



**ALLSEEN
ALLIANCE**

Core Working Group 15.09 Post Mortem

December 15, 2015

The contents of this document, and the interfaces described, and all the information herein, are the result of collaborative discussions by the Core Working Group. This summary documents the final consensus of the team.



Reminder:
**This call is being
recorded**

Antitrust Compliance Notice

- AllSeen Alliance meetings involve participation by industry competitors, and it is the intention of AllSeen Alliance to conduct all of its activities in accordance with applicable antitrust and competition laws. It is therefore extremely important that attendees adhere to meeting agendas, and be aware of and not participate in any activities that are prohibited under applicable US state, federal or foreign antitrust and competition laws.
- Examples of types of actions that are prohibited at AllSeen Alliance meetings and in connection with AllSeen Alliance activities are described in the AllSeen Alliance Antitrust Policy. If you have questions about these matters, please contact your company counsel, or if you are a member of AllSeen Alliance, feel free to contact Lee Gesmer or Andrew Updegrove, of the firm of Gesmer Updegrove LLP, which provides legal counsel to AllSeen Alliance.

Notes:

- Reflect on what happened with Security 2.0
 - Specifically we pulled in the release and then slipped it out again
 - Didn't close on the feature requirement/design early enough
 - Would have been helpful if we had experts available for the reviews and implementation earlier
 - It became much more complicated in the process of review and design
 - Suffered from feature creep – many of the features probably could have been added later
 - E.g. only support capabilities early, and do access rights later.

Notes (2)

- Don't merge branches before they are tested and ready
 - Is there as a best practice – but in this release we merged a branch (Sec 2.0) before it was completely ready
 - Main issue is that once we merged we no longer had options
 - E.g. delaying feature
- Given higher level of involvement MSFT feels that this release went better than previous ones
 - Main enabler of this was making sure that MSFT had people available to participate.