AllSeen Alliance

TSC Minutes October 21, 2015 9:00am PDT via face-to-face and WebEx

TSC Participants:

Art Lancaster (Affinegy)

Deniz Kaya on behalf of Burak Demirtas (Arcelik)

Dino Natucci (Microsoft)

Dominique Chanet (Qeo)

Fabrizio Dolce (Electrolux)

Greg Burns (QCE)

Hiroaki Noda (Sharp)

Inhwan Choi on behalf of Byungjin Kim (LGE)

John Cameron (LIFX)

Joost Westra (Philips)

Jun Zhang (Haier)

Kuni Takahashi (Sony)

Marcello Lioy (QCE)

Mathew Martineau (QCE)

Ram Jeyaraman (Microsoft)

Tomoki Ogawa (Panasonic)

Not in attendance:

Shinichi Yuga (Canon) - not counted towards quorum Tolly Smith (Silicon Image)- not counted towards quorum

Brett Preston agreed to take minutes.

Antitrust Compliance Notice

Greg reminded the TSC of its antitrust compliance notice.

Greg introduced the Agenda for the meeting:

- Approve minutes from previous meeting
- Testing Subcommittee
- Talking points

Approve minutes from the last call

Greg called for a motion to approve the minutes from the last meeting. Moved, seconded, than unanimously approved by TSC.

Testing Subcommittee

Greg provided update on Release and Automated Build Testing, noting that after a

formal RFP process, a contract with Oregon State University is now being finalized to provide release and automated build testing services.

Greg noted a Testing Subcommittee will now need to be formed, with a current opening for a Chair. Greg asked those interested in participating in the Testing Subcommittee to email allseen-tsc@lists.allseenalliance.org

What is working and what is not

Greg opened discussion around what is working and what is not, as it relates to technical steering.

Success of the IRB was noted.

Request was made to publish a strategic roadmap for the upcoming year. Discussion ensued landing in a potential need to form a technical marketing requirements subcommittee, to include input from the device manufacturers.

How to boost participation and collaboration

Greg reminded the TSC that the AllSeen Alliance is the membership. If someone finds an area of need, it is on them and the other members to fill the need.

Request was made to create and publish a list of areas where assistance is needed. Comment was made that the JIRA system does include a list of features identified as work that needs to be done.

Recommendation was made to bring supplier ODMs into the Alliance.

Additional recommendation was made to leverage the mail lists.

Code reviews were also identified as a way to participate.

Common Frameworks WG were identified as an area where resources are needed.

Data models were identified as an area where additional work can be done.

Question was raised around targeting the maker community.

Where are the bottlenecks

Greg noted projects starting up to include Ambassador Programs, User Groups, and a new Hackster.io project.

Jun noted relationship that Haier has with Beijing University Posts and Telecom. Jun also noted leveraging local channels in various regions.

Current project lifecycle was suggested as a potential bottleneck.

Process improvements

Sandbox was suggested as an area where people can contribute code for review, versus launching a formal project.

Suggestion was made to develop a process for stronger collaboration between working groups. Discussion ensued landing in process being for a WG to submit a feature request to another WG where the accepting WG can then review/commit.

Technical vision for next year

In the next year, it was recommended to work to bring the multiple projects into a more ubiquitous solution.

Technical marketing requirements workgroup were highlighted again as an area that would be beneficial.

Greg closed meeting/call