Stephen Woodbury 4/30/17 CMPS 102 I have read and agree to the collaboration policy. Stephen Woodbury.

Assignment 2 1 : Divide and Conquer

```
Collaborators: none
      Part 1 : Proving Recursive Form of Walsh Hadamard Matrix
      Claim: H_n = (1 / 2^{(1/2)}) * \{ [H_{(n-1)} H_{(n-1)}], [H_{(n-1)} -H_{(n-1)}] \}
      Pf(By Induction): Base Cases:
      I) H_1 = (1 / 2^{(1/2)}) * \{ [H_0 H_0], [H_0 -H_0] \} = (1 / 2^{(1/2)}) * \{ [1 1], [1 -1] \}.
      This result abides by all requirements of a Walsh Hadamard matrix
      1) Size: H_1 size should be 2^n * 2^n, 4 elements, which is true.
      2) Constant Match Up: The constant in front of a WH matrix should be
      1/2^{(n/2)}. We see this is the case as when n=1, our constant is 1/2^{(1/2)}.
      3) Element Match Up: Our elements of H1 should abide by the formula :
         H_n[i,j] = [1/2^{(n/2)}] * [(-1)^{(ioj)}]. This is is seen to apply as we can
         see: H_1[0,0]=1, H_1[0,1]=1, H_1[1,0]=1, H_1[1,1]=-1.
      II) H_2 = (1/2^{(1/2)}) * \{ [H_1 H_1], [H_1 -H_1] \}
             = (1/2^{(1)}) * \{ [H_0 H_0 H_0 H_0], [H_0 -H_0 H_0 -H_0], [H_0 H_0 -H_0 -H_0], [H_0 -H_0 -H_0] \}.
             =(1/2^{(1)})*{[1111],[1-11-1],[11-1-1],[1-1-1]}.
      Note: Constant changed as each H1 has a (1/2^{(1/2)}) multiplied to its
      respective matrix. By matrice nature, we can pull that constant out to
      multiply it with the already standing constant.
      This result abides by all requirements of a Walsh Hadamard matrix
      1) Size: H_2 Size should be 2^2 * 2^2, 16 elements, which is true.
      2) Constant Match Up: The constant in front of a WH matrix should be
      1/2^{(n/2)}. We see this is the case as when n=2, our constant is 1/2^{(2/2)}.
      3) Element Match Up: Our elements of H2 should abide by the formula :
         H_n[i,j] = [1/2^{(n/2)}] * [(-1)^{(ioj)}]. This is is seen to apply as we can
         see: H_2[0,0]=1, H_2[0,1]=1, H_2[0,2]=1, H_2[0,3]=1, H_2[1,0]=1,
         H_2[1,1]=-1 , H_2[1,2]=1, H_2[1,3]=-1, H_2[2,0]=1, H_2[2,1]=1, H_2[2,2]=-1,
         H_2[2,3]=-1, H_2[3,0]=1, H_2[3,1]=-1, H_2[3,2]=-1, H_2[3,3]=1.
      Induction Step:Assume H_k=1/2^{(1/2)}) *{ [H_{(k-1)}, H_{(k-1)}], [H_{(k-1)}, -H_{(k-1)}]} for k>=1.
      This is our Induction Hypothesis.
      Induction Conclusion : H_{(k+1)} = (1 / 2^{(1/2)}) * \{ [H_k H_k], [H_k - H_k] \} for k \ge 1.
      Analyze H_{(k+1)}. According to our formula:
      H_{(k+1)} = (1 / 2^{(1/2)}) * \{ [H_k H_k], [H_k - H_k] \}, each H_k is a WH Matrix
      Analyze the conditions needed to make a H_{(k+1)} a WH Matrix.
      1) Size: H_{(k+1)} Size should be 2^{k+1} * 2^{k+1}, We know that by our IH that each
      H_k has 2^{k*}2^{k} elements. Total elements in H_{(k+1)} is 4*(2^{k*}2^{k})=2^2(2^{k*}2^{k})=2^{k}
      (2*2^k*2*2^k) = 2^{k+1}*2^{k+1} elements as wanted.
      2) Constant Match Up: Constant in front of H_{(k+1)} should be 1/2^{((k+1)/2)}.
      Constant (1/2^{(k/2)}) in front of each H_k by IH. By Matrix Nature, Can
      pull constant out from every element of H_{(k+1)} and multiply to H_{(k+1)}'s
      constant from the formula. (1/2^{(1/2)})*(1/2^{(k/2)})=(1/2^{((k+1)/2)}) as wanted.
      3) Element Match Up: Every element in H_{(k+1)} should abide by the WH
      formula: H_{(k+1)}[i,j] = [1/2^{((k+1)/2)}] * [(-1)^{(i\circ j)}]. We know that every H_{(k)} is a
      proper WH matrix by our IH. Also by our IH, we find that (-1) [ioj] =
      (1)^{[i\circ(j+n)]}=(-1)^{[(i+n)\circ j]} for the indices of the sub-matrix in the first
      quadrant of a larger WH matrix which ensures that the sub-matrices in
      Quadrant I, II, & III of H_{(k+1)} are equivalent, which fits our formula. We
      also find that from the same indices from the first quadrant sub-
      matrix, that (-1)^{[ioj]} = -(-1)^{[(i+k)\circ(j+k)]} Which explains the last
      quadrant of matrix H_{(k+1)}. The constant is accurate for the formula as
```

So in conclusion, $H_{(k+1)}$ is a WH Matrix, completing our proof. QED

shown on the Constant match up above.

Part 2: Euclidean Norm for Every Column and Row:

The Euclidean Norm Formula is : $||V|| = \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{n'} |v_k|^2}$

Vk is an element of vector V of size n'. We know that the formula for any element in a WH Matrix is : $(1/\sqrt{2^n})*(-1)^{(ioj)}$.

Treat any row or column in our $\underline{\text{WH matrix}}$ as a vector and find the Euclidean

Norm:
$$||V|| = \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{n'} \left| (1/\sqrt{2^n}) * (-1)^{(ioj)} \right|^2} = \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{n'} (1/2^n)} = (\sqrt{n'}/\sqrt{2^n})$$

Lastly, the size of a WH matrix is $2^n * 2^n$. Ie, this is the number of rows times the number of columns. This means that each column and row is of length 2^n . So, that means $n' = 2^n$.

$$||V|| = (\sqrt{n'}/\sqrt{2^n}) = (\sqrt{2^n}/\sqrt{2^n}) = 1$$
 As wanted.

Part 3: Orthonormal Columns:

Claim: Columns of H_n form an orthonormal basis.

<u>Pf: Part 1:</u> One of the conditions of orthonormal is that the Euclidean Norm of all columns in our WH matrix is 1. This was proven in part 2.

<u>Part 2</u>: The other condition of orthonormal matrices is that the dot product of all columns is 0. We'll prove this through induction.

Base Case: $H_1 = (1 / 2^{(1/2)}) * \{[H_0 H_0], [H_0 - H_0]\} = (1 / 2^{(1/2)}) * \{[1 1], [1 -1]\}.$ Dot product all variations of the columns: (1*1) + (1*-1) = 0, (1*-1) + (1*1) = 0.

Inductive Step: Assume H_k columns form orthonormal basis: Ind. Hypothesis.

[NTP: $H_{(k+1)}$ columns form orthonormal basis : ind. Conclusion.]

By part 1 above, we know $H_{k+1} = (1 / 2^{(1/2)}) * \{[H_k H_k], [H_k -H_k]\}.$

By our induction hypothesis, we know that any column dotted with any other column that wouldn't have been a clone will yield a dot product of 0. if it was H_k rather than $-H_k$, clone columns would occur between column x where $x < 2^{(k+1)}/2$ and column x' where $x' = x + 2^{(k+1)}/2$. We know that any column dotted with itself will always equal the magnitude of the vector. $-H_k$ ensures that no column is ever dotted with a clone of itself. It actually ensures that the first $2^{(k+1)}/2$ elements of our columns when dotted with their would be clones creates a dot product value that is directly negated by the next $2^{(k+1)}/2$ elements of our would be clone column's dot product. QED

Part 4: Dot Product between Matrix and Vector Algorithm:

Components to our Algorithm: $2^{n}x2^{n}$ WH Matrix M, 2^{n} Vector to be multiplied = V, 2^{n} Solution Vector = S. Matrix will be composed of double vectors, RxC. Algorithm: 1) For (int i=0, i<2ⁿ; i++)

```
2) For (int j=0; j<2<sup>n</sup>/2; j++)
3) S<sub>j</sub>=S<sub>j</sub>+(V<sub>i</sub>*M<sub>ji</sub>);
```

4) if
$$(i > = 2^n/2)$$

5)
$$S_{(j+(2^n)/2)} = S_{(j+(2^n)/2)} + (-1) *S_j;$$

6) else

7)
$$S_{(j+(2^{n})/2)} = S_{(j+(2^{n})/2)} + S_{j};$$

Time Complexity: We assume n' = # columns

```
1 O(n')
```

Total:
$$O(n') * (O(n'/2) + 4*O(2)) = O(n'^2)$$

I couldn't get run time of $O(n\log(n))$.