

MA442 FINAL EXAM

RYAN WANS

Problem 1 (Mappings Between $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m, n \neq m$). My solutions to parts (a)-(d) follow.

- (a) Suppose for the sake of contradiction that f is injective. Then by continuity of f , connectedness is preserved, so by connectedness of \mathbb{R}^2 , we know $f(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is connected, and thus an interval in \mathbb{R} . By injectivity, $f(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is dense in \mathbb{R} , and thus has nonempty interior by connectedness. Choose any $y \in f(\mathbb{R}^2)^\circ$ with respective $x = f^{-1}(y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Uniqueness guaranteed by injectivity.

Claim 1.1. $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{x\}$ is connected.

Proof. Take any distinct $a = (a_1, a_2)$ and $b = (b_1, b_2)$ in $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{x\}$. They are path connected by the path $(a_1, a_2) \rightarrow (a_1, b_2) \rightarrow (b_1, b_2)$. If x lies anywhere in this path, take instead the path given by $(a_1, a_2) \rightarrow (b_1, a_2) \rightarrow (b_1, b_2)$. The two paths only common points are a and b themselves, which are by definition not equal to x . Thus one can always be taken, so $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{x\}$ is path connected, and thus also connected in general. \square

By the injectivity assumption, $f(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{x\}) = f(\mathbb{R}^2) \setminus \{y\}$, and since y was assumed to be an interior point of the image interval, f is mapping a connected set (by Claim 1.1) to a disconnected set (two intervals), which is a contradiction, so f cannot be injective.

- (b) Consider now any general $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ of class C^1 where $m < n$. Then $\text{rank}[Df(x)] = r \leq m < n$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We invoke the Rank Theorem. Suppose for sake of contradiction that f is injective and take any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with respective local neighborhood $x \in V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. By Rank Theorem (iii), we know that there exists C^1 diffeomorphisms $\sigma: V \rightarrow V'$ and $\tau: Z \rightarrow Z'$ in \mathbb{R}^n and \mathbb{R}^m , respectively, such that $f(x) = \tau^{-1} \circ g(x)$ where $g(x) = \iota_r \circ \sigma(x)$, and

$$\iota_r(y_1, \dots, y_r, y_{r+1}, \dots, y_n) = (y_1, \dots, y_r, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^m.$$

Since f is injective over \mathbb{R}^n and thus $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we have that $f(x_1) = f(x_2) \implies x_1 = x_2$ for $x_1, x_2 \in V$. Since τ and thus τ^{-1} are diffeomorphic, they are injective, and thus

$$f(x_1) = f(x_2) \iff \tau^{-1} \circ g(x_1) = \tau^{-1} \circ g(x_2) \iff g(x_1) = g(x_2)$$

such that g must also be injective over V . Then since σ^{-1} is also a diffeomorphism, $g = \iota_r \circ \sigma \iff \iota_r = g \circ \sigma^{-1}$ composition of injective functions, so ι_r itself is injective over $\sigma(V)$. This of course is a contradiction since, for example,

$$\iota_r(y_1, \dots, y_r, y_{r+1}, \dots, y_n) = \iota_r(y_1, \dots, y_r, y_{r+1} + \epsilon, \dots, y_n + \epsilon) = (y_1, \dots, y_r, 0, \dots, 0).$$

where $\epsilon > 0$ is chosen such that both points are distinct and lie in $\sigma(V)$, which can be done since $\sigma(V)$ is open by property of diffeomorphisms and V open in \mathbb{R}^n . Thus it must be that f cannot be injective over V . Since we can apply this to any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with the existence of open $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ guaranteed by the Rank Theorem, f cannot be injective over all of \mathbb{R}^n .

- (c) Take any $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ to be of class C^1 . We construct a new function $g: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^2$ is open and thus $g(x, y) = f(x)$ for any $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Since we have that

$$[Dg] = \begin{bmatrix} D_1 f_1 & D_2 f_1 \\ D_1 f_2 & D_2 f_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} D_1 f_1 & 0 \\ D_1 f_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and each of $D_1 f_1, D_1 f_2$ is continuous since f is $C^1(\mathbb{R})$, then g is also $C^1(\Omega)$. Then the critical set $\Sigma = \{x \in \Omega \mid \det[Dg(x)] = 0\} = \Omega$ since $\det[Dg]$ is trivially zero. In other words, the entire domain of g is the critical set of g . By Sard's Theorem, for any $K \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ compact, we have that $g(K \cap \Sigma) = g(K)$ has Jordan content zero and $g(\mathbb{R}^2) = f(\mathbb{R})$ has measure zero. Accordingly, for any $K \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ compact and K_x its projection onto the x -axis, $g(K) = f(K_x)$ has Jordan content zero. Now to the main results of the problem.

Claim 1.2. $f(\mathbb{R})$ contains no open sets in \mathbb{R}^2 .

Proof. Suppose $A \subset f(\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is open. Then for any $x \in A$, there exists some $\delta > 0$ such that $B_\delta(x) \subset A$ and we can even take an inscribed closed cube $Q_\epsilon(x) \subset B_\delta(x)$ of side length $\epsilon = \frac{\delta}{4} > 0$ centered at x . Of course $Q_\epsilon(x)$ is Jordan measurable (2-cell) with nonzero Jordan content of $\epsilon^2 > 0$. But $Q_\epsilon(x) \subset A$ means that $f^{-1}(Q_\epsilon(x)) \subset f^{-1}(A)$ where f^{-1} means the set of preimages/fiber, not the inverse. Since f is C^1 , by preservation of compactness, then $f^{-1}(Q_\epsilon(x))$ was originally compact. But we know that if $f^{-1}(Q_\epsilon(x))$ is compact, then $f \circ f^{-1}(Q_\epsilon(x))$ has Jordan content zero by Sard, which is a contradiction. \square

From Claim 1.2, we know that $f(\mathbb{R})$ has empty interior. Alas, \mathbb{R}^2 has a very much nonempty interior, so $f(\mathbb{R})$ cannot be \mathbb{R}^2 , and the f cannot be surjective. Another approach is to consider any open set in \mathbb{R}^2 , where we've shown there cannot exist a suitable preimage in \mathbb{R} such that f maps to this open set. Thus f cannot be surjective.

- (d) Consider now any general C^1 function $f: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ with $m < n$. We construct again our function $g: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $g(x_1, \dots, x_m, \dots, x_n) = f(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ with $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$. From our previous analysis, we easily observe that $\Sigma = \{x \in \Omega \mid \det[Dg(x)] = 0\} = \mathbb{R}^n$ since $\text{rank}[Dg(x)] = \text{rank}[Df(x)] \leq m < n$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Moreover, g is also C^1 by continuity of it's derivative as a function of Df . By Sard's Theorem, for any $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ compact, we know that $g(K) = f(K_x)$ has Jordan content zero for K_x the projection of K into \mathbb{R}^m . Claim 1.2 is easily modified such that if $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an open image under $f(\mathbb{R}^m)$, one can construct a closed n -cell $Q_\epsilon(x) \subset A$ of content $\epsilon^n > 0$ for $x \in A$, contradicting our result from Sard since the preimage of $Q_\epsilon(x)$ is compact in \mathbb{R}^n and thus \mathbb{R}^m . Finally, we use this to say that $f(\mathbb{R}^m)^\circ$ is empty, so for any $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ open, there does not exist a preimage $A' \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $A' \mapsto A$ under f , so it is not surjective.

Problem 2 (Centroids). My solutions to parts (a)-(d) follow.

- (a) Let $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ have nonzero content $v(S) > 0$, which we've previously shown to mean that S° is nonempty. Suppose that $h(S) = S$ where h is as given in the problem, that is, S is symmetric over $x_k = 0$ for some k . Since S has content, $h : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is bijective and of class C^∞ with $\det Dh = -1 \neq 0$, and $x_k : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, we apply Weak Change of Variables

$$c_k(S) = c_k(h(S)) = \frac{1}{v(h(S))} \int_{h(S)} x_k = \frac{1}{v(h(S))} \int_S (x_k \circ h) | -1 | = \frac{1}{v(h(S))} \int_S -x_k = -c_k(S)$$

This condition is only satisfied if $c_k(S) = 0$. Since S° is nonempty, we know that the set $S_k = \{x_k \mid (x_1, \dots, x_k, \dots, x_n) \in S\}$ is also nonempty and has nonzero $(n-1)$ -dimensional content. Since the action of h is just a sign flip, we conclude that $v(h(S)) = v(S)$.

- (b) Let $L = Ax + B$ be an invertible affine transformation over \mathbb{R}^n . By linearity, L is of class C^∞ and bijective. Moreover, invertibility implies A must have full rank, and thus $DL = A$ is also full rank and thus bijective with $\det DL \neq 0$. We again invoke Weak Change of Variables such that

$$c(LS) = \frac{1}{v(LS)} \int_{LS} x = \frac{1}{v(LS)} \int_S (x \circ L) |J_L| = \frac{1}{v(LS)} \int_S L |J_L|$$

where $v(LS) = v(AS + B) = v(AS) = |J_A|v(S)$ by translation invariance of Jordan content and transformation of S under linear mapping A . Thus we get

$$c(LS) = \frac{1}{|J_A|v(S)} \int_S L |J_A| = \frac{1}{v(S)} \int_S L = B + \frac{A}{v(S)} \int_S x = Lc(S)$$

by linearity of the integral.

- (c) Define the parameterization $\phi(x, y) = (1-y)(x, 0) + yp$ such that $\phi : \bar{A} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow S$ and is injective over the domain $\bar{A} \times [0, 1)$, a restriction of ϕ to $y \neq 1$. We thus claim that ϕ is injective over the interior of the domain of ϕ . We recognize that our Jacobian J_ϕ has the form

$$J_\phi = \begin{bmatrix} (1-y)I_{n-1} & \mathbf{p} \\ \mathbf{0} & p_n \end{bmatrix}$$

where \mathbf{p} is just the first $(n-1)$ coordinates of p . By assumption, $p_n > 0$ and over the interior of the domain of y , so is $(1-y)$. Thus by it's upper triangular form, we conclude that $\det J_\phi$ is nonzero, and thus diffeomorphic over the interior of the domain of ϕ . So ϕ is injective and smooth over this domain. We now express $v(S)$ in terms of $v(A)$ to show that it has content which is nonzero. By differentiability of ϕ and it's injectivity, we can invoke Strong Change of Variables since the set of x with $J_\phi(x) = 0$ has content zero in \mathbb{R}^n . Thus we get that

$$v(S) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbb{1}_S = \int_{\phi(A, [0, 1])} 1 = \int_A (1 \circ \phi) |J_\phi| = |J_\phi| \int_A 1 = |J_\phi| v(A)$$

where of course $v(A) > 0$ by assumption and $|J_\phi| > 0$ by nondegeneracy. Hence the centroid must be defined. In fact, we can go further to say that since $|J_\phi| = p_n(1-y)^{n-1}$, and $\int_{[0, 1]} (1-y)^{n-1} dy = \frac{1}{n}$, then we get $v(S) = \frac{p_n}{n} v(A)$.

- (d) We start with

$$c(S) = \frac{1}{v(S)} \int_S x dx$$

and use our parameterization from part (c) to split this integral into

$$\begin{aligned} c(S) &= \int_{[0, 1]} \int_A (1-y)(a, 0) y^{n-1} da dy + \int_{[0, 1]} \int_A y p y^{n-1} da dy \\ &= \left(\int_{[0, 1]} (1-y) y^{n-1} dy \right) \left(\int_A (a, 0) da \right) + \left(\int_{[0, 1]} y^n dy \right) \left(\int_A p da \right) \end{aligned}$$

where we can do some computations to get that $\int_{[0, 1]} (1-y) y^{n-1} dy = \frac{1}{n(n+1)}$ and $\int_{[0, 1]} y^n dy = \frac{1}{n+1}$. Now we do some algebra (running out of time) and get $c(S) = \frac{1}{n+1} (c(A), 0) + \frac{n}{n+1} p$.

Ran out of time due to 5 other finals :(

Problem 3 (Orientable Manifolds). My solutions to parts (a)-(c) follow.

- (a) Let M be any n -dimensional manifold in \mathbb{R}^n of class C^r and consider any arbitrary atlas \mathcal{A} of M with charts $\alpha_i: U_i \rightarrow V_i$. By definition (Munkres), each $\text{rank } D\alpha_i(x) = n$ for $x \in U_i$ and, by homeomorphic property of α_i , each α_i^{-1} has similar rank for $y \in \alpha_i(U_i)$. By a trivial linear algebra result, we know then that each $\det D\alpha_i$ and $\det D\alpha_i^{-1}$ do not vanish over U_i and $\alpha(U_i)$, respectively. We now construct our new atlas \mathcal{A}' as follows. Consider any arbitrary chart $\alpha_0 \in \mathcal{A}$; this will serve as our “reference chart”, or “reference orientation” for M . Take now any α_i for which $V_0 \cap V_i$ is nonempty and consider the corresponding transition map $\alpha_0^{-1} \circ \alpha_i$. By chain rule, we know that

$$D(\alpha_0^{-1} \circ \alpha_i) = D(\alpha_0^{-1}(\alpha_i)) \cdot D\alpha_i \implies \det D(\alpha_0^{-1} \circ \alpha_i) = \det D(\alpha_0^{-1}(\alpha_i)) \cdot \det D\alpha_i =: \Pi_i$$

each of which we’ve shown above is nonsingular, so Π_i has sign. If $\Pi_i > 0$, great, we append α_i into our new \mathcal{A}' and can move on to another α that intersects one of α_0 or α_i to repeat the algorithm. If $\Pi_i < 0$, we can alter α_i as follows. Let $\tilde{\alpha}_i = \alpha_i \circ R$ be our new chart which is precomposed with some reflection R . By property of orthogonal matrices and, specifically, reflections, we know $\det R = -1$ and R is smooth, so $\det D\tilde{\alpha}_i = \det(D\alpha_i(R)) \cdot \det DR = -\det D\alpha_i$ by property of determinants, chain rule, and the derivative of a linear function. So thus $\det D(\alpha_0^{-1} \circ \tilde{\alpha}_i) = \tilde{\Pi}_i > 0$, so our new chart preserves the chosen orientation of α_0 , and we append $\tilde{\alpha}_i$ into our new \mathcal{A}' . We now wrap up by showing that such an R will always exist when needed.

Claim 3.1. For any $W = V_i \cap V_j \subset M$, an appropriate reflection R can be found.

Proof. Consider first when $W \cap \partial M$ is empty. Then W lies fully within the interior of M , in which case *any reflection R will suffice*. Consider $\alpha: U \rightarrow V$. Then $\tilde{\alpha}$, will no longer send $U \mapsto V$, but instead $R^{-1}U \mapsto V$. In other words, we are free to alter the domain of α so long as we preserve its image V in M . Thus, $\tilde{\alpha}(R^{-1}U) = \alpha \circ R \circ R^{-1}U = \alpha \circ U = V$ with the additional structure that we have flipped the sign of the Jacobian’s determinant.

Now consider if $W \cap \partial M$ is nonempty. Then for our $\alpha: U \rightarrow V$, we have that U is relatively open in \mathbb{H}^n . We can then trivially take R to be the reflection across the axis along \mathbf{e}_n , where $R: \mathbb{H}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^n$ and $\partial\mathbb{H}^n \mapsto \partial\mathbb{H}^n$ with preservation of how U interacts (intersects) with $\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \{0\}$. By the same properties as before, such $\tilde{\alpha}$ will preserve the image V while alternating the sign of the Jacobian’s determinant. \square

With this, we simply iterate over every pairwise intersecting charts such that \mathcal{A}' has the same number of charts as \mathcal{A} , but such that for every $\alpha_i, \alpha_j \in \mathcal{A}'$, we get $\det D(\alpha_i^{-1} \circ \alpha_j) > 0$. It’s somewhat important that we start with charts that intersect with α_0 and then only move “one intersection away” such that the next iteration has intersection with a chart from the previous iteration. Thus we have constructed an atlas \mathcal{A}' that makes M orientable.

- (b) Let M be now a k -dimensional manifolds in \mathbb{R}^n of class C^r which is orientable and whose boundary is nonempty. By orientability, we can take an atlas \mathcal{A} of M that satisfies the orientable condition. Now consider any $p \in \partial M$ such that WLOG there are two $\alpha_1: U_1 \rightarrow V_1$ and $\alpha_2: U_2 \rightarrow V_2$ such that $p \in V_1 \cap V_2 = W$. By proposition in class, we know that, in both U_1 and U_2 , we have $\alpha_1^{-1}(p) \in U_1 \cap \partial\mathbb{H}^k$ and $\alpha_2^{-1}(p) \in U_2 \cap \partial\mathbb{H}^k$. Consider the transition map $\tau: \alpha_2^{-1} \circ \alpha_1$ which is a homeomorphism of class C^r . By the orientability of M , we know $\det D\tau > 0$. Then if $W_1 = \alpha_1^{-1}(W)$ and $W_2 = \alpha_2^{-1}(W)$, then we have that

$$W_1 \cap \partial\mathbb{H}^k \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} W \cap \partial M \xrightarrow{\alpha_2^{-1}} W_2 \cap \partial\mathbb{H}^k$$

is the action of τ . Thus if we consider the restrictions of α_1 to $W_1 \cap \partial\mathbb{H}^k$ and α_2 to $W_2 \cap \partial\mathbb{H}^k$, say β_1 and β_2 , then $\beta_2^{-1} \circ \beta_1 = \tau|_{W_1 \cap \partial\mathbb{H}^k}$ is a transition map of ∂M around p . Since τ is C^r and $\det D\tau > 0$, then it’s restriction τ' to the $(k-1)$ -dimensional subspace $\partial\mathbb{H}^k \cong \mathbb{R}^{k-1}$ shares the same determinant sign. This comes from the fact this our restriction τ' is nothing but the $(k-1) \times (k-1)$ principle minor of $D\tau$, which since $\det D\tau > 0$, all principle minor determinant will also be positive. Hence, τ' is a transition map over ∂M which inherents the same positive Jacobian determinant property as it’s parent τ . Thus, using our atlas \mathcal{A} of orientable M , we’ve induced the orientability of ∂M through the transition maps over M .

- (c) Select any point $p = (a, b) \in M \subset \mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ on the level set M . By assumption, we know that $Dg(p)$ has full rank of $n - k$ at any such p . This allows us to define the bijection

$$L_2(v): \{0\}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k} \cong \mathbb{R}^{n-k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$$

such that $L_2(v) = Dg(p)(0, v)$ where Dg is of class C^{r-1} by $g \in C^r$. From the Implicit Function Theorem (Bartle 41.9), we know then that there exists an open $W \subset \mathbb{R}^k$, $a \in W$ and unique function $\phi: W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ of class $C^r(W)$ such that $b = \phi(a)$ and $g(x, \phi(x)) = 0$ for $x \in W$. Thus locally, the level set $g^{-1}(0)$ is the graph of ϕ in W . Also from the theorem, we get that there exists an open $V \subset \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ containing p that satisfies $g(x, \phi(x)) = 0$ if $x \in W$ and $(x, \phi(x)) \in V$. Then we can construct the homeomorphic chart

$$\alpha(x) = (x, \phi(x)), \quad \alpha: W \rightarrow V \ni p$$

where, since V is more generally open in \mathbb{R}^n , we have that V is relatively open in M . Also, α is a Cartesian product of a C^∞ function and C^r function, so is of class C^r . Let \mathcal{A} be the atlas of all such charts α which allow us to define M as an $(n - k)$ -dimensional manifold of class C^r . Let $\psi = (\alpha^{-1}, g): V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ where $\alpha^{-1}: V \rightarrow W$ by simply taking $\alpha^{-1}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$ and $g: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ as defined before. Then ϕ is trivially of class C^r by differentiability of its constituents. Since $\psi(x, y) = (x, g(x, y))$, we have

$$D\psi(x, y) = \begin{bmatrix} I_k & \mathbf{0} \\ * & Dg_y(x, y) \end{bmatrix}$$

where, since $Dg_y(x, y)$ was initially assumed to have full rank, ψ has full rank. The first principle minor block trivially has determinant 1, and thus since $D\psi$ is lower triangular, $\det D\psi$ is fully determined by $\det Dg_y(x, y)$, which is constant from the definition of g . Thus if the sign of $D\psi$ is not to our liking (negative) we can trivially flip it using some reflection R as in the techniques from part (b).

Ran out of time due to 5 other finals :(