
CMP1130 Web Authoring – Assessment 2A

Ryan Impey – 15591119

Screen shots of the initial website















[{Title}]


Wireframe and Site Map

SITEMAP




ABOUT PAGE







ABOUT US, LOCATION, CONTACT




MAIN/HOME PAGE




SHOPPING CART PAGE



PRODUCT CUSTOMISATION PAGE



CHECKOUT PAGE



MILESTONE PEER REVIEW

Name of individual being assessed: _____ Charlie Shillito **Poor Excellent** Quality of wireframe/layout structure & design/sitemap File Organization & Management Correct and clear HTML structure (e.g. Head, body.....) Use of the latest HTML5 tags/elements and features. \checkmark Avoiding HTML obsolete/depreciated elements/features/attributes Code clarity, including indentation, Commenting and Naming convention (HTML and CSS) ✓ Use of the Latest CCS3 styling Interaction, Visual effects and use of advanced CSS3 (e.g. MediaQuiries,

What has the individual done well?

Advanced Selectors, ...etc.)

- Well-made sitemap/site wireframe.
- Good file structure and organisation of files (e.g HTML files/IMG files)
- Usage of HTML5 elements beginning to show

What should be improved before submission?

- Add comments to clarify code
- Improve/add JavaScript to page
- Improve overall site design by adding more page structure and styling

The Assessor (Your) Name:

RYAN IMPEY

Signature:

Date: 17/11/2016

MILESTONE PEER REVIEW

Name of individual being assessed:	<u>Oliver Smith</u>			Excellent
	Poor			
Quality of wireframe/layout structure & design/sitemap		√		
File Organization & Management			✓	
Correct and clear HTML structure (e.g. Head, body,)			√	
Use of the latest HTML5 tags/elements and features.			√	
Avoiding HTML obsolete/depreaciated elements/features/attributes		√		
Code clarity, including indentation, Commenting and Naming convention (HTML and CSS)		√		
Use of the Latest CCS3 styling		✓		
Interaction, Visual effects and use of advanced CSS3 (e.g. MediaQuiries, Advanced Selectors,etc.)			√	

What has the individual done well?

- Twitter embed is functional and works well
- Photo gallery works well
- Clear structured header that is consistent across pages

What should be improved before submission?

- Improve wireframe
- Add arrows between site map boxes to clarify what page does what and where it leads to
- Style HTML elements to make site look nicer and cleaner

The Assessor (Your) Name:

RYAN IMPEY

Signature:

Date: 17/11/2016

	Your Name:	Ry	yan Impe	Y
--	------------	----	----------	---

How would you personally critique your website at this moment in time?

	Poor			Excellent
Quality of wireframe/layout structure & design/sitemap		√		
File Organization & Management				✓
Correct and clear HTML structure (e.g. Head, body,)			✓	
Use of the latest HTML5 tags/elements and features.			✓	
Avoiding HTML obsolete/depreaciated elements/features/attributes		√		
Code clarity, including indentation, Commenting and Naming convention (HTML and CSS)			√	
Use of the Latest CCS3 styling			✓	
		I .	1	1

What positive feedback have you been given by your peers? (bullet points)

Nice design

Advanced Selectors, ...etc.)

- Good file structure/management
- Use of HTML5 tags and CSS3

Interaction, Visual effects and use of advanced CSS3 (e.g. MediaQuiries,

• Consistent naming convention for classes/ID's

Based on feedback from your peers, what will you try to improve before submission? (bullet points)

- Improve quality of sitemap/wireframe
- Include more advanced selectors/CSS3
- Add more comments to code to make understanding easier

What content or functionality do you still need to implement?

- Local Storage for saving of products and the customisation options chosen
- Purchasing and content pages
- Customisation pages