functionalInfinity;
46
47
                   pair.second.predecessor = nullptr;
48
               // set single source
49
50
               s->distance = 0;
          };
```

#### Relaxing Edges

For each edge (source, destination) in the graph, check if the path from source to destination through that edge is better than the current distance to destination. If so, update destination.distance to the shorter value and set source as the predecessor of destination.

This process is called "relaxing" an edge. This is the main driver of Bellman-Ford because it allows us to find shorter paths and record them. We relax every edge |V|-1 times because in the worst case, the optimal path can have |V|-1 edges in it. Since we relax each edge essentially |V| times, this is a costly operation at O(|V|\*|E|).

```
// find shortest path by recording the optimal choice
void relax(linkedVertex* source, linkedVertex* destination,
int weight) {
    if (destination->distance > (source->distance + weight)
} {
    destination->distance = (source->distance + weight)
};

destination->predecessor = source;
}
};
```

Why did the dynamic algorithm need scrap paper for its exam? To write down all of its intermediate answers...

#### **Detecting Negative Weight Cycles**

After we relax all of the edges |V|-1 times, we must iterate over them once more. If we can still relax an edge, this indicates the presence of a negative weight cycle. We return false if this is the case, as it makes it impossible to reliably report shortest paths with this algorithm. A negative weight cycle occurs when there is a closed path (a loop or cycle) between two edges that has a negative cost. In this case, traveling around this loop over and over would reduce your cost indefinitely. The shortest path would be to follow this cycle infinite times before continuing on to your destination. Since this is a single traversal of the edges, this costs O(|E|).

```
// detect negative weight cycles
                for (auto& pair : this->linkedObjs) {
103
                    linkedVertex* current = &pair.second;
104
                        (auto& edge : current->neighbors) {
                        linkedVertex* destination = get<vertexTupleIdx
106
       >(edge);
                        int weight = get<weightTupleIdx>(edge);
107
108
                        if (destination->distance > (current->distance
109
       + weight)) {
                            return false; // negative weight cycle
       found
                        }
                    }
113
```

```
// relax all edges |V| - 1 times
               for (size_t i = 1; i < this->linkedObjs.size(); ++i) {
91
92
                   for (auto& pair : this->linkedObjs) {
93
                       linkedVertex* current = &pair.second;
                       for (auto& edge : current->neighbors) {
94
                           linkedVertex* destination = get <
95
      vertexTupleIdx > (edge);
                            int weight = get<weightTupleIdx>(edge);
                           relax(current, destination, weight);
97
98
99
                   }
```

#### Report the Paths

Now that we have computed the shortest paths, we have to extract this data from our linked objects. The way to do this is simple: we can just check the predecessor of the destination, its predecessor, and so on until we are back at the source. Since this will be revealed in reverse order, pushing them onto a stack and then popping it will make them human readable. I did not count this as part of the algorithm's time complexity, as the necessary operations do no include this. However, this operation will take O(|V|) since in the worst case we will have to pass through every vertex as a predecessor.

```
string getShortestPath(linkedVertex* destination) {
118
                // follow predecessors (reverse order)
119
120
                stack<string> pathStack;
                linkedVertex* predecessor = destination;
                while (predecessor != nullptr) {
123
                    pathStack.push(predecessor->id);
                    predecessor = predecessor -> predecessor;
124
125
127
                // put them in forward order for display
                ostringstream pathstr;
128
129
                while (!pathStack.empty()) {
130
                    // Check if something is already in the stream for
                     if (pathstr.tellp() > 0) {
                         pathstr << "->";
                    pathstr << pathStack.top();</pre>
                    pathStack.pop();
135
136
                return pathstr.str();
           };
138
139
            void SSSP() {
140
                linkedVertex* startVertex = &this->linkedObjs.begin()->
141
       second;
142
                // set distances, predecessors, etc
                bellmanFord(startVertex);
143
144
                cout << "SSSP: " << endl:</pre>
145
                for (auto& pair : this->linkedObjs) {
146
                    linkedVertex* current = &pair.second;
147
```

Why did they add a timer to chess? Mr. Dy Namic Algorithm...

## 2.4 Graphs in Action

I have included one such graph below. This graph contains no negative weight cycles. As we can see, the graph was loaded in correctly and the shortest paths from the source to each other vertex was computed effectively.

```
3 Graph #1:
5 LinkedVertex 1; Neighbors:
    Vertex: 2 Weight: 6
    Vertex: 4 Weight: 7
8 LinkedVertex 2; Neighbors:
    Vertex: 3 Weight: 5
    Vertex: 4 Weight: 8
10
    Vertex: 5 Weight: -4
11
12 LinkedVertex 3; Neighbors:
    Vertex: 2 Weight: -2
13
14 LinkedVertex 4; Neighbors:
    Vertex: 3 Weight: -3
15
    Vertex: 5 Weight: 9
16
17 LinkedVertex 5; Neighbors:
    Vertex: 3 Weight: 7
18
19
    Vertex: 1 Weight: 2
20
21 SSSP:
^{22} 1->1 cost is 0; shortest path is 1
23 1->2 cost is 2; shortest path is 1->4->3->2
^{-} 1->3 cost is 4; shortest path is 1->4->3
25 1->4 cost is 7; shortest path is 1->4
26 1->5 cost is -2; shortest path is 1->4->3->2->5
```

# 3 Greedy Knapsack

Why wouldn't the greedy algorithm move?- Staying local is important to him...

## 3.1 Gathering Information

I gathered information about spices and knapsacks with regex in a similar fashion to my graphs. I stored my spices in a vector of Spice objects and my knapsacks in a vector as well. I used float values for everything, as this is the fractional knapsack problem, and there is no reason quantities and capacities cannot be decimal.

```
struct Spice {
199
        string color;
       float total_price;
201
       float quantity;
202
203
       float unit_price;
204 }:
       cout << "\n\nLoading in Spices and Knapsacks!" << endl;</pre>
264
       regex spiceRe(R"(\s*spice\s*name\s*=\s*(\S*)\s*;\s*total_price\
265
       s*=\s*(\d*.?\d*)\s*;\s*qty\s*=\s*(\d*.?\d*)\s*;)");
       regex knapsackRe(R"(knapsack\s*capacity\s*=\s*(\d*.?\d*)\s*;)")
266
267
       // store spices and knapsacks
       vector < Spice > spiceInventory;
269
       vector < float > knapsacks;
270
271
       ifstream file(filename); // input file stream
272
       if (!file) {
273
            cerr << "File opening failed." << endl;</pre>
274
275
276
       string instruction;
277
       while (getline(file, instruction)) {
278
            smatch match; // captures subexpressions/groups
279
            // case 1: adding a spice
            if (regex_match(instruction, match, spiceRe)) {
281
                string color = match[1].str();
282
283
                float total_price = stof(match[2].str());
                float quantity = stof(match[3].str());
284
                float unit_price = total_price / quantity;
285
286
                Spice newSpice = Spice{color, total_price, quantity,
287
       unit_price};
                printSpice(newSpice);
288
289
                spiceInventory.push_back(newSpice);
           } else if (regex_match(instruction, match, knapsackRe)) {
290
                float newKnapsackCapacity = stof(match[1].str());
                knapsacks.push_back(newKnapsackCapacity);
```

```
cout << "New Knapsack: " << newKnapsackCapacity << endl</pre>
294
            };
       };
295
       file.close();
296
297
        // sort our spices based on unit price
       spiceSort(spiceInventory);
298
        // maximize take for each knapsack!
        cout << "\nMaximizing Take:" << endl;</pre>
300
        for (float knapsack : knapsacks) {
301
302
            maximizeTake(knapsack, spiceInventory);
303
304 };
```

## 3.2 Organizing Spice

To maximize take, we will examine the unit price of each spice (how much it is worth per quantity). To do this, we first sort the Spice list. I made a custom version of insertion sort (for simplicity) to accomplish this. I put it in descending order. Since I used insertion sort, this action will take  $O(n^2)$  time due to the nested loop. We can use a better sorting algorithm, such as merge or quick sort, to optimize this down to  $O(\log(n))$ .

```
214 // Insertion sort to get descending order based on unit price
   void spiceSort(vector<Spice>& arr) {
215
       int n = arr.size();
217
       for (int i = 1; i < n; i++) {</pre>
            int insertIdx = i;
218
            Spice currentCheck = arr[i];
219
            for (int j = i-1; j >= 0; j--) {
220
                if (arr[j].unit_price < currentCheck.unit_price) {</pre>
221
222
                     arr[j+1] = arr[j];
                     insertIdx = j;
                } else {
224
225
                     break;
226
227
            }
            arr[insertIdx] = currentCheck;
228
229
230 };
```

## 3.3 Maximizing Take

I implemented a greedy algorithm. This class of algorithm takes locally optimal choices and hopes for a globally optimal solution. In this case, we will achieve a globally optimal solution by pillaging as much of the highest value spice we can fit, then the next, and so on. This algorithm will only take O(n) time as it is simply a single traversal of the spice list. It is even less than a single traversal, as we can expect most knapsacks to fill up before we reach the end of our spice inventory list! Thus, fractional knapsack is a O(nlog(n)) algorithm if you count sorting the spice list, or O(n) on its own.

- 1. Examine the most valuable spice.
- 2. If we have no more knapsack capacity, we are done.
- 3. If we have more capacity than quantity of that spice, take everything! Record our scoops.
- 4. If we have less capacity than the quantity of that spice, take as much as we can fit. Record scoops.
- 5. Move to the next most valuable spice and repeat.
- 6. Finally, report on our knapsack value and scoops taken.

```
void maximizeTake(float knapsack, vector<Spice> spices) {
       float knapValue = 0;
233
       if (knapsack == 0) {
           cout << "Knapsack of Capacity " << fixed << setprecision(2)</pre>
           knapsack << " is worth " <<
                fixed << setprecision(2) << knapValue << " quatloos and
        contains no scoops." << endl;
           return;
238
       ostringstream scoops;
239
       float capacityLeft = knapsack;
240
       for (Spice spice : spices) {
241
           if (capacityLeft == 0) {
242
                break; // no more spice!!
243
           } else if(capacityLeft >= spice.quantity) { // take all the
        spice
                capacityLeft -= spice.quantity;
245
                knapValue += spice.total_price;
246
                scoops << fixed << setprecision(2) << spice.quantity <</pre>
        " scoops of " << spice.color << ", ";
           } else if (capacityLeft < spice.quantity) { // take what we
248
        can fit
                knapValue += capacityLeft * spice.unit_price;
249
                scoops << fixed << setprecision(2) << capacityLeft << "</pre>
        scoops of " << spice.color << ", ";
                capacityLeft = 0;
251
       }
       string scoopString = scoops.str();
254
```

```
// replace last comma with period
scoopString.pop_back();
scoopString.back() = '.';

cout << "Knapsack of Capacity " << fixed << setprecision(2) << knapsack << " is worth " <<
fixed << setprecision(2) << knapValue << " quatloos and contains " << scoopString << endl;
};
```

#### 3.4 Greed in Action

Spices were loaded, knapsacks were created, and heists were completed! For each knapsack, the most optimal scoops were scooped. I think "scamped" would be cooler to say though. Optimal scoops were scamped.

```
123 Loading in Spices and Knapsacks!
124 Spice:
     Color: red
125
     Total Price: 4
126
     Quantity: 4
127
     Unit Price: 1
128
129 Spice:
     Color: green
130
131
     Total Price: 12
     Quantity: 6
132
     Unit Price: 2
133
134 Spice:
     Color: blue
135
     Total Price: 40
136
     Quantity: 8
137
138
     Unit Price: 5
139 Spice:
     Color: orange
140
141
     Total Price: 18
     Quantity: 2
142
     Unit Price: 9
143
144 New Knapsack: 1
145 New Knapsack: 6
146 New Knapsack: 10
147 New Knapsack: 20
148 New Knapsack: 21
149
150 Maximizing Take:
151 Knapsack of Capacity 1.00 is worth 9.00 quatloos and contains 1.00
       scoops of orange.
   Knapsack of Capacity 6.00 is worth 38.00 quatloos and contains 2.00
        scoops of orange, 4.00 scoops of blue.
153 Knapsack of Capacity 10.00 is worth 58.00 quatloos and contains
       2.00\ \text{scoops} of orange, 8.00\ \text{scoops} of blue.
154 Knapsack of Capacity 20.00 is worth 74.00 quatloos and contains
       2.00\ \text{scoops} of orange, 8.00\ \text{scoops} of blue, 6.00\ \text{scoops} of
       green, 4.00 scoops of red.
155 Knapsack of Capacity 21.00 is worth 74.00 quatloos and contains
       2.00 scoops of orange, 8.00 scoops of blue, 6.00 scoops of
       green, 4.00 scoops of red.
```

# 4 Conclusion

Dynamic programming is extremely powerful, yet not very efficient. Dynamic algorithms such as Bellman-Ford for SSSP tackle variable & complex problems with ease! It is interesting to think about algorithms such as these that run our navigation systems, networking, and more!

Greedy algorithms are much simpler than they seem. All that needs to be done is to take the greediest, most locally & immediately optimal action. It is important to note though that while this did produce a globally optimal solution in this knapsack case, it does not always turn out this way, such as the cases of the 0-1 knapsack problem and traversing directed graphs!

Why did the greedy algorithm get full so quickly? It ate all the appetizers and spared no room!