Problem Set 2

ECON 316 — Game Theory — Fall 2021

Due by Wednesday September 22

Concepts

1.	Define Nash Equilibrium,	and describe	three	separate	methods	that	we	can	use	to	find	a N	lash	equilib-
	rium in simultaneous gam	ies.												

2. List the four types of coordination games and describe the major features of each—such as the general types of outcomes, preferences of the players over the outcomes, and the Nash equilibria. Drawing payoff matrices may help, but is not required.

3.	Explain what "focal points" are, and their role in coordination games.	
4.	Describe the concept of $\it rationalizability$. How is it different from Nash equilibrium?	

Problems

5. A university is contemplating whether to build a new lab or a new theater on campus. The science faculty would rather see a new lab built, and the humanities faculty would prefer a new theater. However, the funding for the project (whichever it may turn out to be) is contingent on unanimous support from the faculty. If there is disagreement, neither project will go forward, leaving each group with no new building and their worst payoff. The meetings of the two separate faculty groups on which proposal to support occur simultaneously, with payoffs given in the following table:

			Humanities Faculty					
			Lab		Theater			
	Lab	4		0				
Science Faculty			2		0			
raculty	Theater	0		1				
			0		5			

- a. Find any and all Nash equilibria of this game.
- b. Which type of coordination game is this situation most similar to? Explain your reasoning.

- 6. Suppose it's the early 2000's and two Hollywood studios Sony Pictures and Paramount Pictures are each holding separate board meetings to strategize on how to keep up with the latest video technology. Two new advanced DVD standards are emerging on the market, HD-DVD and Blu Ray. Both firms would like to adopt a standard such that all other firms are using the same standard.
- a. Suppose there is no clear standard emerging yet, and no firms have any strong preferences. What type of game is this? Draw the payoff matrix and find all Nash equilibria.
- b. Suppose both firms hear rumors that another top film studio, Warner Brothers, has already committed to distributing its movies on HD-DVDs. If Sony and Paramount again must simultaneously choose a standard, what type of game is thus? Draw the new payoff matrix and find all Nash equilibria.
- c. Suppose instead that Sony's parent company actually has a stake in the company that produces Blue Rays. Additionally, Paramount's board are informed that their marketing department has already told all of Paramount's buyers that they have adopted HD-DVD, and reversing would be too embarassing. What type of game is thus? Draw the new payoff matrix and find all Nash equilibria.

- 7. Management and labor for a major transportation company are renegotiating their collective bargaining agreement before the crucial holiday travel season. Both labor and management will benefit from reaching any agreement, and would both suffer if no deal is reached and the company goes out of business. Each party certaintly wants to gain the most concessions from the other. Each can bargain Hard and obtain maximal concessions from the other party, or bargain Soft and ask for minimal concessions. If both bargain Hard, it is impossible for them to reach a deal.
- a. Draw the payoff matrix for this game.
- b. Which type of coordination game is this situation most similar to? Explain your reasoning.
- c. Find any and all Nash equilibria of this game.

8. Find all Nash equilibria for the following games. First, check for dominant strategies. If there are none, solve using iterated elimination of dominant strategies. Describe your process (you can simply mark up the table, but describe what you are eliminating in what order, and why).

Part A

		Colin					
		Le	eft	R	ight		
	Up	4		3			
Rowena			0		1		
	Down	2		1			
			2		3		

Part B

		Colin					
		L	eft	Ri	ght		
	Up	2		5			
Rowena			4		0		
	Down	6		3			
			5		2		

Part C

		Lef	t	Co Mid		R	ight
	Up	1		2		5	
			5		4		1
Rowena	Straight	2		4		3	
			4		3		2
	Down	1		3		3	
			5		3		3

Part D

			Colin	
		Left	Middle	Right
	Up	5	1	3
		2	6	4
Rowena	Straight	6	1	2
		1	6	5
	Down	1	0	0
		6	7	7

Part E

Player 3 chooses Left

Player 3 chooses Right

Player 2

	Left			Right			
Up	5	5	5	3	6	3	
Down	6	3	3	4	4	1	

Player 1

 Up
 3
 3
 6
 1
 4
 4

 Down
 4
 1
 4
 2
 2
 2

Player 2

Player 1

9. Solve the following games, for which there are no strictly dominated strategies. Describe your process (you can simply mark up the table).

Part A

		Colin						
		Lef	t	Mid	dle	Rig	ght	
	North	2		8		7		
			3		2		4	
	South	3		4		6		
Rowena			0		5		4	
	East	10		6		3		
			4		1		9	
	West	4		2		5		
			5		3		2	

Part B

			Co	olin		
	Le	ft	Mic	ddle	Ri	ght
North	1		8		7	
		1		2		4
South	3		9		6	
		0		5		3
East	10		6		3	
		12		1		9
West	4		2		5	
		5		3		4

Rowena

Part C

Player 1

Plaver	3 (chooses	Left

Player 2

Player 1

		Left		Right				
Up	1	2	3	4	4	2		
Down	3	3	4	2	1	1		

Player 3 chooses Right

Player 2

	Left			Right		
Up	1	3	1	3	1	1
Down	2	2	2	4	4	3

10. Consider the following game:

		Colin							
		Left		Middle		Right			
	Up	25		0		0			
			25		0		50		
Rowena	Middle	0		75		0			
			0		75		50		
	Down	50		50		50			
			0		0		50		

- a. Find all Nash equilibria.
- b. Which equilibrium/equilibria is/are Pareto efficient? Why?
- c. Which equilibrium/equilibria is/are $payoff\ dominant?$ Why?
- d. Which equilibrium/equilibria is/are risk dominant? Why?
- e. Which outcome(s) is/are rationalizable, and under what beliefs by each player?