

ST437/537 – HW #05

Arnab Maity

Due date: February 25, 2019

Instructions

Please follow the instructions below when you prepare and submit your assignment.

- **Include a cover-page** with your homework. It should contain
 - i. Full name,
 - ii. Course#: ST 437/537 and
 - iii. HW-#
 - iv. Submission date
- Assignments should be submitted in class on the date specified (“due date”).
- Neatly typed or hand-written solution on standard letter-size papers (stapled on the top-left corner) should be submitted. **All R code/output should be well commented, with relevant outputs highlighted.**
- **Always staple (upper left corner) your homework before coming to class. Ten percent points will be deducted otherwise.**
- When you solve a particular problem, do not only give the final answer. Instead **show all your work** and the steps you used (with proper explanation) to arrive at your answer to get full credit.
- **DO NOT** give printouts of whole dataset or matrices. Present only the relevant output when answering a question.

Problems

Solve the following problems. You may use `R` for these problems unless I specifically instruct otherwise.

DO NOT give printouts of whole dataset or matrices. Present only the relevant output/graphs when answering a question.

Problem 1: (10 points) A researcher measured three indices (concerning severity of heart attacks), X_1 , X_2 and X_3 , for each of $n = 40$ heart attack patients, and produced summary statistics:

$$\bar{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 46.1 \\ 57.3 \\ 50.4 \end{bmatrix} \quad S = \begin{bmatrix} 101.3 & 63.0 & 71.0 \\ 63.0 & 80.2 & 55.6 \\ 71.0 & 55.6 & 97.4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Test for the equality of mean indices at $\alpha = 0.05$. [Hint: write a proper contrast matrix, and write H_0 first].

Problem 2: (20 points) Consider the anesthesias data discussed in class.

- a. Write another contrast matrix corresponding to $H_0 : \mu_1 = \dots = \mu_4$ different from the ones presented in class, that is, **a different contrast than the two matrices below** (do not just multiply a constant and call it different contrast):

$$C_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \quad C_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- b. For your contrast matrix in part (a), test H_0 and compare the results to those in lecture notes.
- c. Separately, test for interaction effect, the main effect of halothane, and the main effect of CO₂, and interpret the results.
- d. Suppose we want to test whether CO₂ effect (High – Low) when Halothane is present is twice CO₂ effect (High – Low) when Halothane is absent. Write the null hypothesis and the corresponding contrast matrix. Test this hypothesis and interpret the results.

Problem 3: (20 points) The dataset [here] (../data/T6-9.dat) gives measurements on the carapaces on 24 male and 24 female turtles.

```
dat <- read.table("../data/T6-9.dat", header = F)
colnames(dat) <- c("Length", "Width", "Height", "Gender")
head(dat)
```

```
##      Length Width Height Gender
## 1       98     81     38 female
## 2      103     84     38 female
## 3      103     86     42 female
## 4      105     86     42 female
## 5      109     88     44 female
## 6      123     92     50 female
```

```
tail(dat)
```

```
##      Length Width Height Gender
## 43      121     95     42   male
## 44      125     93     45   male
## 45      127     96     45   male
## 46      128     95     45   male
## 47      131     95     46   male
## 48      135    106     47   male
```

- a. Test for equality of mean measurements between the two genders.
- b. Create Bonferroni intervals for each component of the difference of the mean vector.

Problem 4: (20 points) Consider the Pottery data in the car library; see the help page for Pottery for details.

```
library(car)
```

```
## Loading required package: carData
```

```
head(Pottery)
```

```
##      Site   Al   Fe   Mg   Ca   Na
## 1 Llanedyrn 14.4  7.00  4.30  0.15  0.51
## 2 Llanedyrn 13.8  7.08  3.43  0.12  0.17
## 3 Llanedyrn 14.6  7.09  3.88  0.13  0.20
## 4 Llanedyrn 11.5  6.37  5.64  0.16  0.14
## 5 Llanedyrn 13.8  7.06  5.34  0.20  0.20
## 6 Llanedyrn 10.9  6.26  3.47  0.17  0.22
```

```
dat <- as.matrix(Pottery[,-1])
site <- Pottery[,1]
```

The first column defined the groups.

- Estimate mean vector of each site (group), and the overall mean vector.
- Perform a MANOVA to determine wheather the group means are equal or not. Give the sum of squares and cross product matrices (B and E) as defined in class.
- If you reject the hypothesis of equality of means in part (a) [hint: you results in part (a) should reject H_0], investigate which components are different using pair-wise comparisons.
- What assumptions on the population/sample are you making in this situation?

Problem 5: (20 points) The dataset [here] (../data/T6-17.dat) gives measurements on Yield (X_1), Sound mature kernels (X_2) and Seed size (X_3) on peanuts from different Location and Variety (two factors).

```
dat <- read.table("../data/T6-17.dat", header = F)
colnames(dat) <- c("Location", "Variety", "Yield", "SdMatKer", "Size")
dat
```

##	Location	Variety	Yield	SdMatKer	Size
## 1	1	5	195.3	153.1	51.4
## 2	1	5	194.3	167.7	53.7
## 3	2	5	189.7	139.5	55.5
## 4	2	5	180.4	121.1	44.4
## 5	1	6	203.0	156.8	49.8
## 6	1	6	195.9	166.0	45.8
## 7	2	6	202.7	166.1	60.4
## 8	2	6	197.6	161.8	54.1
## 9	1	8	193.5	164.5	57.8
## 10	1	8	187.0	165.1	58.6
## 11	2	8	201.5	166.8	65.0
## 12	2	8	200.0	173.8	67.2

- Perform a MANOVA on this dataset. Test for a location-variety interaction effect, location effect and variety effect.
- Using results in part (a), can we conclude that the effects of `Location` and `Variety` are additive?
- Investigate whether location-variety interaction show up for some variables but not others by running three univariate ANOVA models. [Hint: don't worry about multiple comparison here.]
- Explain in words (in the context of the problem) what the location-variety interaction effect means.