

**Group Evaluation: Peer- and Self- Assessment**  
Project #

Name:

Group ID:

Date

David Wang

5  
12/7/18

	4	3	2	1
Participation	Group member participated fully and was always on task. Was dependable in attending group meetings.	Group member participated most of the time and was on task most of the time. Attended most of the group meetings.	Group member participated but wasted time regularly or was rarely on task. Did not attend many group meetings.	Group member did not participate, wasted time, or worked on unrelated material. Did not attend any of the group meetings.
Listening	Group member listened carefully to others' ideas. Willingly accepted assigned tasks and worked well with other group members.	Group member usually listened to others' ideas. Mostly accepted assigned tasks and worked okay with other group members.	Group member sometimes did not listen to others' ideas. Only accepted assigned tasks begrudgingly and didn't work with other members most of the time.	Group member did not listen to others and often interrupted them. Did not accept assigned tasks and did not work with other group members.
Feedback	Group member offered detailed, constructive feedback when appropriate.	Group member offered constructive feedback when appropriate.	Group member occasionally offered constructive feedback, but sometimes the comments were inappropriate or not useful.	Group member did not offer constructive or useful feedback.
Cooperation	Group member treated others respectfully and shared the workload fairly. Helped others with their work when needed, and helped keep the group on task.	Group member usually treated others respectfully and shared the workload fairly. Usually helped others with their work when needed and helped keep the group on task most of the time.	Group member sometimes treated others disrespectfully or did not share the workload fairly. Occasionally helped others with their work when needed and helped minimally with keeping the group on task.	Group member often treated others disrespectfully or did not share the workload fairly. Did not help others with their work and wasn't helpful with keeping the group on task.
Time Management	Group member completed assigned tasks on time or made alternative arrangements immediately.	Group member usually completed assigned tasks on time or made alternate arrangements early enough. Member did not hold up progress on the project because of incomplete work.	Group member often did not complete assigned tasks on time, and only made alternate arrangements when prompted. Member held up completion of project work.	Group member did not complete most of the assigned tasks on time and often forced the group to make last-minute adjustments and changes to accommodate missing work.
Contribution	Contributed positively to group discussions with useful ideas, and overall was a valuable member of the team.	Contributed to group discussions with useful ideas most of the time. Overall was a contributing member of the team.	Only contributed occasionally to group discussion with ideas that were mostly irrelevant. Overall was a minimally contributing member of the team.	Contributed negatively to group discussions with disruptive ideas. Overall was a counterproductive member of the team.
Quality of Completed Work	Group member's work was accurate and complete, with excellent standards.	Group member's work was mostly accurate and complete, with good standards.	Group member's work was often inaccurate and incomplete, with poor standards.	Group member's work was always inaccurate and incomplete, with no standards.



Using the rubric, assign a value (1 – 4) for each listed attribute for yourself and each group member. Include your name in the first row (self-assessment).

Group Member	Participation	Listening	Feedback	Cooperation	Time Management	Contribution	Quality of Completed Work	Total
David Wang	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	28
Jesus Sanchez	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	28
Jordan Alberto Pineda	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	28
Drew Reyes	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	28
Shirley Vang	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	28

Answer the following in two to three sentences:

1. What do you think is a fair score or grade for the work you submitted? Specify for both the design document and code.

For both the design doc and code, I think an 85 would be a fair score, since most tests ran correctly.

2. Briefly describe your contribution to the project.

I helped with the design doc for tasks 1 and 2, and also debugging code.

3. What did you find the hardest part of this project assignment? Why?

The hardest was understanding the concept related to the code.

4. What was the most important aspect you learned in doing this project? Why?

The project helped me with using software like GitHub to coordinate with others when coding in groups.