



**ALLSEEN
ALLIANCE**

Technical Steering Meeting


May 11, 2015

Antitrust Compliance Notice

- AllSeen Alliance meetings involve participation by industry competitors, and it is the intention of AllSeen Alliance to conduct all of its activities in accordance with applicable antitrust and competition laws. It is therefore extremely important that attendees adhere to meeting agendas, and be aware of and not participate in any activities that are prohibited under applicable US state, federal or foreign antitrust and competition laws.
- Examples of types of actions that are prohibited at AllSeen Alliance meetings and in connection with AllSeen Alliance activities are described in the AllSeen Alliance Antitrust Policy. If you have questions about these matters, please contact your company counsel, or if you are a member of AllSeen Alliance, feel free to contact Lee Gesmer or Andrew Updegrove, of the firm of Gesmer Updegrove LLP, which provides legal counsel to AllSeen Alliance.



Reminder:
**This call is being
recorded**

- 
1. Approve minutes from previous meeting
 2. Alliance release testing proposal review
 - Vote on proposed budget
 3. Update on Alliance road trip
 4. IRB Activity Update
 5. Location Services update



Alliance release testing proposal review

Vote on proposed budget

Annual Budget

- Based on proposals submitted by interested 3rd parties, we propose that the TSC vote to approve an annual budget allocation of:
 - \$50K for automated test system hosting and support
 - \$250K for release testing
- The first year cost is expected to be higher (~10 - 15%) than subsequent years due to setup and hardware acquisition costs.
- The budget will require re-examination, annually, to determine if an increase is necessary due to increases in the test load in response to new features, platforms, or transports.

Process

- TSC to vote on proposed budget today
 - If approved by the TSC, then the proposed budget will be presented to the Board for approval
 - If approved by the Board, then an RFQ will be prepared and distributed to interested 3rd parties
- We propose that a temporary committee be formed:
 - Prepare and distribute the RFQ
 - Develop criteria for evaluating proposals
 - Review proposals and apply criteria
 - Recommend a 3rd party to the TSC
 - The TSC may wish to use the proposed Test Policy committee for this purpose. However, this may result in delays in implementing the proposals.
- The TSC will vote on the recommended 3rd party
- The AllSeen Alliance will enter into an agreement with the 3rd party
- Call for TSC vote on proposed budget



Update on Alliance Roadtrip

Update on Alliance Roadtrip

- Discussion



IRB Activity Update 2015-05

Chairman, Interface Review Board

Dominique Chanet

Activity Overview

- Review Activity
 - Ongoing reviews
 - SmartHome centralized controller
 - AnalyticsEventAgent
 - Security 2.0
 - Finished reviews: none
- Beginning work on v1.1 of the Guidelines document
 - https://wiki.allseenalliance.org/irb/interface_design_guidelines_draft_1.1
 - Mostly reformatting & some clarification of the wording
 - Minor additional guidelines based on lessons learned from the initial reviews
 - No target date yet.
- Working with webdocs team to figure out publication pipeline for ratified Interface Definitions

SmartHome centralized controller

- <https://git.allseenalliance.org/gerrit/#/c/3840/>
- Submitted March 23rd.
- 3 reviewers: Inhwon Choi, Steve Kinneberg, Dave Thaler, Dominique Chanet
- Current verdict: reject.
 - Lots of cosmetic remarks: awaiting updated submission
 - Conceptual questions
 - Centralized controller in a peer-to-peer communications framework?
 - Subverts Security 2.0: centralized controller needs very broad rights, actual control applications only need rights to access generic centralized controller.
- IRB thinks the Centralized Controller concept is inherently flawed. We request that the TSC reviews this concept (and the HomeController project in general) to provide guidance.
<https://wiki.allseenalliance.org/homecontroller>

AnalyticsEventAgent

- <https://git.allseenalliance.org/gerrit/#/c/4327/>
- Submitted April 24th.
- reviewers: Dave Thaler, Steve Kinneberg, Inhwon Choi, Zhao Ru, Dominique Chanet
- Current verdict: reject.
 - Lots of cosmetic remarks: awaiting updated submission
 - Conceptual questions
 - AnalyticsAgent is essentially a dumb pipe for proprietary data. How does standardizing this interface improve interoperability in the AllSeen ecosystem?

Security 2.0

- <https://git.allseenalliance.org/gerrit/#/c/4283/>
- Submitted April 20th.
- reviewers: Dave Thaler, Zhao Ru, Dominique Chanet
- Current verdict: close to acceptance.
 - No great conceptual disagreements left
 - Mostly waiting for a bit more precise wording in the Interface Definition document.



Location Service Update

May 6 2015

Brad Kemp

Overview Location Services

- 4 related services – Presence, Proximity, Location and Containment
- Containment deferred to a subsequent release
- 4 active participants (Beechwoods, Politecnico di Milano, Sony Mobile, MIT)
- Presence service submitted to the IRB.
- Weekly meetings open to all

Current Progress

- Design Document in progress
 - Design deferred for Containment
- Markdown Text in progress for Proximity and location
 - Markdown Text deferred for Containment.
- Interface guide complete for presence. Proximity and Location services in progress

Progress

	Presence	Proximity	Location	Containment
Markdown Text	5/5	5/19	5/19	deferred
Extended Introspection XML	Complete	Complete	Complete	deferred
Submit to IRB	5/7	5/19	5/1p	-
Code Complete	10/15	10/15	10/15	-
Release	12/15	12/15	12/5	-

Source: This is where source information goes



Thank You

Follow Us On      

- For more information on AllSeen Alliance, visit us at: allseenalliance.org & allseenalliance.org/news/blogs