



**ALLSEEN
ALLIANCE**

Core Working Group

April 7, 2016

The contents of this document, and the interfaces described, and all the information herein, are the result of collaborative discussions by the Core Working Group. This summary documents the final consensus of the team.



Reminder:
**This call is being
recorded**

Antitrust Compliance Notice

- AllSeen Alliance meetings involve participation by industry competitors, and it is the intention of AllSeen Alliance to conduct all of its activities in accordance with applicable antitrust and competition laws. It is therefore extremely important that attendees adhere to meeting agendas, and be aware of and not participate in any activities that are prohibited under applicable US state, federal or foreign antitrust and competition laws.
- Examples of types of actions that are prohibited at AllSeen Alliance meetings and in connection with AllSeen Alliance activities are described in the AllSeen Alliance Antitrust Policy. If you have questions about these matters, please contact your company counsel, or if you are a member of AllSeen Alliance, feel free to contact Lee Gesmer or Andrew Updegrove, of the firm of Gesmer Updegrove LLP, which provides legal counsel to AllSeen Alliance.



“Recommended Security Level”

Updates

ASACORE 2700

- Manufacturer of an “org.Contoso.GunSafe” device might want to recommend that interface as accessible just to “Privileged” users
- Manufacturer of an “org.Contoso.WirelessSpeaker” device might want to recommend that interface as “NonPrivileged”
- Manufacturer of an “org.Contoso.LightSwitch” device might want to recommend that interface as “Unauthenticated”
- <https://jira.allseenalliance.org/browse/ASACORE-2700>
- General consensus is to add this on the producer side
 - If the annotation is not there the default would be to treat it as privileged
 - Suggestion that it should be required – i.e. required and part of certification
 - Discussed with Ram, and he believes that we should have these tests supported
 - Core WG would need to write the test spec so that the C&C devs can actually implement
 - Further discussion on this in May: to include Ram on that.



SAL Annotation?

Ry

What are our options for SAL?

- Using SAL annotation could greatly help in static analysis work
- Agreement was that the correct annotations are to use to support this goal
- Ry was looking into what other collab projects are doing on this front



TSC Feedback on proposed priorities and roadmap

TSC feedback

- The only real feedback was that IPv6 is deemed important by a few members
- Suggestion was that we may wish to do a point release to remove Dev Preview tag
 - Arvind made the point that we need to identify the criteria to remove the tag.



Error messages for Get/Set Properties

Error Messages for Get/Set Properties

- Logged as ASACORE-1811
- Needed by HAE WG, beneficial to entire community
- Decision was to forego deprecation of existing methods
 - Will add the new methods if the code is ready in time with low risk
- Review was performed by several members of Core WG
 - Work will continue and further review will be done upon completion



Action Items

Action Items

- Proposal to outline the process for changing APIs
 - Has been handed over to Brian Rockwell
 - will craft proposal for review by Core WG and then presented to TSC
 - Expectation that this will be reviewed with WG in January.
- Arvind (MSFT) to create Wiki process page linked off of Core WG wiki
 - Remove references to java from mandatory binding list for 16.04 timeframe
 - Hope to have something by the end of Jan.
- Ry (LF): Need to have Alliance running Windows 10 when RTM'd
 - Running the unit tests on the Windows 10 cannot seem to pass
 - Only one set of tests are failing, MSFT has worked with Ry.
 - Issue appears to be the combinations of Windows 10 and the version of VM ware
- MSFT: 16.04 work items, should have a sense by the end of Jan, or early Feb
 - Sec2.0 scenarios, working on C binding for Core Sec2.0

Action Items

- Add a way in JIRA to track compatibility issues and proposals
 - Proposal is the use a label: “compatibility impact” in the Jira ticket
 - Committers should require for these sorts of change that the release notes be updated
 - **Marcello** to document this process in the Core Process wiki
- Define process to require regression/unit tests for bugs
 - **Marcello** to add description of Committers requiring unit test for bug fixes to Core Wiki
- Define process for handling “Technical Debt”
 - Example: Took a shortcut to make a release and not loosing track of this to fix the shortcut
 - Jira items to track when things are missing – e.g. feature added, but missing from Java binding
 - If shortcut is taken: could create a Jira task to track the problem



Thank You

Follow Us On      

- For more information on AllSeen Alliance, visit us at: allseenalliance.org & allseenalliance.org/news/blogs