## STA347H1 - Assignment 2

**5.1:**  $\mathbb{E}e^{\lambda S} = e^{\lambda}\mathbb{P}(S=1) + e^{-\lambda}\mathbb{P}(S=-1) = \frac{1}{2}(e^{\lambda} + e^{-\lambda}) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \lambda + \frac{\lambda^2}{2!} + \frac{\lambda^3}{3!} + \dots + 1 - \lambda + \frac{\lambda^2}{2!} - \frac{\lambda^3}{3!} + \dots) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + 1 + \frac{\lambda^2}{2!} + \frac{\lambda^2}{4!} + \frac{\lambda^4}{4!} + \frac{\lambda^4}{4!} + \dots) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{2n}}{(2n)!} \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{2n}}{2^n n!} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda^2/2)^n}{n!} = e^{\lambda^2/2} \text{ since } (2n)! = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (2i) \prod_{i=1}^{n} (2i - 1) = (2^n n!) \prod_{i=1}^{n} (2i - 1) \geq 2^n n! \text{ for } n \geq 0. \text{ Notice that } \mathbb{E}(Z_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}(S) = 0,$  so by Hoeffding's inequality,  $\mathbb{P}(Z \geq t) = \mathbb{P}(Z - \mathbb{E}Z \geq t) \leq \exp(\frac{-2t^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (1 - (-1))^2}) = \exp(\frac{-t^2}{2n}) \text{ for } t > 0. \text{ Finally,}$   $\mathbb{P}(Z \geq 0) = \frac{1}{2} \leq e^0 = 1$ , so the relation also holds for t = 0.

Revised: Chernoff's inequality can also be used since  $\mathbb{P}(Z \geq t) = \mathbb{P}(Z - \mathbb{E}Z \geq t) \leq \inf_{\lambda > 0} M_{Z - \mathbb{E}Z}(\lambda) e^{-\lambda t} = \inf_{\lambda > 0} M_Z(\lambda) e^{-\lambda t} = \inf_{\lambda > 0} \prod_{i=1}^n M_{S_i}(\lambda) e^{-\lambda t} = \inf_{\lambda > 0} e^{\lambda^2 n/2} e^{-\lambda t} = \exp(\frac{t^2 n}{2n^2} - \frac{t^2}{n}) = \exp(\frac{-t^2}{2n})$  for  $t \geq 0$ , where the minimum of  $\exp(\frac{\lambda^2 n}{2} - \lambda t)$  is achieved at  $\lambda = \frac{t}{n}$ .

## 5.7:

- $p \ge 0$ :  $\mathbb{E}|X+Y|^p \le \mathbb{E}|2\max(X,Y)|^p = 2^p\mathbb{E}\max(|X|^p,|Y|^p) \le 2^p\mathbb{E}(|X|^p+|Y|^p) = 2^p(\mathbb{E}|X|^p+\mathbb{E}|Y|^p)$ .
- p = 0:  $\mathbb{E}|X + Y|^0 = 1 \le \mathbb{E}|X|^0 + \mathbb{E}|Y|^0 = 2$ .
- $p \in (0,1)$ :  $|X+Y|^p = |X+Y|^{1-(1-p)} = |X+Y||X+Y|^{-(1-p)} \le |X||X+Y|^{-(1-p)} + |Y||X+Y|^{-(1-p)}$  by the triangle inequality  $\le |X||X|^{-(1-p)} + |Y||Y|^{-(1-p)} = |X|^p + |Y|^p$  since  $|X+Y| \ge X$  and  $|X+Y| \ge Y$ . Alternatively, since  $|\frac{X}{X+Y}|, |\frac{Y}{X+Y}| \in [0,1], |\frac{X}{X+Y}|^p + |\frac{Y}{X+Y}|^p \ge |\frac{X}{X+Y}| + |\frac{Y}{X+Y}| \ge |\frac{X}{X+Y}| + \frac{Y}{X+Y}|$  by the triangle inequality  $= 1 \implies |X|^p + |Y|^p \ge |X+Y|^p$ . In both cases, taking the expectation yields the result.

Revised: The above is not true if either X < 0 or Y < 0. Instead, notice that  $(\frac{|X|}{|X|+|Y|})^p + (\frac{|Y|}{|X|+|Y|})^p \ge \frac{|X|}{|X|+|Y|} + \frac{|Y|}{|X|+|Y|} = 1$ .

- p = 1:  $\mathbb{E}|X + Y| \leq \mathbb{E}(|X| + |Y|)$  by the triangle inequality  $= 2^0(\mathbb{E}|X| + \mathbb{E}|Y|)$ .
- p > 1: Since  $|x|^p$  is convex,  $|\frac{1}{2}X + \frac{1}{2}Y|^p \le \frac{1}{2}|X|^p + \frac{1}{2}|Y|^p \implies |X + Y|^p \le \frac{2^p}{2}(|X|^p + |Y|^p) \implies \mathbb{E}(|X + Y|^p) \le \mathbb{E}(2^{p-1}(|X|^p + |Y|^p)) = 2^{p-1}(\mathbb{E}|X|^p + \mathbb{E}|Y|^p).$
- **5.10:**  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \mathbb{P}(|X_n \mathbb{E}X_n| > \varepsilon) \leq \mathbb{P}(|X_n \mathbb{E}X_n| \geq \varepsilon) \leq \frac{\operatorname{Var}(X_n)}{\varepsilon^2}$  by Chebyshev's inequality  $= \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2 \sqrt{n}} \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Alternatively,  $\mathbb{E}|X_n \mathbb{E}X_n|^2 = \operatorname{Var}(X_n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ , so  $X_n \stackrel{L^2}{\to} \mathbb{E}X_n$ . Both cases imply  $X_n \stackrel{p}{\to} m$ .
- **6.1:**  $\mathbb{E}(\frac{1}{n}S_n \mathbb{E}(\frac{1}{n}S_n))^2 = \operatorname{Var}(\frac{1}{n}S_n) = \frac{1}{n^2}\operatorname{Var}(S_n) = \frac{1}{n^2}\sum_{i=1}^n\operatorname{Var}(X_i)$  since the  $X_i$ 's are uncorrelated =  $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{\operatorname{Var}(X_i)}{n} \leq \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{\operatorname{Var}(X_i)}{i} \leq \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^\infty\frac{\operatorname{Var}(X_i)}{i} \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$  since  $\frac{\operatorname{Var}(X_i)}{i} \to 0$  as  $i \to \infty \implies \sum_{i=1}^\infty\frac{\operatorname{Var}(X_i)}{i} < \infty$ .

Revised: For the last step,  $\frac{\operatorname{Var}(X_i)}{i} \to 0$  as  $i \to \infty \not \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\operatorname{Var}(X_i)}{i} < \infty$  since  $\frac{1}{n} \to 0$  but  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} = \infty$ . Instead, use the Cesàro mean theorem:  $a_i \to a \implies \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \to a$ .

- **6.2:** Define  $\mathcal{X} = \{(-1)^k k\}_{k=2}^{\infty}$  and notice that C > 0. First,  $\mathbb{E}|X_1| = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} |x| \mathbb{P}(X_1 = x) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k \mathbb{P}(X_1 = (-1)^k k) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{C}{k \log k} \ge \int_2^{\infty} \frac{1}{y \log y} dy = \log |\log \infty| \log |\log 2| = \infty \text{ since } \sum_{k=x}^{\infty} f(k) \ge \int_x^{\infty} f(y) dy \text{ for a positive and decreasing function } f$ . Next,  $x \mathbb{P}(|X_1| > x) = x \mathbb{P}(\bigcup_{k=x+1}^{\infty} \{X_1 = k\}) \le x \sum_{k=x+1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(X_1 = k) = x \sum_{k=x+1}^{\infty} \frac{C}{k^2 \log k} \le \frac{x}{\log x} \sum_{k=x+1}^{\infty} \frac{C}{k^2} \le \frac{Cx}{\log x} \int_x^{\infty} \frac{1}{y^2} dy = \frac{Cx}{\log x} (0 + \frac{1}{x}) = \frac{C}{\log x} \to 0 \text{ as } x \to \infty.$  Thus,  $\frac{1}{n} S_n \mu_n \stackrel{p}{\to} 0$  by the WLLN  $\implies \frac{1}{n} S_n \stackrel{p}{\to} \mu$  since  $\frac{(-1)^k}{k^2 \log k}$  is an alternating series converging to 0 and  $\mu_n = \mathbb{E}(X_1 \mathbb{I}(|X_1| \le n)) = \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{(-1)^k C}{k^2 \log k} \to \text{some } \mu \text{ as } n \to \infty.$
- **6.7:** Since  $\Sigma_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(X_n \leq \log n) = \Sigma_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 \mathbb{P}(X_n > \log n)) = \Sigma_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 e^{-\log n}) = \Sigma_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 \frac{1}{n}) = \infty$  and  $\{X_n \leq \log n\}_n$  are independent,  $\mathbb{P}(X_n \leq \log n \text{ i.o.}) = 1$  by Borel-Cantelli. Similarly, since  $\Sigma_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(X_n \geq \log n) = 1$

- $\log n) \geq \Sigma_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(X_n > \log n) = \Sigma_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\log n} = \Sigma_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} = \infty \text{ and } \{X_n \leq \log n\}_n \text{ are independent, } \mathbb{P}(X_n \geq \log n \text{ i.o.}) = 1 \text{ by Borel-Cantelli. Thus, } \mathbb{P}(X_n = \log n \text{ i.o.}) = 1 \Longrightarrow \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{X_n}{\log n} = 1 \text{ a.s.}$
- **7.2:** The characteristic function of  $X_n$  is  $\varphi_{X_n}(t) = e^{-(\sigma_n t)^2/2}$ . By Theorem 7.2.9,  $X_n \stackrel{D}{\to} X \implies \varphi_{X_n}(t) \to \varphi_X(t)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Notice that  $\varphi_{X_n}(\sqrt{2}) \to \varphi_X(\sqrt{2}) \implies -\log \varphi_{X_n}(\sqrt{2}) = \sigma_n \to \sigma$  for some  $\sigma \in [0, \infty]$  since  $\varphi_{X_n}(t) \in (0, 1] \implies -\log \varphi_{X_n}(t) \in [0, \infty)$ . Next,  $\sigma = \infty \implies \varphi_{X_n}(t) \to e^{-\infty} = 0$  for all  $t \neq 0$ , but since  $\varphi_{X_n}(0) = 1$ , this implies  $\varphi_{X_n}$  is discontinuous at t = 0. This yields a contradiction, so  $\sigma \neq \infty$ .
- **7.8:** Let  $X_n \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$  and  $X \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ .  $X_n \stackrel{D}{\to} X$  and  $X_n \stackrel{D}{\to} -X$ , but  $X_n + X_n \stackrel{D}{\to} X X = 0$ .
- **7.11:**  $\varphi_{S_n/n}(t) = \prod_{i=1}^n \varphi_{X_i}(\frac{t}{n})$  by Proposition 7.2.5 =  $(\varphi(\frac{t}{n}))^n$  and  $\varphi_a(t) = \mathbb{E}(e^{iat}) = e^{iat}$ . Notice that  $\varphi'(0) = ia \implies \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\varphi(t/n) \varphi(0)}{t/n} = ia \implies \lim_{n \to \infty} n(\varphi(\frac{t}{n}) 1) = iat \implies (\varphi(\frac{t}{n}))^n = [1 + \frac{n(\varphi(t/n) 1)}{n}]^n \to \exp[n(\varphi(\frac{t}{n}) 1)] \to e^{iat}$  as  $n \to \infty$  since  $(1 + \frac{x}{n})^n \to e^x$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Thus,  $\varphi_{S_n/n}(t) \to \varphi_a(t)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , so  $\frac{S_n}{n} \stackrel{D}{\to} a$  by Theorem 7.2.9  $\implies \frac{S_n}{n} \stackrel{P}{\to} a$ .
- **10:** Notice that  $j^2 = \frac{2j(j+1)}{2} j = 2\sum_{k=1}^{j} k \sum_{k=1}^{j} 1 = \sum_{k=1}^{j} (2k-1)$ . Thus,  $\mathbb{E}X^2 = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} j^2 \mathbb{P}(X=j) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^2 \mathbb{P}(X=j) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{j} (2k-1) \mathbb{P}(X=j) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} (2k-1) \mathbb{P}(X=j) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (2k-1) \mathbb$
- Revised: Define  $i = X(w) \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $X_n = \sum_{k=1}^n (2k-1) \mathbb{I}(X \ge k)$ .  $X_n \le X_{n+1}$  and  $\forall n \ge i, X_n = \sum_{k=1}^i (2k-1) = i^2 = X^2$ . By the DCT,  $\mathbb{E}X_n = \sum_{k=1}^n (2k-1) \mathbb{P}(X \ge k) \uparrow \mathbb{E}X^2$ .
- 11:  $F_X(x) = \int_0^x 2y dy = x^2$  for  $x \in (0,1)$ .  $F_{Z_n}(z) = 1 \mathbb{P}(\sqrt{n} \min[X_1, \dots, X_n] > z) = 1 \mathbb{P}(\cap_{i=1}^n \{X_i > z/\sqrt{n}\}) = 1 \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(X_i > z/\sqrt{n})$  since the  $X_i$ 's are independent  $= 1 [\mathbb{P}(X_i > z/\sqrt{n})]^n = 1 (1 F_X(z/\sqrt{n}))^n = 1 (1 \frac{z^2}{n})^n$  for  $z \in (0, \sqrt{n})$ . As  $n \to \infty$ ,  $1 (1 \frac{z^2}{n})^n \to 1 e^{-z^2}$  for z > 0, which is the CDF of some  $Z^2 \sim \text{Exponential}(1)$  or  $Z \sim \text{Rayleigh}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})$ . The PDF of Z is  $f_Z(z) = \frac{d}{dz}(1 e^{-z^2}) = 2ze^{-z^2}$  for z > 0.