

Blocking

Ryan T. Moore

American University

The Lab @ DC

2024-06-11

Table of contents I

Why?

How: `blockTools`

How: `randomizr`

Then what?

Why?

Why do we block?

- ▶ Covariate **balance**

Why do we block?

- ▶ Covariate **balance**
- ▶ Estimate **closer to truth**

Why do we block?

- ▶ Covariate **balance**
- ▶ Estimate **closer to truth**
- ▶ Increased **efficiency**

Why do we block?

- ▶ Covariate **balance**
- ▶ Estimate **closer to truth**
- ▶ Increased **efficiency**
- ▶ Triply-robust estimates: block, randomize, adjust

Why do we block?

- ▶ Covariate **balance**
- ▶ Estimate **closer to truth**
- ▶ Increased **efficiency**
- ▶ Triply-robust estimates: block, randomize, adjust
- ▶ Block-level effects
 - ↪ different actors interested in different effects

Why do we block?

- ▶ Covariate **balance**
- ▶ Estimate **closer to truth**
- ▶ Increased **efficiency**
- ▶ Triply-robust estimates: block, randomize, adjust
- ▶ Block-level effects
 - ↪ different actors interested in different effects
- ▶ Guidelines for limited/uncertain resources

Why Block: Balance

Simulation study: 100 units, $X_1 \sim N(0, 1)$, $X_2 \sim \text{Unif}(0, 1)$, $X_3 \sim \chi^2_2$; 1000 such experiments. Assg treatment in 3 ways.

Why Block: Balance

Simulation study: 100 units, $X_1 \sim N(0, 1)$, $X_2 \sim \text{Unif}(0, 1)$, $X_3 \sim \chi^2_2$; 1000 such experiments. Assg treatment in 3 ways.

Why Block: Efficiency

Blocking in Applications: Balance and Efficiency

Moore (2012): Perry Preschool Experiment

Left: QQ plot of balance (100 blocked vs. unblocked)

Right: Est TE under sharp null (100 blocked vs. unblocked)

(SES, sex, IQ)

Balance in Applications: Balance and Efficiency

Considering more variables ...

(+ siblings, AFDC, mom empl, educ, father, ...)

How: `blockTools`

Blocking with blockTools

Start with some sample data:

```
library(blockTools)  
data(x100)
```

```
x100 |> head()
```

	id	id2	b1	b2	g	ig
1	1001	101	156	795	b	729
2	1002	102	813	469	a	627
3	1003	103	950	978	a	959
4	1004	104	991	781	a	661
5	1005	105	613	759	a	819
6	1006	106	654	838	b	643

(Moore 2012; Moore and Schnakenberg 2023)

Blocking with blockTools

```
b <- block(x100, id.vars = "id",  
           block.vars = c("b1", "b2"))
```

```
b1 <- b$blocks$`1`
```

```
b1 |> head()
```

	Unit 1	Unit 2	Distance
1	1043	1040	0.01240000
2	1100	1020	0.02259275
3	1065	1027	0.02912651
4	1085	1081	0.03498815
5	1088	1061	0.04789253
6	1064	1014	0.07985116

Blocking with blockTools

Why all this?

```
b1 <- b$blocks$`1`
```

We are extracting just the blocked pairs themselves.

► Why `b$blocks`? Since `b` has 3 components:

```
names(b)
```

```
[1] "blocks"      "level.two" "call"
```

► Why `blocks$1`? Since this is (default-named) first (and only) “group”:

```
names(b$blocks)
```

```
[1] "1"
```

Blocking with blockTools

What else could we do?

```
b_3groups_3conditions <- block(  
  x100,  
  groups = "g", # (Factor variable in data)  
  n.tr = 3,  
  id.vars = "id",  
  block.vars = c("b1", "b2"),  
  distance = "mve"  
)
```

```
b_3groups_3conditions$blocks
```

\$a

	Unit 1	Unit 2	Unit 3	Max Distance
1	1076	1039	1056	0.2443719
2	1084	1058	1017	0.4073681
3	1073	1029	1098	0.4211638
4	1050	1046	1081	0.4302601

Blocking with blockTools

Some rows from each “group”:

```
rows_a <- b_3groups_3conditions$blocks$a |> slice(1:2) |> mutate  
rows_b <- b_3groups_3conditions$blocks$b |> slice(1:2) |> mutate  
rows_c <- b_3groups_3conditions$blocks$c |> slice(1:2) |> mutate  
bind_rows(rows_a, rows_b, rows_c)
```

	Unit 1	Unit 2	Unit 3	Max Distance	group
1	1076	1039	1056	0.2443719	a
2	1084	1058	1017	0.4073681	a
3	1043	1040	1009	0.1744377	b
4	1048	1031	1062	0.2444493	b
5	1095	1092	1049	0.3473709	c
6	1088	1027	1066	0.3565855	c

Blocking with `blockTools`

Other arguments to `block()`

- ▶ `vcov.data`
- ▶ `groups`: for exact-blocks
- ▶ `n.tr`
- ▶ `id.vars`
- ▶ `block.vars`
- ▶ `algorithm`: `optGreedy`, `optimal`, `naiveGreedy`, `randGreedy`, `sortGreedy`
- ▶ `distance`: `mahalanobis`, `mcd`, `mve`, `euclidean`, $k \times k$ `matx`
- ▶ `weight`
- ▶ `level.two`: block states by most similar cities
- ▶ `valid.var`, `valid.range`: Goldilocks
- ▶ `seed.dist`: (for `mcd` and `mve`)

Assign

```
a <- assignment(b, seed = 71573706)
a
```

Assignments:

	Treatment 1	Treatment 2	Distance
1	1040	1043	0.01240000
2	1100	1020	0.02259275
3	1065	1027	0.02912651
4	1081	1085	0.03498815
5	1088	1061	0.04789253
6	1014	1064	0.07985116
7	1032	1070	0.08279625
8	1097	1098	0.08882421
9	1038	1018	0.09316331
10	1031	1048	0.10391953
11	1084	1058	0.10835825

Get Assignments

```
a |> extract_conditions(x100, id.var = "id")
```

```
[1] 2 1 2 2 2 2 1 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 1 2 2 2 1 2 1 1 2
[38] 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 1 2 1 2 2 2 2 1 1 2 1 2 1 1 2 2 1
[75] 1 2 2 2 1 2 1 1 1 1 2 1 2 1 1 2 1 2 1 1 2 2 1 2 1 1
```

```
x100 |> mutate(
  condition = extract_conditions(a, x100, id.var = "id"))
```

	id	id2	b1	b2	g	ig	condition
1	1001	101	156	795	b	729	2
2	1002	102	813	469	a	627	1
3	1003	103	950	978	a	959	2
4	1004	104	991	781	a	661	2
5	1005	105	613	759	a	819	2
6	1006	106	654	838	b	643	2
7	1007	107	640	645	c	12	1
8	1008	108	681	404	a	221	2

Assign 3 Conditions, within Groups

```
a3 <- assignment(b_3groups_3conditions, seed = 979677744)
a3
```

Assignments:

Group: a

	Treatment 1	Treatment 2	Treatment 3	Max Distance
1	1056	1076	1039	0.2443719
2	1017	1058	1084	0.4073681
3	1029	1073	1098	0.4211638
4	1046	1081	1059	0.4302601
5	1065	1002	1061	0.4417152
6	1060	1067	1004	0.6252877
7	1054	1052	1030	0.8214195
8	1026	1068	1024	1.0455063
9	1089	1008	1091	1.2872340
10	1075	1016	1036	1.3282637

How: randomizr

Blocking with `randomizr::block_ra()`

```
library(randomizr)

tr <- block_ra(x100$g)

# Better:

x100 |> mutate(tr = block_ra(x100$g))
```

	id	id2	b1	b2	g	ig	tr
1	1001	101	156	795	b	729	1
2	1002	102	813	469	a	627	1
3	1003	103	950	978	a	959	1
4	1004	104	991	781	a	661	1
5	1005	105	613	759	a	819	0
6	1006	106	654	838	b	643	1
7	1007	107	640	645	c	12	1
8	1008	108	681	404	a	221	0
9	1009	109	530	823	b	321	1

Then what?

blockTools: diagnose, get block IDs, check balance

Diagnose:

```
diagnose(a, data = x100, id.vars = "id",  
         suspect.var = "b1", suspect.range = c(0, 5))
```

blockTools: diagnose, get block IDs, check balance

Diagnose:

```
diagnose(a, data = x100, id.vars = "id",  
         suspect.var = "b1", suspect.range = c(0, 5))
```

Get block IDs

```
createBlockIDs(a, data = x100, id.var = "id")
```

blockTools: diagnose, get block IDs, check balance

Diagnose:

```
diagnose(a, data = x100, id.vars = "id",  
         suspect.var = "b1", suspect.range = c(0, 5))
```

Get block IDs

```
createBlockIDs(a, data = x100, id.var = "id")
```

Get balance:

```
assg2xBalance(a, x100, id.var = "id",  
              bal.vars = c("b1", "b2"))
```

Analysis

- ▶ Generally, use Lin or Blocked Diff-in-Means

Analysis

- ▶ Generally, use Lin or Blocked Diff-in-Means
- ▶ LSDV (block indicators) weights w/in block TE's by

$$p_j(1 - p_j)n_j$$

Analysis

- ▶ Generally, use Lin or Blocked Diff-in-Means
- ▶ LSDV (block indicators) weights w/in block TE's by

$$p_j(1 - p_j)n_j$$

Analysis

- ▶ Generally, use Lin or Blocked Diff-in-Means
- ▶ LSDV (block indicators) weights w/in block TE's by

$$p_j(1 - p_j)n_j$$

where

- ▶ p_j = share of block j treated
- ▶ n_j = size of block j
- ▶ (I.e., $p_j(1 - p_j) = \text{var}(TE)$ in block j)

Analysis

Can I just ignore blocks and pool?

Analysis

Can I just ignore blocks and pool?

► If p_j varies, no

Thanks!

rtm@american.edu
www.ryantmoore.org

References I

- Moore, Ryan T. 2012. “Multivariate Continuous Blocking to Improve Political Science Experiments.” *Political Analysis* 20 (4): 460–79.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/pan/mps025>.
- Moore, Ryan T., and Keith Schnakenberg. 2023. *blockTools: Blocking, Assignment, and Diagnosing Interference in Randomized Experiments*.
<http://www.ryantmoore.org/html/software.blockTools.html>.