# Hartree-Fock approximation: triangular Hubbard model at half filling (n = 1)

#### Mean-field Hamiltonian 1

#### $\mathbf{2}$ Order parameter

Assuming the 120° Neel state

$$\langle S_i^x \rangle = m \operatorname{Re} e^{iQR_i}$$
 (5)  
 $\langle S_i^y \rangle = m \operatorname{Im} e^{iQR_i}$  (6)

$$\langle S_i^y \rangle = m \operatorname{Im} e^{iQR_i} \tag{6}$$

$$\langle S_i^z \rangle = 0 \tag{7}$$

$$Q = (2\pi/3, 2\pi/3), \tag{8}$$

$$Q = (2\pi/3, 2\pi/3), \tag{8}$$

we obtain

$$\langle n_{i,\uparrow} \rangle = \langle n_{i,\downarrow} \rangle = n \tag{9}$$

$$\langle n_{i,\uparrow} \rangle = \langle n_{i,\downarrow} \rangle = h$$

$$\langle c_{i,\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{i,\downarrow} \rangle = \langle S_i^{+} \rangle = \langle S_i^{x} \rangle + i \langle S_i^{y} \rangle = m e^{iQR_i}$$

$$\langle c_{i,\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{i,\uparrow} \rangle = \langle S_i^{-} \rangle = \langle S_i^{+} \rangle^{*}$$

$$(10)$$

$$\left\langle c_{i,\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{i,\uparrow} \right\rangle = \left\langle S_i^{-} \right\rangle = \left\langle S_i^{+} \right\rangle^*$$
 (11)

### 3 Hamiltonian to be diagonalized

$$H_{\text{MF}} = \sum_{k,\sigma} \epsilon_k c_{k,\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{k,\sigma}$$

$$+ Un \sum_{i} (n_{i,\downarrow} + n_{i,\uparrow})$$

$$- Um \sum_{i} \left( e^{iQR_i} c_{i,\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{i,\uparrow} + e^{-iQR_i} c_{i,\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{i,\downarrow} \right)$$

$$+ \text{const.}$$

$$= \sum_{k,\sigma} (\epsilon_k + Un) c_{k,\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{k,\sigma}$$

$$- Um \sum_{k} \left( c_{k,\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{k-Q,\uparrow} + c_{k,\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{k+Q,\downarrow} \right)$$

$$+ \text{const.}$$

$$= \sum_{k,\sigma} \left( c_{k,\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{k+Q,\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{k+Q,\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{k,\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{k+Q,\downarrow}^{\dagger} \right)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\epsilon}_k & \Delta & \Delta \\ \tilde{\epsilon}_{k+Q} & \Delta & c_{k+Q,\uparrow} \\ \Delta & \tilde{\epsilon}_k \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{k,\uparrow} \\ c_{k+Q,\uparrow} \\ c_{k+Q,\uparrow} \\ c_{k,\downarrow} \\ c_{k+Q,\downarrow} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$+ \text{const.}$$

$$(12)$$

Here,

$$\tilde{\epsilon}_k = \epsilon_k + Un \tag{13}$$

$$\Delta = -Um \tag{14}$$

$$const. = -Un^2N_s + Um^2N_s \tag{15}$$

The RBZ is given as

$$k_x + k_y < \frac{2\pi}{3}, \ k_x + k_y > -\frac{2\pi}{3},$$
 (16)

$$k_x - 2k_y < \frac{5\pi}{3}, \ k_x - 2k_y > -\frac{5\pi}{3},$$
 (17)

$$2k_x - k_y < \frac{5\pi}{3}$$
, and  $2k_x - k_y > -\frac{5\pi}{3}$  (18)

so that the number of k points is  $N_s/3$ .

### 4 Self-consistent equation

$$n = \frac{1}{2N_s} \sum_{i,\sigma} \langle n_{i,\sigma} \rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{2N_s} \sum_{k,\sigma} \left\langle c_{k,\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{k,\sigma} \right\rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{2N_s} \sum_{k,\sigma} \left[ \left\langle c_{k,\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{k,\sigma} \right\rangle + \left\langle c_{k+Q,\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{k+Q,\sigma} \right\rangle + \left\langle c_{k+2Q,\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{k+2Q,\sigma} \right\rangle \right] (19)$$

$$m = \frac{1}{2N_s} \sum_{i} \left[ \left\langle S_i^{+} \right\rangle e^{-iQR_i} + \left\langle S_i^{-} \right\rangle e^{iQR_i} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2N_s} \sum_{k} \left[ \left\langle c_{k,\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{k+Q,\downarrow} \right\rangle + \left\langle c_{k,\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{k-Q,\uparrow} \right\rangle \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2N_s} \sum_{k} \left[ \left\langle c_{k,\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{k+Q,\downarrow} \right\rangle + \left\langle c_{k+Q,\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{k+2Q,\downarrow} \right\rangle + \left\langle c_{k+2Q,\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{k,\downarrow} \right\rangle$$

$$+ \left\langle c_{k,\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{k+2Q,\uparrow} \right\rangle + \left\langle c_{k+Q,\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{k,\uparrow} \right\rangle + \left\langle c_{k+2Q,\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{k+Q,\uparrow} \right\rangle \right] (20)$$

## 5 Comparison with the previous result

For  $N_s = 6 \times 6$  and U/t = 10 under the periodic-periodic boundary condition, we obtain

total energy	-0.4229593004
Coulomb energy	0.3744104588
charge $n$	0.5
magnetization $m$	0.4610411632

The energy  $E_{\rm tot}N_s=-15.22653481$  is consistent with the previous mean-field result  $E_{\rm tot}N_s=-15.226$  by Maruyama et al. [J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. **320**, 012061].