AllSeen Alliance TSC Minutes March 18, 2014 6:00am PST via WebEx

### **TSC Participants:**

Daeyoung Kim (LGE)
Jean-Francois (Jeff) Remy (Technicolor)
Josh Hershberg (QCE)
Jun Zhang (Haier)
Greg Burns (QCE)
Hiroshi Yahata (Panasonic)
Mathew Martineau (QCE)
Tolly Smith (Silicon Image)
Toru Ueda (Sharp)

**Also Participating** were: Mike Dolan (The Linux Foundation), Takeshi Matsushita (Sharp), Yonghua, Brad Kemp (Beechwoods Software), Telis Kaleas (QCE)

# Greg introduced the Agenda for the meeting:

- Approve minutes from last call
- Call for agenda items
- Slipstream release discussion
- LIFX lighting service proposal
- Base services git project restructuring
- File transfer service call for participation

#### **Minutes Approval**

Greg asked the TSC for any comments on the minutes. No comments raised he asked the TSC to approve the minutes. Mathew Martineu moved, Jean-Francois Remy seconded and the vote passed unanimously via chat voting.

## **Type System Proposal**

- Jean-Francois Remy discussed a proposal to improve the type system within the Core Working Group.
- The proposal is up on the wiki for review: https://wiki.allseenalliance.org/core/extensions\_to\_the\_type\_system\_proposal

#### **Slipstream Releases**

- Greg introduced the discussion on Slipstream releases.
- Currently on a 4 month release cadence and every Working Group should be targeting updates for those release dates.
  - Changes are going into git continuously

- Within a 4 month window, there are about 3 months of active development possible
- Allows for a bug fix rollup and a QA cycle
- Questions have been asked about new projects and if they miss the release date what happens
  - If the new project misses the date, under current model that project would have to wait 4 months until the next release
- Proposal: Allow first release of a new project mid-cycle
  - o Referred to as a slipstream release
  - Slipstream release cannot depend on any unreleased features of core or any other services or tools
  - Slipstream release must be no later than 8 weeks prior to regular release cycle
  - WG must commit to synchronize with regular release cycle at next release date
- M. Martineau asked for clarification about what release the slipstream would have to depend on.
  - o Greg responded that it would be the latest official release (currently 14.02).
  - Mathew then asked what would the release for the slipstream project be called? Discussion ensued to determine that they would align the release of the project to the latest official AllSeen release.
- Josh Hershberg moves, Mathew seconds, approved unanimously

#### **Connected Lighting Proposal**

- Greg introduced the LIFX Connected Lighting Proposal which will be voted on at the April 1 TSC meeting.
- Greg clarified that the timing of April 1 is not a joke and this is a real proposal. ©

#### **Base Services Project Reorg**

Josh introduced the current structure of the Base Services Project

- Finding there are too many top level projects
- Proposal is to consolidate to two top level projects base.git, base\_tcl.git
- Greg pointed out that this structure is consistent with how the Core Working Group is structured and had a similar challenge within the Core Working Group
- Greg asked if the ownership would remain the same and if this is just a restructuring of the git repository
- Josh confirmed this is just a technical git change and not a change in the resources working on it from the Working Group

#### File Transfer Service

Josh discussed the File Transfer Service

- Was originally targeted at phone use cases but has lagged updates to Core and Base services
- Looking for help from others in the community to update the code

Greg concluded looking forward to the next call and discussion of the Lighting Framework.

Jun from Haier asked about a Base service feature and issues they found with Windows. Greg asked Jun to send an email to the Base services mailing list to get a discussion going.

Telis mentioned he has a C&C update to present for next week.

Takeshi raised a question on the reorganization of the Base services and the benefit of the reorg.

- Greg explained that the benefit is that within git there is are situations where common services and config need changed.
- Greg explained when that a change in git it cannot be made atomically if it affects multiple projects especially when it also then goes through a gerrit code review. It makes it a real challenge to commit changes across projects because part of the change has been approved and part has not.
- This disrupts builds and causes issues for others when part is approved and other parts are not.

With no further business to conduct, Greg adjourned the call.