

Common Qualifier Paper Problems

Ryan T. Moore

2024-01-26

Table of contents I

Content Problems

Design Problems

Style Problems

Resources

Content Problems

Content Problems

- ▶ Overclaiming

~~“I prove democracy is not real”~~ \leadsto

“I provide evidence consist with failure of representation”

Content Problems

- ▶ Overclaiming

~~“I prove democracy is not real”~~ \leadsto

“I provide evidence consist with failure of representation”

- ▶ Underclaiming: under-contextualizing, conceptually

~~Describe one result~~ \leadsto

Your question is a case of a more general political phenomenon. Explain what your answer teaches us about that phenomenon.

Content Problems

- ▶ Overclaiming
~~“I prove democracy is not real”~~ \leadsto
“I provide evidence consist with failure of representation”
- ▶ Underclaiming: under-contextualizing, conceptually
~~Describe one result~~ \leadsto
Your question is a case of a more general political phenomenon. Explain what your answer teaches us about that phenomenon.
- ▶ Claiming causality without causal identification
~~“The effect of X”, “X increases Y”~~

Content Problems

- ▶ Overclaiming
~~“I prove democracy is not real”~~ \leadsto
“I provide evidence consist with failure of representation”
- ▶ Underclaiming: under-contextualizing, conceptually
~~Describe one result~~ \leadsto
Your question is a case of a more general political phenomenon. Explain what your answer teaches us about that phenomenon.
- ▶ Claiming causality without causal identification
~~“The effect of X”, “X increases Y”~~
- ▶ Book-reporting
~~Literature review~~ \leadsto

Content Problems

- ▶ Overclaiming

~~“I prove democracy is not real”~~ \leadsto

“I provide evidence consist with failure of representation”

- ▶ Underclaiming: under-contextualizing, conceptually

~~Describe one result~~ \leadsto

Your question is a case of a more general political phenomenon. Explain what your answer teaches us about that phenomenon.

- ▶ Claiming causality without causal identification

~~“The effect of X”, “X increases Y”~~

- ▶ Book-reporting

~~Literature review~~ \leadsto

- ▶ Teach us enough to understand the frontier of knowledge

Content Problems

- ▶ Overclaiming

~~“I prove democracy is not real”~~ ~→

“I provide evidence consist with failure of representation”

- ▶ Underclaiming: under-contextualizing, conceptually

~~Describe one result~~ ~→

Your question is a case of a more general political phenomenon. Explain what your answer teaches us about that phenomenon.

- ▶ Claiming causality without causal identification

~~“The effect of X”, “X increases Y”~~

- ▶ Book-reporting

~~Literature review~~ ~→

- ▶ Teach us enough to understand the frontier of knowledge

- ▶ Explain how you move that frontier

Content Problems

- ▶ Overclaiming

~~“I prove democracy is not real”~~ ~→

“I provide evidence consist with failure of representation”

- ▶ Underclaiming: under-contextualizing, conceptually

~~Describe one result~~ ~→

Your question is a case of a more general political phenomenon. Explain what your answer teaches us about that phenomenon.

- ▶ Claiming causality without causal identification

~~“The effect of X”, “X increases Y”~~

- ▶ Book-reporting

~~Literature review~~ ~→

- ▶ Teach us enough to understand the frontier of knowledge
- ▶ Explain how you move that frontier
- ▶ Every paper must be related to the paper you are writing

Content Problems

- ▶ Overclaiming

~~“I prove democracy is not real”~~ \leadsto

“I provide evidence consist with failure of representation”

- ▶ Underclaiming: under-contextualizing, conceptually

~~Describe one result~~ \leadsto

Your question is a case of a more general political phenomenon. Explain what your answer teaches us about that phenomenon.

- ▶ Claiming causality without causal identification

~~“The effect of X”, “X increases Y”~~

- ▶ Book-reporting

~~Literature review~~ \leadsto

- ▶ Teach us enough to understand the frontier of knowledge

- ▶ Explain how you move that frontier

- ▶ Every paper must be related to the paper you are writing

- ▶ Organization

~~“(Why is this here?)”~~ ~~“(How related to prior?)”~~

Content Problems

- Unclear connection between theoretical model, hypotheses
~~Vague description of A, description of B, my Hyp1~~ \leadsto
“Under A, we should see X; under B, we should see Y. I
argue for model C, which implies that we should see Z.”

Content Problems

- ▶ Unclear connection between theoretical model, hypotheses
~~Vague description of A, description of B, my Hyp1~~ \leadsto
“Under A, we should see X; under B, we should see Y. I argue for model C, which implies that we should see Z.”
- ▶ Abrupt hypotheses
~~“And now, after that lit review, here’s H1”~~

Content Problems

- ▶ Unclear connection between theoretical model, hypotheses
~~Vague description of A, description of B, my Hyp1~~ \leadsto
“Under A, we should see X; under B, we should see Y. I argue for model C, which implies that we should see Z.”
- ▶ Abrupt hypotheses
~~“And now, after that lit review, here’s H1”~~
- ▶ Insufficient statistical interpretation
~~“The coef is 0.2”~~ \leadsto

Content Problems

- ▶ Unclear connection between theoretical model, hypotheses
~~Vague description of A, description of B, my Hyp1~~ \leadsto
“Under A, we should see X; under B, we should see Y. I argue for model C, which implies that we should see Z.”
- ▶ Abrupt hypotheses
~~“And now, after that lit review, here’s H1”~~
- ▶ Insufficient statistical interpretation
~~“The coef is 0.2”~~ \leadsto
 - ▶ “Pred prob turnout for median is 0.45; for Q3 is 0.53”

Content Problems

- ▶ Unclear connection between theoretical model, hypotheses
~~Vague description of A, description of B, my Hyp1~~ \leadsto
“Under A, we should see X; under B, we should see Y. I argue for model C, which implies that we should see Z.”
- ▶ Abrupt hypotheses
~~“And now, after that lit review, here’s H1”~~
- ▶ Insufficient statistical interpretation
~~“The coef is 0.2”~~ \leadsto
 - ▶ “Pred prob turnout for median is 0.45; for Q3 is 0.53”
 - ▶ Take time to make graphics

Content Problems

- ▶ Unclear connection between theoretical model, hypotheses
~~Vague description of A, description of B, my Hyp1~~ \leadsto
“Under A, we should see X; under B, we should see Y. I argue for model C, which implies that we should see Z.”
- ▶ Abrupt hypotheses
~~“And now, after that lit review, here’s H1”~~
- ▶ Insufficient statistical interpretation
~~“The coef is 0.2”~~ \leadsto
 - ▶ “Pred prob turnout for median is 0.45; for Q3 is 0.53”
 - ▶ Take time to make graphics
 - ▶ Uncertainty, inference

Content Problems

- ▶ Unclear connection between theoretical model, hypotheses
~~Vague description of A, description of B, my Hyp1~~ \leadsto
“Under A, we should see X; under B, we should see Y. I argue for model C, which implies that we should see Z.”
- ▶ Abrupt hypotheses
~~“And now, after that lit review, here’s H1”~~
- ▶ Insufficient statistical interpretation
~~“The coef is 0.2”~~ \leadsto
 - ▶ “Pred prob turnout for median is 0.45; for Q3 is 0.53”
 - ▶ Take time to make graphics
 - ▶ Uncertainty, inference
- ▶ Insufficient detail about the case, data, methods, etc.
~~History of India, Assume we know India~~

Design Problems

Design Problems

- ▶ Failing to recognize design's weaknesses
~~“Because coefficient is positive, X causes Y”~~ \leadsto
design that enables answering Q you propose

Design Problems

- ▶ Failing to recognize design's weaknesses
~~“Because coefficient is positive, X causes Y”~~ \leadsto
design that enables answering Q you propose
- ▶ Failing to recognize data's limits
~~Cool data that can't answer question~~ \leadsto
Data + Method that address exactly what you propose

Design Problems

- ▶ Failing to recognize design's weaknesses
~~“Because coefficient is positive, X causes Y”~~ \leadsto
design that enables answering Q you propose
- ▶ Failing to recognize data's limits
~~Cool data that can't answer question~~ \leadsto
Data + Method that address exactly what you propose
- ▶ Accepting unacceptable limits
~~“X is too hard”, “Y not computationally feasible for me”,
“Z takes hours”, “To know W, must visit archive”~~ \leadsto
Get as close to the bleeding edge as you can.

Style Problems

Style Problems

- ▶ Claiming causality without causal identification
~~“The effect of X”, “X increases Y”~~

Style Problems

- ▶ Claiming causality without causal identification
~~“The effect of X”, “X increases Y”~~
- ▶ Writing a mystery novel
~~Holding out until page 4~~ \leadsto Reader *always* knows result

Style Problems

- ▶ Claiming causality without causal identification
~~“The effect of X”, “X increases Y”~~
- ▶ Writing a mystery novel
~~Holding out until page 4~~ \leadsto Reader *always* knows result
- ▶ Using the passive voice
~~“an analysis was conducted”~~ \leadsto “we analyze”

Style Problems

- ▶ Claiming causality without causal identification
~~“The effect of X”, “X increases Y”~~
- ▶ Writing a mystery novel
~~Holding out until page 4~~ \leadsto Reader *always* knows result
- ▶ Using the passive voice
~~“an analysis was conducted”~~ \leadsto “we analyze”
- ▶ Getting the details wrong
~~“logistical regression”, “the OLS model”~~
~~“the democrats and republicans”~~

Style Problems

- ▶ Claiming causality without causal identification
~~“The effect of X”, “X increases Y”~~
- ▶ Writing a mystery novel
~~Holding out until page 4~~ \leadsto Reader *always* knows result
- ▶ Using the passive voice
~~“an analysis was conducted”~~ \leadsto “we analyze”
- ▶ Getting the details wrong
~~“logistical regression”, “the OLS model”~~
~~“the democrats and republicans”~~
- ▶ Referring to the person rather than paper
~~“He argues”~~ \leadsto “Barker (2002) argues”

Resources

Resources

There's a lot of good advice about writing academic papers.
Heed it.

Resources

There's a lot of good advice about writing academic papers.
Heed it.

- ▶ A template for writing a paper:

Resources

There's a lot of good advice about writing academic papers. Heed it.

- ▶ A template for writing a paper:
 - ▶ Compiled PDF: <http://tinyurl.com/3sk8djrv>

Resources

There's a lot of good advice about writing academic papers.
Heed it.

- ▶ A template for writing a paper:
 - ▶ Compiled PDF: <http://tinyurl.com/3sk8djrv>
 - ▶ Quarto file: <http://tinyurl.com/yeysumx4>

Resources

There's a lot of good advice about writing academic papers.
Heed it.

- ▶ A template for writing a paper:
 - ▶ Compiled PDF: <http://tinyurl.com/3sk8djrv>
 - ▶ Quarto file: <http://tinyurl.com/yeysumx4>
 - ▶ (Bib file:) <http://tinyurl.com/yucp32h4>

Resources

There's a lot of good advice about writing academic papers. Heed it.

- ▶ A template for writing a paper:
 - ▶ Compiled PDF: <http://tinyurl.com/3sk8djrv>
 - ▶ Quarto file: <http://tinyurl.com/yeysumx4>
 - ▶ (Bib file:) <http://tinyurl.com/yucp32h4>
- ▶ Some advice: <https://www.ryantmoore.org/papers.html>

Resources

There's a lot of good advice about writing academic papers. Heed it.

- ▶ A template for writing a paper:
 - ▶ Compiled PDF: <http://tinyurl.com/3sk8djrv>
 - ▶ Quarto file: <http://tinyurl.com/yeysumx4>
 - ▶ (Bib file:) <http://tinyurl.com/yucp32h4>
- ▶ Some advice: <https://www.ryantmoore.org/papers.html>
- ▶ These notes

Resources

There's a lot of good advice about writing academic papers. Heed it.

- ▶ A template for writing a paper:
 - ▶ Compiled PDF: <http://tinyurl.com/3sk8djrv>
 - ▶ Quarto file: <http://tinyurl.com/yeysumx4>
 - ▶ (Bib file:) <http://tinyurl.com/yucp32h4>
- ▶ Some advice: <https://www.ryantmoore.org/papers.html>
- ▶ These notes
 - ▶ <http://tinyurl.com/bddxyxjw>

Resources

There's a lot of good advice about writing academic papers.
Heed it.

- ▶ A template for writing a paper:
 - ▶ Compiled PDF: <http://tinyurl.com/3sk8djrv>
 - ▶ Quarto file: <http://tinyurl.com/yeysumx4>
 - ▶ (Bib file:) <http://tinyurl.com/yucp32h4>
- ▶ Some advice: <https://www.ryantmoore.org/papers.html>
- ▶ These notes
 - ▶ <http://tinyurl.com/bddxyxjw>
 - ▶ https://github.com/ryantmoore/discussions/blob/main/998-2024-01-26_qualifier_probs.pdf

There are many potential pitfalls ...



There are many potential pitfalls ...



but you will write a great paper!

References I

Barker, David. 2002. *Rushed to Judgment: Talk Radio, Persuasion, and American Political Behavior*. New York, NY: Columbia University Press.