"I can't hear you!":

Improving audience-to-performer

communication using electronic devices

by

Ryan Maksymic

OCAD UNIVERSITY

O C A D

U

A thesis submitted to OCAD University in partial fulfillment of the
requirements for the degree of Master of Design in Digital Futures

Toronto, Ontario, Canada, April 2014

Copyright Notice

Author's Declaration

I hereby declare that I am the sole author of this thesis. This is a true copy of the thesis, including any required final revisions, as accepted by my examiners.

I authorize OCAD University to lend this thesis to other institutions or individuals for the purpose of scholarly research.

I understand that my thesis may be made electronically available to the public.

I further authorize OCAD University to reproduce this thesis by photocopying or by other means, in total or in part, at the request of other institutions or individuals for the purpose of scholarly research.

Signature   _____

"I can't hear you!": Improving audience-to-performer

communication using electronic devices

Ryan Maksymic

Master of Design in Digital Futures

OCAD University, 2014

Abstract

Musicians and their fans are connecting on an unprecedented level today using the Internet; however, the communication channel is still very one-sided during a live performance. This thesis aimed to develop a new method for audience-to-performer communication. A literature review and survey of related projects were first conducted. Primary research was then carried out, including interviews with both concertgoers and performers. Multiple prototypes were developed and tested with users, and, after analyzing the results, a refined device was created and implemented at a live music performance. The paper concludes with a discussion on the significance of the device and possible future directions.

Contents

List of Figures

List of Tables

Chapter 1

Introduction

A performance is a relationship between performer and audience. Whether it is a music concert, a theatre piece, or a magic show, the performer's goal is to communicate a message. Their message could serve as a diversion from day-to-day life, or it could be a thought-provoking commentary on reality. It is always favourable, in any case, that it gets delivered as clearly as possible. Consequently, there have continually been new tools and techniques developed in order to increase the fidelity of the message. Modern sound systems can amplify a signal so it is heard for miles. Huge screens are installed to ensure all spectators have an up-close view of the performer. Venues are precisely designed to maximize audience comfort and acoustic quality. In some cases, however, it is the audience members that wish to be heard more clearly; it is the audience members that uses tools and techniques to make their messages heard. At rock and pop concerts, in addition to the customary applause and cheers, it is common to see concertgoers waving illuminated lighters and mobile phones or even holding homemade signs in the air. In a performance, the audience is as important as the performer. It is useful, then, to put more consideration into how we might deliver clearer messages from audience to performer.

Today, musicians are more connected to their fans than ever. By leveraging ser-

vices like Facebook, Twitter, and Topspin, artists can keep their followers up to date on new releases, tour dates, and other special events. Perhaps more significant is the unprecedented level of access that fans have to the musicians. Fans are talking directly to the artists, voicing their opinions on their work, providing travel tips during tours, and sharing original content inspired by them. If it is now so easy to communicate with performers via the Internet, why, then, is the communication channel at a concert still so one sided? Waving a lighter does not convey much information, and it is rare that homemade signs are actually read by the performer. Some artists have tried to develop solutions, but these have their flaws as well. Giving audience members wristbands embedded with blinking LEDs, for example, is an impressive way to expand the light show into the crowd, but it is not actually interactive, as the devices do not transmit any information from the users. Displaying audience members' Twitter posts during a show may cause some excitement, but it is also inviting them to look down at their phones, and it is not a coherent output in the context of a music performance. I believe that modern music performances can benefit from a new, reliable method of audience-to-performer communication.

The goal of this thesis project is to find the most effective communication system that will enhance a live music performance for concertgoers. This requires considering some important research questions. First of all, how might we obtain meaningful information from the audience without being a distraction to them? What information do concertgoers find meaningful? On the other hand, I am interested in what data performers might find useful. What sort of feedback could they provide that would enhance the performance for the audience? This project has a strong focus on interacting with technology. Thus, my theoretical framework will be greatly based in human-computer interaction research, ensuring that the final product is intuitive to use. With the project centred around traditional rock and pop music concerts, I

am also interested in how the mind interprets this sort of music and environment. Referencing work in music psychology will help me understand why people attend these concerts and what characteristics make one performance more captivating than another. This work brings up some other topics that, while interesting, will not be addressed here. For example, while inspiration will surely be taken from other genres of music and experimental performances, the final product will be created for a standard western pop music concert. The commercial viability of the final product – matters of distribution, pricing, etc. – will generally not be considered. These sorts of issues are outside the scope of this project.

To begin, I will examine related academic and professional work. Next, the methods and results of my primary research will be discussed. My prototyping process will then be explained, followed by an analysis of the results from the user testing. Last is a discussion on the outcome of the project and possible future directions.

Chapter 2

Background

This chapter examines related research and projects. Past work includes studies on collaborative control, interactive dance clubs, and commercial products used in large-scale concert settings.

2.1 Maynes-Aminzade, Pausch, and Seitz

In their 2002 paper, Maynes-Aminzade et al. describe three different computer vision systems that allow an audience to control an on-screen game; they also outline the lessons they learned about designing such systems. The first method tracks the audience as they lean to the left and right. The control mechanism was intuitive, but the system required frequent calibration. The second method tracked the shadow of a beach ball which acted as a cursor on the screen. This was also intuitive, but it only involved a few people in the audience at a time. The third method tracked multiple laser pointer dots on the screen, giving each audience member a cursor; this was a more chaotic system once the number of dots got overwhelming. Lastly, the authors presented some guidelines for designing systems for interactive audience participation. They recommend focusing on creating a compelling activity rather than an impressive

technology; they state that every audience member does not necessarily need to be sensed as long as they feel like they are contributing; and they suggest that the control mechanism should be obvious or audience members will quickly lose interest. The authors also note that making the activity emotionally engaging and emphasizing cooperation between players will increase the audience's enjoyment.

These conclusions provide both guidance and new questions to consider for my own research. While I hope to work with some relatively advanced technologies, it will be important to remember that it is the actual interaction that will determine how engaging the experience is. User-centered design will need to be a major part of my development process. While this paper dealt with accurate control of a video game, my work will address interactions that are more passive and abstract. The authors stress the importance of an obvious control mechanism; considering how much their environment (a movie theatre) will likely differ from mine (a loud, dark music venue), I believe this will be especially crucial for my project. Audience members whose senses are already being overloaded will have even less patience for figuring out how something works. I will have to consider how this and other factors apply to my unique environment. How can I best tap into the emotional sensibilities of an audience at a concert? How might I create a cooperative environment in a situation that is not goal oriented? These are questions I will have to address in my primary research.

2.2 Ulyate and Bianciardi

In their paper, the authors describe their "Interactive Dance Club" – a venue that delivers audio and video feedback to inputs from multiple participants – and they present the "10 Commandments of Interactivity" that guided its creation. The goals of the project were to create coherent musical and visual feedback for individual and group interactions and to allow non-artistic people to feel artistic. Inputs included

light sensors, infrared cameras, pressure-sensitive tiles, proximity sensors, and simple mechanical switches. By interacting with them, users could make notes sound out, manipulate projected video and computer graphics, modulate music loops, and control the position of cameras in the space.

This project's "10 Commandments of Interactivity" contain the following points:

- Movement is encouraged and rewarded.
- Feedback from interactions is immediate, obvious, and meaningful in the context of the space.
- No instructions, expertise, or thinking is required.
- A more responsive system is better than a more aesthetically pleasing system.
- Modularity is key.

Lastly, the authors share the lessons that they learned while running the Interactive Dance Club. They observed that interactions involving full-body movements were most satisfying. The form of an object, they found, determined how users first attempted to interact with it. They emphasize the practicality of a system that is both distributed and scalable. Designing the interactions required finding a balance between freedom and constraint. They found that, no matter how elegant the system, some users would still find a way to create unpleasant noise. Lastly, they observed that instant gratification is important; feedback that is too delayed or interactions that require too much concentration are ineffective.

2.3 Bongers

In his 1999 paper, Bongers provides a theoretical HCI framework for physical interaction between performers, audience members, and electronic systems in a musical performance. He defines three types of interaction – "performer-system", "system-

audience", and "performer-system-audience." Bongers models the interactions as control systems wherein actions are either a *control* or *feedback* process. Electronic sensors and actuators are discussed, followed by human senses and motor systems. Bongers states that a more convincing interaction is one that provides "multimodal" feedback – influencing more than one of the users' senses. Lastly, a few prototypes of novel interaction systems are described. Especially notable is the "Interaction Chair", which most easily fits in the performer-system-audience category. Here, the performer has the ability to send vibrations through each audience member's seat back, while the chairs contain sensors that allow audience members to influence visuals projected behind the performer. Other projects like this one can benefit from Bongers' theoretical framework; thinking in terms of control and feedback processes may provide new perspectives on a system's design.

2.4   Barkhuus and Jorgensen

Barkhuus and Jorgensen's paper investigated interactions between audiences and performers at a concert. The authors used observations from traditional rock and rap shows to inform the design of a simple "interaction-facilitation technology" – a cheering meter. By tracking the applause patterns at several concerts, it was determined that the two most common reasons for cheering were to express anticipation and to reward the performers. This led to the creation of a cheering meter, an instrument for measuring the volume of an audience – in this case, to determine the winner of a rap battle. Microphones captured samples of the crowd's cheering, the signal was filtered, the peak volume was measured, and the rating on an arbitrary scale was displayed on large screens onstage.

The researchers reported no major issues while testing the system, and they express confidence that their technology helped to enhance the concert for the audience

members. In their paper, they outline the main reasons for the cheering meter's success. First, the authors state that the usability of the system is due to the fact that it is based on an already-present behaviour; they recommend "designing technology that fits the situation and which utilize present activities rather than aiming to employ the latest cutting edge technology" (p. 2929). Next, they suggest that an event should not rely on the success of the technology; the rap battle, for example, could have easily continued if the cheering meter malfunctioned. Lastly, the authors emphasized the importance of immediate visual and/or aural feedback; seeing direct consequences of their actions gives the audience confidence in using the system. This research focused on a very specific type of event using an almost-gimmicky system, but the design principles it yielded are valuable.

2.5 Tseng et al.

This paper described the motivation and creative process behind a Taiwanese interactive theatre experience that let audience members connect with a dance performance. The project was realized using projection mapping, a Kinect, a local area network, and a custom iPhone app. Audience members downloaded the app before the show and entered a code corresponding to their seat number to connect to the local network. During the first part of the performance, each user was given control over one "light dot" projected onto the stage. The dot could be moved by moving the iPhone; users could also point their phone's camera at different light sources to influence the brightness of their dot. Later in the performance, audience members could use their phones to trigger sounds and projected images onstage. The dancer, tracked by the Kinect, interacted with the projections, improvising a dance with the light.

The authors approached this project by asking, "How can the audience become an essential element in a performance?" (p. 561). They claim that, while new media

has been incorporated into theatre for decades, mobile phones have not been used to their full potential. Feedback collected after the performance revealed overall positive reactions. Some users, however, were uncomfortable having their personal devices connected to an unfamiliar network. Another negative was that not every audience member owned an iPhone; one of these spectators, though, maintained that she enjoyed the show even while being excluded from the interaction.

2.6 Wham City Lights

Wham City Lights is a smartphone application that allows multiple devices to display light shows in sync during a concert. Audience members with an iOS or Android device can download the app before the show. Once the show has begun, an operator activates lighting cues by playing encoded, ultrasonic tones; devices with the app open "hear" these tones and perform the corresponding cues. This can be done at nearly any scale as long as every device is able to hear the tones. Users generally hold their devices up or wave them above their heads during the show. Light shows can be created live or programmed in advance using an online editor; cues include flashing colours, camera flashes, GIFs, text, and sound.

The concept was originally developed by US musician Dan Deacon. His intention was to prevent concertgoers from using their personal devices and disengaging during live performances. Deacon tested the app at his own shows and received a positive response. Today, Wham City Lights licenses their general-purpose app for different kinds of events; they also develop custom apps to include branding, tour dates, etc. Musicians and organizations like Brad Paisley, the Billboard Music Awards, and Intel have made use of this technology at their events.

2.7 Xylobands

Xylobands are controllable LED wristbands designed to be worn by potentially thousands of users at entertainment events. They are controlled using a proprietary piece of software downloaded to a laptop; the laptop must then be connected to a radio transmitter. With the software, an operator can turn the Xylobands on or off, select which colours are illuminated, and control the speed of the LEDs' flashing. The transmitter has a range of around 300 meters. Each wristband contains a small printed circuit board that holds, among other components, an RF receiver and an 8-bit microcontroller. The electronics are powered by three 3 V coin cell batteries.

The technology was originally developed for the band Coldplay, and wristbands were handed out to all concertgoers during their 2012 world tour. Giving the wristbands to each audience member at every performance reportedly cost the band €490 000 (around $680 000 CAD) per night. UK-based toy development company RB Concepts Ltd. are the creators of the Xyloband. Their website advertises that Xylobands can be customized and used at concerts, festivals, sports stadiums, or corporate events.

2.8 PixMob

PixMob is a patented wireless technology that enables the control of multiple LED-embedded objects. By giving PixMob objects to spectators, concert producers can create a controllable LED light show within the audience. The objects are activated with signals from infrared transmitters. Like normal lighting fixtures, the transmitters' beams can be shaped with lenses and controlled via the DMX512 protocol. The objects light up when they are hit by a beam, so patterns of moving light can, in essence, be painted across the audience. Light shows are programmed, simulated,

and controlled through a software package called LAVA; they can also be controlled in real time using a MIDI controller or the LAVA iPad app. Previous PixMob objects include balls, wristbands, pendants, and beads, and custom object creation is available as well. PixMob also offers "second life" customization: objects can be programmed to react to sounds, play an mp3 track, or communicate with the user's personal computer after the show is over. Past clients include Microsoft, Arcade Fire, Eurovision, and Heineken.

Chapter 3

Primary Research

Chapter 4

Prototyping

This section describes the chronology of this project's various prototypes. The successes and failures are described, giving the overall story of how I arrived at my final product.

4.1 Prototype #1

The goal of the first prototype was to simply demonstrate how multiple users might interact with a performance using handheld devices. To realize this, I used Wii video game controllers and the Max visual programming software (see Figure 4.1).

4.1.1 Small victory #1

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Sed mauris felis, luctus eu nisl sed, varius aliquet nibh. Quisque nisl augue, ultricies sed mattis vel, pretium eu tortor. Vivamus fringilla erat eget urna malesuada, at tincidunt orci lobortis. Class aptent taciti sociosqu ad litora torquent per conubia nostra, per inceptos himenaeos. Cras porta diam quis massa semper volutpat. Aenean dapibus, sapien id dignissim placerat, augue elit tincidunt erat, vel convallis risus urna a eros. Cras molestie,

(a) Wii remotes

(b) Max patcher

Figure 4.1: The first prototype

metus sed interdum eleifend, massa sem tempor dui, sed adipiscing leo tellus non quam. Nunc ac nulla vitae erat ultrices vehicula. Donec suscipit orci ac dictum sodales.

Duis lobortis leo eget aliquam auctor. Aliquam molestie fringilla nulla sed fringilla. Maecenas aliquet, nisl ut faucibus luctus, quam dolor congue quam, quis varius nulla enim eleifend nulla. Pellentesque sed congue purus, a mattis sem. Donec sed interdum tortor, semper condimentum dolor. Nulla at viverra eros, ac varius magna. Praesent ut mattis leo, et euismod tortor.

Duis convallis tempus pretium. Nulla eget diam rhoncus, vehicula massa quis, pulvinar nibh. Cras adipiscing vel felis at volutpat. Nullam ut odio in quam iaculis fermentum ac in magna. Praesent tortor libero, consequat ut mi eget, commodo sodales diam. Sed ultrices tristique laoreet. Aliquam vel nibh velit. Suspendisse nec porttitor lectus. Sed tristique neque sed lacus volutpat, sit amet consectetur felis laoreet. Sed mattis libero lacus, quis elementum sapien tristique sollicitudin. Maecenas molestie porta tellus quis pellentesque. Vivamus dapibus eget metus sed scelerisque. Vestibulum ullamcorper ligula posuere mi varius, rhoncus condimentum massa dictum. Mauris volutpat tincidunt velit, adipiscing eleifend nibh adipiscing in.

Chapter 5

User Testing

Chapter 6

Discussion

Chapter 7

Conclusion

References

Barkhuus, L., & Jørgensen, T. (2008). Engaging the crowd: Studies of audience-performer interaction. In *CHI '08 Extended Abstracts on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (pp. 2925–2930). New York, NY, USA: ACM.

Bongers, B. (1999). Exploring novel ways of interaction in musical performance. In *Proceedings of the 3rd Conference on Creativity & Cognition* (pp. 76–81). New York, NY, USA: ACM.

Coldplay: News - Interview: Xylobands inventor Jason Regler. (2012, February). Retrieved September 27, 2013, from `http://www.coldplay.com/newsdetail.php?id=906`

Coldplay spend €490,000 a night on Xylobands - RTE Ten. (2012, June). Retrieved September 27, 2013, from `http://www.rte.ie/ten/news/2012/0615/438139-coldplay/`

Maynes-Aminzade, D., Pausch, R., & Seitz, S. (2002). Techniques for interactive audience participation. In *Proceedings of the 4th IEEE International Conference on Multimodal Interfaces.* Washington, DC, USA: IEEE Computer Society.

PixMob by ESKI - #pixmob. (n.d.). Retrieved October 13, 2013, from `http://pixmob.info/`

Steinmetz, J.-P. (2012, May). *Dissecting the Xyloband — Jean-Philippe Steinmetz.* Retrieved September 27, 2013, from `http://www.caskater.com/2012/05/02/dissecting-the-xyloband/`

Tseng, Y.-C., Huang, Y.-C., Wu, K.-Y., & Chin, C.-P. (2012). Dinner of Luciérnaga: An interactive play with iPhone app in theater. In *Proceedings of the 20th ACM International Conference on Multimedia* (pp. 559–568). New York, NY, USA: ACM.

Ulyate, R., & Bianciardi, D. (2001). The interactive dance club: Avoiding chaos in a multi participant environment. In *Proceedings of the 2001 Conference on New Interfaces for Musical Expression* (pp. 1–3). Singapore, Singapore: National University of Singapore.

Wham City Lights - Press Kit. (n.d.). Retrieved September 30, 2013, from `http://whamcitylights.com/faq.html`

Xylobands. (n.d.). Retrieved September 27, 2013, from `http://xylobands.com`