

19 - Reductio Ad Absurdum

Lean: First Steps

Tariq Rashid

December 20, 2024

More Interesting Proofs

- Over the next few tutorials we'll explore some more interesting kinds of proof.
- Here we'll take a first look at **proof by contradiction**.

Task

- Given these two facts about natural numbers a and b ,

$$(a = 5) \implies (b = 6)$$

$$b = 7$$

- show that

$$\neg a = 5$$

- The symbol \neg means **negation**, and reads as “it is not the case that”.
- $\neg a = 5$ reads “it is not the case that $a = 5$ ”, or simply, “ a is not 5.”

- Intuition
 - $a = 5 \implies b = 6$ tells us that if $a = 5$ then $b = 6$.
 - But b is supposed to be 7.
 - So it can't be the case that $a = 5$.
- This intuition actually matches the more formal approach we'll take.

To prove a statement is false
we show it leads to a **contradiction**.

- In symbols: to show $\neg P$ we need to show the statement P leads to a contradiction.
- For our task, P is $a = 5$. So, to show $\neg a = 5$, we need to show $a = 5$, taken as a hypothesis, leads to a contradiction.

$$(a = 5) \implies (b = 6)$$

given fact

(1)

$$b = 7$$

given fact

(2)

$$\neg a = 5$$

proof objective

$$\text{assume } a = 5$$

for contradiction

(3)

$$b = 6$$

using (1)

$$\neq 7$$

arithmetic

(4)

(4) contradicts (2)

(3) must be false



Reductio Ad Absurdum

- Proof by contradiction is sometimes called *reductio ad absurdum*, Latin for “reduction to absurdity”.
- In our example, the absurdity is the notion that $b = 6$ and $b = 7$.

```
-- 19 - Proof by Contradiction
```

```
import Mathlib.Tactic
```

```
example {a b : ℕ} (h1: a = 5 → b = 6) (h2: b = 7) : ¬ a = 5 :=  
  by  
    by_contra g  
    apply h1 at g  
    have h2x : ¬ b = 7 := by linarith  
    contradiction
```

- To prove $\neg P$ we assume the statement P is true and try to derive a contradiction. The `by_contra g` starts this journey. It takes the goal `$\neg a = 5$` , creates a new hypothesis `$g : a = 5$` , and then sets the goal to `False`.
- A goal of `False` means we have to show a contradiction.
- We do this by arranging for two hypotheses to exist, one of the form `$h1 : P$` and the other `$h2 : \neg P$` .
- Once that's done, `contradiction` resolves the `False` goal.
 - `contradiction` searches the current hypotheses to find any two that are of the form `$h1 : P$` and `$h2 : \neg P$` .

- Code between `by_contra g` and `contradiction` arranges for two contradictory hypotheses:
 - The first hypothesis `h1 : a = 5 → b = 6` is applied to the newly created hypothesis `g : a = 5`, which is changed to `b = 6`.
 - `b = 6` contradicts the second hypothesis `h2 : b = 7` but we need to arrange for hypotheses of the form `h1: P` and `h2: ¬ P`.
 - We create a new intermediate result `h2x : ¬b = 7`, justified by surprisingly capable **linarith** tactic.
 - We now have two directly contradictory hypotheses, the given `h2 : b = 7` and the derived `h2x : ¬b = 7`.
 - We're now ready to use `contradiction` to complete the proof.

- Placing the cursor before `contra g` shows the original proof goal.

```
⊢ ¬a = 5
```

- Moving the cursor to the start of the next line shows `a = 5` has been added as hypothesis `g`, and the proof goal changed to `False`.

```
g : a = 5  
⊢ False
```

- Placing the cursor just before **contradiction** shows the two directly contradictory hypotheses **h2** and **h2x**.

h2 : b = 7

g : b = 6

h2x : $\neg b = 7$

Easy Exercise

- Write a Lean program to prove $\neg a = 5$, given $a > 5 \iff b = 6$ and $b = 6$.
- Here a and b are natural numbers.