



**ALLSEEN
ALLIANCE**

Core Working Group

December 17, 2015

The contents of this document, and the interfaces described, and all the information herein, are the result of collaborative discussions by the Core Working Group. This summary documents the final consensus of the team.



Reminder:
**This call is being
recorded**

Antitrust Compliance Notice

- AllSeen Alliance meetings involve participation by industry competitors, and it is the intention of AllSeen Alliance to conduct all of its activities in accordance with applicable antitrust and competition laws. It is therefore extremely important that attendees adhere to meeting agendas, and be aware of and not participate in any activities that are prohibited under applicable US state, federal or foreign antitrust and competition laws.
- Examples of types of actions that are prohibited at AllSeen Alliance meetings and in connection with AllSeen Alliance activities are described in the AllSeen Alliance Antitrust Policy. If you have questions about these matters, please contact your company counsel, or if you are a member of AllSeen Alliance, feel free to contact Lee Gesmer or Andrew Updegrove, of the firm of Gesmer Updegrove LLP, which provides legal counsel to AllSeen Alliance.



Agenda

1. 16.04 platform discussion
2. Review Action Items
3. Post Mortem action items



16.04 platform Discussion

Heads up: decisions will need to be made

- Does Microsoft want to put in the work to make VS2015/Win10 official at the Alliance level?
 - Action: Dan Mihai to provide prioritized list of what exactly Microsoft would like to see supported by the ASA (release test)
 - Specifically: Win7, Win10 inbox RN + ASA SDK, Win10 ASA SDK and RN → would like these to be prioritized
 - Goal: proposal in first meeting in Jan '16
 - Action: Need proposal for what is needed in the verification path
 - Action: If MSFT believes that new test-cases are required, they will need to be contributed by MSFT
- iOS 9 / Mac OS 10.11?
 - QCE making sure iOS 9 works so that AJATS can continue to include those devices in the mix
 - Continue to be not officially supported.
 - Action: Kris Schuff: could this be covered by existing contract? If not what is the impact?
 - First meeting in Jan '16



Action Items

Action Items

- QA +1
 - Resolution to table this. If the WG sees issues in the 16.04 release (or later) this process can be revisited
- Test subcommittee
 - Ry: has created list
- Proposal to outline the process for changing APIs
 - Has been handed over to Brian Rockwell
 - will craft proposal for review by Core WG and then presented to TSC
 - Expectation that this will be reviewed with WG in January.
- Arvind (MSFT) to create Wiki process page linked off of Core WG wiki
 - Remove references to java from mandatory binding list for 16.04 timeframe
 - Need new Date from Arvind when he returns



15.04 Post Mortem Action Items

15.04 Post Mortem Action Items

- Ry (LF): Need to have Alliance running Windows 10 when RTM'd
 - Building on Windows 10 (have been for a while) – Win7 builds also on these machines
 - Running the unit tests on the Windows 10 cannot seem to pass
 - Only one set of tests are failing, MSFT has worked with Ry.
 - Issue appears to be the combinations of Windows 10 and the version of VM ware
- Check readme files as part of the release procedure
 - Core WG needs to decide who will own the specific readme files
 - Table this until we get explicit complaints
- Set a project milestone to begin integration branch merges
 - Seem to be handling this fairly well, we simply need to be doing the appropriate planning.
- Add a way in JIRA to track compatibility issues and proposals
 - Proposal is the use a label: “compatibility impact” in the Jira ticket
 - Committers should require for these sorts of change that the release notes be updated

15.04 Post Mortem Action Items

- Define process for handling “Technical Debt”
 - Example: Took a shortcut to make a release and not loosing track of this to fix the shortcut
- Track feature branches and add more spacing between feature branch merges
- Define process to require regression/unit tests for bugs



Thank You

Follow Us On      

- For more information on AllSeen Alliance, visit us at: allseenalliance.org & allseenalliance.org/news/blogs