



**ALLSEEN  
ALLIANCE**

# **Core Working Group**

**February 19, 2015**



**Reminder:  
This call is being  
recorded**

# Antitrust Compliance Notice

- AllSeen Alliance meetings involve participation by industry competitors, and it is the intention of AllSeen Alliance to conduct all of its activities in accordance with applicable antitrust and competition laws. It is therefore extremely important that attendees adhere to meeting agendas, and be aware of and not participate in any activities that are prohibited under applicable US state, federal or foreign antitrust and competition laws.
- Examples of types of actions that are prohibited at AllSeen Alliance meetings and in connection with AllSeen Alliance activities are described in the AllSeen Alliance Antitrust Policy. If you have questions about these matters, please contact your company counsel, or if you are a member of AllSeen Alliance, feel free to contact Lee Gesmer or Andrew Updegrove, of the firm of Gesmer Updegrove LLP, which provides legal counsel to AllSeen Alliance.



# Agenda

1. 15.04 and security 2.0 discussion
2. Publishing test cases
3. Schema file discussion
4. Moved to discuss next week
  - Test Proposal
    - David & Arvind
  - 14.12 Post Mortem improvement items
    - Review status of open action items
  - Clean up samples discussion
  - Transport mask discussion

# 15.04 and security 2.0 discussion

- Update on latest discussions within the security project team regarding security 2.0

# Publishing test cases

- Planning to deploy an open source test management tool called “TestLink”
  - Support for test cases, test plans, results, versioning, etc.
  - Support for defining groups, roles, and permissions
- Linux Foundation will deploy in the coming weeks, then we’ll start migrating test cases
- WG will need to define processes for granting access, adding/removing/modifying test cases, etc.

# TransportMask-Related Changes (1/2)

- Many TransportMask bits are obsolete
  - We should deprecate currently unused bits
    - Doing this schedules the bits for removal in a future release
- All deprecated and unused bits become reserved
- TRANSPORT\_ANY becomes affirmatively set
  - Transport mask bits default to ZERO instead of ONE.
  - Initially `TRANSPORT_ANY = TRANSPORT_LOCAL | TRANSPORT_TCP | TRANSPORT_UDP`

# TransportMask-Related Changes (2/2)

- Define an experimental transport bit
  - `TRANSPORT_EXPERIMENTAL`
  - Never to be included in `TRANSPORT_ANY`
  - Therefore never used in normal system operation
  - Use must be by explicit addition: `TRANSPORT_ANY | TRANSPORT_EXPERIMENTAL`
  - Experimental transports use an alias to this bit in their experimental stage
    - `const TransportMask TRANSPORT_YADDA = TRANSPORT_EXPERIMENTAL`
  - System functions never use this bit
- Should we release these changes in a hypothetical 14.06b release to minimize chances of interoperability issues?



# Schema file discussion

- Should the schema file be moved to a different repo?
- Which URL should be used?
  - <https://www.alljoyn.org/schemas/introspect.xsd>
  - <https://allseenalliance.org/schemas/introspect.xsd>
- Decision
  - Use the following URL: <https://allseenalliance.org/schemas/introspect.xsd>
  - Move schema to the core alljoyn.git repository
  - This would then be the preferred process

# End-to-end test system proposal

- David prepared a draft proposal and met with Arvind on Feb 4 to discuss
- Questions:
  - What should be the scope of the proposal?
  - The proposed system is modeled on the QCE internal system as a starting point. That system provides a smoke test across supported platforms. Is that a good starting point for the Alliance system?
  - Should we also consider developing another proposal that describes the overall test strategy for the Alliance, rather than just this one piece?
- Action
  - David to take point on crafting test proposal including potential cost impact
    - Need to add this to the F2F TSC meeting in March

# Action Items (1/2)

- Gavin to verify that all Microsoft features for 15.04 are listed in JIRA
- Gavin to see if someone from Microsoft can assist with notes from core WG meetings
- David & Arvind to set up discussions to craft proposal to define JIRA severity vs. priority process
  - Draft document in-process
- David & Chris to verify Windows 32 bit SDK is a must in addition to 64 bit
  - Both need to be tested equally
- David and Arvind will make a proposal for E2E testing
  - In process

# Action Items (2/2)

- Chris to add process to the Wiki process draft for frequency of merging feature branches and processes for advertising proposed changes to protocol, API syntax, behavior
  - Updated: [https://wiki.allseenalliance.org/core/overview/wg\\_process](https://wiki.allseenalliance.org/core/overview/wg_process)
- Chris to set up separate meeting to review Core WG process
  - Pending
- Action: Marcello to present proposal for 3<sup>rd</sup> party code contribution to the TSC for Feb 09
  - Pending updates from Linux Foundation
    - May be able to leverage existing processes
- David to take point on crafting test proposal including potential cost impact
  - Need to add this to the F2F TSC meeting in March

# Clean up samples discussion

- <https://jira.allseenalliance.org/browse/ASACORE-1528>
- Includes
  - Using about based discovery rather than well know names cleaning up gracefully
  - Use of the transport masks
- Greg likes to point to the standard AJ "console" app in AllJoyn-JS as an example of using the current APIs:
  - <https://git.allseenalliance.org/cgit/core/alljoyn-js.git/tree/console>



# Thank You

Follow Us On      

- For more information on AllSeen Alliance, visit us at: [allseenalliance.org](http://allseenalliance.org) & [allseenalliance.org/news/blogs](http://allseenalliance.org/news/blogs)



# **Notes from 14.12**

## **Post Mortem**

# 14.12 Post Mortem Improvement Items (1/3)

- Aligning date & the end game (lockdown) schedule of AllJoyn releases with release schedule of the contributing member companies if it happens to be in close proximity of AllJoyn release
  - **Action:** Arvind to send proposal to Core WG mail list
- Consistent and enforced definition/bar for code freeze
  - Need crisp definitions for "incremental bug bars" (normal, tell, ask)
  - Need approval granularity (approval on merge)
  - Need process for how to deal with large last-minute changes
    - Suggestion – only high priority issues “ask” are added one week before release
  - **Action:**
    - Marcello to send current milestone definition to Core WG mail list
    - Gavin to send proposal to Core WG mail list based on Marcello’s email
- Need processes for breaking changes
  - Regarding protocol, API syntax, behavior
  - Mitigation: Proposed changes should be advertised
  - **Action:** Chris to add the process to this to the existing process draft



# 14.12 Post Mortem Improvement Items (2/3)

- Need agenda and slides 48 hours ahead of core WG meetings
  - Best effort to send slides by COB Friday
- Need notes from core WG meetings sent more consistently
  - Note: Linux foundation unable to assist
  - **Action:** Gavin to see if someone from Microsoft can assist
- For TSC: PR coordination for releases
  - More an issue for marketing committee
  - **Action:** Chris to discuss this with Philip
- Engage system test during feature testing
  - More members conducting system test is preferred
- Testing needs to be better distributed across members
- E2E testing is needed
  - **Action:** David and Arvind will make a proposal
- More frequently merge feature branches so that deltas can be kept to a reasonable minimum
  - **Action:** Chris to add process to the Wiki process draft

## 14.12 Post Mortem Improvement Items (3/3)

- May need more frequent but shorter Core WG meetings
  - WG meeting immediately following triage meeting for 30 minutes
  - **Action:** Chris to change Core WG status meetings to 30 minutes after the Thursday triage
- Increase frequency of triage meetings earlier in the process
  - **Action:** Chris to set up biweekly triage 2 weeks prior to branch date
    - For 15.04 it will begin week of March 9
- Define JIRA severity vs. priority process
  - **Action:** David & Arvind to set up discussions to craft proposal
- To be discussed at next meeting
  - JIRA label to identify contributing organization taking ownership of the item
  - Need process for managing the platform matrix
  - Revisit code style guidelines, rules, and enforcement