



**ALLSEEN
ALLIANCE**

Core Working Group

May 07, 2015

The contents of this document, and the interfaces described, and all the information herein, are the result of collaborative discussions by the Core Working Group. This summary documents the final consensus of the team.”



**Reminder:
This call is being
recorded**

Antitrust Compliance Notice

- AllSeen Alliance meetings involve participation by industry competitors, and it is the intention of AllSeen Alliance to conduct all of its activities in accordance with applicable antitrust and competition laws. It is therefore extremely important that attendees adhere to meeting agendas, and be aware of and not participate in any activities that are prohibited under applicable US state, federal or foreign antitrust and competition laws.
- Examples of types of actions that are prohibited at AllSeen Alliance meetings and in connection with AllSeen Alliance activities are described in the AllSeen Alliance Antitrust Policy. If you have questions about these matters, please contact your company counsel, or if you are a member of AllSeen Alliance, feel free to contact Lee Gesmer or Andrew Updegrove, of the firm of Gesmer Updegrove LLP, which provides legal counsel to AllSeen Alliance.



Agenda

1. Proposal to change order for weekly meetings
 - Status first and triage second
2. Language bindings discussion
3. Proposal to outline the process for changing APIs
4. Review Action Items

Proposal to change order for weekly meetings

- Propose
 - WG Status 10:00 to 10:30 AM PT
 - WG Triage 10:30 to 11:30 AM PT
- WG Agreement: Agreed

Language bindings discussion

- Need a formal policy to support language bindings
 - Came up at last TSC F2F
- SCL binding proposal
 - Required
 - C++ and C
 - Optional
 - Java, NPAPI, ObjC?
- TCL binding proposal
 - No proposal
 - Should we consider JavaScript?
- Need separate policy for platforms
 - May need to consider binding platform and language binding
- Next steps
 - Action: Marcello (QCE) to send proposal to the mail list

Proposal to outline the process for changing APIs

- Question: Who should lead effort to craft proposal?

Action Items

- IPv6 discussion
 - Action: Chris (QCE) to set up core WG technical meeting to discuss
 - Action: Dino (MSFT) to send Chris (QCE) suggested times/days for meeting
 - Early May suggested
 - Action: Marcello to share in email affected components before meeting
- Gavin to see if someone from Microsoft can assist with notes from core WG meetings after 15.04 release
- David to lead team crafting testing proposals
 - Proposal presented to alliance
 - Budget vote at next week's TSC meeting
 - David leading the effort
 - Assisting includes Arvind, Marcello, Chris, Dino
- For next week's call
 - Add SRP Deprecation to next week's call
 - Add JIRA severity discussion to next week's call
 - Add Platform discussion
- Process pages: Send email to Core WG that this will be marked as V1 on 5/7
 - Comments are due by 5/5 and incorporated and marked V1 on 5/7
 - Add section for proposing a change to the process to core and JIRA process page



Thank You

Follow Us On      

- For more information on AllSeen Alliance, visit us at: allseenalliance.org & allseenalliance.org/news/blogs



Notes from 14.12

Post Mortem

14.12 Post Mortem Improvement Items (1/3)

- Aligning date & the end game (lockdown) schedule of AllJoyn releases with release schedule of the contributing member companies if it happens to be in close proximity of AllJoyn release
 - **Action:** Arvind to send proposal to Core WG mail list
- Consistent and enforced definition/bar for code freeze
 - Need crisp definitions for "incremental bug bars" (normal, tell, ask)
 - Need approval granularity (approval on merge)
 - Need process for how to deal with large last-minute changes
 - Suggestion – only high priority issues “ask” are added one week before release
 - **Action:**
 - Marcello to send current milestone definition to Core WG mail list
 - Gavin to send proposal to Core WG mail list based on Marcello’s email
- Need processes for breaking changes
 - Regarding protocol, API syntax, behavior
 - Mitigation: Proposed changes should be advertised
 - **Action:** Chris to add the process to this to the existing process draft

14.12 Post Mortem Improvement Items (2/3)

- Need agenda and slides 48 hours ahead of core WG meetings
 - Best effort to send slides by COB Friday
- Need notes from core WG meetings sent more consistently
 - Note: Linux foundation unable to assist
 - **Action:** Gavin to see if someone from Microsoft can assist
- For TSC: PR coordination for releases
 - More an issue for marketing committee
 - **Action:** Chris to discuss this with Philip
- Engage system test during feature testing
 - More members conducting system test is preferred
- Testing needs to be better distributed across members
- E2E testing is needed
 - **Action:** David and Arvind will make a proposal
- More frequently merge feature branches so that deltas can be kept to a reasonable minimum
 - **Action:** Chris to add process to the Wiki process draft

14.12 Post Mortem Improvement Items (3/3)

- May need more frequent but shorter Core WG meetings
 - WG meeting immediately following triage meeting for 30 minutes
 - **Action:** Chris to change Core WG status meetings to 30 minutes after the Thursday triage
- Increase frequency of triage meetings earlier in the process
 - **Action:** Chris to set up biweekly triage 2 weeks prior to branch date
 - For 15.04 it will begin week of March 9
- Define JIRA severity vs. priority process
 - **Action:** David & Arvind to set up discussions to craft proposal
- To be discussed at next meeting
 - JIRA label to identify contributing organization taking ownership of the item
 - Need process for managing the platform matrix
 - Revisit code style guidelines, rules, and enforcement