Capstone 1: Predricting Prescriptions, Data Wrangling

Rika Yatchak

September 16, 2018

1 Introduction

In this document we'll describe the different data-wrangling steps taken to prepare the Kaggle Opioid Prescriber Dataset for EDA and further analysis for my Springboard Capstone 1 project. The main goal of this project is to use historical provider drug prescription numbers to predict future demand.

2 Dataset Description

The dataset was uploaded to Kaggle in 2016. The dataset was compiled by AJ Pryor and is sourced from cms.gov. There are three different files:

- opioids.csv Drug and generic names for 113 different opioids.
- overdoses.csv Number of opioid-related overdose deaths in different states (no territories!) in the US in 2014.
- prescribers.csv Prescriber information for 20,000 different prescribers in the US. Includes credentials, gender, state, specialty, and then the number of prescriptions given in 2014 for the 250 most commonly prescribed drugs. Finally, the boolean column Opioid.Prescriber indicates whether each provider prescribes opioids or not.

3 Data Wrangling Steps

Since the dataset originates from a Kaggle challenge, it is in very good condition: the csv files are easily read into pandas dataframes using pd.read_csv(). There are no missing values. The main concerns in this dataset are twofold: identifying outliers, and string processing of opioid names.

The Overdoses table requires no data wrangling. We will have to pay attention to outliers in the Prescribers table, and some string processing will be required in the opioids.csv table.

3.1 Outliers

One type of outlier is the provider state. Providers from certain US Territories and some small US states do not occur frequently in the dataset.

If provider state is used as a feature, it is important to remove providers from all territories and possibly also Alaska and Wyoming from the dataset when doing any regression tasks.

Another concern is the prescriber specialty. There are many specialties that are not well-represented in the dataset: out of **109** different specialties recorded in the prescribers.csv dataset, only **51** of them are represented by 30 or more providers in the dataset. Thus, for any logistic regression tasks, the dataset is cut to contain only the prescribers with these well-represented specialties.

Abbreviation	State/Territory	Count
AK	Alaska	39.0
WY	Wyoming	38.0
VI	U.S. Virgin Islands	3.0
GU	Guam	2.0
ZZ	Unknown	2.0
AE	U.S. Armed Forces (Overseas)	2.0
AA	U.S. Armed Forces (Americas)	1.0

Table 1: Territories and States with less than 50 providers in the dataset.

3.2 Opioid.Prescriber and string processing

The dataset documentation describes Opioid.Prescriber as a boolean value. The value 1 is assigned when the prescriber in question prescribed any opioid in 2014, and 0 otherwise. However, it's not clear which of the 250 drugs for which we have prescription records are opioids. For this, we need to compare the relevant columns of the Prescribers table and the drug names contained in Opioids.

Here, we run into a formatting problem: the drug names contained in Prescribers are formatted in a different way than the drug names in Opioids. For example, the opioid acetaminophen codeine is represented by ACETAMINOPHEN.CODEINE in Prescribers and ACETAMINOPHEN-CODEINE in Opioids. Hyphens, spaces, and withs are a problem. So we remove these and then split the drug names into tuples, so ACETAMINOPHEN.CODEINE becomes the tuple (ACETAMINOPHEN, CODEINE). Then we compare the drug names in Prescribers and Opioids. It turns out that many providers have no recorded opioid prescriptions, but are still marked as opioid prescribers.