R_Data_Analysis_2

Ryan Womack

2024-09-18

Copyright Ryan Womack, 2024. This work is licensed under CC BY-NC-SA 4.0

Data Analysis 2

statistical tests, distributions, regression, bootstrap, and more + comparison with Python

1 Overview

This workshop reviews the implementation of basic statistical tests and methods in R, with discussion contextualizing the appropriate use of these tests. For comparison purposes, an alternative Python approach to performing similar statistical analysis is illustrated in some cases. This workshop takes inspiration from the **Introduction to Modern Statistics** available via github and online text, part of the OpenIntro project.

Note that "packages" in R are the equivalent of "modules" in Python.

2 Setup and preparing data

2.1 R packages required

We will use the *pak* package for installation as a more complete approach to package management. Replace the pkg commands with *install.packages()* versions if you prefer.

This session relies on the *tidyverse* suite of packages for data manipulation as a preference, although the same tasks could be accomplished in base R. The statistical functions used are those from base R. Install *pak* and *tidyverse* if you don't already have them on your system. We will also need *reticulate* to run Python code chunks.

```
install.packages("pak", dependencies=TRUE)
library(pak)
pkg_install("tidyverse")
pkg_install("reticulate")
pkg_install("infer")
pkg_install("TOSTER")
devtools::session_info()
```

Now let's load the tidyverse, infer, TOSTER, and reticulate (for Python support only)

```
library(tidyverse)
-- Attaching core tidyverse packages ------ tidyverse 2.0.0 -
v dplyr
          1.1.4
                     v readr
                                 2.1.5
v forcats 1.0.0
                     v stringr
                                 1.5.1
v ggplot2 3.5.1
                                 3.2.1
                   v tibble
v lubridate 1.9.3
                     v tidyr
                                 1.3.1
v purrr
           1.0.2
-- Conflicts ----- tidyverse conflicts() -
x dplyr::filter() masks stats::filter()
x dplyr::lag()
                 masks stats::lag()
i Use the conflicted package (<a href="http://conflicted.r-lib.org/">http://conflicted.r-lib.org/</a>) to force all conflicts to become error
library(infer)
library(TOSTER)
Sys.setenv(RETICULATE_PYTHON = "/usr/bin/python3")
library(reticulate)
```

2.2 Data import and preparation

Now let's grab some data. We will use a realistic data example, the World Bank's Gender Statistics database, whose raw data is directly downloadable. Other World Bank Open Data is available as well. See genderdata.worldbank.org for more background on the Gender Data portal. Note that we have to inspect the data and understand the variables first before manipulating in R – this is not an automatic process.

Now we'll perform a few steps to clean the data, focusing on generating a useable file for a few countries (Central Asia and Mongolia plus selected high population or high income countries), from the latest available data year with complete data, typically the year before the last in the data set. For this session, we'll just run these steps without explaining them. Data cleaning and wrangling is covered in more detail in the Data Analysis 1 workshop (forthcoming). The final filtered output is the <code>gender_data_final</code> file, which we <code>attach</code> so that a copy of the data is made the default dataset for this session.

```
# clean the data to remove superfluous columns
names(gender_data)
gender_data <- gender_data[,c(-2,-4)]</pre>
names(gender_data)
# select countries of interest
country_list <- c("China", "Germany", "India", "Japan", "Kazakhstan", "Kyrgyz</pre>
 → Republic", "Mongolia", "Russian Federation", "Tajikistan",
 → "Turkmenistan", "United States", "Uzbekistan")
gender_data2 <-
  gender_data %>%
  filter(`Country Name` %in% country_list)
# clean the data to focus on a recent more complete time period
gender_data3 <-
   gender_data2 %>%
   pivot_longer(3:66, names_to = "Year", values_to = "Value")
#filter by year
gender data2022 <-
  gender data3 %>%
  filter(Year=="2022")
gender_data2022 <- gender_data2022[,-3]</pre>
gender_data2022wide <-</pre>
  gender_data2022 %>%
  pivot_wider(names_from = "Indicator Name", values_from = "Value")
# now use a little sapply trick to select variables that don't have much
 → missing data - here the proportion is 0.75 (the 0.25 in the function is
 → 1-proportion desired)
gender_data_filtered <- gender_data2022wide[,!sapply(gender_data2022wide,</pre>
\rightarrow function(x) mean(is.na(x)))>0.25]
# and lastly simplify the dataset by removing some of the topics we won't use
phrases <- c("Worried", "Made", "Received", "Saved", "Used", "Coming",
→ "Borrowed")
```

```
gender_data_final <-
   gender_data_filtered %>%
   select(!starts_with(phrases))

# we'll also generate a couple of variables for future use

gender_data_final$female_high_labor <- gender_data_final$`Labor force
   participation rate, female (% of female population ages 15-64) (modeled
   ILO estimate)`>70

gender_data_final$male_high_labor <- gender_data_final$`Labor force
   participation rate, male (% of male population ages 15-64) (modeled ILO
   estimate)`>70

attach(gender_data_final)
```

Let's test for some basic relationships between labor participation, gender, fertility, and income, using the World Bank's own definition of gender:

"Gender refers to the social, behavioral, and cultural attributes, expectations, and norms associated with being male or female."

Note that this is just for the purposes of demonstration, and not a serious investigation into these important research issues.

3 Statistical Tests

3.1 t-test

In statistics there are many **hypothesis tests**. Per the Wikipedia entry on statistical hypothesis tests,

"a statistical hypothesis test is a method of statistical inference used to decide whether the data sufficiently supports a particular hypothesis. A statistical hypothesis test typically involves a calculation of a test statistic. Then a decision is made, either by comparing the test statistic to a critical value or equivalently by evaluating a p-value computed from the test statistic. Roughly 100 specialized statistical tests have been defined."

The hypothesis is usually framed as a "Null hypothesis", describing the situation where there is no statistically significant difference, and the alternative hypothesis. Then a test is chosen appropriate to the situation, which often involves invoking a statistical distribution.

The *t-test* is one of the most common tests, used to determine if the difference between two groups, according to some numerical measure, is statistically significant. The Null hypothesis, usually denoted H_0 , is that there is no difference (a difference of zero). The Alternative, usually denoted H_1 or H_A , is that the difference is not zero.

The t-test was originally, and sometimes still is called "Student's t-test". The story of the student who invented this test, and his affiliation with a certain well known Irish stout, is interesting from both a statistical and human perspective. The t-test has a few variants: a one sample test, a two sample test with unpaired results, and two sample test with paired results. The one sample test simply checks whether one measure is significantly different from the null. The two sample test with unpaired results compares whether two separate sets of observations are different, such as sampling the populations of two different cities. The two sample test with paired results implies that we have the same subjects in the dataset who are measured twice, perhaps at different intervals or via different measures. The t-distribution is the underlying statistical distribution determining the test statistic and critical value that we check for. These are conveniently summarized by the p-value, which expresses the level of significance. We typically look for a p<.05 to determine statistical significance, but this is a convention: other p-value cutoffs can be used.

3.2 One Sample t-test

We start with a one sample *t*-test to check the labor force participation rates of females and males across the countries in our dataset.

```
t.test(`Labor force participation rate, female (% of female population ages

→ 15-64) (modeled ILO estimate)`)

One Sample t-test

data: Labor force participation rate, female (% of female population ages 15-64) (modeled ILO estimate)

t = 12.495, df = 11, p-value = 7.667e-08

alternative hypothesis: true mean is not equal to 0

95 percent confidence interval:

48.54567 69.30399

sample estimates:
mean of x

58.92483
```

This first example returns a statistically significant, but not very interesting result. The default is to test whether the variable is different than zero. It is not surprising that female labor force participation is higher than zero.

```
alternative hypothesis: true mean is not equal to 70 95 percent confidence interval: 67.52371 82.77829 sample estimates: mean of x 75.151
```

We refine the test by passing the option mu=70, to test whether the male labor participation rate is significantly different than 70. With a p>.05 and a 95% confidence interval of (66,82), it is *not* significantly different than 70 in a statistical sense.

Note the use of options is a common R syntax technique. Base execution of the command without options gives sensible results, but one can pass many options to tweak the function's behavior. Most of the things demanded by statisticians are available through these option tweaks. Typing *?t.test* (the question mark followed by the function name) will pull up the help that displays the possibilities.

?t.test

3.3 Paired t-test

Perhaps a more interesting question is to see if there is a significantly significant difference in male and female labor participation rates. We can compare them, country-by-country, using the paired=TRUE option, in the paired two-sample test below. For one explanation of this see STHDA.

There is a statistically significant difference, with the 95% confidence interval of female participation being between 7 and 25 percentage points lower than male rates, and a mean difference of -16 points.

3.4 Python t-test

We will be giving a flavor of the Python approach to these problems, without going in depth. Python is covered in other workshops in greater detail.

Running Python in RStudio requires the *reticulate* package. Your Python installation should also have *numpy* and *scipy* installed. Python will not have direct access to the R data structures, so we use a simplified example here from Builtin.com

How to pass data from R to Python and vice-versa is described in this blog post by Dima Diachkov

Note that our code chunk is labeled Python. For more reticulate package, see this post

```
import numpy as np
import pandas as pd
from scipy import stats
# import from R
gender_python = r.gender_data_final
# print(gender_python)
labor = gender_python.loc[:,"Labor force participation rate, female (% of
→ female population ages 15-64) (modeled ILO estimate)"]
# Hypothesized population mean
mu = 70
# Perform one-sample t-test
# t_stat, p_value = stats.ttest_1samp(labor, mu)
t_stat, p_value = stats.ttest_1samp(labor, mu)
print("T statistic:", t stat)
T statistic: -2.348578772454398
print("P-value:", p_value)
P-value: 0.038583930428602614
# Setting significance level
alpha = 0.05
# Interpret the results
if p_value < alpha:</pre>
   print("Reject the null hypothesis; there is a significant difference
     → between the sample mean and the hypothesized population mean.")
else:
    print("Fail to reject the null hypothesis; there is no significant
    → difference between the sample mean and the hypothesized population
    → mean.")
```

Reject the null hypothesis; there is a significant difference between the sample mean and the hypot

3.5 Chi-squared test (in R and Python)

Many operations in R are a question of finding the appropriate function, either using the built-in R help via the ? (for help on a specific command) or ?? (to search across functions by keywords), or by using the built-in help system. Using a search engine like DuckDuckGo for a topic plus "in R" or a help site like stackoverflow.com will also turn up useful leads. Or one can consult books such as the many R-related book series in SpringerLink for more comprehensive how-to's. I would not recommend using AI tools for anything important, since one must understand the results well enough to check them for errors.

So, for example, if we wanted to run a chi-squared test instead of a t-test, some noodling about will turn up the *chisq.test* function. We won't discuss the distribution or mathematical background of the chi-squared test here.

Take a look at this table of the number of countries with "high" labor participation rates of over 70%, for males and females.

We can test whether this is a statistically significant pattern via the chi-squared test, implemented with:

```
chisq.test(table(female_high_labor,male_high_labor))
```

Warning in chisq.test(table(female_high_labor, male_high_labor)): Chi-squared approximation may be incorrect

Pearson's Chi-squared test with Yates' continuity correction

```
data: table(female_high_labor, male_high_labor)
X-squared = 0.27429, df = 1, p-value = 0.6005
```

Which is *not* statistically significant, probably due to the small size of the matrix.

3.6 How to choose the appropriate statistical test?

This is a question that depends on many factors, that we won't go into detail about in this introductory workshop, but you can consult the following resources:

- UCLA's quick guide
- a nice brief summary article
- You can also consult Sage Research Methods' Which Stats Test? for more information on choosing the appropriate statistical test. The other guides in Sage Research Methods are good too for more in-depth exploration of specific tests and topics! Rutgers-restricted

3.7 Distributions

One great aspect of R is the availability of tools to work with almost any statistical distribution. The density, distribution function, quantile function and random generation of a number are easily available with R functions, via the prefixes d, p, q, and r. For example for the normal distribution, we have:

```
# the density of a standard normal distribution at the value 2 (2 above mean
→ of zero)
dnorm(2)
[1] 0.05399097
# the cumulative percentage of a standard normal distribution below the value
→ 2
pnorm(2)
[1] 0.9772499
# mean and standard deviation of the distribution can also be specified
# in this example the cumulative percentage of a normal distribution with
\rightarrow mean 100 and s.d. 20, below the value 90
pnorm(90, mean=100, sd=20)
[1] 0.3085375
# provides the numeric value of a particular quantile of the distribution
→ (again standard normal in this example)
qnorm(.9)
[1] 1.281552
```

```
# a single random draw from the standard normal distribution
rnorm(1)

[1] 1.418946
# five random draws from a normal distribution with mean 100 and s.d. 20
rnorm(5, mean=100, sd=20)

[1] 99.85874 98.07800 72.50568 129.36477 109.93544
# getting help
```

As usual the question mark will provide details about implementation, variables, and options. Other distributions and their functions can be found on the TaskViews on Distributions page.

4 Correlation

?rnorm

We can also perform simple correlations to evaluate the strength of a relationship between two variables, but there are some cautions.

```
cor(`GDP per capita (constant 2010 US$)`,`Fertility rate, total (births per
   woman)`, na.rm=TRUE)
```

Why won't this work? The defaults and options of R commands are not very standardized. Instead try this option:

```
cor(`GDP per capita (constant 2010 US$)`,`Fertility rate, total (births per
  woman)`, use="complete.obs")
[1] -0.5795293
```

The *cor.test* function gives more complete output

Pearson's product-moment correlation

```
cor.test(`GDP per capita (constant 2010 US$)`,`Fertility rate, total (births
    per woman)`)
```

data: GDP per capita (constant 2010 US\$) and Fertility rate, total (births per woman) t = -2.2488, df = 10, p-value = 0.04828 alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0 95 percent confidence interval:

5 Regression

Regression is a common and fundamental technique to model a relationship between a *response* variable (also called dependent or outcome variable) and one or more *explanatory* variables (also called independent or predictor variables). Proper implementation of regression requires careful attention to the data and examination of the model fit, variable selection, and more. The quick and dirty approach below is simply designed to show R syntax in action.

5.1 Linear Regression

We start at the beginning, with linear regresion and the *lm* command. Let's see if there is a relationship between female labor participation and GDP:

Which predicts about a 0.5 increase in the percentage labor participation of females for every \$1000 rise in GDP. However, the presentation of the result is a bit odd. R only presents the coefficients by default. The *summary* command must be used to tease out the additional information that we expect in a regression table to evaluate fit and significance.

```
summary(lm(`Labor force participation rate, female (% of female population
    ages 15-64) (modeled ILO estimate)`~`GDP per capita (constant 2010 US$)`))

Call:
lm(formula = `Labor force participation rate, female (% of female population ages 15-64) (modeled ILO estimate)`~
    `GDP per capita (constant 2010 US$)`)

Residuals:
    Min    10 Median    30 Max
```

```
-22.291 -10.311 4.305 8.679 16.604
Coefficients:
                                       Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept)
                                     5.123e+01 5.289e+00 9.687 2.13e-06 ***
`GDP per capita (constant 2010 US$)` 4.685e-04 2.085e-04
                                                            2.247 0.0484 *
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
Residual standard error: 13.97 on 10 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.3355,
                                Adjusted R-squared: 0.2691
F-statistic: 5.05 on 1 and 10 DF, p-value: 0.04841
Which is not significant at the 0.05 level. Note that we must decide on the appropriate p-value
to test for in advance and resist the temptation to say that this is "almost significant". Note that
this is heavily influenced by the fact that we are using a small sample of 12 countries, which
could be an argument to use a 0.10 signficance level.
Let's check some additional relationships:
summary(lm(`Labor force participation rate, female (% of female population
→ ages 15-64) (modeled ILO estimate)`~`Fertility rate, total (births per
→ woman)`))
Call:
lm(formula = `Labor force participation rate, female (% of female population ages 15-
64) (modeled ILO estimate) ~
    `Fertility rate, total (births per woman)`)
Residuals:
   Min
             1Q Median
                             3Q
                                     Max
-31.482 -1.573 2.473
                          4.759 23.896
Coefficients:
                                          Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept)
                                             84.981 12.334 6.890 4.25e-05
`Fertility rate, total (births per woman)` -11.723
                                                        5.243 -2.236 0.0494
(Intercept)
`Fertility rate, total (births per woman)` *
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
Residual standard error: 13.99 on 10 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.3333,
                               Adjusted R-squared: 0.2666
F-statistic: 4.999 on 1 and 10 DF, p-value: 0.04936
summary(lm(`Fertility rate, total (births per woman)`~`GDP per capita
```

```
Call:
lm(formula = `Fertility rate, total (births per woman)` ~ `GDP per capita (constant 2010 US$)`)
Residuals:
   Min
           10 Median
                             3Q
                                    Max
-1.1598 -0.5151 0.2188 0.5433 0.7865
Coefficients:
                                       Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept)
                                    2.602e+00 2.604e-01 9.991 1.6e-06 ***
`GDP per capita (constant 2010 US$)` -2.308e-05 1.026e-05 -2.249
                                                                     0.0483 *
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
Residual standard error: 0.6876 on 10 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.3359,
                              Adjusted R-squared: 0.2694
F-statistic: 5.057 on 1 and 10 DF, p-value: 0.04828
Also note that if want to run the regression without an intercept, we can just add a -1 to the
equation.
summary(lm(`Labor force participation rate, female (% of female population
→ ages 15-64) (modeled ILO estimate)`~`GDP per capita (constant 2010
\hookrightarrow US\$)^-1)
Call:
lm(formula = `Labor force participation rate, female (% of female population ages 15-
64) (modeled ILO estimate) ~
    `GDP per capita (constant 2010 US$)` - 1)
Residuals:
  Min
          1Q Median
                         3Q
                               Max
-45.42 22.33 37.70 51.06 54.50
Coefficients:
                                      Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
`GDP per capita (constant 2010 US$)` 0.0017757 0.0004883 3.637 0.00391 **
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
Residual standard error: 42.91 on 11 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.5459, Adjusted R-squared: 0.5047
F-statistic: 13.23 on 1 and 11 DF, p-value: 0.003911
```

5.2 Multiple Regression

And if we need to explore $multiple\ regression$, with multiple explanatory variables, this is as easy as using a + sign in the equation:

```
summary(lm(`Labor force participation rate, female (% of female population
→ ages 15-64) (modeled ILO estimate)`~`Fertility rate, total (births per
→ woman) `+ `GDP per capita (constant 2010 US$) `))
Call:
lm(formula = `Labor force participation rate, female (% of female population ages 15-
64) (modeled ILO estimate) ~
   `Fertility rate, total (births per woman)` + `GDP per capita (constant 2010 US$)`)
Residuals:
    Min
             10 Median
                             3Q
                                    Max
                        6.118 21.839
-26.297 -4.967 3.314
Coefficients:
                                             Estimate Std. Error t value
(Intercept)
                                           70.4503342 17.2103704
`Fertility rate, total (births per woman)` -7.3868624 6.3067567 -1.171
`GDP per capita (constant 2010 US$)`
                                            0.0002980 0.0002512
                                                                  1.186
                                           Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept)
                                             0.0027 **
`Fertility rate, total (births per woman)`
                                             0.2716
`GDP per capita (constant 2010 US$)`
                                             0.2659
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
Residual standard error: 13.71 on 9 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.4234,
                               Adjusted R-squared: 0.2953
F-statistic: 3.305 on 2 and 9 DF, p-value: 0.08392
```

5.3 Stored Regression Objects

A very important feature of R is the flexibility that derives from the ability to store (and use) our regression output as an R object. The output becomes an R object, and we can access its variables/components using the familiar \$ notation. This can allow us to easily store and compare multiple models and use regression data such as residuals or predicted values as inputs into other equations and analyses.

```
regoutput<-lm(`Labor force participation rate, female (% of female population

→ ages 15-64) (modeled ILO estimate)`~`Fertility rate, total (births per

→ woman)`+`GDP per capita (constant 2010 US$)`)

names(regoutput)
```

```
[1] "coefficients" "residuals" "effects" "rank"
[5] "fitted.values" "assign" "qr" "df.residual"
[9] "xlevels" "call" "terms" "model"
```

regoutput\$residuals

```
2
                                                          5
        1
                                 3
5.6933288
            3.5491144 -26.2966144
                                     3.0798884
                                                 21.8391887
                                                              6.5276402
                    8
                                            10
                                                         11
            8.0805563 -14.9933760
                                    -0.5648145
5.9814379
                                                -9.4097100 -3.4866397
```

Once we have store the regression output, numerous quick functions are available that build off of the regression results, such as *predict* for predicted values and *anova* for the Analysis of Variance.

Analysis of Variance Table

Response: Labor force participation rate, female (% of female population ages 15-64) (modeled ILO estimate)

```
Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value Pr(>F)

`Fertility rate, total (births per woman)` 1 978.27 978.27 5.2022 0.0485 *

`GDP per capita (constant 2010 US$)` 1 264.65 264.65 1.4073 0.2659

Residuals 9 1692.46 188.05

---

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

5.4 Regression Diagnostics

Regression diagnostics are used to evaluate the fit and appropriateness of the regression model as applied. Once again, we are providing some illustrative examples without going into depth here.

One thing that we may wish to test is the normality of the regression residuals (i.e., the difference between predicted and actual values of the observations is normally distributed). See this discussion of Normality at U Wisconsin and this article available in PubMedCentral for a brief explanation.

We can apply a typically used test for normality, the Shapiro-Wilk Normality Test, as follows. We get standardized residuals from the model with *rstandard* (or more simply the residuals accessed with *regoutput\$residuals*), and then use the *shapiro.test* function to perform the test, or the (less powerful) Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test with *ks.test*, which also allows us to test against different distributions (here we just specific *'pnorm'* for the normal distribution).

Note the interpretation of these two tests is different. A p<.05 for Shapiro implies *rejection* of normality. A p<.05 for K-S is *acceptance* of normality.

```
shapiro.test(rstandard(regoutput))

Shapiro-Wilk normality test

data: rstandard(regoutput)
W = 0.93422, p-value = 0.427

ks.test(regoutput$residuals, 'pnorm')

Exact one-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test

data: regoutput$residuals
D = 0.5823, p-value = 0.000215
alternative hypothesis: two-sided

ks.test(rstandard(regoutput), 'pnorm', mean=0, sd=1)


Exact one-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test

data: rstandard(regoutput)
D = 0.184, p-value = 0.7471
alternative hypothesis: two-sided
```


Note that standardizing the residuals makes a big difference for the Kolmogorov-Smirnow test!

We can also easily pull up regression diagnostic plots:


```
plot(regoutput, pch=3)
```




Im('Labor force participation rate, female (% of female population ages 15



Theoretical Quantiles Im('Labor force participation rate, female (% of female population ages 15



Im('Labor force participation rate, female (% of female population ages 15



Im('Labor force participation rate, female (% of female population ages 15

5.5 glm and Logistic Regression

R is developed by statisticians for statisticians, so you will find every variant of regression that you might eventually need. The *glm* command implements generalized linear models (or alternatively, the *glm2* package).

One commonly used alternative, necessary where the response variable is binary (categorical) is *logistic regression*. The *glm* command is used, with "family=binomial" as an argument. The binomial distribution represents the fact that the response variable is binary (either a two-level factor or directly coded as 0/1 - referred to as "one-hot" coding in certain circles).

We can try this out with our categorized variable that labels female labor participation as high if over 70% (otherwise low):

```
logistic_output <- glm(female_high_labor ~ `Fertility rate, total (births per</pre>
 → woman) `+ `GDP per capita (constant 2010 US$) `, family=binomial)
summary(logistic_output)
Call:
glm(formula = female_high_labor ~ `Fertility rate, total (births per woman)` +
    `GDP per capita (constant 2010 US$)`, family = binomial)
Deviance Residuals:
    Min
              10
                   Median
                                 3Q
                                         Max
-1.2787 -0.6362 -0.3845
                             0.6530
                                      2.2036
Coefficients:
                                              Estimate Std. Error z value
(Intercept)
                                             4.925e+00
                                                       3.387e+00
                                                                     1.454
```

`Fertility rate, total (births per woman)` -2.313e+00 1.340e+00 -1.726

```
`GDP per capita (constant 2010 US$)` -1.823e-05 \quad 4.076e-05 \quad -0.447 Pr(>|z|) (Intercept) 0.1459 `Fertility rate, total (births per woman)` 0.0843 . `GDP per capita (constant 2010 US$)` 0.6547 --- Signif. codes: 0 \text{ '***' } 0.001 \text{ '**' } 0.01 \text{ '*' } 0.05 \text{ '.' } 0.1 \text{ ' ' } 1 (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1) Null \text{ deviance: } 16.301 \text{ on } 11 \text{ degrees of freedom} Residual deviance: 11.227 \text{ on } 9 \text{ degrees of freedom} AIC: 17.227 Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
```

We won't delve further into the interpretation of logistic regression or other regression alternatives here, but just know that nearly anything is possible with R!

5.6 Python regression

Linear Regression is part of the scikit-learn module which forms the core of machine learning in Python.

Some basic information on linear regression in Python is at RealPython

We include this code as a quick illustration of the approach. As is typical, the output in Python must be individually extracted with commands. The <u>stargazer</u> module is at least one approach to automating the process of generating output tables. The <u>statsmodels</u> module is less commonly used, but provides an alternative in Python that generates output tables more easily.

```
print(model.intercept_, model.coef_)
[-25782.0414102] [[716.19818691]]
r_sq = model.score(labor2, gdp2)
print(f"R-squared: {r_sq}")
R-squared: 0.33554087609678596
```

6 Bootstrap

This section is modeled off the approach used by Introduction to Modern Statistics, Second Edition by Mine Çetinkaya-Rundel and Johanna Hardin, which goes into greater depth and provides many useful code exercises. We'll refer to this text as *IMS* below. As elsewhere, we are providing a quick and dirty demo, but please use these resources to go further!

In particular take a look at the section on bootstrapping and the supplementary tutorials.

Why the "bootstrap"? Many traditional statistical techniques rely on assumptions about the behavior or distribution of the data, assumptions that we cannot always be sure of satsifying. According to IMS, "some statistics do not have simple theory for how they vary, and bootstrapping provides a computational approach for providing interval estimates for almost any population parameter."

The bootstrap works by sampling and resampling the original collection of data. The variability of the samples can be used to estimate the variability of the underlying population, without requiring any further assumptions about its characteristics.

Essentially, we resample our data to construct an empirical distribution, which we can then use to estimate standard errors and corresponding confidence intervals, as well as other parameters. We call it the "bootstrap" because we are "pulling ourselves up by our bootstraps" and creating the standard error ourselves via this replicate sampling process.

R makes this easy via the *infer* package from the tidyverse.

The bootstrap in R is constructed by a three-part sequence of commands: *specify*, *generate*, and *calculate*: - we *specify* the variable we want to sample - then *generate* the number of sample replicates we want to generate - then *calculate* the statistic of interest on the replicates

Before any sampling we can simply generate the point estimate of our proportion, in this case one of our indicator variables for the gender data, whether a woman can work in dangerous jobs in the same way as a man.

Note that |> is the "pipe" in base R (relatively newly introduced, so you won't see it as often yet), versus the %>% "pipe" in tidyverse via *magrittr* (traditional).

```
point_estimate <- gender_data_final %>%
  specify(response = `A woman can work in a job deemed dangerous in the same
    way as a man (1=yes; 0=no)`) %>%
  calculate(stat = "mean")
```

Warning: Removed 1 rows containing missing values.


Then run replicate samples that we will use to estimate the confidence interval.

Warning: Removed 1 rows containing missing values.

We can easily visualize the distribution of the replicate samples.


```
boot_dist_dangerous %>%
  visualize()
```

Simulation-Based Bootstrap Distribution



```
boot_dist_dangerous %>%
  visualize() +
  shade_p_value(obs_stat = point_estimate, direction = "two-sided")
```

Simulation-Based Bootstrap Distribution



```
boot_dist_dangerous %>%
  get_confidence_interval(
    point_estimate = point_estimate,
    level = 0.95,
    type = "se"
)

# A tibble: 1 x 2
  lower_ci upper_ci
    <dbl>    <dbl>
1  0.160    0.750
```

Note that we are resampling from a small population of 12, so even with large replications, our confidence interval is wide. Using this technique on the type of country data we have is atypical, and is just for demonstration purposes. A more typical usage would be on survey data with individual responses selected from a larger population. Again to quote *IMS*, "bootstrapping is best suited for modeling studies where the data have been generated through random sampling from a population."

6.1 t-test via simulation

IMS has some examples of using *infer* to simulate t-test type results, however this is not as well-suited to the case of a paired t-test, for which the TOSTER package has a built in function that quickly implements a bootstrapped version of the t-test. This is just one example of how we can switch among the many ways of accomplishing a task in R.

First, instead of preserving the pairs, we get at the same idea by computing the difference in labor participation for males and females for each country.

```
labor_diff <- `Labor force participation rate, male (% of male population
    ages 15-64) (modeled ILO estimate)` - `Labor force participation rate,
    female (% of female population ages 15-64) (modeled ILO estimate)`</pre>
```

Then apply the bootstrap t-test from the *TOSTER* package, specifying 1000 replications.

```
boot_t_test(labor_diff, R=1000)

Bootstrapped One Sample t-test

data: x
p-value < 2.2e-16
alternative hypothesis: true mean is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
    9.018354 30.902602
sample estimates:
mean of x
16.22617</pre>
```

6.2 bootstrap to estimate variability of the slope of the regression

We can also bootstrap our way to some of the results of a regression model. Here we also use the *hypothesize* option of the *infer* package

```
var_slope <- gender_data_final |>
  specify(`Labor force participation rate, female (% of female population
  → ages 15-64) (modeled ILO estimate)`~`Fertility rate, total (births per
  → woman)`) |>
  hypothesize(null = "independence") |>
  generate(reps = 500, type = "permute") |>
  calculate(stat = "slope")
var_slope |>
  # Ungroup the dataset
 ungroup() |>
  # Calculate summary statistics
  summarize(
   # Mean of stat
   mean_stat = mean(stat),
   # Std error of stat
   std_err_stat = sd(stat)
  )
# A tibble: 1 x 2
 mean stat std err stat
     <db1> <db1>
  -0.135
                  6.31
```

6.3 Python bookstrap