

Programming Language Concepts

Gary Tan
Computer Science and Engineering
Penn State University

1

Lambda Calculus

2

2

Readings

- Ch11.7 of the supplemental materials of the textbook
 - See the schedule page of the course website

3

3

History

- History
 - Introduced by Alonzo Church
 - Greek letter lambda, which is used to introduce functions
 - No significance to the letter lambda
 - Calculus means there is a way to
 - calculate the result of applying functions to arguments
- Most PLs are rooted in lambda calculus
 - It provides a basic mechanism for function abstraction and application
 - Functional PLs: Lisp, ML, Haskell, other languages
 - Java, C++, and C# all support lambda functions
- Important part of CS history and foundations
- Warning:
 - We'll study formalism

4

Syntax

- $\langle \text{term} \rangle ::= \langle \text{var} \rangle \mid \lambda \langle \text{var} \rangle . \langle \text{term} \rangle \mid \langle \text{term} \rangle \langle \text{term} \rangle$
- $t ::= x \mid \lambda x. t \mid t_1 t_2$
 - where x may be any variable
 - Function abstraction (function definition): $\lambda x. t$
 - Define a new function whose parameter is x and whose body is t
 - Racket: `(lambda (x) t)`
 - Function application (function call): $t_1 t_2$
 - t_1 should eval to a function; t_2 is the argument to the function
 - Racket: `(t1 t2)`
 - Math: $t_1(t_2)$

5

Examples

- Function abstraction
 - $\lambda x. x$
 - there is no need to write explicit returns; x is the returning result
 - $\lambda x. (x+3)$
 - assume $+$ is a built-in function
 - $\lambda f. \lambda x. f (f x)$
 - multi-parameter function, in curried notation
 - Only curried functions are supported in lambda calculus
- Function application
 - $(\lambda x. x) 3 \rightarrow 3$
 - $(\lambda x. (x+y)) 3 \rightarrow 3 + y$
 - $(\lambda x. \lambda y. (x+y)) 3 4 \rightarrow 3 + 4$
 - $(\lambda z. (x + 2*y + z)) 5 \rightarrow x + 2*y + 5$

6

Parsing convention

□ The lambda-calculus grammar is ambiguous

- E.g., $t_1 t_2 t_3$ can be parsed in different ways
- We'll use parentheses and associativity to disambiguate

□ Convention

- function abstraction: the scope of functions extends as far to the right as possible (unless encountering parentheses)
 - $\lambda f. f x = \lambda f.(f x)$, not $(\lambda f. f) x$
- function application is left associative
 - $t_2 t_3 = ((t_2) t_3)$, not $t(2\ 3)$, suppose $f = \lambda x. \lambda y. x + y$

7

Reduction (Informally)

- $(\lambda x. x) 3 = 3$
 - using 3 to replace x
 - $(\lambda y. (y+1)) 3$
 - $(\lambda x. x) (\lambda z. z)$
 - $(\lambda x. x) (\lambda x. x)$
 - $(\lambda f. \lambda x. f(f x)) (\lambda y. y+1)$
- $$= \lambda x. (\lambda y. y+1) ((\lambda y. y+1) x)$$
- $$= \lambda x. (\lambda y. y+1) (x+1)$$
- $$= \lambda x. (x+1)+1$$
- $(\lambda f. \lambda x. f(f x)) (\lambda y. y^*y)$

8

Free and Bound Variables

□ " $\lambda x. t$ " binds a new var x and its scope is t

- Occurrences of x in t are said to be bound
 - Variable x is bound in $\lambda x. (x+y)$
- A bound variable has a scope: In " $\lambda x. t$ ", the scope of x is t
- A bound variable is a "placeholder" and can be renamed
 - Function $\lambda x. (x+y)$ is the same function as $\lambda z. (z+y)$

□ Names of free (=unbound) variables matter

- Variable y is free in $\lambda x. (x+y)$
- Function $\lambda x. (x+y)$ is *not* the same as $\lambda x. (x+z)$

□ Example: $\lambda x. ((\lambda y. y+2) x) + y$

- y in " $y+2$ " is bound, while the second occurrence of y is free

9

Formal def. of free variables

Goal: define $FV(t)$, the set of free variables of t

$$FV(x) = \{x\}$$

$$FV(t_1 t_2) = FV(t_1) \cup FV(t_2)$$

$$FV(\lambda x. t) = FV(t) - \{x\}$$

$$\square FV(\lambda x. x) = FV(x) - \{x\} = \{\}$$

$$\square FV(\lambda f. \lambda x. f(g x)) = FV(\lambda x. f(g x)) - \{f\} = FV(f(g x)) - \{f, x\} = \{f, g, x\} - \{f, x\} = \{g\}$$

□ Exercise

- $FV((\lambda x. x) (\lambda y. y))$
- $FV(\lambda x. ((\lambda y. y+2) x) + y)$

10

Alpha renaming (rename bound variables)

$$\lambda x. t = \lambda y. [y/x] t \quad (\alpha)$$

when y is not free in t

$$\square \lambda x. x = \lambda y. y$$

$$\square \lambda x. ((\lambda y. y+2) x) + y, \text{ rename the first } y \text{ to } z$$

- Becomes $\lambda x. ((\lambda z. z+2) x) + y$

$$\square \lambda x. \lambda y. x - y = \lambda y. \lambda x. y - x, \text{ rename } x \text{ to } y \text{ and } y \text{ to } x$$

11

Capture-Avoiding Substitution

- Notation: $[t/x] t'$ means using t to replace all **free** occurrences of x in t'
 - Note: bound occurrences of x should not be affected

□ Definition of $[t/x] t'$

$$[t/x] x = t,$$

$$[t/x] y = y, \text{ where } y \text{ is a variable different from } x$$

$$[t/x] (t_1 t_2) = ([t/x] t_1) ([t/x] t_2)$$

$$[t/x] (\lambda x. t_1) = \lambda x. t_1$$

$$[t/x] (\lambda y. t_1) = \lambda y. ([t/x] t_1), \text{ where } y \text{ is not free in } t$$

$$\square [\lambda x. x / x] x = \lambda x. x$$

$$\square [3/y] (\lambda x. x + y) = \lambda x. x + 3$$

$$\square [3/x] (\lambda x. x + y) = \lambda x. x + y$$

$$\square [y/x] (\lambda y. x + y) = [y/x] (\lambda z. x + z) = \lambda z. y + z$$

12

Reduction (Formal Semantics)

- Basic computation rule is β -reduction

$$(\lambda x. t) t' \rightarrow [t/x] t'$$

where substitution involves renaming as needed

- Reduction sequence:

- Apply the β -reduction rule to any subterm
- Repeat until no β -reduction is possible

- Normal form: a lambda-calculus term that cannot be further reduced

- Example:

- $(\lambda f. \lambda x. f(f x)) (\lambda y. y+1) 3$

13

Reduction Maybe Nondeterministic

- An example of two beta-reduction sequences

- $(\lambda y. y) ((\lambda y. y) 2) \rightarrow (\lambda y. y) 2 \rightarrow 2$
- $(\lambda y. y) ((\lambda y. y) 2) \rightarrow ((\lambda y. y) 2) \rightarrow 2$

- Confluence (Church-Rosser theorem):

- Final result (if there is one) is uniquely determined

14

Reduction May Not Terminate

Ω Combinator: $\lambda x. (x x)$

Evaluate: $\Omega (\lambda v. v) \rightarrow (\lambda x. (x x)) (\lambda v. v)$

$\rightarrow (\lambda v. v) (\lambda v. v) \rightarrow (\lambda v. v)$

Evaluate: $\Omega \Omega \rightarrow (\lambda x. (x x)) (\lambda x. (x x))$

$\rightarrow (\lambda x. (x x)) (\lambda x. (x x)) \rightarrow \dots$

Infinite loop!

15

15

Importance of Renaming Bound Variables

- Function application

$$(\lambda f. \lambda x. f(f x)) (\lambda y. y+x)$$

apply twice add x to argument

- Substitute "blindly" and wrong result

Wrong step

$$[(\lambda y. y+x) / f] (\lambda x. f(f x)) \\ = \lambda x. [(\lambda y. y+x) ((\lambda y. y+x) x)] = \lambda x. x+x+x$$

- Rename bound variables

$$(\lambda f. \lambda z. f(f z)) (\lambda y. y+x)$$

$$= \lambda z. ((\lambda y. y+x) ((\lambda y. y+x) z)) = \lambda z. z+x+x$$

Easy rule: always rename bound variables to be distinct

16

Programming in Lambda Calculus

17

17

Declarations as "Syntactic Sugar"

- Informal Examples

- let $x = 3$ in $x + 4$
- let $x = 3$ let $y = 4$ in $x + y + y$
- let $f = \lambda x. x+1$ in $f(3)$
- let $g = \lambda f. \lambda x. f(f(x))$ in
let $h = \lambda x. x+1$
g h 2

- Encoding of let

- let $x = N$ in M same as $(\lambda x. M) N$

- Syntactic sugar: the let is sweeter to write, but we can think of it as a syntactic magic

18

Declarations as “Syntactic Sugar”

```
function f(x)
  return x+2
end;
f(5);
```

- same as $\text{let } f = \lambda x. x+2 \text{ in } (f\ 5)$

$(\lambda f. f(5))$ $(\lambda x. x+2)$
 block body declared function

Extra reading: Tennent, *Language Design Methods Based on Semantics Principles*. Acta Informatica, 8:97-112, 197

19

Encoding: Boolean

Booleans

$\text{TRUE} \triangleq \lambda x. \lambda y. x$ $\text{FALSE} \triangleq \lambda x. \lambda y. y$

Encoding “if” so that

Spec: $\text{IF } b\ t1\ t2 = \begin{cases} t1 & \text{when } b \text{ is TRUE} \\ t2 & \text{when } b \text{ is FALSE} \end{cases}$

Definition: $\text{IF} \triangleq \lambda b. \lambda t1. \lambda t2. (b\ t1\ t2)$

Check $\text{IF TRUE } t1\ t2 = t1$ and $\text{IF FALSE } t1\ t2 = t2$

20

Encoding: Boolean

Booleans

$\text{TRUE} \triangleq \lambda x. \lambda y. x$ $\text{FALSE} \triangleq \lambda x. \lambda y. y$

Encoding of “and”

Spec: $\text{AND } b_1\ b_2 = \begin{cases} \text{TRUE} & \text{when } b_1, b_2 \text{ are both TRUE} \\ \text{FALSE} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Definition: $\text{AND} \triangleq \lambda b_1. \lambda b_2. (b_1\ (b_2\ \text{TRUE}\ \text{FALSE})\ \text{FALSE})$

Check $\text{AND TRUE TRUE} = \text{TRUE}$ and
 $\text{AND FALSE TRUE} = \text{FALSE}$

21

Encoding: Boolean

Booleans

$\text{TRUE} \triangleq \lambda x. \lambda y. x$ $\text{FALSE} \triangleq \lambda x. \lambda y. y$

Encoding of “or”

Spec: $\text{OR } b_1\ b_2 = \begin{cases} \text{TRUE} & \text{when either } b_1 \text{ or } b_2 \text{ is TRUE} \\ \text{FALSE} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Definition: $\text{OR} \triangleq \lambda b_1. \lambda b_2. (b_1\ \text{TRUE}\ (b_2\ \text{TRUE}\ \text{FALSE}))$

Check $\text{OR TRUE TRUE} = \text{TRUE}$ and
 $\text{OR FALSE FALSE} = \text{FALSE}$

22

Church Encoding of Numbers

Natural numbers

Church numerals: $n \triangleq \lambda f. \lambda z. \underbrace{f\ (f\ \dots\ (f\ z)\ \dots)}_{n \text{ invocations of } f}$

$0 \triangleq \lambda f. \lambda z. z$
 $1 \triangleq \lambda f. \lambda z. (f\ z)$
 $2 \triangleq \lambda f. \lambda z. (f\ (f\ z))$
 ...

23

Church Numerals

Encoding of “+1”:

$\text{SUCC} \triangleq \lambda n. \lambda f. \lambda z. (f\ (n\ f\ z))$

Check “SUCC 2” = 3

Encoding of PLUS

$\text{PLUS} \triangleq \lambda n_1. \lambda n_2. (n_1\ \text{SUCC}\ n_2)$

Check “PLUS 1 2” = 3

Multiplication and exponentiation can also be encoded.

24

Pure vs. Applied λ -Calculus

□ Pure λ -Calculus: the calculus discussed so far

□ Applied λ -Calculus:

- Built-in values and data structures
 - (e.g., 1, 2, 3, true, false, (1 2 3))
- Built-in functions
 - (e.g., +, *, /, and, or)
- Named functions
- Recursion