



AllJoyn[®]

Open Source Project

Core Working Group Meeting

The contents of this document, and the interfaces described, and all the information herein, are the result of collaborative discussions by the Core Working Group. This summary documents the final consensus of the team.



Reminder:
**This call is being
recorded**

Antitrust Compliance Notice

- AllJoyn Open Source Project (AJOSP) meetings involve participation by industry competitors, and it is the intention of AJOSP to conduct all of its activities in accordance with applicable antitrust and competition laws. It is therefore extremely important that attendees adhere to meeting agendas, and be aware of and not participate in any activities that are prohibited under applicable US state, federal or foreign antitrust and competition laws.
- Examples of types of actions that are prohibited at AJOSP meetings and in connection with AJOSP activities are described in the Linux Foundation Antitrust Policy: <https://www.linuxfoundation.org/antitrust-policy>

Agenda

- Transition status?
 - Code? transition: no need for a squash
 - CJ waiting on details from Karen
 - Wiki/engineering tools?
 - CJ needs information
- Release status?
 - Windows Jenkins up and running
 - This are working – just need to get some of the debug artifacts that are missing back: critical but not urgent
 - Testing state
 - Code freeze
 - On track for code freeze 11/4, allowing MSFT 1 week final release testing to kick of Monday 11/7
 - Didn't happen – code freeze 11/11?
 - Bug status
- Windows BVT machines: do we really need more compute etc?
 - Way to discuss with Arvind. Way believes we should have two build machines: one per build, Win7 and Win10
- Committers:
 - Need to identify committers for alljoyn, ajtcl and test
 - Nominees?
 - Cover next week.

Actions/conclusion from meeting

- Paul S to investigate feasibility of taking Java secure door sample and making an APK of them
 - Will send mail on likelihood of success at end of day 11/10
- IFF that does not work triage team agreed that the Secure Door Java sample running on Linux would substitute for Android
 - Between that and the Sec2.0 Unit tests running successfully* there is good confidence in the Java binding interoperability.
 - *There are some intermittent failures, and some failures when tests are run automatically rather than individually
- Assuming that the analysis of New blocking Jiras does not result in code change for 16.10, all but iOS and Java code will be frozen so MSFT team can enter their test/verification for the release.

OSU RB16.10 Test Status – 11/10/16

Priority	Test Plan	Total	Remaining	Passed	[%] Pass	Failed	[%]	Blocked	[%]	Completed [%]
	16.10 Android Platform [ARM]	25	0	23	92%	2	8%	0	0%	100%
High	16.10 Android Platform [ARM64]	5	0	5	100%	0	0%	0	0%	100%
	16.10 iOS Platform	11	0	11	100%	0	0%	0	0%	100%
High	16.10 IPv6 only, NameService and IOP	49	0	46	94%	3	6%	0	0%	100%
	16.10 Linux	73	0	73	100%	0	0%	0	0%	100%
	16.10 Name Service tests IPv4/IPv6	51	0	49	96%	2	4%	0	0%	100%
	16.10 OpenWRT Platform	42	0	40	95%	2	5%	0	0%	100%
	16.10 OSX Platform	9	0	8	89%	1	11%	0	0%	100%
High	16.10 SC Core Tests	106	0	106	100%	0	0%	0	0%	100%
High	16.10 TC Core Tests	33	0	33	100%	0	0%	0	0%	100%
	16.10 Windows Platform	65	0	62	95%	3	5%	0	0%	100%
		469	0	456	97%	13	3%	0	0%	100%

- 1 test remaining for retesting
- Security 2.0 Door Samples – need to do c and java bindings sanity check before completion.



Thank you