

# 1.7 – Price Elasticity

ECON 306 • Microeconomic Analysis • Fall 2022


Ryan Safner

Associate Professor of Economics

 [safner@hood.edu](mailto:safner@hood.edu)

 [ryansafner/microF22](https://github.com/ryansafner/microF22)

 [microF22.classes.ryansafner.com](https://microF22.classes.ryansafner.com)




# Outline



Price Elasticity of Demand


Price Elasticity of Demand and Revenues

Summing Up Unit 1



# Price Elasticity of Demand

# Price Elasticity of Demand




- **Price elasticity of demand** measures *how much* (in %) quantity demanded changes in response to a (1%) change in price

$$\epsilon_{q_D, p} = \frac{\% \Delta q_D}{\% \Delta p}$$




# Price Elasticity of Demand: Elastic vs. Inelastic



$$\epsilon_{q_D,p} = \frac{\% \Delta q_D}{\% \Delta p}$$


	“Elastic”	“Unit Elastic”	“Inelastic”
<b>Intuitively:</b>	<b>Large</b> response	Proportionate response	<b>Little</b> response
<b>Mathematically:</b>	$ \epsilon_{q_D,p}  > 1$	$ \epsilon_{q_D,p}  = 1$	$ \epsilon_{q_D,p}  < 1$
	Numerator > Denominator	Numerator = Denominator	Numerator < Denominator
<b>1% change in <math>p</math> causes</b>	<b>More than 1% change in <math>q_D</math></b>	<b>Exactly 1% change in <math>q_D</math></b>	<b>Less than 1% change in <math>q_D</math></b>

# Visualizing Price Elasticity of Demand




An identical 50% price cut on an:


“Inelastic” Demand Curve



“Elastic” Demand Curve




# Price Elasticity of Demand Formula



$$\epsilon_{q_D,p} = \frac{\% \Delta q_D}{\% \Delta p}$$




# Price Elasticity of Demand Formula



$$\epsilon_{q,p} = \frac{\% \Delta q}{\% \Delta p} = \frac{\left( \frac{\Delta q}{q} \right)}{\left( \frac{\Delta p}{p} \right)}$$




# Price Elasticity of Demand Formula



$$\epsilon_{q,p} = \frac{\% \Delta q}{\% \Delta p} = \frac{\left( \frac{\Delta q}{q} \right)}{\left( \frac{\Delta p}{p} \right)} = \frac{\Delta q}{\Delta p} \times \frac{p}{q}$$



# Price Elasticity of Demand Formula




$$\epsilon_{q,p} = \frac{\Delta q}{\Delta p} \times \frac{p}{q}$$

- **First term:** *direction* of the effect
  - This is the **price effect!**
  - Always *negative*!
- **Second term:** *magnitude* of the effect
  - Will change depending on  $p$  and  $q$



# Price Elasticity of Demand Formula




$$\epsilon_{q,p} = \frac{\Delta q}{\Delta p} \times \frac{p}{q}$$

- You've learned “arc”-price elasticity using the “**midpoint formula**’ between 2 points
- Here is a more general formula: the **elasticity at any *single* point!**
- We can actually simplify this even more...does the first term remind you of anything?



# Price Elasticity of Demand Formula




$$\epsilon_{q,p} = \frac{1}{\text{slope}} \times \frac{p}{q}$$

- First term is actually the inverse of the slope of the inverse demand curve (that we graph)!
- To find the elasticity at any point, we need 3 things:
  1. The price
  2. The associated quantity demanded
  3. The slope of (inverse) demand



# Example





**Example:** The demand for movie tickets in a small town is given by:

$$q = 1000 - 50p$$

1. Find the inverse demand function.
2. What is the price elasticity of demand at a price of \$5.00?
3. What is the price elasticity of demand at a price of \$12.00?
4. At what price is demand unit elastic (i.e.  $\epsilon_{q,p} = -1$ )?


# Price Elasticity Changes Along the Demand Curve



$$\epsilon_{q,p} = \frac{1}{\text{slope}} \times \frac{p}{q}$$

- **Elasticity  $\neq$  slope** (but they are related)!
- Price elasticity changes along the demand curve
- Gets *less* elastic as  $\downarrow$  price ( $\uparrow$  quantity)
  - $\frac{1}{\text{slope}}$  is constant
  - $\frac{p}{q}$  gets smaller as  $\downarrow p$  and  $\uparrow q$


# Determinants of Price Elasticity of Demand



What determines how responsive your buying behavior is to a price change?


- More (fewer) **substitutes**  $\implies$  more (less) elastic
  - Larger categories of products (less elastic) vs. specific brand (more elastic)
  - **Necessities** (less elastic) vs. **luxuries** (more elastic)
  - Large (more elastic) vs. small (less elastic)  
**portion of budget**
- More (less) **time to adjust**  $\implies$  more (less) elastic





# Price Elasticity of Demand and Revenues

# Price Elasticity of Demand and Revenues



- Price elasticity of demand is closely related to


Revenues ( $R$ )<sup>†</sup>

$$R(q) = pq$$



<sup>†</sup> From the buyer's side, this is **total expenditures**.

# Price Elasticity of Demand and Revenues



- Price elasticity of demand is closely related to  
**Revenues ( $R$ )<sup>†</sup>**


$$R(q) = pq$$

Demand is	$\Delta R$ and $\Delta p$
Elastic $ \epsilon  > 1$	p & R change <b>opposite</b>
Unit Elastic $ \epsilon  = 1$	R <b>maximized</b>
Inelastic $ \epsilon  < 1$	p & R change <b>together</b>



<sup>†</sup> From the buyer's side, this is **total expenditures**.

# Price Elasticity of Demand and Revenues



- Price elasticity of demand is closely related to **Revenues ( $R$ )<sup>†</sup>**


$$R(q) = pq$$

Demand is	$\Delta R$ and $\Delta p$
-----------	---------------------------



Elastic  $|\epsilon| > 1$   $p$  &  $R$  change **opposite**

Unit Elastic  $|\epsilon| = 1$   $R$  **maximized**



Inelastic  $|\epsilon| < 1$   $p$  &  $R$  change **together**





# Revenues: Example I





# Revenues: Example I




# Revenues: Example II




# Revenues: Example II




# Visualizing Price Elasticity of Demand and Revenues




“Inelastic” Demand Curve  
(Agricultural Products)



“Elastic” Demand Curve  
(Computer Chips)




# Price Elasticity and Revenues




$$R(q) = pq$$


$q$	$p$	$R(q)$
0	10	0
1	9	9
2	8	16
3	7	21
4	6	24
<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>25</b>
6	4	24
7	3	21
8	2	16
9	1	9
10	0	0



Revenue max'ed at price where  $\epsilon = -1$

# Price Elasticity and Revenues: Example I



Massive response to sale prompts Build-A-Bear to shut down lines, offer vouchers  
By Gisela Crespo, CNN  
Updated 9:02 PM ET, Thu July 12, 2018

Families went so nuts for a Build-A-Bear sale that stores had to shut down 01:25


**(CNN) —**The "overwhelming" response to a sale event at Build-A-Bear Workshop prompted the retailer to shut down long lines outside stores across the United States and Canada.

Crowds waited outside stores well before opening time on Thursday. The [retailer announced](#) before noon it was cutting off lines and not accepting more customers "due to crowds and safety concerns" from local

"Build-A-Bear announced its Pay Your Age event earlier this week. Customers who show up to the stores can pay their current age for the popular stuffed animals. On Wednesday, the retailer wrote on its Facebook page that it was 'anticipating potential of long lines and wait times.'"

Source: [CNN \(July 2, 2018\)](#)

# Price Elasticity and Revenues: Example II





The screenshot shows a news article from The Wall Street Journal. The headline reads "Big Seats and \$2 Hot Dogs: How the Super Bowl Host Made Football Better to Watch". Below the headline is a sub-headline: "As league-wide attendance ebbs, the Falcons are remaking the stadium experience from wider seats to cheaper eats". The main text discusses the Atlanta Falcons' new stadium, Mercedes-Benz Stadium, which was the site of Super Bowl LIII. It mentions that detailed plans were nearly complete in 2014 when team owner Arthur Blank sat on a model of the seats that would soon fill his billion-dollar venue. The text also notes that Blank sat on an alternative: a 21-inch seat, which was perfect. The design team warned him that if he opted for the wider seat, the stadium would mushroom in size and cost. The article is by Andrew Beaton and Rachel Bachman, published on Feb. 3, 2019, at 8:49 am ET. There are 44 comments. The article includes a photo of the stadium's exterior at dusk.

Source: [Wall Street Journal \(Feb 3, 2019\)](#)

"While leaguewide average attendance dropped .43% this season to its lowest level since 2010, Atlanta's attendance rose for the second season. Mercedes-Benz Stadium and the Falcons have become the model for drawing fans and keeping them happy."


"Instead of charging elevated sums—a long-held industry practice that fans despised—the Falcons would price most of its food at what it sold for on the street...**Prices plunged 50%**. Fans rejoiced. **Although the team made less money on each \$2 hot dog it sold, it made more overall.** Average fan spending per game rose 16%. Atlanta's food services, which ranked 18th in the NFL in the 2016 annual


# Price Elasticity and Revenues: Example III



Cowen & Tabarrok (2014: p.75)



# Price Elasticity and Revenues: Example IV





# Summing Up Unit 1


# Models of Individual Choice I



"All models are lies. The art is telling useful lies." - George Box











- Remember, we're not modelling the **process** by which people **actually** choose
- We're **predicting consequences** (in people's choices) when **parameters change**

# Models of Individual Choice II




- Constrained optimization models are the **main** workhorse model in economics
- All constrained optimization models have three moving parts:
  1. **Choose:** < some alternative >
  2. **In order to maximize:** < some objective >
  3. **Subject to:** < some constraints >

# Models of Individual Choice III



# Applications of Consumer Theory



- See today's [class notes page](#) for some applications of consumer theory:
  1. **Uncertainty:** risky outcomes & insurance
  2. **Exchange:** two individuals trading their endowments, general equilibrium, & Pareto efficiency
  3. **Taxes:** Which is better for consumers, a consumption tax or a (revenue-equivalent) income tax?
  4. **Intertemporal choice:** saving, borrowing, lending, & interest