AllSeen Alliance
Certification and Compliance Work Group (under TSC) Minutes
May 14, 2014
5:00pm PST
via WebEx

## Presentation slides at:

https://wiki.allseenalliance.org/compliance/overview#meeting minutes and pre sentations

**Participants:** Aaron Pulliam (QCE), Brett Preston (LF), Joe Speed (LF), Jun Zhang (Haier), Takeshi Matsushita (Sharp), Tolly Smith (Silicon Image)

## Joe reviewed the Antitrust Compliance Notice and the Bylaws

Joe presented goals for the day's discussion, which included:

- a) Review & Vote on 3 Test Case Specs
- b) Feedback on Homework

## **Review & Vote on remaining 3 Test Case Specs**

Joe recapped last week's call, in which the **About Feature Test Case Spec** was approved with stipulations to add s/w release number 14.02 and add ability to use Ethernet connection (section 2.1). He noted that the document on the wiki needs to be updated.

The **2nd Test Case Spec** covered last week was the Control Panel Service Test Case Spec. There was a vote to approve changes, with was approved, and Tests 1-7 now need to be written. Tests 1-7 also need to be added to document on the wiki.

Joe introduced the **3rd Test Case Spec**, the Notification Service Test Case Spec. Included with the Test Case, were 10 additional cases for it that were recommended by Haier. Joe opened the floor to feedback.

Matsushita-san asked question around if it is possible for a compliance device to support only Japanese and not include English. He noted that in some territories, if some devices are only for Japan, than some devices may not support English.

Aaron (QCE) noted that the test cases could be modified to support additional languages when it's sending stuff and displaying.

Jun Zhang noted it would be good if devices could support Chinese.

Joe called for vote to approve with the 10 additional cases from Haier, with the provision that the case tool be parameterized to support sending notifications in additional languages:

Jun approved 3rd Test Case Spec

Matsushita-san asked for confirmation if there were other use cases.

Joe confirmed, noting that the intent of the test case is to exercise and verify that the AllJoyn portion is working correctly, and doing so in a way that is interoperable. What we are not specifying is the behavior of the device.

Aaron added that the notification interface provides capabilities for sending different rich content and URL's and various streams and such, but it doesn't dictate that a consuming device needs to support particular image type/audio stream recording.

Matsushita-san approved 3rd Test Case Spec

Tolly Smith approved 3rd Test Case Spec

Aaron approved 3rd Test Case Spec

Joe presented **4th Test Case Spec:** Onboarding Service He noted 3 points of feedback that were provided by Haier.

Jun asked what is meant by "the channel switching feature"

Aaron clarified that in the onboarding interface document, it talks about a device that could support authenticating to the personal AP and still maintaining the softAP at the same time.

Joe called for vote to approve with items 2 and 3 incorporated into the test case:

Jun approved 4th Test Case Spec Matsushita-san approved 4th Test Case Spec Tolly approved 4th Test Case Spec Aaron approved 4th Test Case Spec

Joe presented **5th Test Case Spec:** Configuration Test Case Spec He noted 3 points of feedback that were provided.

Jun noted that for the first comment, he didn't understand why we need to guarantee their AppId of the DUT's System App matches the DUT's DeviceId.

On the first one, Aaron noted that it compares it, but it doesn't fail. Right now it just logs that they're different. The specifics of this are not in the config interface document today. He agreed that right now, 1 could be disabled/removed.

Regarding comments 2+3, he feels they are reasonable suggestions.

Joe called for vote to approve, assuming we accept the 1st suggestion to delete this item, and then add items 2+3.

Jun approved 5th Test Case Spec Matsushita-san approved 5th Test Case Spec Tolly approved 5th Test Case Spec Aaron approved 5th Test Case Spec

## Homework

Joe polled the group to see who had a chance to try?

Jun noted he was unable to get a test device, so requested another week Tolly didn't have a chance yet Matsushita-san did not have time yet

Jun asked procedural question -For additional tests, who will update the test cases?
Would it be the author of each test case?
He believes it best for the author to update, given they are more familiar with each interface

Aaron believes that the thought behind it was that as part of proposing it, you could provide potential text to update the docs, and then everyone could review

Joe closed the call