

1.9 – The Hecksher-Ohlin Model

ECON 324 • International Trade • Spring 2023

Ryan Safner

Associate Professor of Economics

 safner@hood.edu

 [ryansafner/tradeS23](https://github.com/ryansafner/tradeS23)

 tradeS23.classes.ryansafner.com



Outline



Motivations of the Hecksher-Ohlin Model

Assumptions of the H-O Model

Relative Factor Uses and Relative Factor Prices

Running Through Our Two Country Example

Factor Price Equalization

Long-Run Changes to Real Income (Stolper-Samuelson)



Motivations of the Hecksher-Ohlin Model

Extending/Applying the Standard Model





- Explore (some of) the **determinants of comparative advantage**
 - Standard model merely *assumed* comparative advantages via different relative prices across countries
 - What *causes* countries to start with do those different relative prices?
- Explore effect that international trade has on earnings of factors in trading countries
 - We did that with specific factors model
 - Here we do that again with different assumptions



Motivations



- Eli Hecksher was a Swedish economist
- He & his student Bertil Ohlin developed a model to explain international trade
- They were writing during the late 1910s, during the **“golden age of international trade”** before WWI
- Wanted to explain the enormous burst of trade during their lifetimes



L: Eli Hecksher (1879-1952)


R: Bertil Ohlin (1899-1979)

The Golden Age of International Trade



Migration, financial integration and trade openness, World, 1880-1996
This chart shows the evolution of three indicators measuring integration in commodity, labor, and capital markets over the long run.
All indicators are indexed, so that 1900 =100.

Our World
in Data



Note: Commodity market integration is measured by computing the ratio of goods exports to GDP. Labor market integration is measured by dividing the migratory turnover by population. Financial integration is measured using Feldstein-Horioka estimators of current account disconnectedness.

Source: Broadberry and O'Rourke (2010), The Cambridge Economic History of Modern Europe: Volume 2, 1870 to the Present. Cambridge University Press

This is a visualization from OurWorldInData.org, where you find data and research on how the world is changing. Licensed under CC-BY-SA by the authors Esteban Ortiz-Ospina and Diana Beltekian


The Golden Age of International Trade



Our World
in Data

Globalization over 5 centuries

Shown is the "trade openness index". This index is defined as the sum of world exports and imports, divided by world GDP. Each series corresponds to a different source.



Source: Estevadeordal, Frantz, and Taylor (2003), Klasing and Milionis (2014), Penn World Table 10.0

CC BY



CHART

TABLE

SOURCES


DOWNLOAD



The Second Industrial Revolution





- “Second industrial revolution” c.1890-1914, especially in United States
- Massive improvements & innovation in transportation & supply chains
 - railroads, steamships, automobiles, electrification, refrigeration
- Massive increase in international trade until WWI (1914)



Motivations



- Unlike Ricardo: it's not differences in technology/productivity across countries that cause trade
 - can mimic and transfer!
- It's the uneven distribution of resources, the **factors of production**: land, labor, capital



L: Eli Hecksher (1879-1952)

R: Bertil Ohlin (1899-1979)

Differences in Factor Endowments



Relatively **land**
abundant

Exports timber,
agricultural products



Relatively **capital** abundant

Exports services, sophisticated manuf.





Relatively **labor**
abundant

Exports basic manuf.

Hecksher-Ohlin Theory



- **Hecksher-Ohlin (H-O) Theory:** focus on differences in relative abundance of factors of production across countries
 - determines different relative prices and hence comparative advantage
- H-O Theory is often expressed as the combination of **several “theorems”**...





L: Eli Hecksher (1879-1952)

R: Bertil Ohlin (1899-1979)

Hecksher-Ohlin Theorem



1) **Hecksher-Ohlin (H-O) Theorem:** a nation will export the good whose production requires the intensive use of the nation's relatively abundant factor, and import the good whose production requires the intensive use of the nation's relatively scarce factor



L: Eli Hecksher (1879-1952)

R: Bertil Ohlin (1899-1979)

Factor-Price Equalization Theorem




2) Factor Price Equalization (FPE) Theorem:

under certain conditions, international trade tends to bring about equalization in relative and absolute returns to homogeneous factors across nations

3) Stolper-Samuelson Theorem:

in the long run, an increase in the relative price of a good will increase the real earnings of the factor used intensively in that good's production and decrease the earnings of the other factor



L: Eli Hecksher (1879-1952)

R: Bertil Ohlin (1899-1979)




Assumptions of the H-O Model

Assumptions of the H-O Model



- Imagine 2 countries, **Home** and **Foreign**
- Countries have two factors of production:
 - labor (L)
 - capital (K)
- All factors of production are **mobile** (non-specific) **within a country**, but *not internationally*



Setting up an H-O Model Example



- Each country has two industries, **computers (c)** and **shoes (s)**
- **Shoe** production (s) is **relatively labor-intensive**, requiring a *higher* **labor to capital ratio** $\frac{l}{k}$
- **Computer** production (c) is **relatively capital-intensive**, requiring a *lower* **labor to capital ratio** $\frac{l}{k}$

$$\frac{l_c}{k_c} < \frac{l_s}{k_s}$$



Setting up an H-O Model Example



- Foreign is relatively labor-abundant, with a high labor to capital ratio, $\frac{L}{K}$
- Home is relatively capital-abundant, with a low labor to capital ratio, $\frac{L'}{K'}$



$$\frac{L}{K} < \frac{L'}{K'}$$



A Few Simplifying Assumptions



- Both factors are required to produce each good
- Final products are traded freely
- Technology is identical across countries
- Consumer preferences are identical across countries and do not vary with income



L: Eli Hecksher (1879-1952)

R: Bertil Ohlin (1899-1979)

The Two Industries



- **Shoe** production (s) is **relatively labor-intensive good**, requiring a *higher* labor to capital ratio $\frac{l_s}{k_s}$
- **Computer** production (c) is **relatively capital-intensive good**, requiring a *lower* labor to capital ratio $\frac{l_c}{k_c}$
- Key is **relative factor intensity**!
- In absolute terms, computers could need *more* labor to make than shoes, but if computers require more capital *per worker* than shoes, they are *relatively* more capital-intensive (and vice versa)!



The Two Countries



- **Foreign** is **relatively labor-abundant**, with a high labor to capital ratio, $\frac{L}{K}$
- **Home** is **relatively capital-abundant**, with a low labor to capital ratio, $\frac{L}{K}$
- Key is ***relative* factor abundance!**
- In absolute terms, **Home** could have *more* labor than **Foreign**, but if **Foreign** has more labor *per unit of capital* than **Home**, **Foreign** is *relatively* more labor-abundant (and vice versa)!






Relative Factor Uses and Relative Factor Prices

Relative Factor Uses and Relative Factor Prices




- Consider *relative* factor uses and *relative* factor prices
- Note: I'll always do everything in terms of labor (labor-to-capital ratio $\frac{l}{k}$ and labor-to-capital return $\frac{w}{r}$) for consistency
- How much $\frac{l}{k}$ a country uses depends on the relative price of labor $\frac{w}{r}$



Factor Uses and Relative Factor Prices




- A country's *economy-wide relative demand for labor* is an average of the $\frac{l_s}{k_s}$ and $\frac{l_c}{k_c}$ relative labor demand curves



Factor Uses and Relative Factor Prices




- A country's *economy-wide relative demand for labor* is an average of the $\frac{l_s}{k_s}$ and $\frac{l_c}{k_c}$ relative labor demand curves
- A country is endowed with a fixed **relative supply of labor** $\frac{\bar{L}}{K}$



Relative Factor Uses and Relative Factor Prices




- A country's *economy-wide relative demand for labor* is an average of the $\frac{l_s}{k_s}$ and $\frac{l_c}{k_c}$ relative labor demand curves
- A country is endowed with a fixed **relative supply of labor** $\frac{\bar{L}}{K}$
- Intersection of relative supply and relative demand sets country's relative wage rate $\frac{w}{r}$




Different Relative Factor Endowments in Autarky



Home



Foreign



- Foreign relatively more labor-abundant than Home $(\frac{\bar{L}}{\bar{K}})^H < (\frac{\bar{L}}{\bar{K}})^F$
- Thus, Foreign has a lower relative price of labor than Home $(\frac{w}{r})^H > (\frac{w}{r})^F$
 - Hence, Foreign has a comparative advantage in making shoes; Home in computers




Running Our Two Country Example


Our Two Country Trade Example: Autarky



Home



Foreign




- Countries begin in **autarky** optimum with different relative prices
 - A is optimum for **Home**
 - A' is optimum for **Foreign**


Our Two Country Trade Example: Specialization



Home



Foreign




- Home has comparative advantage in computers
- Foreign has comparative advantage in shoes


Our Two Country Trade Example: Specialization



Home



Foreign




- Countries **specialize**: produce *more* of comparative advantaged good, *less* of disadvantaged good
 - **Home**: $A \rightarrow B$: produces more computers, fewer shoes
 - **Foreign**: $A' \rightarrow B'$: produces fewer computers, more shoes


Our Two Country Trade Example: Exports



Home



Foreign




- Home exports computers
- Foreign exports shoes


Our Two Country Trade Example: Imports



Home



Foreign




- Home imports shoes
- Foreign imports computers


Our Two Country Trade Example: Gains from Trade



Home



Foreign



- Both countries exchange their imports & exports and consume at C and C'
- Both reach a higher indifference curve with trade, well beyond their PPFs!




Factor Price Equalization

Relative Price Changes in Home




- Let's look at [Home](#)
- Increase in the relative price of computers from trade
 - decrease in relative price of shoes



Relative Factor Price Changes in Home




- Fixed **relative labor supply** $\frac{\bar{L}}{K}$
- *Decrease in relative labor demand*
 - More demand for capital (for computers)
 - Less demand for labor (for shoes)
- *Lowers relative wages* $\frac{w}{r}$



Relative Price Changes in Foreign




- Let's look at **Foreign**
- Increase in the relative price of shoes from trade
 - decrease in relative price of computers



Relative Factor Price Changes in Foreign




- Fixed **relative labor supply** $\frac{\bar{L}'}{K}'$
- *Increase in relative labor demand*
 - More demand for labor (for shoes)
 - Less demand for capital (for computers)
- *Raises relative wages* $\frac{w}{r}$




Factor Price Equalization



Home



Foreign



- Relative factor prices equalize across both countries (at w_2/r_2)
- Home: \downarrow wages w , \uparrow capital returns r
- Foreign: \uparrow wages w , \downarrow capital returns r

Factor Price Equalization Theorem



- **Factor Price Equalization (FPE) Theorem:**
under certain conditions, international trade tends to bring about equalization in relative and absolute returns to homogeneous factors across nations






Long Run Real Income Changes (Stolper-Samuelson)

Long-Run Real Income Changes: Home



- Real income changes at Home in the **long-run**, when both L and K are mobile:
 - implies factor returns (w and r) must (each) equalize across industries (s and c)
- Increase in the relative price of computers (fall in relative price in shoes) \implies fall in relative price of labor $\frac{w}{r}$ (rise in relative price of capital)
- This implies both industries will use relatively more labor (cheaper) and less capital (more expensive)



Long-Run Real Income Changes: Home



- Using more labor, less capital, in both industries:
- Change in real wages:


$$p_c * MPL_c = w = p_s * MPL_s$$

- $\downarrow MPL_c = \frac{w}{p_c}$ & $\downarrow MPL_s = \frac{w}{p_s}$
- **Real wages fall**

- Change in real income to capital:

$$p_c * MPK_c = r = p_s * MPK_s$$


- $\uparrow MPK_c = \frac{r}{p_c}$ & $\uparrow MPK_s = \frac{r}{p_s}$
- **Real return to capital rises**



Long-Run Real Income Changes: Foreign



- Real income changes at **Foreign** in the **long-run**, when both L and K are mobile:
 - implies factor returns (w and r) must (each) equalize across industries (s and c)
- Increase in the relative price of shoes (fall in relative price in computers) \implies rise in relative price of labor $\frac{w}{r}$ (fall in relative price of capital)
- This implies both industries will use relatively less labor (more expensive) and more capital (cheaper)



Long-Run Real Income Changes: Home



- Using less labor, more capital, in both industries:
- Change in real wages:


$$p_c * MPL_c = w = p_s * MPL_s$$

- $\uparrow MPL_c = \frac{w}{p_c}$ & $\uparrow MPL_s = \frac{w}{p_s}$
- **Real wages rise**

- Change in real income to capital:

$$p_c * MPK_c = r = p_s * MPK_s$$

- $\downarrow MPK_c = \frac{r}{p_c}$ & $\downarrow MPK_s = \frac{r}{p_s}$
- **Real return to capital falls**



Stolper-Samuelson Theorem



- **Stolper-Samuelson Theorem:** in the long run, an increase in the relative price of a good will increase the real earnings of the factor used intensively in that good's production and decrease the earnings of the other factor

