Data 8 Spring 2016 hw07, Due: 8pm Tuesday, April 5

Name: SID:

Please write your answers in the space provided. You can write on a printed copy or fill in the blanks with a PDF editor such as Acrobat Reader or Apple Preview. (Beware: some people have found that editing a PDF in a browser doesn't work.) When you're done, upload a scanned copy to Gradescope (gradescope.com). This assignment is due 8pm Tuesday, April 5. You will receive an early submission bonus point if you turn it in by 5pm Monday, April 4.

You are welcome to use ds8.berkeley.edu to try out Python expressions. Directly sharing answers is not okay, but discussing problems with course staff or students is encouraged.

Collaborators:

Problem 1 (Stents)

A stent is a kind of medical device – a tube implanted in a person's artery to shore it up when it is in danger of becoming blocked. A certain stent is designed to have a very precise thickness to match an artery's width, but the stent's manufacturing process makes small errors, so every stent has a slightly different thickness. These errors in thickness can make the device work less well. You're evaluating the manufacturing process, and you want to know the average amount of error in thickness among all the stents the manufacturer has made so far.

You have a machine for measuring the thickness of a stent, but when it measures a stent, it renders it unsuitable for implantation. So you can't just measure all the stents. Instead, you choose 10,000 stents uniformly at random from among all the manufactured stents, and you measure the errors in their thicknesses. (Error is measured as the absolute value of the difference between each stent's actual thickness and its designer-specified thickness.) Then you compute the average of those 10,000 numbers. For the purpose of the questions below, that average is the *statistic* you're working with in this scenario.

- What population parameter are you trying to estimate with this statistic? 1(a):
- What is the population? 1(b):
- You're worried that this statistic isn't a good enough estimate of the population parameter. Describe how you would use an inferential technique you've learned to make a quantitative claim about the population parameter that somehow conveys your uncertainty. Assume you have access only to the 10,000 measurements you've made.

1(c):

Problem 2 (Pollution Confusion)

Air pollution is a serious health concern in many cities around the world. Suppose that last year, before you took this course, you lived in Beijing and wanted to measure the average amount of fine particulate matter (known as $PM_{2.5}$) across the city on March 30. We'll call that the "average $PM_{2.5}$ " for short. You couldn't get to every place in the city, so you measured the $PM_{2.5}$ on March 30 at the 40 street corners nearest your apartment. You decided to use the average of those measurements as an estimate of the average $PM_{2.5}$.

You knew that your sample didn't include all the locations in the city, so your estimate was prone to error. To reflect your uncertainty, you decided to compute an interval of estimates you might reasonably have seen instead. You took 10,000 resamples (uniform random samples with replacement) of size 40 from your sample, computed the average of each resample, and claimed that the 2.5th and 97.5th percentiles of those resample averages formed a 95% confidence interval for the average PM_{2.5}.

- Did you use a random sample or some other kind of sample in this study? 2(a):
- If you had repeated this study many times, would your reported interval have contained the true average PM_{2.5} in roughly 95% of the repetitions? Why or why not?

2(b):

• Describe a problem with the design of the study and recommend a fix.

2(c):

Problem 3 (The Statistician)

Astronaut Mark Watney is stranded on Mars with 1000 tanks of oxygen, each with a different unknown amount of oxygen remaining. He needs to know how much oxygen he has left, so he opens 30 randomly-selected tanks to measure their oxygen levels. He finds that the average oxygen level among the 30 sampled tanks is 409 liters. In fact, the average oxygen level among the population of 1000 tanks is 432 liters, though of course Mark doesn't know that.

Below are four histograms displaying four distributions involved in this question. Match each item below to the histogram it most plausibly describes, using each histogram exactly once. (Just write i, ii, iii, or iv for each part.)

- The distribution of oxygen levels among all 1000 tanks. (Mark doesn't know this.) 3(a):
- The distribution of oxygen levels among the 30 sampled tanks. 3(b):
- The sampling distribution of the average oxygen level in 30 tanks sampled uniformly at random from all 1000 tanks. (Not knowing the population, Mark couldn't compute this.) 3(c):
- An approximation to the distribution in (c), computed by resampling Mark's 30 sampled tanks 10,000 times (that is, taking 10,000 samples of size 30 with replacement from Mark's sample of 30 tanks) and taking the average oxygen level of each resample.

 3(d):

