Problem Set 7

1.

a) In order to show that $\hat{f}_h(a)$ is a probability density function, we must show two things: (1) $\hat{f}_h(a) \ge 0$, $\forall a$ and (2) $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}_h(a) da = 1$.

For condition (1), we know that n is the sample size so it is a positive integer. It is given that $K(u) \ge 0$, $\forall u$ and h > 0. This implies that $\sum \frac{1}{h} K\left(\frac{a-x_i}{h}\right) \ge 0$, $\forall a$. Thus, it follows that $\hat{f}_h(a) \ge 0$, $\forall a$. For condition (2),

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}_h(a) da = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{h} K\left(\frac{a - x_i}{h}\right) da$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left[\frac{1}{h} K\left(\frac{a - x_1}{h}\right) + \dots + \frac{1}{h} K\left(\frac{a - x_n}{h}\right)\right] da$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{h} K\left(\frac{a - x_1}{h}\right) da + \dots + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{h} K\left(\frac{a - x_n}{h}\right) da\right]$$

Let $u_i = \frac{a - x_i}{h} \implies du_i = \frac{1}{h} da$. Note, the transformation does not affect the limits of the integral. By substitution,

$$= \frac{1}{n} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(u_1) du_1 + \dots + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(u_n) du_n \right]$$

From the given information, each of the n integrals evaluates to 1.

$$= \frac{1}{n}(n)$$
$$= 1$$

Both conditions are met. Therefore, $\hat{f}_h(a)$ is a probability density function. Since our kernel density estimate is a probability density function itself, it can be used to calculate probabilities and make inferences about the underlying population.

b)

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u \hat{f}_h(u) du = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u \cdot \frac{1}{n} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} K\left(\frac{u - x_i}{h}\right) du$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u \cdot \frac{1}{h} K\left(\frac{u - x_1}{h}\right) du + \dots + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u \cdot \frac{1}{h} K\left(\frac{u - x_n}{h}\right) du \right]$$

Let $v_i = \frac{u - x_i}{h} \implies dv_i = \frac{1}{h} du \implies u = v_i h + x_i$. Note, the transformation does not affect the limits of the integral. By substitution,

$$\begin{split} &=\frac{1}{n}\left[\int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty}(v_1h+x_1)K(v_1)dv_1+\ldots+\int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty}(v_nh+x_n)K(v_n)dv_n\right]\\ &=\frac{1}{n}\left[h\int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty}v_1K(v_1)dv_1+x_1\int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty}K(v_1)dv_1+\ldots+h\int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty}v_nK(v_n)dv_n+x_n\int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty}K(v_n)dv_n\right] \end{split}$$

From the given information, each of the integrals of the form $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(u)$ evaluates to 1. Additionally, let the mean of the kernel be represented by $\mu_k = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} v_1 K(v_1) dv_1$,

$$\begin{split} &= \frac{1}{n} \left[h \cdot \mu_k + x_1 + \ldots + h \cdot \mu_k + x_n \right] \\ &= h \cdot \mu_k + \bar{x} \\ &= \text{bandwidth} \cdot \text{kernel mean} + \text{sample mean} \end{split}$$

By definition, we know m_1 is the expectation of the kernel density estimate, $\hat{f}_h(u)$. This expectation depends on the bandwidth, the kernel function, and the particular sample that is observed. Thus, the specific choices of bandwidth and kernel will have an influence on where the kernel density estimate is located, and the location will also change with each sample.

2. The kernel density estimate of f(2.25) is,

$$\hat{f}_{0.8}(2.25) = \frac{1}{5} \cdot \frac{1}{0.8} \left[0 + 0 + 0.09 + 0.39 + 0.09 \right]$$

$$= 0.14$$

The details of the calculations are summarized in the following table,

\overline{i}	x_i	$\frac{2.25 - x_i}{0.8}$	$\mathbb{1}_{(0,1)}\left(\left \frac{2.25-x_i}{0.8}\right \right)$	$\frac{3}{4} \left(1 - \left(\frac{2.25 - x_i}{0.8} \right)^2 \right)$
1	1	1.56	0	-1.08
2	1.2	1.31	0	-0.54
3	1.5	0.94	1	0.09
4	2.8	-0.69	1	0.39
5	3	-0.94	1	0.09