# From Diophantine equations to PDEs

Simon L. Rydin Myerson 21 August 2024

Chalmers University of Technology

Download these slides:

 ${\tt maths.fan/chalmers.pdf}$ 

- 1. Analytic toolkit for Diophantine equations and inequalities
  - 1.1 Main example: the circle method for Manin's conjecture
  - 1.2 Comments on other work
- 2. Applying that toolkit to harmonic analysis and dispersive PDEs
  - 2.1 Main example: cluster estimates for the Laplace-Beltrami operator on flat tori
  - 2.2 Comments on other work
- 3. Vision and future plans

# **Diophantine equations**

Systems of polynomial equations to be solved in integers. Ubiquitous in mathematics; relatively few general results.

## Notation (density of solutions)

- $\vec{f}(\vec{x}) \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_s]^R$  will be a system of R homogenous forms of degrees  $d_i$  in  $s > \sum d_i$  variables with integer coefficients.
- We count solutions of  $\vec{f} = \vec{0}$  in integers of size B, where B is big.

- $\vec{f}$  takes about  $B^{\sum d_i}$  values; maybe it is zero about  $\frac{1}{B^{\sum d_i}}$  of the time.
- That would mean about  $B^{s-\sum d_i}$  solutions.
- Also need to consider the number of solutions modulo m for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Systems of polynomial equations to be solved in integers. Ubiquitous in mathematics; relatively few general results. No completely general result possible: Matiyasevich (1970), unsolvability of Hilbert's tenth problem.

## Notation (density of solutions)

- $\vec{f}(\vec{x}) \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_s]^R$  will be a system of R homogenous forms of degrees  $d_i$  in  $s > \sum d_i$  variables with integer coefficients.
- We count solutions of  $\vec{f} = \vec{0}$  in integers of size B, where B is big.
- $\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{f} = \sum_{i=1}^{R} \alpha_i f_i$  is nonzero and indefinite for all  $\vec{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^R \setminus \{\vec{0}\}$ .
- We study  $N_{\vec{f}}(B) = \#\{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^s \cap [-B, B]^s : \vec{f}(\vec{x}) = \vec{0}\}.$
- $\vec{f}$  takes about  $B^{\sum d_i}$  values; maybe it is zero about  $\frac{1}{B^{\sum d_i}}$  of the time.
- That would mean about  $B^{s-\sum d_i}$  solutions.
- Also need to consider the number of solutions modulo m for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- Let's make this rigorous.

Systems of polynomial equations to be solved in integers. Ubiquitous in mathematics; relatively few general results. No completely general result possible: Matiyasevich (1970), unsolvability of Hilbert's tenth problem.

## Notation (density of solutions)

- $\vec{f}(\vec{x}) \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_s]^R$  will be a system of R homogenous forms of degrees  $d_i$  in  $s > \sum d_i$  variables with integer coefficients.
- We count solutions of  $\vec{f} = \vec{0}$  in integers of size B, where B is big.
- $\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{f} = \sum_{i=1}^{R} \alpha_i f_i$  is nonzero and indefinite for all  $\vec{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^R \setminus \{\vec{0}\}$ .
- We study  $N_{\vec{f}}(B) = \#\{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^s \cap [-B, B]^s : \vec{f}(\vec{x}) = \vec{0}\}.$
- $\vec{f}$  takes about  $B^{\sum d_i}$  values; maybe it is zero about  $\frac{1}{B^{\sum d_i}}$  of the time.
- That would mean about  $B^{s-\sum d_i}$  solutions.
- Also need to consider the number of solutions modulo m for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- Let's make this rigorous.

# Notation (density of solutions)

- $\vec{f}(\vec{x}) \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_s]^R$  will be a system of R homogenous forms of degrees  $d_i$  in  $s > \sum d_i$  variables with integer coefficients.
- We count solutions of  $\vec{f} = \vec{0}$  in integers of size B, where B is big.
- $\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{f} = \sum_{i=1}^{R} \alpha_i f_i$  is nonzero and indefinite for all  $\vec{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^R \setminus \{\vec{0}\}$ .
- We study  $N_{\vec{f}}(B) = \#\{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^s \cap [-B, B]^s : \vec{f}(\vec{x}) = \vec{0}\} \le (2B+1)^s$ .

#### Heuristics

- Model  $\vec{x}$  by a random real vector  $\vec{X}$ , and model  $f_i(\vec{x})$  by  $\lfloor f_i(\vec{X}) \rfloor$ .
- That is, let  $\vec{X}$  be a uniform random variable on  $[-B,B]^s$ . Maybe  $N_{\vec{f}}(B) \sim (2B)^s \cdot \mathbb{P}[\vec{f}(\vec{X}) \in [0,1)^R]$ , which is typically  $\times c_{\vec{f}}B^{s-\sum d_i}$ .

# Notation (density of solutions)

- $\vec{f}(\vec{x}) \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_s]^R$  will be a system of R homogenous forms of degrees  $d_i$  in  $s > \sum d_i$  variables with integer coefficients.
- We count solutions of  $\vec{f} = \vec{0}$  in integers of size B, where B is big.
- $\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{f} = \sum_{i=1}^{R} \alpha_i f_i$  is nonzero and indefinite for all  $\vec{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^R \setminus \{\vec{0}\}$ .
- We study  $N_{\vec{f}}(B) = \#\{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^s \cap [-B, B]^s : \vec{f}(\vec{x}) = \vec{0}\} \le (2B+1)^s$ .

#### Heuristics

- Model  $\vec{x}$  by a random real vector  $\vec{X}$ , and model  $f_i(\vec{x})$  by  $\lfloor f_i(\vec{X}) \rfloor$ .
- That is, let  $\vec{X}$  be a uniform random variable on  $[-B, B]^s$ . Maybe  $N_{\vec{f}}(B) \sim (2B)^s \cdot \mathbb{P}[\vec{f}(\vec{X}) \in [0, 1)^R]$ , which is typically  $\times c_{\vec{f}} B^{s \sum d_i}$ .
- But: if  $f(\vec{x}) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 3x_3^2$ , then  $N_f(B) = 1$  as  $\vec{x} = \vec{0}$  "mod  $2^{\infty}$ ".
- Fix: let  $\vec{X}_p$  be uniformly distributed on  $\mathbb{Z}_p^s$ . Predict

$$egin{aligned} N_{ec{f}}(B)-1 &= (1+o(1))(2B)^s \mathbb{P}[ec{f}(ec{X}) \in [0,1)^R] \ & \cdot \prod_{p} \lim_{N o \infty} p^{NR} \mathbb{P}[p^N \mid ec{f}(ec{X}_p)]. \end{aligned}$$

# Density of solutions

- Let  $\vec{X}$  be a uniform random variable on  $[-B,B]^s$ . Maybe  $N_{\vec{f}}(B) \sim (2B)^s \cdot \mathbb{P}[\vec{f}(\vec{X}) \in [0,1)^R]$ , which is typically  $\times c_{\vec{f}} B^{s-\sum d_i}$ .
- But: if R = 1,  $f(\vec{x}) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 3x_3^2$ , then  $N_{\vec{f}}(B) = 1$ .
- Fix: let  $\vec{X}_p$  be uniformly distributed on  $\mathbb{Z}_p^s$ . Perhaps

$$N_{\vec{f}}(B) = \frac{(1+o(1))(2B)^{s} \cdot \mathbb{P}[\vec{f}(\vec{X}) \in [0,1)^{R}] \prod_{p} \lim_{N \to \infty} p^{NR} \mathbb{P}[p^{N} \mid \vec{f}(\vec{X}_{p})].$$

- $\vec{f}(\vec{x}) \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_s]^R$  is a system of R forms in  $s > \sum d_i$  variables with integer coefficients, and  $N_{\vec{f}}(B) = \sum_{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^s \cap [-B,B]^s, \vec{f}(\vec{x}) = \vec{0}} 1$ .
- We say a = O(b) iff  $a \ll b$  iff |a| < Cb for some constant C. Also write  $a \asymp b$  iff  $a \ll b \ll a$ . And put  $a \sim b$  iff  $a/b \to 1$  as  $B \to \infty$ . And put a = o(b) iff  $a/b \to 0$  as  $B \to \infty$ .

# Density of solutions

- Let  $\vec{X}$  be a uniform random variable on  $[-B, B]^s$ . Maybe  $N_{\vec{f}}(B) \sim (2B)^s \cdot \mathbb{P}[\vec{f}(\vec{X}) \in [0, 1)^R]$ , which is typically  $\times c_{\vec{f}} B^{s \sum d_i}$ .
- But: if R = 1,  $f(\vec{x}) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 3x_3^2$ , then  $N_{\vec{f}}(B) = 1$ .
- Fix: let  $\vec{X}_p$  be uniformly distributed on  $\mathbb{Z}_p^s$ . Perhaps

$$N_{\vec{f}}(B) = \frac{(1 + o(1))(2B)^{s} \cdot \mathbb{P}[\vec{f}(\vec{X}) \in [0, 1)^{R}] \prod_{p} \lim_{N \to \infty} p^{NR} \mathbb{P}[p^{N} \mid \vec{f}(\vec{X}_{p})].$$

• This is the *quantitative Hasse principle*; the *Manin-Peyre conjecture* is a more sophisticated version needed for  $s \le 2 \sum d_i$  or  $\vec{f}$  singular.

- $\vec{f}(\vec{x}) \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_s]^R$  is a system of R forms in  $s > \sum d_i$  variables with integer coefficients, and  $N_{\vec{f}}(B) = \sum_{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^s \cap [-B,B]^s, \vec{f}(\vec{x}) = \vec{0}} 1$ .
- We say a = O(b) iff  $a \ll b$  iff |a| < Cb for some constant C. Also write  $a \asymp b$  iff  $a \ll b \ll a$ . And put  $a \sim b$  iff  $a/b \to 1$  as  $B \to \infty$ . And put a = o(b) iff  $a/b \to 0$  as  $B \to \infty$ .

# **Density of solutions**

- Let  $\vec{X}$  be a uniform random variable on  $[-B,B]^s$ . Maybe  $N_{\vec{f}}(B) \sim (2B)^s \cdot \mathbb{P}[\vec{f}(\vec{X}) \in [0,1)^R]$ , which is typically  $\times c_{\vec{f}}B^{s-\sum d_i}$ .
- But: if R = 1,  $f(\vec{x}) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 3x_3^2$ , then  $N_{\vec{f}}(B) = 1$ .
- Fix: let  $\vec{X}_p$  be uniformly distributed on  $\mathbb{Z}_p^s$ . Perhaps

$$N_{\vec{f}}(B) = (1 + o(1))(2B)^s \cdot \mathbb{P}[\vec{f}(\vec{X}) \in [0, 1)^R] \prod_{p} \lim_{N \to \infty} p^{NR} \mathbb{P}[p^N \mid \vec{f}(\vec{X}_p)].$$


• This is the quantitative Hasse principle; the Manin-Peyre conjecture is a more sophisticated version needed for  $s \le 2 \sum d_i$  or  $\vec{f}$  singular.

- $\vec{f}(\vec{x}) \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_s]^R$  is a system of R forms in  $s > \sum d_i$  variables with integer coefficients, and  $N_{\vec{f}}(B) = \sum_{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^s \cap [-B,B]^s, \vec{f}(\vec{x}) = \vec{0}} 1$ .
- We say a = O(b) iff  $a \ll b$  iff |a| < Cb for some constant C. Also write  $a \asymp b$  iff  $a \ll b \ll a$ . And put  $a \sim b$  iff  $a/b \to 1$  as  $B \to \infty$ . And put a = o(b) iff  $a/b \to 0$  as  $B \to \infty$ .

## The circle method

- $\vec{f}(\vec{x}) \in \mathbb{Z}[\vec{x}]^R$  is a system of R forms in s variables of degrees  $d_i \geq 2$ .
- Set  $N_{\vec{f}}(B) := \#\{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^s : \vec{f}(\vec{x}) = \vec{0}, \, \|\vec{x}\|_{\infty} \le P\}, \, \vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{f} = \sum_{i=1}^{R} \alpha_i f_i.$

$$N_{\vec{f}}(P) = \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 \sum_{\substack{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^n \\ \|\vec{x}\|_{\infty} \le B}} e^{2\pi i \vec{t} \cdot \vec{f}(\vec{x})} d\vec{t}$$



# The Birch-Davenport circle method

#### **Notation**

- $\vec{f}(\vec{x}) \in \mathbb{Z}[\vec{x}]^R$  is a system of R forms in s variables of degrees  $d_i \geq 2$ .
- We set  $N_{\vec{f}}(B) := \#\{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^s : \vec{f}(\vec{x}) = \vec{0}, \, \|\vec{x}\|_{\infty} \le B\}.$
- $\vec{f}$  is smooth if  $\vec{f}=0$  defines a smooth s-R dimensional complex manifold in  $\mathbb{C}^s$  away from the origin.

### Theorem (Birch 1962)

We have 
$$N_{\vec{f}}(B) \sim cB^{s-dR}$$
 as above if  $\vec{f}$  is smooth,  $d_1 = \cdots = d_R = d$ ,  $s \geq (d-1)2^{d-1}R(R+1) + R$ .

- $\vec{f}(\vec{x}) \in \mathbb{Z}[\vec{x}]^R$  is a system of R forms in s variables of degrees  $d_i \geq 2$ .
- We set  $N_{\vec{f}}(B) := \#\{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^s : \vec{f}(\vec{x}) = \vec{0}, \, \|\vec{x}\|_{\infty} \leq B\}.$
- $\vec{f}$  is smooth if  $\vec{f}=0$  defines a smooth s-R dimensional complex manifold in  $\mathbb{C}^s$  away from the origin.

## Theorem (Birch 1962)

We have 
$$N_{\vec{f}}(B) \sim cB^{s-dR}$$
 as above if  $\vec{f}$  is smooth,  $d_1 = \cdots = d_R = d$ ,  $s \geq (d-1)2^{d-1}R(R+1) + R$ .

Hope for s > 2dR. Much work on the range for s if R = 1. For  $R \ge 2$ :

- (d, R, s) = (2, 2, 11) by Munshi (2015) s = 10, Li-RM-Vishe, soon!
- $d = 2, s \ge 9R$ , RM (2018)
- $d = 3, s \ge 25R$ , RM (2019)
- (d, R, s) = (3, 2, 39) by Northey and Vishe (2024)

•  $\vec{f}(\vec{x}) \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_s]^R$  is a system of R forms in  $s > \sum d_i$  variables with integer coefficients, and  $N_{\vec{f}}(B) = \sum_{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^s \cap [-B,B]^s, \vec{f}(\vec{x}) = \vec{0}} 1$ .

## Theorem (Birch 1962)

We have  $N_{\vec{f}}(B)\sim cB^{s-dR}$  as above if  $\vec{f}$  is smooth,  $d_1=\cdots=d_R=d$ ,  $s\geq (d-1)2^{d-1}R(R+1)+R$ .

Few generalisations to unequal  $d_i$ .

- Browning–Dietmann–Heath-Brown (2014),  $d_1=2, d_2=3, s\geq 29$ .
- If  $d_1, \ldots, d_R \leq d$ , Browning–Heath-Brown (2017) handle around  $d^3 R^2 2^D$  variables, improving on Schmidt (1985).

# The circle method: current work

#### **Notation**

•  $\vec{f}(\vec{x}) \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_s]^R$  is a system of R forms in  $s > \sum d_i$  variables with integer coefficients, and  $N_{\vec{f}}(B) = \sum_{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^s \cap [-B,B]^s, \vec{f}(\vec{x}) = \vec{0}} 1$ .

## Theorem (Birch 1962)

We have 
$$N_{\vec{f}}(B) \sim c B^{s-dR}$$
 as above if  $\vec{f}$  is smooth,  $d_1 = \cdots = d_R = d$ ,  $s \geq (d-1)2^{d-1}R(R+1) + R$ .

Few generalisations to unequal  $d_i$ .

- Browning–Dietmann–Heath-Brown (2014),  $d_1 = 2, d_2 = 3, s \ge 29$ .
- If  $d_1, \ldots, d_R \leq d$ , Browning–Heath-Brown (2017) handle around  $d^3 R^2 2^D$  variables, improving on Schmidt (1985).
- In the culmination of work announced at the 2021 Hausdorff circle method school, I achieve  $s \geq R + \sum_{i=1}^{R} d_i 2^{d_i} 3^{2d(d-d_i)}$ .

Typically this is about  $3^{2d^2}R$  variables; when  $d_1 = \cdots = d_R = d$  it is  $(1 + d2^d)R$  variables. Improve both Birch's result and, in big R, BHB.

•  $\vec{f}(\vec{x}) \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_s]^R$  is a system of R forms in  $s > \sum d_i$  variables with integer coefficients, and  $N_{\vec{f}}(B) = \sum_{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^s \cap [-B,B]^s, \vec{f}(\vec{x}) = \vec{0}} 1$ .

## Theorem (Birch 1962)

We have 
$$N_{\vec{f}}(B) \sim c B^{s-dR}$$
 as above if  $\vec{f}$  is smooth,  $d_1 = \cdots = d_R = d$ ,  $s \geq (d-1)2^{d-1}R(R+1) + R$ .

Few generalisations to unequal  $d_i$ .

- Browning–Dietmann–Heath-Brown (2014),  $d_1 = 2, d_2 = 3, s \ge 29$ .
- If  $d_1, \ldots, d_R \leq d$ , Browning–Heath-Brown (2017) handle around  $d^3 R^2 2^D$  variables, improving on Schmidt (1985).
- In the culmination of work announced at the 2021 Hausdorff circle method school, I achieve  $s \geq R + \sum_{i=1}^{R} d_i 2^{d_i} 3^{2d(d-d_i)}$ .

Typically this is about  $3^{2d^2}R$  variables; when  $d_1 = \cdots = d_R = d$  it is  $(1 + d2^d)R$  variables. Improve both Birch's result and, in big R, BHB.

•  $\vec{f}(\vec{x}) \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_s]^R$  is a system of R forms in  $s > \sum d_i$  variables with integer coefficients, and  $N_{\vec{f}}(B) = \sum_{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^s \cap [-B,B]^s, \vec{f}(\vec{x}) = \vec{0}} 1$ .

## Theorem (Birch 1962)

We have  $N_{\vec{f}}(B) \sim c B^{s-dR}$  as above if  $\vec{f}$  is smooth,  $d_1 = \cdots = d_R = d$ ,  $s \geq (d-1)2^{d-1}R(R+1) + R$ .

- If  $d_1, \ldots, d_R \leq d$ , Browning-Heath-Brown (2017) handle around  $d^3R^22^D$  variables, improving on Schmidt (1985).
- In the culmination of work announced at the 2021 Hausdorff circle method school, I achieve  $s \ge R + \sum_{i=1}^{R} d_i 2^{d_i} 3^{2d(d-d_i)}$ .

Typically this is about  $3^{2d^2}R$  variables; when  $d_1 = \cdots = d_R = d$  it is  $(1 + d2^d)R$  variables. Improve both Birch's result and, in big R, BHB.

Key ideas: *p*-adic repulsion, multilinear repulsion, a way to extract lower-order terms in exponential sums.

•  $\vec{f}(\vec{x}) \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_s]^R$  is a system of R forms in  $s > \sum d_i$  variables with integer coefficients, and  $N_{\vec{f}}(B) = \sum_{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^s \cap [-B,B]^s, \vec{f}(\vec{x}) = \vec{0}} 1$ .

## Theorem (Birch 1962)

We have  $N_{\vec{f}}(B) \sim c B^{s-dR}$  as above if  $\vec{f}$  is smooth,  $d_1 = \cdots = d_R = d$ ,  $s \geq (d-1)2^{d-1}R(R+1) + R$ .

- If  $d_1, \ldots, d_R \leq d$ , Browning-Heath-Brown (2017) handle around  $d^3R^22^D$  variables, improving on Schmidt (1985).
- In the culmination of work announced at the 2021 Hausdorff circle method school, I achieve  $s \ge R + \sum_{i=1}^{R} d_i 2^{d_i} 3^{2d(d-d_i)}$ .

Typically this is about  $3^{2d^2}R$  variables; when  $d_1 = \cdots = d_R = d$  it is  $(1 + d2^d)R$  variables. Improve both Birch's result and, in big R, BHB.

Key ideas: *p*-adic repulsion, multilinear repulsion, a way to extract lower-order terms in exponential sums.

### **Further work**

- I will give the first analogue of Birch's result for Diophantine inequalities |f<sub>i</sub>(x)| ≤ 1 with real coefficients and differing degrees.
- Counting equations that have solutions, within families of equations parametrized by solutions to quadratic or cubic equations (Serre's problem).
  - Quadratic forms with restricted variables: prime and almost-prime variables with Blomer-Grimmelt-Li;
  - future work with Naomi Bazlov (Warwick), Junxian Li (UC Davis), Alisa Sedunova (Purdue).
  - The elliptic sieve with Loughran, Nakahara, and Bhakta (2023) for rank 1 elliptic curves;
  - working on generalisations with Edison Au-Yeung (Warwick),
    Subham Bhakta (IISER), Shparlinski (UNSW).

- M a compact Riemannian manifold,  $\Delta_M$  Laplace-Beltrami operator.
- Let dim M=d; analogous to  $\Delta=\sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .
- Let  $(\psi_j)_j$  be an orthonormal basis of eigenfunctions,  $\Delta_M \psi_j = -\lambda_j^2 \psi_j$ .
- $F(\Delta_M)\psi_i = F(\lambda_i)\psi_i$  (functional calculus).
- If  $f = \sum_j \widehat{f_j} \psi_j$  put  $\mathbf{1}_{(-1,1)} \left( \frac{\sqrt{-\Delta} \lambda}{\delta} \right) f = \sum_{j:|\lambda_j \lambda| < \delta} \widehat{f_j} \psi_j$ .
- The universal estimate of Sogge (1988):

$$||P_{\lambda,1}||_{L^2 \to L^p} \lesssim \lambda^{\frac{d-1}{2}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})} + \lambda^{\frac{d-1}{2} - \frac{d}{p}}.$$

• For  $p < \frac{2(d+1)}{d-1}$  the first term is bigger (geodesic-focusing regime).

- ullet M a compact Riemannian manifold,  $\Delta_M$  Laplace-Beltrami operator.
- Let dim M=d; analogous to  $\Delta=\sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .
- Let  $(\psi_j)_j$  be an orthonormal basis of eigenfunctions,  $\Delta_M \psi_j = -\lambda_j^2 \psi_j$ .
- $F(\Delta_M)\psi_j = F(\lambda_j)\psi_j$  (functional calculus).
- If  $f = \sum_j \widehat{f_j} \psi_j$  put  $\mathbf{1}_{(-1,1)} \left( \frac{\sqrt{-\Delta} \lambda}{\delta} \right) f = \sum_{j:|\lambda_j \lambda| < \delta} \widehat{f_j} \psi_j$ .
- The universal estimate of Sogge (1988):

$$||P_{\lambda,1}||_{L^2 \to L^p} \lesssim \lambda^{\frac{d-1}{2}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})} + \lambda^{\frac{d-1}{2} - \frac{d}{p}}.$$

- For  $p < \frac{2(d+1)}{d-1}$  the first term is bigger (geodesic-focusing regime).
- For fixed  $p < \frac{2(d+1)}{d-1}$ , the function  $\lambda \mapsto \|P_{\lambda,\log^{-1}\lambda}\|_{L^2 \to L^p}$  detects the curvature of the manifold (Huang-Sogge 2024)).
- We can really "hear the curvature of a drum"!

- Let  $\mathbb{T}^3 = \mathbb{R}^3/\mathbb{Z}^3$ ,  $\Delta = \sum_{1}^3 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2}$ ,  $f_{\vec{k}}(\vec{x}) = e^{2\pi i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}}$ ,  $\lambda_{\vec{k}} = \|\vec{k}\|_2$   $(\vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^3)$ .
- Define  $P_{\lambda,\delta} = \mathbf{1}_{(-1,1)} \left( \frac{\sqrt{-\Delta} \lambda}{\delta} \right)$ .
- The Fourier transform on  $\mathbb{T}^3$  is given by

$$\widehat{f}_{\vec{k}} = \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} f(\vec{x}) e^{-2\pi i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}} \, d\vec{x} \qquad (\vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^3).$$

- We study the  $L^2 \to L^p$  operator norms of  $P_{\lambda,\delta}$ , with a focus on narrow spectral windows (small  $\delta$ ).
- Our goal is to establish bounds of the form, for suitable  $C(p, \lambda, \delta)$ ,

$$\|P_{\lambda,\delta}f\|_p \leq C(p,\lambda,\delta)\|f\|_2.$$

- Let  $\mathbb{T}^3 = \mathbb{R}^3/\mathbb{Z}^3$ ,  $\Delta = \sum_1^3 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_*^2}$ ,  $f_{\vec{k}}(\vec{x}) = e^{2\pi i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}}$ ,  $\lambda_{\vec{k}} = \|\vec{k}\|_2$   $(\vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^3)$ .
- Define  $P_{\lambda,\delta} = \mathbf{1}_{(-1,1)} \left( \frac{\sqrt{-\Delta} \lambda}{\delta} \right)$ .
- The Fourier transform on  $\mathbb{T}^3$  is given by

$$\widehat{f}_{\vec{k}} = \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} f(\vec{x}) e^{-2\pi i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}} \, d\vec{x} \qquad (\vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^3).$$

- We study the  $L^2 \to L^p$  operator norms of  $P_{\lambda,\delta}$ , with a focus on narrow spectral windows (small  $\delta$ ).
- Our goal is to establish bounds of the form, for suitable  $C(p, \lambda, \delta)$ ,

$$\|P_{\lambda,\delta}f\|_{p} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^{3}} \left(\sum_{\substack{\vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{3} \\ -\delta < \|\vec{k}\|_{2} - \lambda < \delta}} \widehat{f}_{\vec{k}}e^{2\pi i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}}\right)^{p} d\vec{x}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C(p,\lambda,\delta)\|f\|_{2}.$$

• An exponential sum; a polynomial in integers  $||k||_2^2 = k_1^2 + k_2^2 + k_3^2$ .

### Conjecture (Germain-Myerson 2022)

For  $\lambda^{-1} \lesssim \delta \leq 1$  and  $p \geq 2$ , we conjecture that

$$\|P_{\lambda,\delta}\|_{L^2\to L^p}\lesssim (\lambda\delta)^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}}+\lambda^{1-\frac{3}{p}}\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}+1.$$

• Provided  $\delta \geq \lambda^{-1}$ , we expect

$$\|P_{\lambda,\delta}\|_{L^2\to L^p}\lesssim \begin{cases} \lambda^{1-\frac{3}{p}}\delta^{\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } p\geq 6, \text{ or } 4\leq p\leq 6 \text{ and } \delta\geq \lambda^{2-\frac{p}{2}},\\ (\lambda\delta)^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}} & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

- Joint with Pierre Germain (Imperial College London).
- Goal: prove the conjecture for  $\delta \geq \lambda^{-1/2}$ , possibly with a loss  $\lambda^{o(1)}$ .
- Builds on weaker result for  $\mathbb{T}^d$  and relatively strong results for generic non-rectangular d-tori (Germain-Myerson, 2022).

# Harmonic analysis: future work

- Spectral projector estimates in less traditional settings:
  - hyperbolic spaces with Vedran Sohinger (Warwick),
  - nilmanifolds with Hajer Bahouri (Sorbonne), Veronique Fischer (Bath),
  - Simple Lie groups with Damaris Schindler (Göttingen).
- Bigger picture: Strichartz estimates, applications to PDE.

- Push the boundaries of analytic number theory, outward from Diophantine problems to harmonic analysis and applications to nonlinear dispersive PDE.
- I work to build these connections and show them to the world.
- Passionate about mentoring students through research projects and dissertations. Everyone can contribute to mathematical research.
- Collaborative and open approach: group meetings, inter-group idea exchange.

# Number theory and the sea

#### Motivation

• Wind driven waves on the ocean!

#### Motivation

- Wind driven waves on the ocean  $[-L, L]^2$
- $\bullet$  Zakharov–Filonenko: There is a simple theory in the big box limit  $L \to \infty.$
- But it assumes waves don't have time to cross the box. Can't build a box big enough to test it experimentally.
- Let's do better!

### Related problem

Nonlinear Schrödinger equation on a large torus

$$-2\pi i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f + \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2}\right) f = |f|^2 f \qquad \vec{x} \in (\mathbb{R}/L\mathbb{Z})^2$$

Faou-Germain-Hani, Buckmaster-Germain-Hani-Shatah derived a simpler equation for L large, f and t small.

# Number theory and the sea

## Related problem (Nonlinear Schrödinger equation)

$$-2\pi i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f + \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2}\right) f = |f|^2 f \qquad \vec{x} \in (\mathbb{R}/L\mathbb{Z})^2$$

Faou-Germain-Hani, Buckmaster-Germain-Hani-Shatah derived a simpler equation for L large, f and t small

## Related problem (Nonlinear Schrödinger equation)

$$-2\pi i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f + \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2}\right) f = |f|^2 f \qquad \vec{x} \in (\mathbb{R}/L\mathbb{Z})^2$$

Faou-Germain-Hani, Buckmaster-Germain-Hani-Shatah derived a simpler equation for L large, f and t small

$$\begin{split} f(\vec{x},t) &= \sum_{\vec{u} \in \mathbb{Z}^2} a_{\vec{u}}(t) e(\vec{u} \cdot \vec{x}/L) \qquad e(s) = e^{2\pi i s} \\ -2\pi i \partial_t a_{\vec{u}} &= L^{-4} \sum_{\substack{\vec{p},\vec{q},\vec{r} \in \mathbb{Z}^2\\ \vec{p}+\vec{q}=\vec{r}+\vec{u}\\ |\vec{p}|^2 + |\vec{q}|^2 = |\vec{r}|^2 + |\vec{u}|^2}} a_{\vec{p}} a_{\vec{q}} \vec{a}_{\vec{r}} \quad + \quad \text{oscillatory terms} \\ -2\pi i \partial_t a_{\vec{u}} &= L^{-4} \int \delta_{\vec{p}+\vec{q}=\vec{r}+\vec{u}} \delta_{|\vec{p}|^2 + |\vec{q}|^2 = |\vec{r}|^2 + |\vec{u}|^2} a_{\vec{p}} a_{\vec{q}} \vec{a}_{\vec{r}} \ d\vec{p} \ d\vec{q} \ d\vec{r} \end{split}$$

Count weighted solutions to a quadratic equation; use the circle method

Water waves  $\rightarrow$  some different counting problems. New techniques? With Jalal Shatah (NYU), Tristan Buckmaster (NYU).

### Related problem (Nonlinear Schrödinger equation)

$$-2\pi i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f + \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2}\right) f = |f|^2 f \qquad \vec{x} \in (\mathbb{R}/L\mathbb{Z})^2$$

Faou-Germain-Hani, Buckmaster-Germain-Hani-Shatah derived a simpler equation for L large, f and t small

$$\begin{split} f(\vec{x},t) &= \sum_{\vec{u} \in \mathbb{Z}^2} a_{\vec{u}}(t) e(\vec{u} \cdot \vec{x}/L + |\vec{u}|^2 t/L^2) \qquad e(s) = e^{2\pi i s} \\ -2\pi i \partial_t a_{\vec{u}} &= L^{-4} \sum_{\substack{\vec{p},\vec{q},\vec{r} \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ \vec{p}+\vec{q}=\vec{r}+\vec{u} \\ |\vec{p}|^2 + |\vec{q}|^2 = |\vec{r}|^2 + |\vec{u}|^2}} a_{\vec{p}} a_{\vec{q}} \overline{a}_{\vec{r}} \quad + \quad \text{oscillatory terms} \\ -2\pi i \partial_t a_{\vec{u}} &= C L^{-4} \int \delta_{\vec{p}+\vec{q}=\vec{r}+\vec{u}} \delta_{|\vec{p}|^2 + |\vec{q}|^2 = |\vec{r}|^2 + |\vec{u}|^2} a_{\vec{p}} a_{\vec{q}} \overline{a}_{\vec{r}} \, d\vec{p} \, d\vec{q} \, d\vec{r} \end{split}$$

Count weighted solutions to a quadratic equation; use the circle method

Water waves  $\rightarrow$  some different counting problems. New techniques? With Jalal Shatah (NYU), Tristan Buckmaster (NYU).