CS 170, Fall 2022

Contents

1.	Big-O Notation	3
2.	Divide-and-conquer Algorithms	4
	2.a. Multiplication	4
	2.b. Recurrence Relations	4
	2.c. Mergesort	4
	2.d. Medians	
	2.e. Matrix Multiplication	
	2.f. Fast Fourier Transform	6

1. Big-O Notation

Definition 1.1

Let f(n) and g(n) be functions from positive integers to positive reals. We say f = O(g) if there is a constant c > 0 such that $f(n) \le cg(n)$

Saying f = O(g) is a very loose analog of " $f \le g$."

Definition 1.2

$$f = \Omega(g) \Longleftrightarrow g = O(f)$$
$$f = \Theta(g) \Longleftrightarrow f = O(g) \land f = \Omega(g)$$

Saying $f = \Omega(g)$ is a very loose analog of " $f \ge g$," and therefore $f = \Theta(g)$ means that f and g takes, in average, the time to run as the input size grows (g encloses f both from above and below).

Example 1.3

TODO

2. Divide-and-conquer Algorithms

2.a. Multiplication

Definition 2.1 (Integer Multiplication)

A divide-and-conquer algorithm for integer multiplication is defined as follows:

```
function mul(x(0b[1...k]), y(0b[1...h]))
    %Input: Positive integers x, y in binary
    %Output: x times y

n = max(size of x, size of y)
    if n == 1: return x × y

x<sub>L</sub>, x<sub>R</sub> = x(0b[1...[n/2]]), x(0b[[n/2]...n])
    y<sub>L</sub>, y<sub>R</sub> = y(0b[1...[n/2]]), y(0b[[n/2]...n])

P<sub>1</sub> = mul(x<sub>L</sub>, y<sub>L</sub>)
    P<sub>2</sub> = mul(x<sub>L</sub>, y<sub>R</sub>)
    P<sub>3</sub> = mul(x<sub>L</sub> + x<sub>R</sub>, y<sub>L</sub> + y<sub>R</sub>)
    return P<sub>1</sub> × 2<sup>n</sup> + (P<sub>3</sub> - P<sub>1</sub> - P<sub>2</sub>) × 2<sup>n/2</sup> + P<sub>2</sub>
```

Where 0b[1...k] denotes the binary string representing a number.

Each call of mul has three recursive calls, inputs of which are half the size of the original inputs, and the base cases (x times y) take constant time. Therefore we conclude that the time taken by this algorithm is

$$T(n) = 3T(n/2) + O(n)$$

Apply the Master Algorithm in Chap 2.b, we conclude that the time complexity of this algorithm is

$$T(n) \in \Theta(n^{\log_2 3}) \approx \Theta(n^{1.585})$$

2.b. Recurrence Relations

Theorem 2.2 (Master Algorithm)

If $T(n) = aT(n/b) + cn^k$ and T(1) = c for some constants a, b, c and k, then

$$T(n) \in \begin{cases} \Theta(n^k) & if \ a < b^k \\ \Theta(n^k \log n) & if \ a = b^k \\ \Theta(n^{\log_b a}) & if \ a > b^k \end{cases}$$

2.c. Mergesort

Definition 2.3 (Mergesort)

The Mergesort algorithm is defined as follows:

```
function mergesort(a[1...n])
    %Input: An array of numbers a[1...n]
    %Output: Sorted array a
    if n>1:
      return merge (mergesort (a[1...|n/2]), mergesort (a[n/2+1...n]))
6
      return a
  function merge(x[1...k], y[1...h])
10
    %Input: Two arrays of numbers (x[1...k], y[1...h])
11
12
    "Output: An array of numbers in x and y in ascending order
13
    if k=0: return y
14
    if 1=0: return x
15
    if x[1] <= y[1]:</pre>
16
      return x[1] ∘ merge(x[2...k], y[1...h])
17
18
      return y[1] o merge(x[1...k], y[2...h])
19
```

Where • denotes concatenation.

The merge function above does a constant amount of work (concatenating two arrays) per recursive call, for a total running time of O(k+h). Thus the calls to merge in mergesort are linear, we conclude that the overall time taken by mergesort is

$$T(n) = 2T(n/2) + O(n)$$

Recall the Master Algorithm in Chap 2.b, we conclude that the time complexity of this algorithm is

$$T(n) \in \Theta(n \log n)$$

Remark 2.4

 $n \log n$ is the lower bound for sorting, and therefore mergesort is optimal.

Proof. Sorting algorithms can be depicted as trees that each non-leaf node represents a comparison between two elements, and each leaf denotes a permutation of the input array (and thus a binary search tree since each non-leaf nodes have two children). Consider such tree that sorts an array a[1...n]. The total number of the leaves is n!. A binary tree of depth d has at most 2^d leaves. Therefore, the depth of the tree and the complexity of this algorithm should be at least $\log(n!)$, which is the worst case of this algorithm. Since $\log(n!) \le cn \log(n)$, we conclude that $n \log(n)$ is optimal for sorting algorithms.

2.d. Medians

Definition 2.5 (selection)

A randomized divide-and-conquer algorithm for selection is defined as follows

2.e. Matrix Multiplication

Definition 2.6

2.f. Fast Fourier Transform