# Pairs of Symmetric Zeros From Primes To Riemann

Tariq Rashid


November 26, 2021


#### Common Strategy

 A common strategy for wrestling with the Riemann Hypothesis is exploring the properties of its zeros.

# Property $\zeta(\overline{s}) = \overline{\zeta(s)}$

- We showed the property  $\zeta(\overline{s}) = \overline{\zeta(s)}$  holds for the series  $\zeta(s) = \sum 1/n^s$ , valid for  $\sigma > 1$ .
- We can show the new series extending  $\zeta(s)$  to  $\sigma>0$  also maintains this property.
- Instead of doing this with uninteresting algebra, let's introduce and use the powerful principle of **analytic continuation**.





- The left picture shows a complex function f(z) defined on a domain A. It also shows a larger domain B which includes domain A.
- The complex function g(z) is defined on domain B. Note f(z) is not valid on the larger domain B.
- If g(z) agrees with f(z) on the domain A, then we can see that g(z) is more general than f(z).
- We call g(z) an analytic continuation of f(z).

- The right picture shows a domain B which overlaps, but doesn't completely cover, domain A.
- If f(z) agrees with g(z) where A and B overlap, we can see how f(z) and g(z) are representations of the same function.
- If we initially know f(z) and then later find g(z), we can say again g(z) is an analytic continuation of f(z).


- **Intuition** is that if two complex functions match over a shared domain, they must represent the same function.
- For this intuition to be valid, the functions must be well behaved enough to only allow a single unique extension.
- Analytic functions have this good behaviour.
- We'll define analytic functions and explain analytic continuation more precisely next time.

Let's construct a function

$$f(s) = \zeta(s) - \overline{\zeta(\overline{s})}$$

• Wherever  $\zeta(s)$  is analytic, so is f(s).

- We know f(s) = 0 along the real line where  $\sigma > 1$ .
- By analytic continuation, f(s) must also be zero in any connected domain where f(s) is analytic.
- So f(s) = 0 in the complex half-plane  $\sigma > 1$ .
- ... and also  $\sigma>0$  because we extended  $\zeta(s)$  to this larger domain, where it remains analytic except at s=1.



- But f(s) = 0 means  $\zeta(\overline{s}) = \overline{\zeta(s)}$ , which means this property holds in  $\sigma > 0$ .
- If later we analytically continue  $\zeta(s)$  into  $\sigma < 0$ , this property will continue to hold there too.

### Symmetric Zeros

• If  $\zeta(s)=0$  then the property  $\zeta(\overline{s})=\overline{\zeta(s)}$  tells us  $\zeta(\overline{s})=0$ .

$$\zeta(s) = 0 \implies \zeta(\overline{s}) = 0$$

- This means the zeros exist in symmetric pairs  $\sigma + it$  and  $\sigma it$ .
- Zeros are mirrored above and below the real line, or lie on it.

# Thoughts

- For a first attempt, this is quite an enlightening insight into the zeros of the Riemann Zeta function  $\zeta(s)$ .
- Zeros existing in symmetric pairs  $\sigma \pm it$  is compatible with the Riemann Hypothesis
- ... but sadly it doesn't mean they all lie on a single line  $\sigma=a$ , never mind the holy grail  $\sigma=1/2$ .