

# MATH2400 Assignment 4

Ryan White  
44990392

26th of May, 2021

## Question 1

Fix an interval  $[a, b]$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}[a, b]$  be the set of continuous functions on  $[a, b]$ . For  $f, g \in \mathcal{C}[a, b]$ , define a dot product and norm by

$$f \cdot g := \int_a^b f(x)g(x) dx, \quad \|f\|_2 := \sqrt{f \cdot f} = \left( \int_a^b |f(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2}$$

(note the absolute value is actually not necessary). The dot product is clearly bilinear and symmetric (you do not need to show this or that  $\cdot$  defines a dot product). Show that  $\|\cdot\|_2$  is a norm on  $\mathcal{C}[a, b]$ .

A function  $\|\cdot\| : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined as being a norm if the following properties hold (each will be proven for  $\|\cdot\|_2 : \mathcal{C}[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  under the respective property):

- a.  $\|f\| \geq 0$ , and  $\|f\| = 0$  iff  $f = 0$ .

Firstly, take a function  $f \in \mathcal{C}[a, b]$ , where  $a \neq b$ . By construction,  $f$  is a continuous function on all  $[a, b]$ . By proof in Assignment 3, Question 5,  $\int_a^b |f(x)| dx = 0$  if and only if  $f(x) = 0$  on all  $[a, b]$ . It follows that  $\int_a^b |f(x)|^2 dx = 0$  and  $\left( \int_a^b |f(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} = 0$  for all  $f(x) = 0$ . If any value of  $f(x) > 0$ ,  $f \in [a, b]$ , then it follows that the integral (and it's square root) are greater than 0. Since this is of the form of the definition of the norm,  $\|f\|_2 \geq 0$  for all  $f(x) \in [a, b]$ .

- b.  $\|cf\| = |c| \|f\|$  for all  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $f \in X$ .

Proof is trivial for  $c = 0$  or  $f = 0$ . Assume that  $c \neq 0$  and  $f \neq 0$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{C}[a, b]$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \|cf\|_2 &= \sqrt{cf \cdot cf} \\ &= \left( \int_a^b cf(x) \times cf(x) dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left( \int_a^b c^2 |f(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left( c^2 \int_a^b |f(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &= |c| \left( \int_a^b |f(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} = |c| \|f\|_2 \end{aligned}$$

And so the second property of norms has been shown for  $\|\cdot\|_2$  on  $\mathcal{C}[a, b]$ .

c.  $\|f + g\| \leq \|f\| + \|g\|$  for all  $f, g \in X$ .

If  $f = 0$  and/or  $g = 0$ , then the property would hold via previous proven properties. Take  $\|f + g\|_2^2$ , where  $f, g \in \mathcal{C}[a, b]$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\|f + g\|^2 &= \|f + g\| \|f + g\| \\ &= f \cdot f + g \cdot g + 2(f \cdot g)\end{aligned}$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality (Theorem 8.2.2 in Lebl II),  $(f \cdot g) \leq \|f\| \|g\|$ . So,

$$\begin{aligned}\|f + g\|^2 &\leq f \cdot f + g \cdot g + 2(\|f\| \|g\|) \\ &= \|f\|^2 + \|g\|^2 + 2(\|f\| \|g\|) \\ &= (\|f\| + \|g\|)^2\end{aligned}$$

Taking the square root of each side,

$$\|f + g\| \leq \|f\| + \|g\|$$

And so the third property (and all others) has been shown for  $\|\cdot\|_2$  being a norm on  $\mathcal{C}[a, b]$ .

## Question 2

Consider the sequence of functions  $f_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - nx & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq 1/n, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for  $n > 0$ , which converges pointwise to  $f(x) = 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Show that  $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  does not converge to  $f$  in the uniform norm, but it does converge using the norm defined in Problem (1). (As a consequence, for infinite dimensional vector spaces, there are norms that are not equivalent.)

A sequence of bounded functions converges uniformly if and only if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n - f\|_u = 0$$

The question states that  $f$  converges to 1, so the sequence of bounded functions converges uniformly if and only if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n - 1\|_u = 0$$

where the uniform norm is defined by  $\|f\|_u = \sup \{|f(x)| : x \in S\}$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n - f\|_u &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \{|f_n - f| : 0 \leq x \leq 1/n, x \in [0, 1]\} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \{|1 - nx - 1| : 0 \leq x \leq 1/n, x \in [0, 1]\} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \{nx : 0 \leq x \leq 1/n, x \in [0, 1]\} \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \{1\} \\ &= 1\end{aligned}$$

with the third last step having the relation that  $\frac{nx}{n} \leq 1, \forall x \in [0, 1]$ . Therefore  $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  does not converge to  $f$  in the uniform norm.

Take instead the definition of convergence of a sequence of bound functions, but with the definition of the norm defined in Question 1, as

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n - f\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{f_n \cdot f_n - f \cdot f} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_a^b |f_n(x)|^2 - |f(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2}$$

DNF

### Question 3

Show that the function defined by

$$f(x, y) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } y = x^2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

is continuous at 0 with all directional derivatives defined at 0 but  $f$  is not differentiable at 0.

Firstly, the function is given by  $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . To satisfy the question, all three of the following criteria must be proven:

- i.  $f(x, y)$  is continuous at  $(0, 0)$ .

$f(x, y)$  is continuous at 0 if

$$\lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (0,0)} f(x, y) = f(0, 0)$$

It is clear to see that if  $y = x^2$ , as  $y \rightarrow 0$ ,  $x \rightarrow 0$  and the converse being true also. It follows that, in this case where  $y = x^2$ ,  $f(x, y) \rightarrow 0$  as  $y, x \rightarrow 0$  since  $f(x, y) = x$  in this situation. If  $y \neq x^2$ , then  $f(x, y) = 0$ . Therefore,  $f(x, y)$  is continuous at 0.

- ii. All directional derivatives of  $f$  exist at 0.

The directional derivative of a multivariate function at point  $(x, y)$  is given by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u} f(x, y) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x + ah, y + bh) - f(x, y)}{h}$$

where  $\vec{u}$  is defined as  $\vec{u} = \{a, b\}$  where  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ . Taking the directional derivative of  $f(x, y)$  at 0 along the curve  $y = x^2 \Rightarrow bh = (ah)^2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} f(0, 0) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(ah, bh)}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{ah}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} a = a \end{aligned}$$

And so the directional derivative exists at 0, with  $\vec{u} = (a, 0)$ .

- iii.  $f$  is not differentiable at 0.

$f$  is differentiable if all of its partial derivatives are continuous. Firstly, assume that all of the partial derivatives of  $f$  exist at 0. Take  $f(x, y)$  along the curve  $y = x^2$ . Along this curve,  $f(x, y) = x$  at every point. It is analogous to show the value as  $f(x, y) = \sqrt{y}$ . A contradiction is immediately found for showing continuity of the partial derivative with respect to  $y$  at 0:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f(x, y) &= \lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \sqrt{y} \\ &= \lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{y}} \end{aligned}$$

This limit is not defined, and so the partial derivative of  $f$  with respect to  $y$  is not continuous, meaning that  $f$  is not differentiable at 0.

## Question 4

Using the definition of the derivative and limit, compute the derivative of the determinant function on  $2 \times 2$  matrices at the identity (which we consider as a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^4$  under the Euclidean norm).

*Hint:* For a matrix  $H = \begin{bmatrix} h_{11} & h_{12} \\ h_{21} & h_{22} \end{bmatrix}$ , consider it close to 0 if  $|h_{ij}| < \epsilon$  for all  $i, j = 1, 2$ .

Firstly, define a matrix  $H = \begin{bmatrix} h_{11} & h_{12} \\ h_{21} & h_{22} \end{bmatrix}$  such that  $\|H\|$  is  $\epsilon$  close to 0,  $\epsilon > 0$ . For  $A$  to be a derivative of  $\det : \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  at the identity  $I$ , the following must be true

$$\lim_{H \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|\det(I + H) - \det(I) - AH\|}{\|H\|} = 0$$

By properties of determinants,  $\det(I) = 1$ .  $\det(I + H)$  can be computed, with first calculating

$$\begin{aligned} I + H &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 + h_{11} & 0 + h_{12} \\ 0 + h_{21} & 1 + h_{22} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 + h_{11} & h_{12} \\ h_{21} & 1 + h_{22} \end{bmatrix} \\ \Rightarrow \det(I + H) &= (1 + h_{11})(1 + h_{22}) - h_{12}h_{21} = 1 + h_{11} + h_{22} + h_{11}h_{22} - h_{12}h_{21} \\ \Rightarrow \det(I + H) - \det(I) &= 1 + h_{11} + h_{22} + h_{11}h_{22} - h_{12}h_{21} - 1 \\ &= h_{11} + h_{22} + h_{11}h_{22} - h_{12}h_{21} \end{aligned}$$

Now, expanding on the norms in the above limit gives

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{H \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sqrt{(\det(I + H) - \det(I) - AH)^2}}{\sqrt{h_{11}^2 + h_{12}^2 + h_{21}^2 + h_{22}^2}} &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow \lim_{H \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sqrt{(h_{11} + h_{22} + h_{11}h_{22} - h_{12}h_{21} - AH)^2}}{\sqrt{h_{11}^2 + h_{12}^2 + h_{21}^2 + h_{22}^2}} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

For the left hand side to satisfy being zero, take

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= h_{11} + h_{22} + h_{11}h_{22} - h_{12}h_{21} - AH \\ \Rightarrow AH &= h_{11} + h_{22} + h_{11}h_{22} - h_{12}h_{21} \end{aligned}$$

So for some example  $2 \times 2$  matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$ , the linear operator (derivative of the determinant function at the identity)  $A$  would correspond to the 'function,'

$$A = a + d + ad - bc$$

## Question 5

Let  $S$  denote the set of sequences whose series are absolutely convergent. We define two norms on  $S$  by

$$\|\{a_n\}_{n=0}^\infty\|_1 = \sum_{n=0}^\infty |a_n|, \quad \|\{a_n\}_{n=0}^\infty\|_{\sup} = \sup\{|a_n|\}_{n=0}^\infty.$$

(Note that  $S$  is the set of sequences such that  $\|a\|_1 < \infty$ . The sup-norm is sometimes called the  $\infty$ -norm.) Define a linear operator  $\Sigma: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\Sigma(\{a_n\}_{n=0}^\infty) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n$$

- (i) Compute the operator norm of  $\Sigma$  using  $\|\cdot\|_1$ .

By the definition of the operator norm,

$$\|A\| = \sup\{\|Ax\| \mid x \in X \text{ s.t. } \|x\| = 1\}$$

For the operator  $\Sigma$  on  $S$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Sigma\|_S &= \sup \left\{ \left\| \sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n \right\| \mid a_n \in a \in S, \|a_n\| = 1 \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \frac{\|\Sigma\{a_n\}\|}{\|\{a_n\}\|} \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \frac{|\Sigma\{a_n\}|}{\sum |\{a_n\}|} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Due to a proposition in absolute convergence of series,  $|\sum a_n| \leq \sum |a_n|$  due to the possibility of negative values of  $a_n$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Sigma\|_S &= \sup \left\{ \frac{|\Sigma\{a_n\}|}{\sum |\{a_n\}|} \right\} \leq \sup\{1\} \\ &\leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

- (ii) Show that the operator norm of  $\Sigma$  using  $\|\cdot\|_{\sup}$  is unbounded.

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Sigma\|_{\sup} &= \sup \left\{ \left\| \sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n \right\|_{\sup} \mid a_n \in a \in S, \|a_n\| = 1 \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \frac{\sup |\sum_{n=0}^\infty \{a_n\}|}{\sup \{|\{a_n\}|\}} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\{a_n\}$  is convergent,  $\sup\{|a_n|\} = A \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Sigma\|_{\sup} &= \sup \left\{ \frac{\sup |\sum_{n=0}^\infty \{a_n\}|}{A} \right\} \\ &= \frac{\sup |\sum_{n=0}^\infty \{a_n\}|}{A} \\ &= \frac{nA}{A} = n \end{aligned}$$

But since  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , the operator norm using  $\|\cdot\|_{\sup}$  is unbounded.