

SCANDEX - Service-Centric Networking in a Challenged Environment

Arjuna Sathiaseelan, Liang Wang, Andrius Aucinas, Gareth Tyson*, Jon Crowcroft

N4D Lab <u>liang.wang@cl.cam.ac.uk</u>

Cambridge University, UK *Queen Mary University of London, UK

Content

- Background from content to service caching.
- Motivation why do we need service caching?
- SCANDEX Description behaviors, key components and etc.
- Challenges service abstraction, synchronisation and etc.
- Conclusion



From Static Content to Dynamic Service

- Internet is for disseminating information efficiently.
- Our network architecture originates from P-to-P paradigm.
- What should be the next move on research agenda?
 - Information should **not** only refer to static content.
 - \circ Recursive definition: Information = f(Information).
 - f is service which filters, edits, combines existing information to provide new information.
- Ideal Internet should be Information-Centric + Delay-Tolerant.



Real-life Needs for Service Caching

- Better localised communication: latency, bandwidth, availability ...
- Better control on sharing conventional static content.
- Flexible policy configuration but with simpler architecture.
- Key services in emergency and disaster scenarios.
- Efficient access to popular Internet cloud-based services.

Let's push the services to network edge.



Challenges in A Challenged Environment

- From content to service a 'small' but non-trivial step.
- Unpredictable nature of DIY networks.
- Which services should be migrated?
- When should they be migrated?
- Can cached services continue to operate without remote connectivity?
- How should state be managed?




SCANDEX - Key System Components

- Service Execution Gateway executes services.
- Forwarding Node forwards requests and caches services.
- Edge Gateway interconnects different domains.
- Broker service registration and resolution.
- Note multiple components can be multiplexed on one node.



A Utopia for Service-Centric Networking

SCANDEX depicts a utopia of SCN.





System Features

- SCANDEX is a strawman architecture which describes how the system should behave instead of defining protocols.
- Everything is a service, including static content.
- System supports multiple transmission strategies: IP, DTN ...
- System intelligently chooses the most suitable strategy.



Questions Instead of Solutions

E.g., how to handle dynamics, predictability, cost, scalability, audit, and etc. More specifically,

- How to define edge? Network boxes or end-user devices?
- How to differentiate from NFV (network func virtualisation)?
- What naming scheme to use? Flat or hierarchical?
- How to do service resolution? I.e., registration & discovery.




Design Challenges - Synchronisation

- Abstraction: algo + data. In practice, what is it?
- Classification: computation vs. data intensive.
- Caching: singleton vs. multi-instance.
- Synchronisation: stateless vs. stateful.
 - Service-level synchronization semantics.
 - System is only responsible for coordination.



Design Challenges - Dependency

- A hard decision → atomic & meta vs. composition.
- Dependency is modelled as a DAG.
 - How many types of cost? Computation, traffic and etc.
 - Who should resolve the dependency?





Other Challenges

- Transmission strategy selection topology- and context-aware?
- Distributed authentication connection- or service-based?
- Service instantiation where and how?
- Caching strategy proactive or passive?



Summary

- Localised communication requires us to shift from content caching to service-caching.
- SCANDEX defines the high-level system behaviors.
- We defined the key system components and their functions.
- We presented design challenges such service abstraction, synchronisation, dependency, caching etc., and discussed the possible solutions



Thank you. Questions?

... or ...

Solutions?

