

The Algebra and Dissection of Types

Ryan Orendorff^{1,2}

May 2016

¹Department of Bioengineering
University of California, Berkeley
University of California, San Francisco

²Innolitics LLC
Medical Imaging Software Consultants

Table of Contents

Overview of Algebra

Algebraic Data Structures

Zipper

Derivatives

Dissection

The Reals

A familiar set of symbols is the reals (\mathbb{R}). With the reals we can define an operation $+$ that combines two reals together.

$$+ :: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

We note that this function $+$ is *closed* over the set \mathbb{R} ; applying $+$ to two reals gives something in the same set (another real).

The Reals

A familiar set of symbols is the reals (\mathbb{R}). With the reals we can define an operation $+$ that combines two reals together.

$$+ :: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

We note that this function $+$ is *closed* over the set \mathbb{R} ; applying $+$ to two reals gives something in the same set (another real).

We denote this algebra with the following notation for some set \mathcal{S} and some operation Op .

$$(\mathcal{S}, Op)$$

Often sets and associated operators have a common thread. For example, for $(\mathbb{R}, +)$ and (\mathbb{B}, \vee)

Often sets and associated operators have a common thread. For example, for $(\mathbb{R}, +)$ and (\mathbb{B}, \vee)

- The operator return an element in the same set.

$$+ :: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$\vee :: \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$$

Often sets and associated operators have a common thread. For example, for $(\mathbb{R}, +)$ and (\mathbb{B}, \vee)

- The operator return an element in the same set.

$$+ :: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$\vee :: \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$$

- The operator is associative.

$$a + (b + c) = (a + b) + c$$

$$a \vee (b \vee c) = (a \vee b) \vee c$$

Often sets and associated operators have a common thread. For example, for $(\mathbb{R}, +)$ and (\mathbb{B}, \vee)

- The operator return an element in the same set.

$$+ :: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$\vee :: \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$$

- The operator is associative.

$$a + (b + c) = (a + b) + c$$

$$a \vee (b \vee c) = (a \vee b) \vee c$$

- There exists an $e \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $e \times a = a \times e = a$.

$$a + 0 = 0 + a = a$$

$$a \vee \text{False} = \text{False} \vee a = a$$

These types of algebraic structures are *monoids*.

Table of Contents

Overview of Algebra

Algebraic Data Structures

Zipers

Derivatives

Dissection

Algebraic Data Types

In this talk we are going to focus on only algebraic data types (ADTs)¹. For example, we can define a type that holds multiple values for one constructor

```
data Doubled b = Doubled b b
```

and we can use multiple constructors for a type.

```
data Cards = Hearts | Diamonds | Clubs | Spades
```

Let's look at each of these cases in turn.

¹we are actually going to further restrict to regular data types

Products

We can define a product type as follows, where we hold onto two values.

data *Product a b = Product a b*

We can define a product type as follows, where we hold onto two values.

data *Product a b = Product a b*

Which is equivalent to a pair tuple.

Product a b $\cong (a, b) = (,) a b$

Products

We can define a product type as follows, where we hold onto two values.

$$\mathbf{data} \text{ Product } a \ b = \text{Product } a \ b$$

Which is equivalent to a pair tuple.

$$\text{Product } a \ b \cong (a, b) = (,) \ a \ b$$

We can write the same thing in a slightly different way.

$$\mathbf{data} \ a \times b = a \hat{\times} b$$

Now let's do a little trick. Let's define the following data type.

$$\mathbf{data}\ 1 = \hat{1}$$

We can only construct this value in one way. How many ways are there to construct this?

$$1 \times a$$

²(\cong) is usually read as "equal up to isomorphism"

Now let's do a little trick. Let's define the following data type.

$$\mathbf{data\ 1 = \hat{1}}$$

We can only construct this value in one way. How many ways are there to construct this?

$$1 \times a$$

Well 1 only has one value ($\hat{1}$), so we can think of the following relation to hold².

$$1 \times a \cong a \cong a \times 1$$

²(\cong) is usually read as "equal up to isomorphism"

Product is a monoid!

Remember that a monoid has to follow the following rules.

³The star $*$ represents a kind.

Product is a monoid!

Remember that a monoid has to follow the following rules.

- The operator return an element in the same set³.

$$a \times b :: * \rightarrow * \rightarrow *$$

³The star $*$ represents a kind.

Product is a monoid!

Remember that a monoid has to follow the following rules.

- The operator return an element in the same set³.

$$a \times b :: * \rightarrow * \rightarrow *$$

- The operator is associative.

$$a \times (b \times c) \cong (a \times b) \times c$$

³The star $*$ represents a kind.

Product is a monoid!

Remember that a monoid has to follow the following rules.

- The operator return an element in the same set³.

$$a \times b :: * \rightarrow * \rightarrow *$$

- The operator is associative.

$$a \times (b \times c) \cong (a \times b) \times c$$

- There exists an $e \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $e \cdot a = a \cdot e = a$.

$$a \times 1 \cong 1 \times a \cong a$$

³The star $*$ represents a kind.

We can define a sum type as follows, where we hold onto either one value or a different value.

data *Sum a b = Sum a b*

We can define a sum type as follows, where we hold onto either one value or a different value.

data *Sum a b = Sum a b*

Which is equivalent to this.

Sum a b \cong *a | b*

We can define a sum type as follows, where we hold onto either one value or a different value.

data $Sum\ a\ b = Sum\ a\ b$

Which is equivalent to this.

$Sum\ a\ b \cong a \mid b$

We can write the same thing in a slightly different way.

data $a + b = L\ a \mid R\ b$

Zero is rather empty

Now let's do a little trick. Let's define the following data type.

```
data 0
```

Zero is rather empty

Now let's do a little trick. Let's define the following data type.

data 0

How do we construct a value of this type? We can't! We could never call the following function.

uncallable :: 0 \rightarrow *a*

uncallable *x* = *x* 'seq' error ":-("

Zero is rather empty

Now let's do a little trick. Let's define the following data type.

data 0

How do we construct a value of this type? We can't! We could never call the following function.

uncallable :: 0 → *a*

uncallable *x* = *x* 'seq' error ":-("

In which case, we expect the following.

$$a + 0 \cong 0 + a \cong a$$

Sum is a monoid!

Remember that a monoid has to follow the following rules.

⁴The star $*$ represents a kind.

Sum is a monoid!

Remember that a monoid has to follow the following rules.

- The operator return an element in the same set⁴.

$$a + b :: * \rightarrow * \rightarrow *$$

⁴The star $*$ represents a kind.

Sum is a monoid!

Remember that a monoid has to follow the following rules.

- The operator return an element in the same set⁴.

$$a + b :: * \rightarrow * \rightarrow *$$

- The operator is associative.

$$a + (b + c) \cong (a + b) + c$$

⁴The star $*$ represents a kind.

Sum is a monoid!

Remember that a monoid has to follow the following rules.

- The operator return an element in the same set⁴.

$$a + b :: * \rightarrow * \rightarrow *$$

- The operator is associative.

$$a + (b + c) \cong (a + b) + c$$

- There exists an $e \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $e \cdot a = a \cdot e = a$.

$$a + 0 \cong 0 + a \cong a$$

⁴The star $*$ represents a kind.

Constant and Identity Types

We are also going to define the following data types.

Constant and Identity Types

We are also going to define the following data types.

A constant type, which represents something like *Nil* or 5.

```
data K x =  $\hat{K}$   -- constant
```

Constant and Identity Types

We are also going to define the following data types.

A constant type, which represents something like *Nil* or 5.

```
data K x =  $\hat{K}$  -- constant
```

An identity type.

```
data Id x =  $\hat{Id}$  x -- element
```


A semiring is defined by the following set of rules.

A semiring is defined by the following set of rules.

- $(+, 0)$ is a commutative monoid.

A semiring is defined by the following set of rules.

- $(+, 0)$ is a commutative monoid.
- $(\times, 1)$ is a monoid.

A semiring is defined by the following set of rules.

- $(+, 0)$ is a commutative monoid.
- $(\times, 1)$ is a monoid.
- \times distributes over $+$: $a \times (b + c) = a \times b + a \times c$ and $(b + c) \times a = b \times a + c \times a$.

A semiring is defined by the following set of rules.

- $(+, 0)$ is a commutative monoid.
- $(\times, 1)$ is a monoid.
- \times distributes over $+$: $a \times (b + c) = a \times b + a \times c$ and $(b + c) \times a = b \times a + c \times a$.
- 0 is an annihilator for \times : $a \times 0 = 0 \times a = 0$.

A semiring is defined by the following set of rules.

- $(+, 0)$ is a commutative monoid.
- $(\times, 1)$ is a monoid.
- \times distributes over $+$: $a \times (b + c) = a \times b + a \times c$ and $(b + c) \times a = b \times a + c \times a$.
- 0 is an annihilator for \times : $a \times 0 = 0 \times a = 0$.

A semiring is defined by the following set of rules.

- $(+, 0)$ is a commutative monoid.
- $(\times, 1)$ is a monoid.
- \times distributes over $+$: $a \times (b + c) = a \times b + a \times c$ and $(b + c) \times a = b \times a + c \times a$.
- 0 is an annihilator for \times : $a \times 0 = 0 \times a = 0$.

ADTs form a semiring!

Star (or Closed) Semiring

A star semiring or closed semiring has the additional unary operator $-^*$, defined as

$$a^* = 1 + aa^* = 1 + a^*a$$

⁵This intuition is not correct for all closed semirings

Star (or Closed) Semiring

A star semiring or closed semiring has the additional unary operator $-^*$, defined as

$$a^* = 1 + aa^* = 1 + a^*a$$

Intuitively, $a^* = 1 + a + a^2 + a^3 + \dots$ ⁵ What would this look like for types?

⁵This intuition is not correct for all closed semirings

Star (or Closed) Semiring

A star semiring or closed semiring has the additional unary operator $-^*$, defined as

$$a^* = 1 + aa^* = 1 + a^*a$$

Intuitively, $a^* = 1 + a + a^2 + a^3 + \dots$ ⁵ What would this look like for types?

For lists, we can have lists of no length or one length or ...

data *List* *a* = [] | *a* | (*a*, *a*) | (*a*, *a*, *a*) | ...

⁵This intuition is not correct for all closed semirings

Star (or Closed) Semiring

A star semiring or closed semiring has the additional unary operator $-^*$, defined as

$$a^* = 1 + aa^* = 1 + a^*a$$

Intuitively, $a^* = 1 + a + a^2 + a^3 + \dots$ ⁵ What would this look like for types?

For lists, we can have lists of no length or one length or ...

data *List* *a* = [] | *a* | (*a*, *a*) | (*a*, *a*, *a*) | ...

ADTs can be described as a closed semiring.

⁵This intuition is not correct for all closed semirings

Table of Contents

Overview of Algebra

Algebraic Data Structures

Zipper

Derivatives

Dissection

Zipper are great for constant (locally) updating structures

Zipper are a convenient way of traversing a structure, keeping track of where you are.

Zipper are also sometimes known as a “one hole context”

Zippers are great for constant (locally) updating structures

Zippers are a convenient way of traversing a structure, keeping track of where you are.

Zippers are also sometimes known as a “one hole context”

```
data List = [] | a : (List a)
```

```
data Zipper a = Zipper [a] [a]
```

Zippers are great for constant (locally) updating structures

Zippers are a convenient way of traversing a structure, keeping track of where you are.

Zippers are also sometimes known as a “one hole context”

```
data List = [] | a : (List a)
```

```
data Zipper a = Zipper [a] [a]
```

Zippers allow for constant time *local* updates to a data structure.

Zippers are great for constant (locally) updating structures

Zippers are a convenient way of traversing a structure, keeping track of where you are.

Zippers are also sometimes known as a “one hole context”

```
data List = [] | a : (List a)
```

```
data Zipper a = Zipper [a] [a]
```

Zippers allow for constant time *local* updates to a data structure.

Zippers are used in extensively in XMonad.

Table of Contents

Overview of Algebra

Algebraic Data Structures

Zipers

Derivatives

Dissection

Derivatives can also lead to a one hole context

The derivative of a type is often known as a “one hole context”. We can think of this as marking where we are in a data structure.

Derivative of a Constant

We know from calculus a few derivative rules. The basics are that the derivative of a constant is zero.

$$\partial K = 0$$

Derivative of a Constant

We know from calculus a few derivative rules. The basics are that the derivative of a constant is zero.

$$\partial K = 0$$

How does this look for a value of type K ?

$$\partial Nil = 0$$

A value has no context; it is the only thing in town!

Derivative of a variable

The derivative of variable by itself is 1.

$$\partial Id = 1$$

Derivative of a variable

The derivative of variable by itself is 1.

$$\partial Id = 1$$

How does this look for a value of type Id ?

$$\partial(\hat{Id} x) = ()$$

Derivative of a Product

The derivative of a product is described by the product rule⁶.

$$\partial(f \times g) = \partial f \times g + f \times \partial g$$

⁶or more generally by the Leibniz rule

Derivative of a Product

The derivative of a product is described by the product rule⁶.

$$\partial(f \times g) = \partial f \times g + f \times \partial g$$

If we take the algebraic form of $x \times x$.

$$\partial(x \times x) = 1 \times x + x \times 1$$

The values can be either $L(\hat{1} \hat{\times} x)$ or $R(x \hat{\times} \hat{1})$

⁶or more generally by the Leibniz rule

Derivative of a Product

The derivative of a product is described by the product rule⁶.

$$\partial(f \times g) = \partial f \times g + f \times \partial g$$

If we take the algebraic form of $x \times x$.

$$\partial(x \times x) = 1 \times x + x \times 1$$

The values can be either $L(\hat{1} \hat{\times} x)$ or $R(x \hat{\times} \hat{1})$

This represents that we can either be in the left side of a product or the right hand side.

⁶or more generally by the Leibniz rule

Derivative of a Sum

The derivative of a sum is defined by the following rule.

$$\partial(f + g) = \partial f + \partial g$$

Derivative of a Sum

The derivative of a sum is defined by the following rule.

$$\partial(f + g) = \partial f + \partial g$$

If we take the algebraic form of $x + 1$.

$$\partial(x + 1) = 1 + 0$$

Table of Contents

Overview of Algebra

Algebraic Data Structures

Zippers

Derivatives

Dissection

Dissection is a generalization of derivatives

When we calculate the derivative of a type, we don't differentiate the values to the left of the hole from the values to the right.

Dissection is a generalization of derivatives

When we calculate the derivative of a type, we don't differentiate the values to the left of the hole from the values to the right.

Take for example a *List*.

$$\partial \text{List } a = (\text{List } a, \text{List } a) \quad \text{-- the same as Zipper}$$

Dissection is a generalization of derivatives

When we calculate the derivative of a type, we don't differentiate the values to the left of the hole from the values to the right.

Take for example a *List*.

$$\partial List\ a = (List\ a, List\ a) \quad \text{-- the same as Zipper}$$

But for dissection, we note those values that have already been processed differently from those yet to be seen.

Dissection follows the same rules

Dissection follows the same rules.

$$\Delta K = 0$$

$$\Delta Id = 1$$

$$\Delta(p + q) = \Delta p + \Delta q$$

$$\Delta(p \times q) = \Delta p \times \lrcorner q + \lrcorner p \times \Delta q$$

Dissection follows the same rules

Dissection follows the same rules.

$$\Delta K = 0$$

$$\Delta Id = 1$$

$$\Delta(p + q) = \Delta p + \Delta q$$

$$\Delta(p \times q) = \Delta p \times \lrcorner q + \lrcorner p \times \Delta q$$

What is \lrcorner and \lrcorner ?

Dissection follows the same rules

Dissection follows the same rules.

$$\Delta K = 0$$

$$\Delta Id = 1$$

$$\Delta(p + q) = \Delta p + \Delta q$$

$$\Delta(p \times q) = \Delta p \times \backslash q + \angle p \times \Delta q$$

What is \angle and \backslash ?

\angle labels the values in the type as having been processed,

Dissection follows the same rules

Dissection follows the same rules.

$$\Delta K = 0$$

$$\Delta Id = 1$$

$$\Delta(p + q) = \Delta p + \Delta q$$

$$\Delta(p \times q) = \Delta p \times \lrcorner q + \lrcorner p \times \Delta q$$

What is \lrcorner and \lrcorner ?

\lrcorner labels the values in the type as having been processed,

\lrcorner labels the values in the type as having yet to be processed.

Dissection of a List

What if we want to dissect a *List*? Remember the derivative.

$$\partial(\text{List } a) = (\text{List } a, \text{List } a)$$

Dissection of a List

What if we want to dissect a *List*? Remember the derivative.

$$\partial(\text{List } a) = (\text{List } a, \text{List } a)$$

It is the same as *Zipper* but where we annotate the parts of the list we have already seen ($\angle(\text{List } a)$) and those we have yet to see ($\Delta(\text{List } a)$).

$$\Delta(\text{List } a) = (\angle(\text{List } a), \Delta(\text{List } a))$$

Dissection of a List

What if we want to dissect a *List*? Remember the derivative.

$$\partial(\text{List } a) = (\text{List } a, \text{List } a)$$

It is the same as *Zipper* but where we annotate the parts of the list we have already seen ($\angle(\text{List } a)$) and those we have yet to see ($\Delta(\text{List } a)$).

$$\Delta(\text{List } a) = (\angle(\text{List } a), \Delta(\text{List } a))$$

If we had used the same label in the dissection, we get the derivative.

$$\Delta(\text{List } a) = (\angle(\text{List } a), \angle(\text{List } a)) \cong ((\text{List } a), \text{List } a))$$

What have we learned today?

- How to describe an algebraic structure (\mathcal{S}, Op) and monoids.

What have we learned today?

- How to describe an algebraic structure (\mathcal{S}, Op) and monoids.
- How $\cdot + \cdot$ and $\cdot \times \cdot$ each form monoids, and together form a closed semiring.

What have we learned today?

- How to describe an algebraic structure (\mathcal{S}, Op) and monoids.
- How $\cdot + \cdot$ and $\cdot \times \cdot$ each form monoids, and together form a closed semiring.
- How to take the derivative of a type, giving a one hole context.

What have we learned today?

- How to describe an algebraic structure (\mathcal{S}, Op) and monoids.
- How $\cdot + \cdot$ and $\cdot \times \cdot$ each form monoids, and together form a closed semiring.
- How to take the derivative of a type, giving a one hole context.
- How to take the dissection of a type, giving a one hole context parameterized with where you have been before.

Table of Contents

Data Types a la Carte

Identity Data Type

We can define an identity data type that only holds a single value.⁷

```
data Id1 x = Id1 x  -- element
```

We note that this can be a functor with the following definition.

```
instance Functor Id1 where  
    fmap f (Id1 x) = Id1 (f x)
```

⁷All definitions are originally from [?]

Constant Data Type

```
data  $K_1$  a x =  $K_1$  a  -- constant
```

This is the same as the *const* function. $const\ x :: b \rightarrow a$ is a function that ignores its input.

We note that this can also be a functor.

```
instance Functor ( $K_1$  a) where  
    fmap f ( $K_1$  a) =  $K_1$  a
```

Pairs

Data types can be defined by the product of two other types.
Often this appears as the following.

```
data Pair a b = Pair a b
```

We can write this generically as follows.

```
data  $p \times_1 q$   $x = (p\ x, q\ x)_1$   -- pairing
```

And again we note that this can be a functor.

```
instance (Functor p, Functor q)  $\Rightarrow$  Functor  $p \times_1 q$  where  
    fmap  $f\ (p, q)_1 = (fmap\ f\ p, fmap\ f\ q)_1$ 
```

We can also construct a data type that chooses between one of two alternates.

```
data Either a b = Left a | Right b
```

We can write this generically as follows.

```
data  $p +_1 q$   $x = L_1 (p\ x) \mid R_1 (q\ x)$   -- choice, aka Either
```

And again we note that this can be a functor.

```
instance (Functor p, Functor q)  $\Rightarrow$  Functor  $p +_1 q$  where  
  fmap  $f$  ( $L_1\ p$ ) =  $L_1$  (fmap  $f$   $p$ )  
  fmap  $f$  ( $R_1\ q$ ) =  $R_1$  (fmap  $f$   $q$ )
```

If we think hard, we can think of a type that can only be constructed in one manner.⁸

type *Unit* = ()

This can be encoded in our generic patterns using K_1 .

type $1_1 = K_1$ ()

$1_v = K_1$ ()

⁸Remember that the only inhabitant of *Unit* is *Unit*. We ignore \perp .

Zero?

What if I want to define a type that cannot be inhabited at all? I would want something like the following.

```
data 0 = ???
```

Well we can make an uninhabited type in the following way.

```
data 01
```

This means we can never call the following function, which is probably a good thing. :-)

Recreating Maybe

Using this construction, we can recreate *Maybe*

```
type Maybe a = (11 +1 Id1) a
```

```
nothing = L1 (K1 ())
```

```
just x   = R1 (Id1 x)
```

Constructing a value is pretty simple.

```
val = just 5 :: Maybe Integer
```

And note that *Maybe* already has a definition of *fmap*!

```
newVal = fmap (4+) val  -- ≡ just 9
```

Back to Monoids

Remember that a monoid (\mathcal{S}, Op) has to have a closed, associative binary operator with an identity element.

A *semiring* is when two monoids are defined over the same set, have two different identity elements, and one of the monoids commutes (+). We write this as follows.

$$(\mathcal{S}, +, 1, \cdot, 0)$$

Product Types

Remember that the $\cdot \times_1 \cdot$ type is defined as follows.

```
data  $p \times_1 q$   $x = (p\ x, q\ x)_1$   -- pairing
```

If we define the set of all Haskell types \mathcal{H} , then

List

If we attempt to make a list with this formulation, then we could write the following.

type $ListF\ a = 1_1 +_1 K_1\ a \times_1 Id_1$

We would like a list that contained $ListF$, but if we try

type $List = ListF\ List$

we get an infinite type. To fix this, we need to "tie the knot".

data $\mu p = \hat{\mu}\ p\ (\mu\ p)$

And now we can define our list as follows.

type $List\ a = \mu\ (ListF\ a)$

$[] = \hat{\mu}\ (L_1\ 1_v)$

$(:) a\ as = \hat{\mu}\ (R_1\ (K_1\ a, Id_1\ as)_1)$