

Home Appliances & Entertainment (HAE) Service Framework

Weekly Technical Meeting – January 14, 2016

Agenda

1. Approve Meeting Minutes from Previous Meeting
2. Resolve IRB's Comments on PlugInUnits
3. Change Property Name for RemoteControllability
4. Discuss on Code Contributions

Attendees

<i>Name</i>	<i>Company</i>
Inhwan Choi	LGE
Seungchul Han	LGE
Stefano Toppan	Electrolux
Mauro Taiariol	Electrolux
Nikolai Kovalev	Electrolux
Yoshinori Nagai	Sharp
Patrick Gonia	Honeywell
David Kaufman	Honeywell
Takeshi Oishi	Sony
Mike Finch	Lowe's

Meeting Notes

1. Approve Meeting Minutes from Previous Meeting
 - A. All members approved the minutes from previous meeting.
2. Confirm Core Version Change
 - A. Inhwon Choi introduced the necessity for the core version change from v15.04 to v15.09.
 - B. All members agreed.
3. Discuss Standard Cycles and Phases
 - A. Inhwon Choi introduced an objective to define standard cycles and phases and a Jira ticket for members' collaboration.
 - B. Mike Finch asked which one is favorable for members' contribution: members edit tables directly or put comments to add additional cycles.
 - C. Inhwon Choi answered editing tables directly would be great to accelerate development.
 - D. Mauro Taiariol commented he still keeps the same position in F2F meeting in Beijing: It would be very difficult to define standard common enumeration of cycle programs because different cycle names are used across different regions or countries for marketing purposes. And he suggested removing the cycle phase tables in the Jira ticket because the cycle phases had already defined.
 - E. Inhwon Choi commented in the case of oven cycle, there is no meaningful standard cycle phase available but Robot cleaner has meaningful cycle phases such as Cleaning and Homing. So he would like to try to compare device to device.
 - F. Mauro Taiariol commented both of operational cycle and cycle phase should support 'Not Supported' and 'Unavailable' as a generic rule.
 - G. Mike Finch commented if 'Unavailable' in cycle phase makes sense without at least one cycle.
 - H. Members agreed that if there is no meaningful cycle phase, 'Unavailable' doesn't make sense.
 - I. Members discussed whether 'Not Supported' is necessary or not, but members couldn't reach a consensus, so they decided to continue this discussion online.
 - J. Members agreed to split this issue into 2 issues.
 - i. Defining standard cycles and cycle phases
 - ii. Keeping 'Not Supported' is or not
4. Initial Submission of Baseline Code for AJTCL
 - A. Inhwon Choi introduced the baseline code upload for AJTCL.

Action Items

1. Review baseline code for AJTCL (All members)

2. Review and comment for standard cycles and cycle phases. (All members)

Next Meeting Agenda

1. Approve minutes from previous meeting.
2. Discuss standard cycles and cycle phases