- 1. Label the following statements as **True** or **False**.
  - (a) Let V and W be two inner product spaces and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ . Then T is injective if and only if  $T^*$  is surjective.
  - (b) The operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  defined by T(w,z) = (-z,w) is diagonalizable.
  - (c) Suppose V is a 7 dimensional real vector space and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Then T has an eigenvalue.
  - (d) Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^3)$  with eigenvalues 0, 1, 2, then  $T^3 3T^2 + 2T = 0$ .
  - (e) Let V be a finite-dimensional inner product space. Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ , then  $T^2 + 4T + I$  is invertible.

## Solution. TFTTF

2. (15 points ) Let  $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R})$  be the vector space of all real polynomials of degree at most 2. Define a linear operator T on  $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R})$  by

$$T(p(x)) = xp'(x) - p(1).$$

- (a) Find the matrix representation of T with respect to the basis  $1, x, x^2$  of  $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R})$ .
- (b) Find all the eigenvalues of T.
- (c) Determine whether T is diagonalizable. If so, find a basis with respect to which T has a diagonal matrix.

## Solution.

(a)

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc} -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{array}\right).$$

- (b) -1, 1, 2.
- (c)  $1, 1-2x, 1-3x^2$ .
- 3. (20 points) Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -3 & 3 & -2 \\ -7 & 6 & -3 \\ 1 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & -1 \\ -3 & -1 & -2 \\ 7 & 5 & 6 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (a) Show that A and B are similar.
- (b) Find an invertible matrix P such that  $B = P^{-1}AP$ .

## Solution.

(a) A and B have the same Jordan form:

$$J = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{array}\right)$$

(b) Suppose  $P_1^{-1}AP_1 = J, P_2^{-1}BP_2 = J$ , then  $P^{-1}AP = B$ , where  $P = P_1P_2^{-1}$ .

$$P_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & -3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore,

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ -2 & \frac{3}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- 4. Suppose V is finite dimensional complex vector space and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Let  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m$  be the distinct eigenvalues of T.
  - (a) Let  $G(\lambda_i, T)$  be the generalized eigenspace corresponding to  $\lambda_i$ . Show that

$$V = G(\lambda_1, T) \oplus G(\lambda_2, T) \oplus \cdots \oplus G(\lambda_m, T).$$

(b) Show that  $G(\lambda_1, T)$  is invariant under T and  $T|_{G(\lambda_1, T)}$  is nilpotent.

## Solution. See 8.21.

- (a) Induction on the dimension of V.
- (b) Check the definitions.
- 5. Let  $V = \mathcal{P}_3(\mathbb{R})$  with the inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{-1}^{1} f(x)g(x)dx$$
 for all  $f(x), g(t) \in V$ .

(a) Find an orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R})$ .

(b) Find the orthogonal projection  $f_1(x)$  of  $f(x) = x^3$  on  $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R})$ .

Solution.

(a)  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}x, \sqrt{\frac{45}{8}}(x^2 - \frac{1}{3}).$ 

(b)  $\frac{3}{5}x$ .

6. Suppose V is a finite dimensional inner product space and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Prove that T is invertible if and only if there exists a unique isometry  $S \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  such that  $T = S\sqrt{T^*T}$ .

**Solution.** If T is invertible, then S is unique. Actually,  $S = S_1$ :

$$S_1: \text{range}\sqrt{T^*T} \to \text{range}\sqrt{T}$$

$$S_1\sqrt{T^*T}v = Tv.$$

Therefore,  $S = S_1$  and  $T = S\sqrt{T^*T}$ .

To prove the other direction, we suppose T is not invertible, then it is easy to show that S can be chosen differently, which contradicts the uniqueness of S. Therefore, T has to be invertible.

7. Suppose V is a finite dimensional real vector space and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Suppose there exist  $b, c \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $T^2 + bT + cI = 0$ . Prove that T has an eigenvalue if and only if  $b^2 \geq 4c$ .

**Solution.** Suppose T has an eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , then  $\lambda^2 + b\lambda + c = 0$ . If  $b^2 < 4c$ , then

$$\lambda^2 + b\lambda + c = 0$$

will not have a real solution. It is a contradiction!

If  $b^2 \ge 4c$ , then  $\lambda^2 + b\lambda + c = 0$  will have a real solution  $\lambda$ . This  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $T_{\mathbb{C}}$ . Which is also an eigenvalue of T. Therefore, T has an eigenvalue.

8. Suppose V is a complex vector space. Prove that every invertible operator on V has a cube root R, namely,  $R^3 = T$ .

**Solution.** Let  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m$  be the distinct eigenvalues of T. For each j, there exists a nilpotent operator  $N_j \in \mathcal{L}(G(\lambda_j, T))$  such that  $T|_{G(\lambda_j, T)} = \lambda_j I + N_j$ . Because T is invertible, none of the  $\lambda_j$ 's equals 0, so we can write

$$T|_{G(\lambda_j,T)} = \lambda_j (I + \frac{N_j}{\lambda_j})$$

for each j.  $(I + \frac{N_j}{\lambda_j})$  has a cute root. Multiplying a cute root of the complex number  $\lambda_j$  by a cute root of  $(I + \frac{N_j}{\lambda_j})$ , we obtain a cute root  $R_j$  of  $T|_{G(\lambda_j,T)}$ .

A typical vector  $v \in V$  can be written uniquely in the form

$$v = u_1 + u_2 + \dots + u_m,$$

where each  $u_j$  is in  $G(\lambda_j, T)$ . Using this decomposition, define an operator  $R \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  by

$$Rv = R_1u_1 + R_2u_2 + \dots + R_mu_m.$$

You should verify that this operator R is a cute root of T, completing the proof.

9. Let T be a linear operator on a finite-dimensional vector space V and  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k$  be its distinct eigenvalues of T. Then T is diagonalizable if and only if the minimal polynomial of T is of the form

$$p(t) = (t - \lambda_1)(t - \lambda_2) \cdots (t - \lambda_k).$$

*Proof.* Suppose that T is diagonalizable. Let  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k$  be the distinct eigenvalues of T, and define

$$p(t) = (t - \lambda_1)(t - \lambda_2) \cdots (t - \lambda_k),$$

p(t) divides the minimal polynomial of T. Let  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  be a basis for V consisting of eigenvectors of T, and consider any  $v_i$  in the list, we have  $(T - \lambda_j I)(v_i) = 0$  for some eigenvalue  $\lambda_j$ . Since  $(t - \lambda_j)$  divides p(t), there is a polynomial  $q_j(t)$  such that  $p(t) = q_j(t)(t - \lambda_j)$ . Hence

$$p(T)(v_i) = q_j(T)(T - \lambda_j I)(v_i) = 0.$$

It follows that p(T) = 0, since p(T) takes each vector in a basis for V into 0. Therefore p(t) is the minimal polynomial of T. Conversely, suppose that there are distinct scalars  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$  such that the minimal polynomial p(t) of T factors as

$$p(t) = (t - \lambda_1)(t - \lambda_2) \cdots (t - \lambda_k),$$

the  $\lambda_i$ 's are eigenvalues of T. We apply mathematical induction on  $n = \dim(V)$ . Clearly T is diagonalizable for n = 1. Now assume that T is diagonalizable whenever  $\dim(V) < n$  for some n > 1, and let  $\dim(V) = n$  and  $W = \operatorname{range}(T - \lambda_k I)$ . Obviously  $W \neq V$ , because  $\lambda_k$  is an eigenvalue of T. If  $W = \{0\}$ , then  $T = \lambda_k I$ , which is clearly diagonalizable. So suppose that  $0 < \dim(W) < n$ . Then W is invariant under T, and for any  $x \in W$ ,

$$(T - \lambda_1 I)(T - \lambda_2 I) \cdots (T - \lambda_{k-1} I)(x) = 0.$$

It follows that the minimal polynomial of  $T|_W$  divides the polynomial  $(t - \lambda_1) \cdots (t - \lambda_{k-1})$ . Hence by the induction hypothesis,  $T|_W$  is diagonalizable. Furthermore,  $\lambda_k$  is not an eigenvalue of  $T|_W$ . Therefore  $W \cap \text{null}(T - \lambda_k I) = \{0\}$ . Now let  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  be a basis for W consisting of eigenvectors of  $T|_W$ , and let  $w_1, \dots, w_p$  be a basis for  $\text{null}(T - \lambda_k I)$ , the eigenspace of T corresponding to  $\lambda_k$ . m + p = n by the fundamental theorem of linear maps applied to  $T - \lambda_k I$ . We show that  $v_1, \dots, v_m, w_1, \dots, w_p$  is linear independent. Consider scalars  $a_1, \dots, a_m$  and  $b_1, \dots, b_p$  such that

$$a_1v_1 + a_2v_2 + \cdots + a_mv_m + b_1w_1 + b_2w_2 + \cdots + b_nw_n = 0.$$

Let

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i v_i$$
 and  $y = \sum_{i=1}^{p} b_i w_i$ .

Then  $x \in W, y \in \text{null}(T - \lambda_k I)$ , and x + y = 0. It follows that

$$x = -y \in W \cap \text{null}(T - \lambda_k I) = \{0\},\$$

and therefore x=0. Since  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  is linearly independent, we have that  $a_1=a_2=\dots=a_m=0$ . Similarly,  $b_1=b_2=\dots=b_p=0$ , we conclude that  $v_1,\dots,v_m,w_1,\dots,w_p$  is linear independent subset of V consisting of n eigenvectors. It follows that  $v_1,\dots,v_m,w_1,\dots,w_p$  is a basis for V consisting of eigenvectors of T, and consequently T is diagonalizable.