



**ALLSEEN
ALLIANCE**

Core Working Group

March 3, 2016

The contents of this document, and the interfaces described, and all the information herein, are the result of collaborative discussions by the Core Working Group. This summary documents the final consensus of the team.



Reminder:
**This call is being
recorded**

Antitrust Compliance Notice

- AllSeen Alliance meetings involve participation by industry competitors, and it is the intention of AllSeen Alliance to conduct all of its activities in accordance with applicable antitrust and competition laws. It is therefore extremely important that attendees adhere to meeting agendas, and be aware of and not participate in any activities that are prohibited under applicable US state, federal or foreign antitrust and competition laws.
- Examples of types of actions that are prohibited at AllSeen Alliance meetings and in connection with AllSeen Alliance activities are described in the AllSeen Alliance Antitrust Policy. If you have questions about these matters, please contact your company counsel, or if you are a member of AllSeen Alliance, feel free to contact Lee Gesmer or Andrew Updegrove, of the firm of Gesmer Updegrove LLP, which provides legal counsel to AllSeen Alliance.



Security 2.0 State

Updates

Discussion:

16.04 Sec2.0 removes developer preview label?

- Serious concerns about removing the developer preview tag from security 2.0
- Feeling is that not enough progress has been made on making a prototype security manager that could work with apps/devices and provide a “credible” user experience.
- Don’t feel they will be done with this by 3/18
- Marcello to contact Aaron (CF WG Chair) to share the Higgs experience with Sec2.0
 - Target the 3/10 Core WG meeting
 - Aaron doesn’t have anyone that can attend, but was supporting of delaying the removal preview label
- Will also make a call in that meeting on the state of Sec2.0



“Recommended Security Level”.

Updates

ASACORE 2700

- Manufacturer of an “org.Contoso.GunSafe” device might want to recommend that interface as accessible just to “Privileged” users
- Manufacturer of an “org.Contoso.WirelessSpeaker” device might want to recommend that interface as “NonPrivileged”
- Manufacturer of an “org.Contoso.LightSwitch” device might want to recommend that interface as “Unauthenticated”
- <https://jira.allseenalliance.org/browse/ASACORE-2700>
- General consensus is to add this on the producer side
 - If the annotation is not there the default would be to treat it as privileged
 - Suggestion that it should be required – i.e. required and part of certification
 - Dan will contact Ram to ask value of requiring this ins certification
 - Update on last?



Roadmap Prioritization

Let's prioroitize!

Roadmap Prioritization

- TCL:
 1. Making Security 2.0 product ready
 2. Beef up to be able to replace SCL
 - Layer to make API more dynamic (i.e. create AJ objects on the fly)
 - Make the Library re-entrant, this would likely included ability to handle multiple messages
 3. Make mDNS packet assembly less fragile
 4. Refactor interaction of ARDP with data path

Above list is recommended priority

Roadmap Prioritization (II)

- Router

1. Make Security 2.0 product ready

- Including better Sample code

2. Diagnosability improvements

3. Key store redesign

- Some work being done to improve stability in 16.04, redesign may happen in 16.10

4. Sample code development

5. Code sanity improvements – e.g. [ASACORE-2235](#), static analysis, etc.

6. IPv6 support

7. TCL “beefing up”

8. Refactor SCL (this would be shimming these SCL APIs on top of the TCL)

9. Optimize discovery+connect time

10. mDNS protocol compliance

11. Use performance study to identify goals for scalability.

- Way has made changes to address some of the issues that were seen in the scalability testing.
- These changes have made a change of about 4x
- Sounds like there are issues with UDP when there are > 10s of devices

12. Get router out of the data path



Action Items

Action Items

- Proposal to outline the process for changing APIs
 - Has been handed over to Brian Rockwell
 - will craft proposal for review by Core WG and then presented to TSC
 - Expectation that this will be reviewed with WG in January.
- Arvind (MSFT) to create Wiki process page linked off of Core WG wiki
 - Remove references to java from mandatory binding list for 16.04 timeframe
 - Hope to have something by the end of Jan.
- Ry (LF): Need to have Alliance running Windows 10 when RTM'd
 - Running the unit tests on the Windows 10 cannot seem to pass
 - Only one set of tests are failing, MSFT has worked with Ry.
 - Issue appears to be the combinations of Windows 10 and the version of VM ware
- MSFT: 16.04 work items, should have a sense by the end of Jan, or early Feb
 - Sec2.0 scenarios, working on C binding for Core Sec2.0

Action Items

- Add a way in JIRA to track compatibility issues and proposals
 - Proposal is the use a label: “compatibility impact” in the Jira ticket
 - Committers should require for these sorts of change that the release notes be updated
 - **Marcello** to document this process in the Core Process wiki
- Define process to require regression/unit tests for bugs
 - **Marcello** to add description of Committers requiring unit test for bug fixes to Core Wiki
- Define process for handling “Technical Debt”
 - Example: Took a shortcut to make a release and not loosing track of this to fix the shortcut
 - Jira items to track when things are missing – e.g. feature added, but missing from Java binding
 - If shortcut is taken: could create a Jira task to track the problem



Thank You

Follow Us On      

- For more information on AllSeen Alliance, visit us at: allseenalliance.org & allseenalliance.org/news/blogs