

# X2 - 3

## Year 12 - Ext 2 - Trial and HSC Revision - Sheet 3

Name:

### Question 1 {Complex numbers}

(a) Let  $z = \frac{2 - 3i}{1 + i}$ .

(i) Find  $\bar{z}$  in the form  $x + iy$ .

2

(ii) Evaluate  $|z|$ .

1

#### Part 1

Realise the denominator

$$\begin{aligned} z &= \frac{2 - 3i}{1 + i} \times \frac{1 - i}{1 - i} \\ &= \frac{-1 - 5i}{2} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} - \frac{5}{2}i \end{aligned}$$

Now find the complex conjugate

$$\bar{z} = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{5}{2}i$$

#### Part 2

$$\begin{aligned} |z| &= \sqrt{\left(\frac{-1}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{-5}{2}\right)^2} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + \frac{25}{4}} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{26}{4}} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{26}}{2} \end{aligned}$$

**Question 2 {Complex numbers}**

Consider  $w = -\sqrt{3} + i$ .

- (i) Express  $w$  in modulus-argument form. 2
- (ii) Hence or otherwise show that  $w^7 + 64w = 0$ . 2

**Part 1**

$$w = -\sqrt{3} + i$$

$$\begin{aligned} |w| &= \sqrt{(-\sqrt{3})^2 + 1^2} \\ &= \sqrt{3 + 1} \\ &= \sqrt{4} \\ &= 2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\arg(w) = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{-\sqrt{3}}\right)$$

Using an exact value triangle, this means  $\arg(w)$  is in the  $\frac{\pi}{6}$  family of angles

We also know that  $w$  is in the second quadrant. (negative real part, positive imaginary part)

$$\text{So } \arg(w) = \frac{5\pi}{6}$$

Therefore

$$w = 2\text{cis}\left(\frac{5\pi}{6}\right)$$

Or

$$w = 2e^{i\frac{5\pi}{6}}$$

**Part 2**

We need to show that

$$w^7 = -64w$$

$$\begin{aligned} w^7 &= \left(2e^{i\frac{5\pi}{6}}\right)^7 \\ &= 2^7 e^{i\frac{35\pi}{6}} \\ &= 128e^{i\frac{35\pi}{6}} \end{aligned}$$

$$64w = 128e^{i\frac{5\pi}{6}}$$

Which seems like we're getting somewhere. Let's look at the arguments.

$w^7$  has an argument of  $\frac{35\pi}{6}$  which is  $\frac{\pi}{6}$  short of 6 full rotations (so in the 4th quadrant)

$64w$  has an argument of  $\frac{5\pi}{6}$  which is  $\frac{\pi}{6}$  short of half a rotation (so in the 2nd quadrant, diagonally opposite  $\frac{35\pi}{6}$ )

Given that they have the same modulus (i.e. length) and their directions are opposite each other, we can say that

$$w^7 = -64w$$

Which is what we had to prove - QED

### Question 3 {vectors}

Relative to a fixed origin  $O$ , the respective position vectors of three points  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $C$  are:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 9 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -5 \\ 11 \\ 6 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 0 \\ -8 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (i) Determine, in component form, the vectors  $\overrightarrow{AB}$  and  $\overrightarrow{AC}$ . 2
- (ii) Hence find, to the nearest degree,  $\angle BAC$ . 2
- (iii) Calculate the area of  $\triangle BAC$ . 2

Trying to do this on a graph would be very hard. We'll need to trust the algebra

#### Part 1

$\vec{AB}$  goes from A to B.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{AB} &= \begin{pmatrix} -5 - 3 \\ 11 - 2 \\ 6 - 9 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} -8 \\ 9 \\ -3 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{BC} &= \begin{pmatrix} 4 - (-5) \\ 0 - 11 \\ -8 - 6 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 9 \\ -11 \\ -14 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

## Part 2

Use the two formulas for the dot product

$$x_1x_2 + y_1y_2 + z_1z_2 = |u||v| \cos \theta$$

Which rearranges to

$$\cos \theta = \frac{x_1x_2 + y_1y_2 + z_1z_2}{|u||v|}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |AB| &= \sqrt{(-8)^2 + 9^2 + (-3)^2} \\ &= \sqrt{154} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |BC| &= \sqrt{9^2 + (-11)^2 + (-14)^2} \\ &= \sqrt{398} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\cos \theta = \frac{(-8)9 + 9(-11) + (-3)(-14)}{\sqrt{154} \cdot \sqrt{398}}$$

$$\therefore \cos \theta \approx -0.52$$

$$\therefore \theta \approx 121^\circ \text{ (nd)}$$

## Part 3

This is just a simple application of the formula for the area of a triangle

$$A = \frac{1}{2}ab \sin C$$

$$A = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \sqrt{154} \cdot \sqrt{398} \cdot \sin(121^\circ)$$

$$A \approx 105.65 \text{ } u^2 \text{ (2 dp)}$$

#### Question 4 {integrals}

By completing the square find  $\int \frac{1}{\sqrt{6-x^2-x}} dx$ .

2

Consider

$$\begin{aligned}6 - x^2 - x &= 6 - (x^2 + x) \\&= 6 - \left[ \left( x^2 + x + \frac{1}{4} \right) - \frac{1}{4} \right] \\&= \frac{25}{4} - \left( x + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, our integral becomes

$$\int \frac{1}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{5}{2}\right)^2 - \left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2}} dx$$

Which we recognise as being the pattern for  $\sin^{-1}$

$$\int \frac{f'(x)}{\sqrt{a^2 - [f(x)]^2}} dx = \sin^{-1} \frac{f(x)}{a} + c$$

Therefore our integral becomes

$$\sin^{-1} \frac{\left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right)}{\frac{5}{2}} + C$$

$$= \sin^{-1} \frac{2x+1}{5} + C$$

### Question 5 {proofs}

Prove if  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $x^2 - 4y \neq 2$ .

3

Let's try proving by contradiction

Assume that  $x^2 - 4y = 2$  and see if that leads to a contradiction. Remember  $x$  and  $y$  need to be integers.

$x^2 - 4y = 2$	assumption
$x^2 = 2 + 4y$	rearrange
$x^2 = 2(1 + 2y)$	factorise
$x = \pm\sqrt{2(1 + 2y)}$	Square root both sides
$x = \pm\sqrt{2} \cdot \sqrt{1 + 2y}$	Separating the surds

Which makes us doubt that  $x$  could be an integer because it has a factor of  $\sqrt{2}$

HOWEVER, is there any way that  $\sqrt{2}$  could be a factor of an integer?

Yes, there is. For examples:

$$4 = 2\sqrt{2} \times \sqrt{2} = \sqrt{8} \times \sqrt{2} = \sqrt{16}$$

$$6 = 3\sqrt{2} \times \sqrt{2} = \sqrt{18} \times \sqrt{2} = \sqrt{36}$$

$$8 = 4\sqrt{2} \times \sqrt{2} = \sqrt{32} \times \sqrt{2} = \sqrt{64}$$

Which means that the only way an integer can have a factor of  $\sqrt{2}$  is for the other factor to be the square root of an even number. If you're not convinced, consider that the only way to get rid of the  $\sqrt{2}$  is to multiply it by itself.

So can  $\pm\sqrt{2} \cdot \sqrt{1 + 2y}$  be an integer?

Asked another way, can the other factor,  $\sqrt{1 + 2y}$ , be the square root of an even number?

In short, no. Why? Because  $2y + 1$  is, by definition, an odd number.

Therefore, our assumption has led us to a contradiction. Which means that the original proposition was true.

### Question 6 {proofs}

Suppose that  $a_n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) is a sequence defined by:

$$a_1 = 1, \quad a_2 = 3 \quad \text{and} \quad a_k = a_{k-1} + a_{k-2} \quad \forall k \geq 3.$$

Prove that  $\forall n \geq 1$ , we have  $a_n \leq \left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^n$ .

3

This is a **very tough** induction question with a definite twist or two in it.

#### Step 1

First of all, we will need to establish that it's true for the first **two** terms which aren't covered by the definition involving  $k$ . This is different from the usual  $n = 1$  requirement

$$a_1 = 1$$

$$\left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^1 = \frac{7}{4} \geq 1$$

$$a_2 = 3$$

$$\left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^3 = \frac{343}{64} \approx 5.36 \geq 3$$

So we're all good for the first two terms.

#### Step 2

Assume that it holds for some arbitrary  $n = k$

$$\text{i.e. that } a_k \leq \left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^k$$

Because of the nature of the recursive relationship, that uses the two preceding terms, we are also going to need to assume that

$$a_{k-1} \leq \left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^{k-1}$$



### Step 3

RTP that this infers that

$$a_k + a_{k-1} \leq \left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^{k+1}$$

$LHS \leq \left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^k + \left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^{k-1}$	Using the inductive step
<p>As some point I need to turn the LHS into <math>\left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^{k+1}</math> to match the RHS.</p> <p>Which means I'm going to have to multiply either <math>\left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^k</math> or <math>\left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^{k-1}</math> by some power of <math>\frac{7}{4}</math> to add to the indices</p> <p>e.g. <math>\left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^{k-1} \times \left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^{k+1}</math></p>	
$LHS \leq \left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^{k-1} \left(\frac{7}{4} + 1\right)$	So I create a factorisation which gives me a number, independent of any k, as a factor which I could turn into some power of $\frac{7}{4}$
$LHS \leq \left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^{k-1} \left(\frac{11}{4}\right)$	Just tidying up the brackets
<p>At this point, I'm not sure how to get a power of <math>\frac{7}{4}</math> out of that factor.</p> <p>What I do know, though, is that <math>\left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^2 = \frac{49}{16}</math></p> <p>And <math>\frac{44}{16} &lt; \frac{49}{16}</math></p> <p>And <math>\frac{11}{4} = \frac{44}{16}</math></p>	

$LHS \leq \left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^{k-1} \left(\frac{44}{16}\right)$	Turn $\frac{11}{4}$ into its equivalent $\frac{44}{16}$
$LHS \leq \left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^{k-1} \left(\frac{44}{16}\right) \leq \left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^{k-1} \left(\frac{49}{16}\right)$	It would then be fair to say this about the LHS
$LHS \leq \left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^{k-1} \left(\frac{49}{16}\right)$	Or putting it simply
$LHS \leq \left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^{k-1} \left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^2$	There's that power of $\frac{7}{4}$ I needed
$LHS \leq \left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^{k+1}$	Applying index laws

Which is what we had to prove

Phew!