Year 12 - Ext 2 - Trial and HSC Revision - Sheet 2

Name:

Question 1 (Proofs)

Consider the proposition:

'If $2^n - 1$ is not prime, then *n* is not prime'.

Given that each of the following statements is true, which statement disproves the proposition?

- A. $2^5 1$ is prime
- B. $2^6 1$ is divisible by 9
- C. $2^7 1$ is prime
- D. $2^{11} 1$ is divisible by 23

Consider the contrapositive $\neg Q \to \neg P$

Which would read "If n is prime, 2^n-1 is prime"

To show that this is not true, we will disprove by counter-example.

Look at D. If $2^{11}-1$ is divisible by 23, it's not prime. Yet n=11 is prime.

Therefore the contrapositive is disproven.

Therefore the original proposition is disproven by D.

Question 2 (Complex numbers)

Consider the complex numbers w = -1 + 4i and z = 2 - i.

(i) Evaluate
$$|w|$$
.

(ii) Evaluate
$$w\overline{z}$$
.

$$|w| = \sqrt{(-1)^2 + 4^2}$$

= $\sqrt{17}$

$$ar{z}=2+i$$

$$\therefore w\bar{z} = (-1+4i)(2+i)$$

= $-2-i+8i-4$
= $-6+7i$

Question 3 (vectors)

Consider the two lines in three dimensions given by

$$\underline{r} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ -1 \\ 7 \end{pmatrix} + \lambda_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \underline{r} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ -6 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} + \lambda_2 \begin{pmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By equating components, find the point of intersection of the two lines.

X-components

$$3+\lambda_1=3-2\lambda_2 \ \lambda_1=-2\lambda_2$$

Y-components (including incorporating the results from the x-components)

$$egin{aligned} -1+2\lambda_1 &= -6+\lambda_2 \ -1+2(-2\lambda_2) &= -6+\lambda_2 \ -1-4\lambda_2 &= -6+\lambda_2 \ 5 &= 5\lambda_2 \ \lambda_2 &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

Using the x-component result again

$$\lambda_1 = -2$$

Confirm the results using the z-components

$$7 + \lambda_1 = 2 + 3\lambda_2$$

 $7 + (-2) = 2 + 3(1)$
 $5 = 5$

Therefore finding the point

$$x = 3 + \lambda_1 = 1 \ y = -1 + 2\lambda_1 = -5 \ z = 7 + \lambda_1 = 5$$

So the point of intersection is (1,-5,5)

3

Question 4 (induction)

Prove by mathematical induction that, for $n \ge 2$,

$$\frac{1}{2^2} + \frac{1}{3^2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n^2} < \frac{n-1}{n}$$
.

Step 1 - check for n=2

$$egin{aligned} rac{1}{2^2} + rac{1}{3^2} &< rac{2-1}{2} \ rac{1}{4} + rac{1}{9} &< rac{1}{2} \ rac{9}{36} + rac{4}{36} &< rac{18}{36} \ rac{13}{36} &< rac{18}{36} \end{aligned}$$

Which is true so Step 1 is proven

Step 2 - assume for some integer $k \geq 2$

$$\frac{1}{2^2} + \frac{1}{3^2} + \ldots + \frac{1}{k^2} < \frac{k-1}{k}$$

Step 3 - check if this implies it works for $\,n=k+1\,$

$$\mathsf{RTP} \; \frac{1}{2^2} + \frac{1}{3^2} + \ldots + \frac{1}{k^2} + \frac{1}{\left(k+1\right)^2} < \frac{k}{k+1}$$

$oxed{rac{k-1}{k} + rac{1}{(k+1)^2} < rac{(k+1)-1}{k+1}}$	Use inductive step
$LHS = \frac{(k-1)(k+1)^2 + k}{k(k+1)^2}$	Common denominator
$=rac{k^3+k^2-1}{k(k+1)^2}$	Expanding and collecting like terms

4

$RHS = \frac{k^2(k+1)}{k(k+1)^2}$	Over the same denominator as the LHS to enable comparison
$=rac{k^3+k^2}{k(k+1)^2}$	Expanding the top

Given that the numerator of the LHS is one less than the numerator of the RHS,

Therefore, by induction, P(n) is proven for $n \geq 2$

Use integration by parts to evaluate $\int_{1}^{e} x \ln x \, dx$.

3

Using
$$\int uv'dx = uv - \int vu'dx$$

$$u=\ln x,\, u'=rac{1}{x}$$

$$v'=x,\,v=\frac{x^2}{2}$$

Therefore

$$\int x \ln x \, dx = \frac{x^2 \ln x}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \int x^2 \cdot \frac{1}{x} dx$$
$$= \frac{x^2 \ln x}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \int x \, dx$$
$$= \frac{x^2 \ln x}{2} - \frac{x^2}{4} + C$$

Applying the bounds of integration (which means we can lose the C)

$$\int_{1}^{e} x \ln x \, dx = \left[\frac{x^{2} \ln x}{2} - \frac{x^{2}}{4} \right]_{1}^{e}$$

$$= \left[\left(\frac{e^{2}}{2} - \frac{e^{2}}{4} \right) - \left(\frac{1^{2} \cdot 0}{2} - \frac{1}{4} \right) \right]$$

$$= \frac{e^{2}}{4} + \frac{1}{4}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} (e^{2} + 1)$$