Analysis of Clustering techniques on HPCM cluster

Instructor: Dr. LONGZHUANG LI

BY

Vinay Datta Pinnaka Naveen Chada To evaluate clustering mechanisms, we have selected two clustering algorithms of our choice:

- 1. K Means Clustering
- 2. Gaussian Mixture Model

1. Data Preprocessing

- The provided dataset Heterogeneity Activity Recognition is combination of both Numerical and Nominal attributes. But for clustering techniques categorical data is binaries to perform any distance calculation athematic.
- In Phones_accelerometer.csv the following attributes are nominal; Index, User, Mobile, Device and gt.
- In Map-reduce framework reader obtain the data and store in vector format when map function occurs. In map function we have tweaked the code to convert the nominal data to binary.
- The following pseudo code explains the process.

data. Map (

- **⇒** Parse the single instance of the data file
- **⇒** If decimal
 - Push decimal value to a vector
- **⇒** Else not decimal
 - o If string == "nexus4"
 - Push 1.0 to vector
 - o Else
 - Push 0.0 to vector)

2. K - Means Clustering

• Quality measure

Quality of the cluster is measured using Sum of squared error and the running time. From the following table we can conclude that if we increase the K value the quality of the cluster increased at the cost of increased running time.

K value	Sum of squared error	Running time
2	1.039472877889469E8	12 min
3	6.653591045777614E7	13 min
6	4.293524216600166E7	> 13 min around 14 min

Table 1: Squared error and Running time for three k values 2, 3 and 6

• Parameter chosen

Two parameters chosen for the K-means clustering are K value and no. of iterations.

K = 2, 3, 6 no. of iterations = 20

• Results Summary

Fig 1: Running K – Means cluster in HPCM using local node

```
16/11/18 00:09:07 INFO BlockManager: Removing RDD 3
Cluster centers:
[0.0,0.0,0.13670416167168986,0.6924350461207206,9.88654745971075,0.1799614239851888,0.07797975470957003,0.19540606460
147977,0.15977618616129952,0.12689638345412804,0.10985690131221616,0.15012328577611767]
[0.0,0.0,2.4667378201172365,0.5745369725407995,6.822808291342862,0.055848377047730415,0.31710119006672627,0.023186646
88135693,0.18565311176406998,0.15746592438998022,0.15429839129708428,0.10644611287453688]
16/11/18 00:09:07 INFO MemoryStore: Block broadcast_36 stored as values in memory (estimated size 376.0 B, free 91.6 MB)
16/11/18 00:09:07 INFO MemoryStore: Block broadcast_36_piece0 stored as bytes in memory (estimated size 547.0 B, free 91.6 MB)
```

Fig 2: Cluster centers obtained

Clusters center

No of clusters: 3 Cluster centers:

[0.0, 0.0, 0.13670416167168986, 0.6924350461207206, 9.88654745971075, 0.1799614239851888, 0.07797975470957003, 0.19540606460147977, 0.15977618616129952, 0.12689638345412804, 0.10985690131221616, 0.15012328577611767]

[0.0, 0.0, 2.4667378201172365, 0.5745369725407995, 6.822808291342862, 0.055848377047730415, 0.31710119006672627, 0.02318664688135693, 0.18565311176406998, 0.15746592438998022, 0.15429839129708428, 0.10644611287453688]

Cost: 1.0394728778894691E8

Within Set Sum of Squared Errors = 1.039472877889469E8

No of clusters: 3 Cluster centers:

[0.0, 0.0, 0.06219152683776111, 0.709319344781593, 6.938888096256519, 0.10558773851278157, 5.121309182214717E-6, 0.06779613482602424, 0.24898415174292782, 0.2255553815936246, 0.20363203247202666, 0.14843919567156702]

[0.0, 0.0, 0.17037911122392668, 0.6676992598736323, 10.335784962092696, 0.185263009776722, 0.0972175287407263, 0.21191867615383933, 0.1518866779975004, 0.10868542754323296, 0.09894803845097014, 0.14608064133700882]

 $\begin{bmatrix} 0.0, 0.0, 5.618310022072511, 0.47968198704298437, 7.8037517573379604, 0.04301752580752963, 0.7406907425930753, 0.024892825110562684, 0.04292335660384509, 0.04155101272088133, 0.03815805037593035, 0.06876648678817555 \end{bmatrix}$

Cost: 6.6535910457776144E7

Within Set Sum of Squared Errors = 6.653591045777614E7

No of clusters: 6 Cluster centers:

[0.0, 0.0, 0.2078482181231742, 0.20884877582183745, 9.925967052580516, 0.2120351847044271, 0.15212410636566523, 0.24013080821412755, 0.1015024619257779, 0.09051138681577116, 0.06546453714268262, 0.1382315148315484]

[0.0, 0.0, 0.08047498236973342, 0.625706187120293, 5.445337517468857, 0.02489580039070961, 6.920113517542142E-7, 1.0310969141137792E-4, 0.3204462366000652, 0.25925028874173656, 0.27049062912828026, 0.12481255142509358]

[0.0, 0.0, 0.05532521322164563, 0.39623038543772937, 7.887993259100342, 0.15036084955304113, 3.0175059503745388E-5, 0.11671602619489556, 0.2009651603178832, 0.20192413842845344, 0.16343916192820102, 0.16656448851802186]

[0.0, 0.0, 0.06640278061484987, 2.3318814895778974, 9.204107741702416, 0.1890957171103803, 0.0033166430611566086, 0.248754589400861, 0.14916753213137088, 0.14303985123122823, 0.1117750050401527, 0.15485066202485034]

[0.0, 0.0, 0.22535020028560557, 1.1115591977097832, 13.700044948358867, 0.05890063537042656, 1.963747261799912E-6, 2.3564967141598944E-5, 0.40453880904619816, 0.14609003192071174, 0.23756727061713703, 0.152877724331123151

 $\begin{bmatrix} 0.0, 0.0, 5.631783821033357, 0.4799804168841276, 7.792288266172938, 0.041577285713209296, 0.7472997340161932, 0.024515835860923332, 0.04138195657201415, 0.04061165262943744, 0.03657117950400309, 0.06804235570421951 \end{bmatrix}$

Cost: 4.293524216600167E7

Within Set Sum of Squared Errors = 4.293524216600166E7

3. Gaussian Mixture Model

• Quality measure

In Gaussian Mixture model the quality of the cluster is measured based on the weights. If the weight of the Gaussian cluster is high which indicates quality as well.

K value	Weight	Running time
1	0.435494	35 min
2	0.041777	
3	0.276022	
4	0.225889	
5	0.020758	
6	0.000059	

Table 2: Gaussian mixture model

-0.0205392938283711

Parameter selection

Gaussian mixture model provides option to select k value

K = 6

Results Summary

0.0 0.0 0.39867627659312

```
0.020517682231444546
0.0 0.0 -0.39867354326513676
0.0 0.0 7.770091688290468E-10 3.155615726229785E-9
weight=0.000059
2408902E-23, 5.916519714540902E-4, 0.00642941497623747, 0.01084361260489283, 0.5325904972576047]
sigma=
0.0 0.0 0.0
                                                      ... (12 total)
0.0 0.0 0.0
                                                     . . .
0.0 0.0 13.604402875677335
                               -0.6284908621981093
                                                     . . .
0.0 0.0 -0.6284908621981093
                            15.592099479567597
                                                      . . .
0.0 0.0 -5.725260573834225
                              -8.52306151819237
                                                      . . .
0.0 0.0 -0.631168778419854
                              -1.2360194631554573
0.0 0.0 1.1336176001341313E-22 -5.248323690948957E-23
0.0 0.0 -6.161006654975788E-23 -2.3743128411855412E-22 ...
0.0 0.0 0.0011257802243621164
                              0.003628564067481139
0.0 0.0 -0.008914773353775067
                              0.0607238367574983
0.0 0.0 0.010993223814924694
                               0.08355677434619532
0.0 0.0 0.6285037265507403
                               1.0890750619440335
16/11/17 04:23:39 INFO SparkUI: Stopped Spark web UI at http://10.20.1.189:4040
16/11/17 04:23:39 INFO MapOutputTrackerMasterEndpoint: MapOutputTrackerMasterEndpoint stopped!
16/11/17 04:23:39 INFO MemoryStore: MemoryStore cleared
16/11/17 04:23:39 INFO BlockManager: BlockManager stopped
16/11/17 04:23:39 INFO BlockManagerMaster: BlockManagerMaster stopped
16/11/17 04:23:39 INFO OutputCommitCoordinator$OutputCommitCoordinatorEndpoint: OutputCommitCoordinator stopped!
16/11/17 04:23:39 INFO SparkContext: Successfully stopped SparkContext
16/11/17 04:23:39 INFO ShutdownHookManager: Shutdown hook called
16/11/17 04:23:39 INFO ShutdownHookManager: Deleting directory /tmp/spark-6b4c121a-557c-4e26-9d11-bb9ee97c24d6
[vpinnaka@hpcm Gaussian]$ ls
```

Fig 3: Gaussian mixture model output

• Cluster weights

weight=0.435494

7,1.4811501219137795E-6,9.093275042993156E-12]

weight=0.041777

 $\begin{aligned} &mu = [0.0, 0.0, 2.6465327353745725, 0.5209536504498096, 9.50479990250662, 1.233513111981035E-\\ &7, 2.4037163060825356E-26, 1.3452366428206602E-25, 0.48453732361685586, 9.154613620162105E-\\ &6, 1.5439831458725545E-5, 0.515437653175987] \end{aligned}$

weight=0.276022

 $\begin{aligned} &mu = [0.0, 0.0, 9.366633599399334E-5, 0.8101843117530264, 8.768720330141125, 1.8669738695535586E-8, 3.638125521072608E-27, 2.0360721228804747E-\end{aligned}$

26,0.5347277914233577,0.46526980678405405,2.3368832972887593E-6,1.4346906671530781E-11] weight=0.225889

 $\begin{aligned} &\text{mu} = & [0.0, 0.0, 1.2443142229139623\text{E}-4, 0.7764898199827016, 8.926679921389685, 2.281326885288173\text{E}-8, 4.445564931908055\text{E}-27, 2.4879545183049171\text{E}-26, 1.558040081584443\text{E}-7, 1.6931045137055826\text{E}-6, 0.49112890436353884, 0.5088691674303406] \end{aligned}$

weight=0.020758

6,0.3851891814631407,0.6148082599202364,1.907764496063604E-10]

weight=0.000059

 $\begin{aligned} &mu = [0.0, 0.0, 2.5137208377058964, 4.497900014729491, 18.41355405096553, 0.4493303288799037, 1.6881640512840783E-23, 9.44778772408902E-23, 5.916519714540902E-\end{aligned}$

4, 0.00642941497623747, 0.01084361260489283, 0.5325904972576047]

4. Comparison of K-means and Gaussian mixture model

When comparing two clustering techniques K-means and Gaussian we can conclude that K-means is best in running time but when comparing the quality Gaussian is best because weights are calculated on convergence.