

Resources & Further Reading

Annotated Bibliography for Human-AI Partnership in Cybersecurity Education

Table of contents

Human-AI Collaboration & Posthuman Pedagogy	1
Cybersecurity Education Research	3
NICE Framework & Workforce Standards	3
How to Use These Resources	5

This collection supports educators implementing human-AI partnership approaches in cybersecurity education. Each entry includes practical context for K-12 classroom application.

Quick Start: Essential Reading

New to this approach? Start with these three:

1. **Adams & Thompson (2016)** — Practical heuristics for posthuman inquiry in education
2. **Newhouse et al. (2017)** — The NICE Framework foundation document
3. **CYBER.org Standards** — K-12 cybersecurity learning progressions

Human-AI Collaboration & Posthuman Pedagogy

These works provide theoretical and practical foundations for understanding humans and AI as collaborative partners rather than tool-user relationships.

Researching a Posthuman World: Interviews with Digital Objects

Adams, C., & Thompson, T. L. (2016). *Researching a Posthuman World: Interviews with Digital Objects*. Palgrave Pivot.

Empirical

Why This Matters: Introduces eight practical heuristics for investigating human-technology relationships in educational settings. The “interviewing objects” approach directly informs how we frame AI as a team member with its own capabilities and limitations—exactly the perspective these activities develop in students.

[Publisher Link](#)

The Posthuman

Braidotti, R. (2013). *The Posthuman*. Polity Press.

Theoretical

Why This Matters: Foundational text redefining what it means to be human in an age of technological entanglement. Braidotti’s framework helps educators move beyond anthropocentric assumptions—essential for teaching students that effective cybersecurity emerges from human-AI assemblages, not human dominance over tools.

[Publisher Link](#)

Artificial Intelligence in Education: Promises and Implications for Teaching and Learning

Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2019). *Artificial Intelligence in Education: Promises and Implications for Teaching and Learning*. Center for Curriculum Redesign.

Framework

Why This Matters: Comprehensive examination of AI’s role in education with practical implications for classroom implementation. Addresses both opportunities and challenges educators face when integrating AI, including equity considerations relevant to the low-resource implementation options in these activities.

[Publisher Link](#)

Designing Educational Technologies in the Age of AI

Luckin, R., & Cukurova, M. (2019). Designing educational technologies in the age of AI: A learning sciences-driven approach. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 50(6), 2824-2838.

Empirical

Why This Matters: Establishes design principles for educational AI that positions technology as a collaborative partner. Their framework for “intelligence augmentation” aligns directly with the partnership model in these activities, where AI contributes pattern recognition while humans provide contextual judgment.

DOI: [10.1111/bjet.12861](https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12861)

Posthumanism and Educational Research

Snaza, N., & Weaver, J. A. (Eds.). (2014). *Posthumanism and Educational Research*. Routledge.

Theoretical

Why This Matters: Collection exploring how posthumanist theory transforms educational practice. Multiple chapters address technology-mediated learning and challenge traditional human-centered pedagogies—providing theoretical grounding for why “AI as teammate” represents a more accurate and productive framing than “AI as tool.”

[Publisher Link](#)

Cybersecurity Education Research

Research and frameworks specifically addressing cybersecurity education, including K-12 approaches, hands-on learning, and workforce development.

K-12 Cybersecurity Learning Standards

CYBER.org. (2021). *K-12 Cybersecurity Learning Standards* (Version 1.0).

Official

Why This Matters: The definitive K-12 standards document that these activities align with. Provides grade-band progressions and learning objectives that educators can use to connect activities to curriculum requirements. Essential reference for understanding scope and sequence in cybersecurity education.

[CYBER.org Standards](#)

Cybersecurity Education in K-12: An Exploratory Study

Catota, F. E., Morgan, M. G., & Sicker, D. C. (2019). Cybersecurity education in a developing nation: The Ecuadorian environment. *Journal of Cybersecurity*, 5(1), tyz001.

Empirical

Why This Matters: Though focused on Ecuador, this study provides transferable insights into adapting cybersecurity education for resource-constrained environments—relevant for educators implementing these activities in schools with limited technology access.

[DOI: 10.1093/cybsec/tyz001](#)

Integrating Social Engineering into Cybersecurity Curricula

Mitnick, K. D., & Simon, W. L. (2002). *The Art of Deception: Controlling the Human Element of Security*. Wiley.

Framework

Why This Matters: Classic text on the human factors in cybersecurity that AI systems cannot fully address. Understanding social engineering—where human judgment is essential—helps explain why AI remains a partner rather than replacement in security operations, a key concept in Activity 2 (Ethics in Automated Security).

[Publisher Link](#)

NICE Framework & Workforce Standards

Official standards and research connecting cybersecurity education to workforce development and career pathways.

NICE Framework (NIST SP 800-181)

Newhouse, W., Keith, S., Scribner, B., & Witte, G. (2017). *National Initiative for Cybersecurity Education (NICE) Cybersecurity Workforce Framework* (NIST Special Publication 800-181). National Institute of Standards and Technology.

Official

Why This Matters: The foundational workforce framework that these activities explicitly align with. Defines Work Roles, Knowledge areas, Skills, and Abilities that map directly to activity learning objectives. Essential for CTE programs and anyone connecting K-12 activities to career pathways.

[NIST SP 800-181](#)

NICE Framework Work Role Categories

NICE. (2025). *NICE Framework Work Roles* (Version 2.0.0). National Institute of Standards and Technology.

Official

Why This Matters: The 2025 update reorganizes work roles and better reflects current workforce needs, including emerging human-AI collaboration requirements. Activities reference specific Work Roles (PR-CDA-001, PR-CIR-001, OV-SPP-002) from this updated framework.

[NICE Framework](#)

Cybersecurity Workforce Development: A Capability Maturity Model

Hoffman, L. J., Burley, D. L., & Toregas, C. (2012). Holistically building the cybersecurity workforce. *IEEE Security & Privacy*, 10(2), 33-39.

Framework

Why This Matters: Addresses the systemic challenges in developing cybersecurity talent pipelines. Their maturity model helps educators understand how K-12 activities contribute to long-term workforce development—useful for grant writing and program justification.

[DOI: 10.1109/MSP.2011.181](#)

From K-12 to Career: Building Cybersecurity Talent Pipelines

Tobey, D., Pusey, P., & Burley, D. (2014). Engaging learners in cybersecurity careers: Lessons from the launch of the National Cyber League. *ACM Inroads*, 5(1), 53-56.

Empirical

Why This Matters: Demonstrates effective approaches for engaging students in cybersecurity career pathways through competition and collaboration. The team-based, scenario-driven approaches they validate align with the design of these activities.

[DOI: 10.1145/2568195.2568213](#)

The Cybersecurity Talent Gap

(ISC)². (2023). *Cybersecurity Workforce Study*. International Information System Security Certification Consortium.

Official

Why This Matters: Annual industry report documenting the persistent cybersecurity workforce shortage. Provides compelling data for justifying K-12 cybersecurity education programs and explaining why human-AI collaboration skills are increasingly valued by employers.

[\(ISC\)² Research](#)

How to Use These Resources

For Curriculum Development

Start with the **CYBER.org Standards** and **NICE Framework** to establish learning objectives, then draw on the pedagogical research to inform activity design.

For Grant Writing

The workforce development references (Hoffman et al., ISC² reports) provide evidence for program justification. The educational research supports methodology choices.

For Theoretical Grounding

If you're writing about or presenting on these activities, the posthuman/collaboration literature (Adams & Thompson, Braidotti, Luckin & Cukurova) provides scholarly context for the human-AI partnership framing.

For Practical Implementation

The hands-on learning resources (Catota et al., Mitnick & Simon) offer concrete guidance for structuring technology-based learning experiences.

Suggest a Resource

Know of a resource that should be included here? Contact ryanstraight@arizona.edu with your suggestion.