

### Homework 3

- 1.) Show how an attacker can calculate  $\text{CRC-MAC}_k(m)$  for a message  $m$ , given the value  $\text{CRC-MAC}_k(m')$  for any message  $m'$  such that  $|m'| = |m|$ .

Given one query the attacker should choose  $q = m' \oplus m$ . The attacker knows that  $\text{CRC-MAC}_k(m) = \text{CRC-MAC}_k(m') \oplus \text{CRC-MAC}_{0^{l_{k1}}}(q) = \text{CRC}(k || m') \oplus \text{CRC}(0^{l_{k1}} || q) = \text{CRC}(k || m') \oplus \text{CRC}(0^{l_{k1}} || m' \oplus m) = \text{CRC}(k || m) = \text{CRC-MAC}_k(m)$ .

- 2.) Hackme Inc. proposes the following highly efficient MAC, using two 64 bits keys  $k_1, k_2$ , for 64-bit blocks:  $\text{MAC}_{k_1, k_2}(m) = ((m \oplus k_1) + k_2) \bmod 2^{64}$ . Show that this is not a secure MAC.

First, I would input  $0^{l_{m1}}$  into the MAC, this would result in,  $((0^{l_{m1}} \oplus k_1) + k_2) \bmod 2^{64}$ . Then I would input  $1^{l_{m1}}$  resulting in  $((1^{l_{m1}} \oplus k_1) + k_2) \bmod 2^{64}$ . Simplifying these two equations gives us:  $(k_1 + k_2) \bmod 2^{64}$  and  $(\sim k_1 + k_2) \bmod 2^{64}$ . Now because we have equations that have the inverse of  $k_1$  and  $k_1$  we can solve for  $k_2$  namely by adding them together because  $\sim k_1$  and  $k_1$  should = 0. Then, once we have  $k_2$  it is easy to compute  $k_1$ . I would input  $0^{l_{m1}}$  for the message  $m$  in order to not change  $k_1$  and input the value of  $k_2$  for  $k_2$ . Now the MAC is effectively broken completely because we were able to get both keys using only two queries.

- 3.) Let  $F: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}^l$  be a secure PRF, from  $n$ -bit strings to  $l < n$ -bit strings. Define  $F': \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}^l$  as:  $F'_k(m) = F_k(m) || F_k(!m)$ , i.e., concatenate the results of  $F_k$  applied to  $m$  and to the inverse of  $m$ . Present an efficient algorithm  $\text{ADV } F'_k$  which demonstrates that  $F'$  is not a secure MAC, i.e., outputs tuple  $(x, t)$  s.t.  $x \in \{0,1\}^n$  and  $t = F'_k(x)$ . Algorithm  $\text{ADV } F'_k$  may provide input  $m \in \{0,1\}^n$  and receive  $F'_k(m)$ , as long as  $x \neq m$ . You can present  $\text{ADV } F'_k$  by 'filling in the blanks' in the 'template' below, modifying and/or extending the template if desired, or simply write your own code if you like.

An algorithm  $\text{ADV}$  should provide a query  $q = !x$  such that:  $F'_k(!x) = F_k(!x) || F_k(!(!x))$ . This will allow  $\text{ADV}$  to take advantage of the fact that the PRF concatenates  $F_k(\text{input message})$  with the inverse of  $F_k(\text{input message})$ . The last  $n/2$  bits of the output of this query will give  $\text{ADV}$  the  $F_k(x)$  and then you can concatenate this with the  $F_k(!x)$  to get the tag for  $F_k(x)$ .

- 4.) Let  $E$  be a secure  $(n + 1)$  bit block cipher and define the follow  $2n$ -bit domain function:  $F_k(m_0 || m_1) = E_k(0 || m_0) || E_k(1 || m_1)$ . Show that  $F$  is not a secure  $2n$ -bit MAC.

$F$  is not a secure  $2n$ -bit MAC because if the adversary  $\text{ADV}$  were to make two queries  $q_1 = F_k(m_0 || 0^n)$  and  $q_2 = F_k(0^n || m_1)$ , then  $\text{ADV}$  will be able to take the first  $n$ -bits of  $F_k(m_0 || 0^n)$  and concatenate them with the last  $n$ -bits of  $F_k(0^n || m_1)$ .