

ST790 Homework 2 Solution

1. (3.2)

Denote $x^T = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ and $y^T = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$. The design matrix is $X = (1_n, x, x^2, x^3)$. The estimator of the linear function $a^T \beta$ is $a^T \hat{\beta} = a^T (X^T X)^{-1} X^T y$. Its estimated standard error is

$$\widehat{se}(a^T \hat{\beta}) = (\hat{\sigma}^2 a^T (X^T X)^{-1} a)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

where $\hat{\sigma}^2 = (y^T (I_n - X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T) y) / (n - 4)$. The 95% confidence interval is then $[a^T \hat{\beta} \pm z_{0.975} \widehat{se}(a^T \hat{\beta})]$.

For the second approach, the 95% confidence set for β is

$$C_{\beta}^{(2)} = \{\beta | (\hat{\beta} - \beta)^T X^T X (\hat{\beta} - \beta) \leq \hat{\sigma}^2 \chi_{4,0.95}^2\},$$

and the induced confidence interval for $a^T \beta$ is $[\min\{a^T \beta | \beta \in C_{\beta}^{(2)}\}, \max\{a^T \beta | \beta \in C_{\beta}^{(2)}\}]$.

The first approach treats a as known and estimates the variance of $a^T \hat{\beta}$ conditional on a while the second approach constructs a global confidence set of β without any knowledge of a . Thus the second approach is more conservative and will yield a wider interval.

2.

Write X , \tilde{X} , $h(X)$, Y and ϵ as the stack of X_i^T , \tilde{X}_i^T , $h(X_i)$, Y_i and ϵ_i . Let $A = \text{diag}\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n\}$ and $\Pi = \text{diag}\{p(X_1), p(X_2), \dots, p(X_n)\}$. The posited model is

$$Y = (\tilde{X} \quad (A - \Pi)\tilde{X}) \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} + \epsilon_{n \times 1} =: X_* \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} + \epsilon.$$

The least square estimator for $(\gamma^T, \beta^T)^T$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{\gamma} \\ \hat{\beta} \end{pmatrix} = (X_*^T X_*)^{-1} X_*^T Y = \left(\frac{1}{n} X_*^T X_* \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{n} \tilde{X}^T \tilde{X}^T (A - \Pi) \right) Y,$$

where

$$\frac{1}{n} X_*^T X_* = \frac{1}{n} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{X}^T \tilde{X} & \tilde{X}^T (A - \Pi) \tilde{X} \\ \tilde{X}^T (A - \Pi) \tilde{X} & \tilde{X}^T (A - \Pi) (A - \Pi) \tilde{X} \end{pmatrix} =: \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\Sigma}_{n,11} & \hat{\Sigma}_{n,12} \\ \hat{\Sigma}_{n,12} & \hat{\Sigma}_{n,22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $\mathbb{E}(A - \Pi | \tilde{X}) = 0$, the off-diagonal blocks $\hat{\Sigma}_{n,12} \rightarrow_p 0$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$ and hence

$$\left(\frac{1}{n} X_*^T X_* \right)^{-1} \rightarrow_p \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \Sigma_{22}^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

where Σ_{11} and Σ_{22} are the expectations of $\hat{\Sigma}_{n,11}$ and $\hat{\Sigma}_{n,22}$. Similarly, we have $\mathbb{E}[\tilde{X}^T (A - \Pi) h(X) | \tilde{X}] = 0$, which indicates the orthogonality between $h(X)$ and $(A - \Pi)\tilde{X}\beta$, and hence it can be easily verified that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\tilde{X}^T (A - \Pi) Y] &= \mathbb{E}[\tilde{X}^T (A - \Pi) (h(X) + A\tilde{X}\beta_0 + \epsilon)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\tilde{X}^T (A - \Pi) (h(X) + (A - \Pi)\tilde{X}\beta_0 + \Pi\tilde{X}\beta_0 + \epsilon)] \\ &= 0 + n\Sigma_{22}\beta_0 + 0 + 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\tilde{X}^T (A - \Pi) Y / n \rightarrow_p \Sigma_{22}\beta_0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Put it all together, we have $\hat{\beta} \rightarrow_p \Sigma_{22}^{-1} \Sigma_{22}\beta_0 = \beta_0$.