

Method	ArrayList Runtime	LinkedList Runtime	Explanation
get(int index)	$O(1)$	$O(n)$	The ArrayList Big O notation is $O(1)$ because it only has an if else statement and there is no looping involved. The LinkedList Big O notation is $O(n)$ meaning in the worst case scenario is could loop through the whole thing or just loop through the first element. Overall, ArrayList is faster.
rotate(int n)	$O(n^2)$	$O(n)$	The ArrayList Big O notation is $O(n^2)$ because it contains a nested for loop and each for loop in the worst case iterate n times. The LinkedList Big O notation is also $O(n)$ because the for and while loops aren't nested and will run independently. Overall, LinkedList is faster.
merge(List<T>otherList)	$O(n + n)$	$O(n)$	The ArrayList Big O notation is $O(n + n)$ because there are 3 while loops which are not nested. Two of them are $O(n)$ and the first while loop is $O(n + n)$ because it has to loop through this.size() and other.size() making it $O(n + n)$ which dominates over the other two. The LinkedList Big O notation is $O(n)$ since the while loops are running independently and run over the same input data. Overall, LinkedList is faster.
reverse() method.	$O(n/2)$	$O(n)$	The ArrayList Big O notation is $O(n/2)$ because it contains a for loop that in the worst case it will iterate $n/2$ times. The LinkedList Big O notation is $O(n)$ meaning it only has to loop through once. Overall, ArrayList is faster.