#### Lecture 9

Triangular Systems, Naive Gaussian Elimination, Less Naive (maybe)

Owen L. Lewis

Department of Mathematics and Statistics University of New Mexico

Sept. 17, 2024

Owen L. Lewis (UNM) Math/CS 375 Sept. 17, 2024 1/34

### Goals:

- · Review Diagonal Systems
- Solving Triangular Systems
- Gaussian Elimination Without Pivoting
  - Hand Calculations
  - Cartoon Version
  - Algorithm
  - Cost
- Pivoting (maybe)

The system defined by

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \qquad b = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 6 \\ -15 \end{bmatrix}$$

The system defined by

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \qquad b = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 6 \\ -15 \end{bmatrix}$$

is equivalent to

$$x_1 = -1$$
  
 $3x_2 = 6$   
 $5x_3 = -15$ 

The system defined by

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \qquad b = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 6 \\ -15 \end{bmatrix}$$

is equivalent to

$$x_1 = -1$$
  
 $3x_2 = 6$   
 $5x_3 = -15$ 

The solution is

$$x_1 = -1$$
  $x_2 = \frac{6}{3} = 2$   $x_3 = \frac{-15}{5} = -3$ 

#### Listing 1: Diagonal System Solution

```
given A, b

for i = 1...n

x_i = b_i/a_{i,i}

end
```

#### In Matlab:

This is the *only* place where element-by-element division (./) has anything to do with solving linear systems of equations.

#### Example

Try this in Matlab using A = diag(rand(5,1));

### Operations?

Try...

Sketch out an operation count to solve a diagonal system of equations...

### Operations?

Try...

Sketch out an operation count to solve a diagonal system of equations...

cheap!

one division n times  $\longrightarrow \mathfrak{O}(n)$  FLOPS

This is the best we can ever do. Why?

## Triangular Systems

The generic lower and upper triangular matrices are

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} I_{11} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ I_{21} & I_{22} & & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ I_{n1} & & \cdots & I_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} u_{11} & u_{12} & \cdots & u_{1n} \\ 0 & u_{22} & & u_{2n} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & & \cdots & u_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

The triangular systems

$$Ly = b$$
  $Ux = c$ 

are easily solved by **forward substitution** and **backward substitution**, respectively

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 3 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \qquad b = \begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ -1 \\ 9 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 3 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \qquad b = \begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ -1 \\ 9 \end{bmatrix}$$

is equivalent to

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 3 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \qquad b = \begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ -1 \\ 9 \end{bmatrix}$$

is equivalent to

$$\begin{array}{rclrcrcr} 4x_1 & & & = & 8 \\ -2x_1 & + & 3x_2 & & = & -1 \\ 2x_1 & + & x_2 & + & -2x_3 & = & 9 \end{array}$$

Solve in forward order (first equation is solved first, etc)

$$x_1 = \frac{8}{4} = 2$$
  $x_2 = \frac{1}{3}(-1 + 2x_1) = \frac{3}{3} = 1$   $x_3 = \frac{1}{-2}(9 - x_2 - 2x_1) = \frac{4}{-2} = -2$ 

Owen L. Lewis (UNM) Math/CS 375 Sept. 17, 2024 7/34

### **Notes**

To the board!

Solving for  $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$  for a lower triangular system is called **forward substitution**.

```
given L (lower \triangle), b

x_1 = b_1/\ell_{11}

for i = 2 \dots n

s = b_i

for j = 1 \dots i - 1

s = s - \ell_{i,j}x_j

end

x_i = s/\ell_{i,i}

end
```

Note: We've effectively calculated  $\vec{x} = L^{-1}\vec{b}$ , but we never calculated  $L^{-1}$  or did a mat-vec multiplication.

Owen L. Lewis (UNM) Math/CS 375 Sept. 17, 2024 9/34

### What about Upper Triangular?

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 3 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \qquad b = \begin{bmatrix} 9 \\ -1 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix}$$

Owen L. Lewis (UNM) Math/CS 375 Sept. 17, 2024 10/34

### What about Upper Triangular?

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 3 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \qquad b = \begin{bmatrix} 9 \\ -1 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix}$$

is equivalent to

### What about Upper Triangular?

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 3 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \qquad b = \begin{bmatrix} 9 \\ -1 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix}$$

is equivalent to

Solve in backwards order (last equation is solved first, etc)

$$x_3 = \frac{8}{4} = 2$$
  $x_2 = \frac{1}{3}(-1 + 2x_3) = \frac{3}{3} = 1$   $x_1 = \frac{1}{-2}(9 - x_2 - 2x_3) = \frac{4}{-2} = -2$ 

Owen L. Lewis (UNM) Math/CS 375 Sept. 17, 2024 10/34

Solving for  $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$  for an upper triangular system is called **backward** substitution.

Listing 2: backward substitution

```
given U (upper \triangle), b

x_n = b_n/u_{nn}

for i = n - 1 \dots 1

s = b_i

for j = i + 1 \dots n

s = s - u_{i,j}x_j

end

x_i = s/u_{i,i}

end
```

Solving for  $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$  for an upper triangular system is called **backward substitution**.

Listing 3: backward substitution

```
given U (upper \triangle), b

x_n = b_n/u_{nn}

for i = n - 1 \dots 1

s = b_i

for j = i + 1 \dots n

s = s - u_{i,j}x_j

end

x_i = s/u_{i,i}

end

end
```

Using forward or backward substitution is sometimes referred to as performing a **triangular solve**.

### Operations?

Try...

Sketch out an operation count to solve a triangular system of equations...

## **Operations?**

Try...

Sketch out an operation count to solve a triangular system of equations...

#### cheap!

- begin in the bottom corner: 1 div
- row 2: 1 mult, 1 add, 1 div, or 3 FLOPS
- row 3: 2 mult, 2 add, 1 div, or 5 FLOPS
- row 4: 3 mult, 3 add, 1 div, or 7 FLOPS
- •
- row j: about 2j FLOPS

Total FLOPS?  $\sum_{j=1}^{n} 2j = 2\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$  or  $O(n^2)$  FLOPS

12/34

Owen L. Lewis (UNM) Math/CS 375 Sept. 17, 2024

### Gaussian Elimination

- Triangular systems are easy to solve in  $O(n^2)$  FLOPS
- Goal is to transform an arbitrary, square system into an equivalent upper triangular system
- Then easily solve with forward/backward substitution

This process is equivalent to the *formal solution* of Ax = b, where A is an  $n \times n$  matrix.

$$x = A^{-1}b$$

#### In MATLAB:

```
>> A = ...
>> b = ...
>> x = A\b
```

Solve

$$x_1 + 3x_2 = 5$$
$$2x_1 + 4x_2 = 6$$

Subtract 2 times the first equation from the second equation

$$x_1 + 3x_2 = 5$$
$$-2x_2 = -4$$

This equation is now in triangular form, and can be solved by backward substitution.

Owen L. Lewis (UNM) Math/CS 375 Sept. 17, 2024 14/34

The elimination phase transforms the matrix and right hand side to an equivalent system

$$x_1 + 3x_2 = 5$$
  $\longrightarrow$   $x_1 + 3x_2 = 5$   $2x_1 + 4x_2 = 6$   $\longrightarrow$   $-2x_2 = -4$ 

The two systems have the same solution. The right hand system is upper triangular.

Solve the second equation for  $x_2$ 

$$x_2 = \frac{-4}{-2} = 2$$

Substitute the newly found value of  $x_2$  into the first equation and solve for  $x_1$ .

$$x_1 = 5 - (3)(2) = -1$$

### **Notes**



When performing Gaussian Elimination by hand, we can avoid copying the  $x_i$  by using a shorthand notation.

For example, to solve:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 2 & -1 \\ 6 & -6 & 7 \\ 3 & -4 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \qquad b = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -7 \\ -6 \end{bmatrix}$$

Form the augmented system

$$\tilde{A} = [A \ b] = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 2 & -1 & | & -1 \\ 6 & -6 & 7 & | & -7 \\ 3 & -4 & 4 & | & -6 \end{bmatrix}$$

The vertical bar inside the augmented matrix is just a reminder that the last column is the *b* vector.

Owen L. Lewis (UNM) Math/CS 375 Sept. 17, 2024 17/34

Add 2 times row 1 to row 2, and add (1 times) row 1 to row 3

$$\tilde{A}_{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 2 & -1 & | & -1 \\ 0 & -2 & 5 & | & -9 \\ 0 & -2 & 3 & | & -7 \end{bmatrix}$$

Subtract (1 times) row 2 from row 3

$$\tilde{A}_{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 2 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & -2 & 5 & -9 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Owen L. Lewis (UNM) Math/CS 375 Sept. 17, 2024 18/34

The transformed system is now in upper triangular form

$$\tilde{A}_{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 2 & -1 & | & -1 \\ 0 & -2 & 5 & | & -9 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & | & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Solve by back substitution to get

$$x_3 = \frac{2}{-2} = -1$$

$$x_2 = \frac{1}{-2} (-9 - 5x_3) = 2$$

$$x_1 = \frac{1}{-3} (-1 - 2x_2 + x_3) = 2$$

Owen L. Lewis (UNM) Math/CS 375 Sept. 17, 2024 19/34

Start with the augmented system

The *x*'s represent numbers, they are generally *not* the same values.

Begin elimination using the first row as the *pivot row* and the first element of the first row as the pivot element

- Eliminate elements under the pivot element in the first column.
- x' indicates a value that has been changed once.

- The pivot element is now the diagonal element in the second row.
- Eliminate elements under the pivot element in the second column.
- x" indicates a value that has been changed twice.

$$\begin{bmatrix} x & x & x & x & x \\ 0 & x' & x' & x' & x' \\ 0 & x' & x' & x' & x' \\ 0 & x' & x' & x' & x' \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} x & x & x & x & x \\ 0 & x' & x' & x' & x' \\ 0 & 0 & x'' & x'' & x'' \\ 0 & x' & x' & x' & x' \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} x & x & x & x & x \\ 0 & x' & x' & x' & x' \\ 0 & 0 & x'' & x'' & x'' \\ 0 & 0 & x'' & x'' & x'' \end{bmatrix}$$

- The pivot element is now the diagonal element in the third row.
- Eliminate elements under the pivot element in the third column.
- *x*<sup>'''</sup> indicates a value that has been changed three times.

$$\begin{bmatrix} x & x & x & x & x \\ 0 & x' & x' & x' & x' \\ 0 & 0 & x'' & x'' & x'' \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} x & x & x & x & x \\ 0 & x' & x' & x' & x' \\ 0 & 0 & x'' & x'' & x'' \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x''' & x''' \end{bmatrix}$$

- The pivot element is now the diagonal element in the third row.
- Eliminate elements under the pivot element in the third column.
- x''' indicates a value that has been changed three times.

$$\begin{bmatrix} x & x & x & x & x & x \\ 0 & x' & x' & x' & x' \\ 0 & 0 & x'' & x'' & x'' \\ 0 & 0 & x'' & x'' & x'' \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} x & x & x & x & x & x \\ 0 & x' & x' & x' & x' & x' \\ 0 & 0 & x'' & x'' & x'' \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x''' & x''' \end{bmatrix}$$

### **Notes**

#### Summary

- Gaussian Elimination is an orderly process for transforming an augmented matrix into an equivalent upper triangular form.
- The elimination operation at the *k*<sup>th</sup> step is

$$\tilde{a}_{ij} = \tilde{a}_{ij} - (\tilde{a}_{ik}/\tilde{a}_{kk})\tilde{a}_{kj}, \quad i > k, \quad j \geqslant k$$

- Elimination requires three nested loops.
- The result of the elimination phase is represented by the image below.

#### Gaussian Elimination

#### **Summary**

- Transform a linear system into (upper) triangular form. i.e. transform lower triangular part to zero
- Transformation is done by taking linear combinations of rows
  - This means at every step we are actually multiplying (on the left) by some matrix!

# Gaussian Elimination Algorithm

Listing 4: Forward Elimination beta

```
given A, b

for k = 1 \dots n - 1

for i = k + 1 \dots n

for j = k \dots n

a_{ij} = a_{ij} - (a_{ik}/a_{kk})a_{kj}

end

b_i = b_i - (a_{ik}/a_{kk})b_k

end

end
```

- the multiplier can be moved outside the *i*-loop
- no reason to actually compute 0

Challenge: The loops over i and j may be exchanged—why would one be preferable?

## Gaussian Elimination Algorithm

3

6

#### Listing 5: Forward Elimination

```
given A, b

for k = 1 \dots n - 1

for i = k + 1 \dots n

xmult = a_{ik}/a_{kk}

a_{ik} = 0

for j = k + 1 \dots n

a_{ij} = a_{ij} - (xmult)a_{kj}

end

b_i = b_i - (xmult)b_k

end

end

end
```

## Gaussian Elimination Algorithm: Storing Multipliers

#### Listing 6: Forward Elimination

```
given A, b
1
      for k = 1 ... n - 1
3
         for i = k + 1 \dots n
            xmult = a_{ik}/a_{kk}
5
            a_{ik} = xmult
6
            for j = k + 1 \dots n
               a_{ii} = a_{ii} - (xmult)a_{ki}
8
            end
            b_i = b_i - (xmult)b_k
         end
12
      end
```

We are storing the multipliers in the below diagonal entries (just being efficient).

Those entries will never be accessed during back-substitution!

# Naive Gaussian Elimination Algorithm

- Forward Elimination
- + Backward substitution
- = Naive Gaussian Elimination

Example

GE\_naive.m GE\_naive\_test.m

### Forward Elimination Cost?

What is the cost in converting from A to U?

Step k	Add	Multiply	Divide
1	$(n-1)^2$	$(n-1)^2$	n – 1
2	$(n-2)^2$	$(n-2)^2$	n-2
:			
n-1	1	1	1

or

add	$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} j^2$
multiply	$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} j^2$
divide	$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} j$

### Forward Elimination Cost?

add 
$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} j^2$$
  
multiply  $\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} j^2$   
divide  $\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} j$ 

We know 
$$\sum_{j=1}^{p} j = \frac{p(p+1)}{2}$$
 and  $\sum_{j=1}^{p} j^2 = \frac{p(p+1)(2p+1)}{6}$ , so

add-subtracts	<u>n(n-1)(2n-1)</u> 6	
multiply-divides	$\frac{n(n-1)(2n-1)}{6} + \frac{n(n-1)}{2} = \frac{n(n^2-1)}{3}$	

Owen L. Lewis (UNM) Math/CS 375 Sept. 17, 2024 31/34

### Forward Elimination Cost?

add-subtracts	$\frac{n(n-1)(2n-1)}{6}$
multiply-divides	$\frac{n(n^2-1)}{3}$
add-subtract for b	$\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$
multiply-divides for b	$\frac{\vec{n(n-1)}}{2}$

### **Back Substitution Cost**

#### As before

add-subtract	$\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$
multiply-divides	$\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$

### Naive Gaussian Elimination Cost

Combining the cost of forward elimination, updating *b*, and backward substitution gives

add-subtracts 
$$\frac{n(n-1)(2n-1)}{6} + \frac{n(n-1)}{2} + \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$$
 
$$= \frac{n(n-1)(2n+5)}{3}$$
 multiply-divides 
$$\frac{n(n^2-1)}{3} + \frac{n(n-1)}{2} + \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$$
 
$$= \frac{n(n^2+3n-1)}{3}$$

So the total cost of add-subtract-multiply-divide is about

$$\frac{2}{3}n^3$$

 $\Rightarrow$  double *n* results in a cost increase of a factor of 8

34/34

Owen L. Lewis (UNM) Math/CS 375 Sept. 17, 2024

# Why is this "naive"?

#### Example

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$$

#### Example

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1e - 10 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$$