

1 Multivariate Commitments for a single degree bound

Notation roughly follows that of Marlin. For an ℓ -variate polynomial, p , denote $\deg(p)$ as the total degree. Let $\mathcal{W}_{\ell,D}$ be the set of all multisets of $\{1, \dots, \ell\}$ with cardinality of any individual element at most D .

This scheme follows the hiding strategy of Marlin where polynomial commitments are masked by a random polynomial evaluated at the same points. This technique requires $B \cdot \ell + 1$ additional elements (where B is the hiding bound) in ck , when compared to PST. We will refer to this commitment scheme as MC_m .

Setup. On input a security parameter λ (in unary), the number of variables $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, a hiding bound B , and a maximum degree bound $D \in \mathbb{N}$ $\text{MC}_m.\text{Setup}$ samples a key pair (ck, rk) as follows. Sample a bilinear group $\langle \text{group} \rangle \leftarrow \text{SampleGrp}(1^\lambda)$ and parse $\langle \text{group} \rangle$ as a tuple $(\mathbb{G}_1, \mathbb{G}_2, \mathbb{G}_T, q, G, H, e)$. Sample random elements $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\ell \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Then compute the vector:

$$\Sigma := \begin{pmatrix} \{ (\prod_{i \in W} \beta_i) G \}_{W \in \mathcal{W}_{\ell,D}} \\ \gamma G, \{\gamma \beta_i G, \dots, \gamma \beta_i^B G\}_{i \in [\ell]} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{G}_1^{D^\ell + B\ell + 1}$$

Set $\text{ck} := (\langle \text{group} \rangle, \Sigma)$ and $\text{rk} := (D, \langle \text{group} \rangle, \gamma G, \beta_1 H, \dots, \beta_\ell H)$, and then output the public parameters (ck, rk) . These public parameters will support ℓ -variate polynomials over the field \mathbb{F}_q of degree at most D .

Commit. On input ck , ℓ -variate polynomials $\mathbf{p} := [p_i]_{i=1}^n$ over \mathbb{F}_q , and randomness $\omega := [\omega_i]_{i=1}^n$ over \mathbb{F}_q . $\text{MC}_m.\text{Commit}$ outputs commitments $\mathbf{c} := [c_i]_{i=1}^n$ that are computed as follows. If for any $p_i \in \mathbf{p}$, $\deg(p_i) > D$, abort. Else, for each $i \in [n]$, if ω_i is not \perp then obtain random polynomial \bar{p}_i with individual degree B in each variable from ω_i , otherwise set \bar{p}_i to be a zero polynomial. For each $i \in [n]$, output $c_i := p_i(\beta)G + \gamma \bar{p}_i(\beta)G$.

Open. On input ck , ℓ -variate polynomials $\mathbf{p} := [p_i]_{i=1}^n$ over \mathbb{F}_q , evaluation point $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{F}_q^\ell$, opening challenge $\xi \in \mathbb{F}_q$, and randomness $\omega := [\omega_i]_{i=1}^n$ (the same randomness used for $\text{MC}_m.\text{Commit}$), $\text{MC}_m.\text{Open}$ outputs an evaluation proof π that is computed as follows. If for any $p_i \in \mathbf{p}$, $\deg(p_i) > D$, abort. Else, for each $i \in [n]$, if ω_i is not \perp then obtain random polynomial \bar{p}_i of individual degree B in each variable from ω_i , otherwise set \bar{p}_i to be a zero polynomial. Then compute the linear combination of polynomials $p(\mathbf{X}) := \sum_{i=1}^n \xi^i p_i(\mathbf{X})$ and $\bar{p}(\mathbf{X}) := \sum_{i=1}^n \xi^i \bar{p}_i(\mathbf{X})$. Compute $\mathbf{w} := [w_j]_{j=1}^\ell$ and $\bar{\mathbf{w}} := [\bar{w}_j]_{j=1}^\ell$ satisfying:

$$p(\mathbf{X}) - p(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} (X_j - z_j) w_j(\mathbf{X})$$

$$\bar{p}(\mathbf{X}) - \bar{p}(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} (X_j - z_j) \bar{w}_j(\mathbf{X})$$

Such \mathbf{w} and $\bar{\mathbf{w}}$ can always be found efficiently by Lemma 1.4. For $j \in [\ell]$, set $\mathbf{w}_j := w_j(\beta)G + \gamma \bar{w}_j(\beta)G \in \mathbb{G}_1$ and $\bar{v} := \bar{p}(\beta) \in \mathbb{F}_q$. The evaluation proof is $\pi := ([\mathbf{w}_j]_{j=1}^\ell, \bar{v})$

Check. On input rk , commitments $\mathbf{c} := [c_i]_{i=1}^n$, evaluation point $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{F}_q^\ell$, alleged evaluations $\mathbf{v} := [v_i]_{i=1}^n$ over \mathbb{F}_q , evaluation proof $\pi := ([\mathbf{w}_j]_{j=1}^\ell, \bar{v})$, and challenge $\xi \in \mathbb{F}_q$, $\text{MC}_m.\text{Check}$ proceeds as follows. Compute the linear combination $C := \sum_{i=1}^n \xi^i c_i$, then compute the linear combination of evaluations $v := \sum_{i=1}^n \xi^i v_i$, and check the evaluation proof via the equality $e(C - vG - \gamma \bar{v}G, H) = \prod_{j=1}^\ell e(\mathbf{w}_j, \beta_j H - z_j H)$

Lemma 1.1. *The commitment scheme MC_m achieves completeness following Definition B.1*

Proof. Fix any number of variables ℓ , hiding bound B , maximum degree bound D and efficient adversary \mathcal{A} . Let $(\text{ck}, \text{rk}) \leftarrow \text{MC}_m.\text{Setup}(1^\lambda, \ell, B, D)$ and $\langle \text{group} \rangle, \mathbb{F}_q$ be the corresponding algebraic structures given by (ck, rk) .

Let $\mathcal{A}(\text{ck}, \text{rk})$ select ℓ -variate polynomials $\mathbf{p} := [p_i]_{i=1}^n$ over \mathbb{F}_q , evaluation point $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{F}_q^\ell$, and opening challenge $\xi \in \mathbb{F}_q$. By assumption, we only consider \mathcal{A} which choose \mathbf{p} , s.t. $\deg(\mathbf{p}) \leq D$.

Given $\mathbf{c} \leftarrow \text{MC}_m.\text{Commit}(\text{ck}, \mathbf{p})$ and $\pi \leftarrow \text{MC}_m.\text{Open}(\text{ck}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{z}, \xi)$ we show that for $\mathbf{v} := \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{z})$:

$$\text{MC}_m.\text{Check}(\text{rk}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{v}, \pi, \xi) = 1$$

We demonstrate this directly:

$$\begin{aligned} e(C - vG - \gamma \bar{v}G, H) &= e\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \xi^i c_i - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \xi^i v_i\right)G - \gamma \bar{p}(\mathbf{z})G, H\right) \\ &= e((p(\beta) - p(\mathbf{z}) + \gamma(\bar{p}(\beta) - \bar{p}(\mathbf{z})))G, H) \\ &= e\left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^\ell (\beta_j - z_j)w_j(\beta) + \sum_{j=1}^\ell \gamma(\beta_j - z_j)\bar{w}_j(\beta)\right)G, H\right) \\ &= e\left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^\ell (\beta_j - z_j)(w_j(\beta) + \gamma \bar{w}_j(\beta))\right)G, H\right) \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^\ell e((\beta_j - z_j)(w_j(\beta) + \gamma \bar{w}_j(\beta))G, H) \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^\ell e(\mathbf{w}_j, \beta_j H - z_j H) \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 1.2. *The commitment scheme MC_m achieves succinctness following Definition B.3*

Proof. For a list of n ℓ -variate polynomials, the scheme MC_m requires n \mathbb{G}_1 elements to commit to \mathbf{c} , $\ell+1$ \mathbb{G}_1 elements for the evaluation proof, and the time to check this proof of evaluation requires $\ell+1$ pairings and one variable-base multi-scalar multiplication of size n . □

Theorem 1.3. *The commitment scheme MC_m achieves hiding following Definition B.4*

Proof. We describe a polynomial-time simulator \mathcal{S} such that, for every number of variables ℓ , hiding bound B , maximum degree D , and efficient adversary $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{A}_3)$, the adversary \mathcal{A} cannot distinguish between the real and ideal world experiments.

\mathcal{S} is defined as follows:

$\mathcal{S}.\text{Setup}(1^\lambda, \ell, B, D):$ 1. Run $\text{MC}_z.\text{Setup}(1^\lambda, \ell, B, D)$ and define $\text{trap} := (\text{ck}, \text{rk}, \beta, \gamma)$ 2. Output $(\text{ck}, \text{rk}, \text{trap})$
$\mathcal{S}.\text{Commit}(\text{trap}, k; \omega):$ 1. Parse ω as $[\omega_i]_{i=1}^n$ 2. For $i = 1, \dots, k$: (a) Obtain the random polynomial $\bar{p}_i(\mathbf{X})$ from ω_i (b) Set $c_i := \bar{p}_i(\beta)\gamma G$ 3. Output $\mathbf{c} := [c_i]_{i=1}^k$
$\mathcal{S}.\text{Open}(\text{trap}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{Q}, \xi; \mathbf{r}):$ 1. Parse $\mathbf{p} := [p_i]_{i=1}^n$, $\mathbf{v} := [v_i]_{i=1}^n$, and $\omega := [\omega_i]_{i=1}^n$ 2. Parse query set \mathbf{Q} as $T \times \mathbf{z}$ for some $T \subseteq [n]$ and $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{F}_q^\ell$ 3. For $i \in T$: (a) Obtain the random polynomial $\bar{p}_i(\mathbf{X})$ from ω_i (b) Set $\tilde{v}_i := \bar{p}_i(\mathbf{z}) - \frac{v_i}{\gamma}$ 4. Compute $\bar{v} := \sum_{i=1}^n \xi^i \tilde{v}_i$, $v := \sum_{i=1}^n \xi^i v_i$, $\bar{p}(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi^i \bar{p}_i(\mathbf{X})$ 5. Compute $\bar{\mathbf{w}} := [\bar{w}_j]_{j=1}^\ell$ which satisfies $\bar{p}(\mathbf{X}) - \bar{p}(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{j=1}^\ell (X_j - z_j) \bar{w}_j(\mathbf{X})$ 6. If $\mathbf{z} \neq \beta$: compute $\mathbf{w}_j = \bar{w}_j(\beta)\gamma G$ 7. Else $\mathbf{z} = \beta$: compute $\mathbf{w}_j = 0G$ 8. Output $\pi = ([\mathbf{w}_j]_{j=1}^\ell, \bar{v})$

Clearly, \mathcal{S} is polynomial-time. Associated with each p_i output by \mathcal{A} there is an independently and randomly sampled degree H polynomial \bar{p}_i defined by ω_i . We define a polynomial \bar{p}_i' such that in the real world, $\bar{p}_i' := \bar{p}_i$, whereas in the ideal world $\bar{p}_i' := \bar{p}_i(\mathbf{X}) - \frac{p_i(\mathbf{X})}{\gamma}$. Observe that each \bar{p}_i' is, except with negligible probability, at least degree B in each variable (we will see why this is necessary later) and independently and randomly distributed. It follows that the two polynomials are identically distributed in both worlds for $\leq B$ queries. Furthermore, since $\mathcal{S}.\text{Setup}$ uses $\text{MC}_m.\text{Setup}$ to generate (ck, rk) , we see that (ck, rk) is also identically distributed.

We claim that upon fixing (ck, rk) and $\bar{p}_i', \forall i \in [n]$, the resulting c_i are given by a deterministic function in $p_i(\beta)$ and, after fixing a query point \mathbf{z} , the evaluation proof π is given by a deterministic function in $(p(\mathbf{X}), \mathbf{z}, \xi)$. Since these functions are parametrized by values already shown to be identically distributed in the two worlds, it follows that their outputs will also be identically distributed.

We define $\text{factor} : \mathbb{F}[X_1, \dots, X_\ell] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[X_1, \dots, X_\ell]^\ell$ to be the polynomial factorizing algorithm at the point \mathbf{z} described in Lemma 1.4. Note that this is a linear function. We

claim that the following relations hold in both worlds:

$$\begin{aligned} c_i(p_i(\beta)) &:= p_i(\beta)G + \bar{p}_i'(\beta)\gamma G \\ \pi &= ([\mathbf{w}_j]_{j=1}^\ell, \bar{v}) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathbf{w}_j(\mathbf{z}, p_i(\mathbf{X})) = \begin{cases} \text{factor}(p(\mathbf{X}) + \gamma \bar{p}'(\mathbf{X}))_j(\beta)G & \text{if } \mathbf{z} \neq \beta \\ 0G & \text{if } \mathbf{z} = \beta \end{cases}, \quad \bar{v}(\mathbf{z}, \xi) = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi^i \bar{p}_i'(\mathbf{z})$$

Now we show that the above describe the outputs of MC_m and \mathcal{S} .

Indistinguishability of commitments. In the real world we have:

$$c_i = p_i(\beta)G + \bar{p}_i(\beta)\gamma G$$

since we have defined $\bar{p}_i' := \bar{p}_i$, equivalence follows immediately. In the ideal world, we have that:

$$c_i = \bar{p}_i(\beta)\gamma G$$

here we have defined $\bar{p}_i'(\mathbf{X}) := \bar{p}_i(\mathbf{X}) - \frac{p(\mathbf{X})}{\gamma}$. Plugging this in we get that:

$$\begin{aligned} c_i &= p_i(\beta)G + \gamma \bar{p}_i'(\beta)G \\ &= p_i(\beta)G + \gamma(\bar{p}_i(\beta) - \frac{p_i(\beta)}{\gamma})G \\ &= \bar{p}_i(\beta)\gamma G \end{aligned}$$

Which is exactly what \mathcal{S} outputs. Thus, the commitments are indistinguishable with respect to all adversaries.

Indistinguishability of evaluation proofs. In the real world, $\bar{v} = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi^i \bar{p}_i(\mathbf{z})$ which coincides directly since $\bar{p}_i' = \bar{p}_i$. In the ideal world we have that $\bar{v} = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi^i \tilde{v}_i$ which also follows directly since $\tilde{v}_i = \bar{p}_i'(\mathbf{z})$. Thus, the \bar{v} are indistinguishable to all adversaries.

Finally, we consider each $[\mathbf{w}_j]_{j=1}^\ell$. In the real world, we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{w}_j &= \text{factor}(p(\mathbf{X}))_j(\beta)G + \gamma \text{factor}(\bar{p}(\mathbf{X}))_j(\beta)G \\ &= \text{factor}(p(\mathbf{X}) + \gamma \bar{p}(\mathbf{X}))_j(\beta)G \end{aligned}$$

since we have defined $\bar{p}_i' := \bar{p}_i$, equivalence follows immediately. In the ideal world, we have that:

$$\mathbf{w}_j := \text{factor}(\gamma \bar{p}(\mathbf{X}))_j(\beta)G$$

here we have defined $\bar{p}'_i(\mathbf{X}) := \bar{p}_i(\mathbf{X}) - \frac{p(\mathbf{X})}{\gamma}$. Plugging in we get that:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{w}_j &= \text{factor}(p(\mathbf{X}) + \gamma \bar{p}'(\mathbf{X}))_j(\boldsymbol{\beta})G \\ &= \text{factor}(p(\mathbf{X}) + \gamma(\bar{p}(\mathbf{X}) - \frac{p(\mathbf{X})}{\gamma}))_j(\boldsymbol{\beta})G \\ &= \text{factor}(\gamma \bar{p}(\mathbf{X}))_j(\boldsymbol{\beta})G \end{aligned}$$

Thus, our expression for $[\mathbf{w}_j]_{j=1}^\ell$ is correct. Note that for any polynomial $q \in \mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \dots, X_\ell]$, if q does not contain the indeterminate X_j , it will be in the kernel of $\text{factor}(q)_j$. It follows that each \mathbf{w}_j leaks whether \bar{p}' contains the indeterminate X_j . Thus, in order for the \bar{p}' to be indistinguishable, we must require that they have at least degree 1 in each of the ℓ indeterminates. We conclude that no adversary can distinguish between the two worlds. \square

Lemma 1.4. *Let $p(\mathbf{X}) \in \mathbb{F}_q[\mathbf{X}]$ be an ℓ -variate polynomial. Then $\forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{F}_q^\ell$, there exists polynomials $w_i(\mathbf{X})$ such that $p(\mathbf{X}) - p(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{i=1}^\ell (X_i - z_i)w_i(\mathbf{X})$. Furthermore, all the w_i s can be found with a polynomial-time algorithm.*

Proof. We can recover each w_i with a single polynomial division. Start by dividing $p(\mathbf{X}) - p(\mathbf{z})$ by $(X_1 - z_1)$ and define the quotient as $w_1(\mathbf{X})$. We have can now express $p(\mathbf{X}) - p(\mathbf{z}) = (X_1 - z_1)w_1(\mathbf{X}) + r(X_2, \dots, X_\ell)$. Notably, the remainder of the division is a polynomial in terms of X_2, \dots, X_ℓ . We divide this polynomial by $(X_2 - z_2)$, yielding $w_2(\mathbf{X})$ and a remainder in terms of X_3, \dots, X_ℓ . We continue this until we can express $p(\mathbf{X}) - p(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{i=1}^\ell (X_i - z_i)w_i(\mathbf{X}) + r$. We evaluate the indeterminate \mathbf{X} at \mathbf{z} :

$$\begin{aligned} p(\mathbf{z}) - p(\mathbf{z}) &= \sum_{i=1}^\ell (z_i - z_i)w_i(\mathbf{X}) + r \\ 0 &= 0 + r \\ \implies p(\mathbf{X}) - p(\mathbf{z}) &= \sum_{i=1}^\ell (X_i - z_i)w_i(\mathbf{X}) \end{aligned}$$

\square