

1. Label the following statements as **True** or **False**. Along with your answer, provide an informal proof, counterexample, or other explanation.

1. The empty set is a subspace of every vector space.

False. Since 0 is not in the empty set.

2. The dimension of $P_n(\mathbf{F})$ is n .

False. $\dim P_n(\mathbf{F}) = n + 1$.

3. Let u, v, w be distinct vectors of a vector space V . If u, v, w is a basis for V , then $u + v + w, v + w, w$ is also a basis for V .

True. $u + v + w, v + w, w$ is linearly independent and $\dim V = 3 =$ the length of $u + v + w, v + w, w$, so $u + v + w, v + w, w$ is a basis for V .

4. $P_n(\mathbf{F})$ is isomorphic to $P_m(\mathbf{F})$ if and only if $n = m$.

True. Since $\dim P_n(\mathbf{F}) = n + 1$, $\dim P_m(\mathbf{F}) = m + 1$, $P_n(\mathbf{F})$ is isomorphic to $P_m(\mathbf{F}) \iff \dim P_n(\mathbf{F}) = \dim P_m(\mathbf{F}) \iff n + 1 = m + 1 \iff n = m$.

5. If V is finite-dimensional and U is a subspace of V that is invariant under every operator on V , then $U = \{0\}$ or $U = V$.

True. Assume U is a subspace of V , $U \neq \{0\}$, $U \neq V$, u_1, \dots, u_k is a basis of U , then extend it to a basis of V : $u_1, \dots, u_k, u_{k+1}, \dots, u_n$. Define $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, $Tu_1 = u_{k+1}$, $Tu_i = 0 (i \geq 2)$, we have U isn't invariant under T .

2. Show that the set of solutions V , to the system of linear equations

$$\begin{cases} x_1 - 2x_2 + x_3 = 0 \\ 2x_1 - 3x_2 + x_3 = 0 \end{cases}$$

is a subspace of \mathbf{R}^3 . Find a basis for this subspace, V .

Sol: Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 1 \\ 2 & -3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $X = (x_1, x_2, x_3)^T$ be a solution of the linear equations, then $AX = 0$, so we have $\mathbf{0}$ is a solution. If X_1, X_2 are two solutions, $\forall k_1, k_2 \in \mathbf{R}$, $A(k_1X_1 + k_2X_2) = k_1AX_1 + k_2AX_2 = 0 \Rightarrow k_1X_1 + k_2X_2 \in V$, thus V is a subspace of \mathbf{R}^3 .

And $\text{rank } A = 2$, so $\dim V = 3 - 2 = 1$, $(1, 1, 1)^T \in V$ which is a basis of V .

3. State and prove the **Fundamental Theorem of Linear Maps**.

4. Suppose V is a finite-dimensional inner product space and $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$.

1. State the definition of invariant subspace.

Sol: If U is a subspace of V , $\forall u \in U$, we have $Tu \in U$, we say U is invariant under T .

2. Let U be a subspace of V . Show that U and U^\perp are invariant under T if and only if $P_U T = T P_U$.

Sol: “ \Rightarrow ” $\forall v \in V$, v can be written as $v = u_1 + u_2$, $u_1 \in U$, $u_2 \in U^\perp$. Since U is invariant under T , $Tu_1 \in U$. Since U^\perp is invariant under T , $Tu_2 \in U^\perp$. We have $P_U T v = P_U T(u_1 + u_2) = P_U T u_1 + P_U T u_2 = T u_1 = T P_U(u_1 + u_2) = T P_U v$ holds for all $v \in V$, then $P_U T = T P_U$.

“ \Leftarrow ” $\forall u_1 \in U$, since $P_U T = T P_U$, $P_U T u_1 = T P_U u_1 = T u_1 \Rightarrow T u_1 \in U$, so U is invariant under T .

And $\forall u_2 \in U^\perp$, since $P_U T = T P_U$, $P_U T u_2 = T P_U u_2 = 0 \Rightarrow T u_2 \in U^\perp$, U^\perp is invariant under T .

5. Let T be the linear operator on \mathbf{R}^3 defined by

$$T(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (-3x_1 + 3x_2 - 2x_3, -7x_1 + 6x_2 - 3x_3, x_1 - x_2 + 2x_3).$$

1. Determine the eigenspace of T corresponding to each eigenvalue.

Sol:

$$T(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (-3x_1 + 3x_2 - 2x_3, -7x_1 + 6x_2 - 3x_3, x_1 - x_2 + 2x_3) = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 3 & -2 \\ -7 & 6 & -3 \\ 1 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 3 & -2 \\ -7 & 6 & -3 \\ 1 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$, then $\mathcal{M}(T; e_1, e_2, e_3) = A$. The characteristic polynomial is $\det(\lambda I - A) = (\lambda - 2)^2(\lambda - 1)$.

Solving $(2I - A)X = 0$, we have $X_1 = k \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$, $k \in \mathbf{R}$.

Solving $(I - A)X = 0$, we have $X_2 = l \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $l \in \mathbf{R}$.

Then the eigenspaces are $E(2, T) = \text{span}\{(1, 1, -1)^T\}$, $E(1, T) = \text{span}\{(1, 2, 1)^T\}$.

2. Find the Jordan form and a Jordan basis of T .

Sol: Since $\dim E(2, T) = 1$, $\dim E(1, T) = 1$, the Jordan form is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Assume the Jordan basis is η_1, η_2, η_3 , then $\mathcal{M}(T; \eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3) = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, then $T\eta_1 = 2\eta_1$, $T\eta_2 = \eta_1 + 2\eta_2$,

$T\eta_3 = \eta_3$.

Take $\eta_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$, $\eta_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, we can solve $(T - 2I)\eta_2 = \eta_1$, $\eta_2 = k \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $k \in \mathbf{R}$, simply, we

take $k = 0$, then $\eta_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$.

Let $P = (\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3)$, $P^{-1}AP = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$.

3. Find the minimal polynomial of T .

Sol: The minimal polynomial is $(\lambda - 2)^2(\lambda - 1)$.

4. Compute trace T and $\det T$.

Sol: $\text{Tr}(T) = 5$, $\det T = 4$.

6. Suppose T is a linear operator defined on \mathbf{R}^4 with $T^2 = -I$.

1. Show that the only eigenvalues of $T_{\mathbf{C}}$ are i and $-i$, where $T_{\mathbf{C}}$ is the complexification of T .

Sol: Since $T_{\mathbf{C}}^2 = -I$, let λ be the eigenvalues of T , then $\lambda^2 + 1 = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda = \pm i$.

2. Show that v is an eigenvector of $T_{\mathbf{C}}$ with respect to i if and only if \bar{v} is an eigenvector of $T_{\mathbf{C}}$ with respect to $-i$, and hence show that there is a basis consisting of complex eigenvectors of $T_{\mathbf{C}}$ of the form $v_1, v_2, \bar{v}_1, \bar{v}_2$.

Sol: $\forall v = u_1 + iu_2$, $u_1, u_2 \in \mathbf{R}^4$, $T_{\mathbf{C}}v = iv \iff Tu_1 + iTu_2 = -u_2 + iu_1 \iff Tu_1 = -u_2, Tu_2 = u_1 \iff T_{\mathbf{C}}(u_1 - iu_2) = Tu_1 - iTu_2 = -u_2 - iu_1 = -i(u_1 - iu_2) = -i\bar{v}$. The minimal polynomial of $T_{\mathbf{C}}$ is $\lambda^2 + 1 \Rightarrow T_{\mathbf{C}}$ is diagonalizable and the multiplicities of $\pm i$ are equal, then i has two linearly independent eigenvectors, denoted as v_1, v_2 , \bar{v}_1, \bar{v}_2 are two linearly independent eigenvectors of $-i$, so $v_1, v_2, \bar{v}_1, \bar{v}_2$ is basis.

3. Show that there is a basis of \mathbf{R}^4 with respect to which T has the following matrix representation

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Sol: $v_1 = u_1 + iu_2$, $v_2 = u_3 + iu_4$, $Tu_1 = u_2$, $Tu_2 = -u_1$, $Tu_3 = u_4$, $Tu_4 = -u_3$, then

$$\mathcal{M}(T; u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

7. **(Jordan-Chevalley Decomposition)** Let T be a linear operator on a finite-dimensional complex vector space V , and let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k$ be the distinct eigenvalues of T . Let $S : V \rightarrow V$ be the mapping defined by

$$S(x) = \lambda_1 v_1 + \lambda_2 v_2 + \dots + \lambda_k v_k,$$

where, for each i , v_i is the unique vector in $G(\lambda_i, T)$ such that $x = v_1 + v_2 + \dots + v_k$.

1. Prove that S is a diagonalizable linear operator on V .

Sol: Let

$$\begin{aligned} & (T - \lambda_1 I)^{m_{11}-1} v_{11}, \dots, v_{11}, (T - \lambda_1 I)^{m_{12}-1} v_{12}, \dots, v_{12}, \dots, (T - \lambda_1 I)^{m_{1l_1}-1} v_{1l_1}, \dots, v_{1l_1} \\ & (T - \lambda_2 I)^{m_{21}-1} v_{21}, \dots, v_{21}, (T - \lambda_2 I)^{m_{22}-1} v_{22}, \dots, v_{22}, \dots, (T - \lambda_1 I)^{m_{2l_2}-1} v_{2l_2}, \dots, v_{2l_2} \\ & \dots \\ & (T - \lambda_k I)^{m_{k1}-1} v_{k1}, \dots, v_{k1}, (T - \lambda_1 I)^{m_{k2}-1} v_{k2}, \dots, v_{k2}, \dots, (T - \lambda_1 I)^{m_{kl_k}-1} v_{kl_k}, \dots, v_{kl_k} \end{aligned}$$

be the Jordan basis of T . We have $(T - \lambda_i I)^p v_{ij} \in G(\lambda_i, T)$.

$$\forall v \in G(\lambda_i, T), v = 0 + 0 + \dots + 0 + v + 0 + \dots + 0,$$

$$S(v) = \lambda_1 \cdot 0 + \dots + \lambda_{i-1} \cdot 0 + \lambda_i v + \lambda_{i+1} \cdot 0 + \dots + \lambda_k \cdot 0 = \lambda_i v \Rightarrow v \text{ is an eigenvector of } S \text{ w.r.t. } \lambda_i$$

so all the Jordan basis are the eigenvectors of S , S is diagonalizable.

2. Let $N = T - S$. Prove that N is nilpotent and commutes with S , that is $SN = NS$.

Sol:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{M}(T; (T - \lambda_1 I)^{m_{11}-1} v_{11}, \dots, v_{kl_k}) \\ & = \begin{bmatrix} J_{m_{11}}(\lambda_1) & & & & & \\ & J_{m_{12}}(\lambda_1) & & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & \\ & & & J_{m_{1l_1}}(\lambda_1) & & \\ & & & & J_{m_{21}}(\lambda_2) & \\ & & & & & J_{m_{22}}(\lambda_2) \\ & & & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & & & J_{m_{kl_k}}(\lambda_k) \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{M}(S; (T - \lambda_1 I)^{m_{11}-1} v_{11}, \dots, v_{kl_k}) \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 I_{m_{11}} & & & & & \\ & \lambda_1 I_{m_{12}} & & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & \\ & & & \lambda_1 I_{m_{1l_1}} & & \\ & & & & \lambda_2 I_{m_{21}} & \\ & & & & & \lambda_2 I_{m_{22}} \\ & & & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & & & \lambda_k I_{m_{kl_k}} \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{M}(N; (T - \lambda_1 I)^{m_{11}-1} v_{11}, \dots, v_{kl_k}) \\
&= \mathcal{M}(T; (T - \lambda_1 I)^{m_{11}-1} v_{11}, \dots, v_{kl_k}) - \mathcal{M}(S; (T - \lambda_1 I)^{m_{11}-1} v_{11}, \dots, v_{kl_k}) \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} J_{m_{11}}(0) & & & & & \\ & J_{m_{12}}(0) & & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & \\ & & & J_{m_{1l_1}}(0) & & \\ & & & & J_{m_{21}}(0) & \\ & & & & & J_{m_{22}}(0) \\ & & & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & & & J_{m_{kl_k}}(0) \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

Since $J_p(0)$ is nilpotent, $\mathcal{M}(N; (T - \lambda_1 I)^{m_{11}-1} v_{11}, \dots, v_{kl_k})$ is nilpotent, N is nilpotent.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{M}(SN; (T - \lambda_1 I)^{m_{11}-1} v_{11}, \dots, v_{kl_k}) \\
&= \mathcal{M}(S; (T - \lambda_1 I)^{m_{11}-1} v_{11}, \dots, v_{kl_k}) \mathcal{M}(N; (T - \lambda_1 I)^{m_{11}-1} v_{11}, \dots, v_{kl_k}) \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 I_{m_{11}} J_{m_{11}}(0) & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & \\ & & \lambda_1 I_{m_{1l_1}} J_{m_{1l_1}}(0) & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & \lambda_2 I_{m_{2l_2}} J_{m_{2l_2}}(0) & \\ & & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & & \lambda_k I_{m_{kl_k}} J_{m_{kl_k}}(0) \\ J_{m_{11}}(0) \lambda_1 I_{m_{11}} & & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & & \\ & & J_{m_{1l_1}}(0) \lambda_1 I_{m_{1l_1}} & & & & \\ & & & \ddots & & & \\ & & & & J_{m_{2l_2}}(0) \lambda_2 I_{m_{2l_2}} & & \\ & & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & & J_{m_{kl_k}}(0) \lambda_k I_{m_{kl_k}} \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \mathcal{M}(N; (T - \lambda_1 I)^{m_{11}-1} v_{11}, \dots, v_{kl_k}) \mathcal{M}(S; (T - \lambda_1 I)^{m_{11}-1} v_{11}, \dots, v_{kl_k}) \\
&= \mathcal{M}(NS; (T - \lambda_1 I)^{m_{11}-1} v_{11}, \dots, v_{kl_k})
\end{aligned}$$

so $SN = NS$.