

Department I - C Plus Plus

Modern and Lucid C++ Advanced for Professional Programmers

Week 6 – Advanced Templates

Thomas Corbat / Felix Morgner
Rapperswil, 04.04.2023
FS2023



- **Week 5 Recap**
- **Static vs. Dynamic Polymorphism**
- **Substitution Failure Is Not An Error (SFINAE)**

- **Participants should...**

- ... get a deeper understanding of why template code (static polymorphism) is faster than virtually dispatched code (dynamic polymorphism)
- ... have refreshed their general template knowledge
- ... can implement deduction guides for class template argument deduction
- ... are able to eliminate function template overloads by applying SFINAE

Recap Week 5



- Simple function overload resolution determines which implementation to use

```
template <typename InputIter, typename Distance>
auto advanceImpl(InputIter& i, Distance d, std::input_iterator_tag) -> void {
    while (d--) { i++; }
}

template <typename RandomAccessIter, typename Distance>
auto advanceImpl(RandomAccessIter& i, Distance d, std::random_access_iterator_tag) -> void {
    i += d;
}

template <typename InputIter, typename Distance>
auto advance(InputIter& i, Distance n) -> void {
    typename std::iterator_traits<InputIter>::difference_type d = n;
    advanceImpl(i, d, typename std::iterator_traits<InputIter>::iterator_category{});
}
```

```
::advance(iter, 15);
```

- Using `boost/operators.hpp` shortens definition

Pass own type
CRTP = Curiously Recurring Template Parameter

Explicit
Constructor

```
struct IntIteratorBoost
: boost::input_iterator_helper<IntIteratorBoost, int> {

    explicit IntIteratorBoost(int start = 0)
    : value { start } {}

    auto operator==(IntIteratorBoost const& r) const -> bool {
        return value == r.value;
    }

    auto operator*() const -> value_type { return value; }

    auto operator ++() -> IntIteratorBoost& {
        ++value;
        return *this;
    }

private:
    value_type value;
};
```

Inherit to obtain types and
operations (through CRTP)

operator==
required

Reuse
predefined type

```
struct IntInputter {  
    using iterator_category = std::input_iterator_tag;  
    using value_type = int;  
    /* Other Member Types Omitted */  
  
    IntInputter();  
    explicit IntInputter(std::istream & in)  
        : input { in } {}  
    auto _type operator*() -> value_type;  
    auto operator++() -> IntInputter& {  
        return *this;  
    }  
    auto operator++(int) -> IntInputter {  
        IntInputter old{*this};  
        ++(*this);  
        return old;  
    }  
    auto operator==(IntInputter const & other) const -> bool;  
    auto operator!=(IntInputter const & other) const -> bool {  
        return !(*this == other);  
    }  
private:  
    std::istream & input;  
};
```

Default Constructor
for EOF

++ does nothing

Equal only if both
EOF

Caller must guarantee survival
of object, otherwise "dangling"
reference!

Static vs. Dynamic Polymorphism



Pros of static polymorphism

- Happens at compile-time
- Faster execution time
 - No dynamic dispatch required
 - Easier to optimize (inline)
- Type checks at compile-time


Cons of static polymorphism

- Longer compile-times
- Template code has to be known when used
- Larger binary size
 - Copy of the used parts for each (template) instance

- A polymorphic call of a virtual function requires lookup of the target function

```
struct Shape {  
    virtual unsigned area() const = 0;  
    virtual ~Shape();  
};  
  
struct Square : Shape {  
    Square(unsigned side_length)  
        : side_length{side_length} {}  
    unsigned area() const {  
        return side_length * side_length;  
    }  
    unsigned side_length;  
};
```

```
decltype(auto) amountOfSeeds(Shape const & shape) {  
    auto area = shape.area();  
    return area * seedsPerSquareMeter;  
};
```



- Article on this topic: <http://eli.thegreenplace.net/2013/12/05/the-cost-of-dynamic-virtual-calls-vs-static-crtp-dispatch-in-c>

- Non-virtual calls directly call the target function

Argument Type

```
struct Square {  
    Square(unsigned side_length)  
        : side_length{side_length} {}  
    unsigned area() const {  
        return side_length * side_length;  
    }  
    unsigned side_length;  
};
```

Template

```
template<typename ShapeType>  
decltype(auto) amountOfSeeds(ShapeType const & shape) {  
    auto area = shape.area();  
    return area * seedsPerSquareMeter;  
};
```

Instance

```
decltype(auto) amountOfSeeds(Square const & shape) {  
    auto area = shape.area();  
    return area * seedsPerSquareMeter;  
};
```

Square

side_length

Square::area const()
Implementation

- **Object is smaller**

- No vtable

- **Compiler flag for (cl.exe)**

- /d1reportSingleClassLayout<ClassName>
- /d1reportAllClassLayout

```
class Shape          size(1):
    +---
    +---

class Square         size(4):
    +---
    0    | +--- (base class Shape)
          | +---
    0    | side_length
          +---
```

```
class Shape          size(4):
    +---
    0    | {vfptr}
          +---

Shape::$vtable@:
          | &Shape_meta
          | 0
    0    | &Shape::area
    1    | &Shape::{dtor}

class Square         size(8):
    +---
    0    | +--- (base class Shape)
    0    | | {vfptr}
          | +---
    4    | side_length
          +---

Square::$vtable@:
          | &Square_meta
          | 0
    0    | &Square::area
    1    | &Square::{dtor}
```

- **Copy-pasting at compile-time**

- Instances for Square, Circle and Triangle
- The optimizer might get rid of them

```
template<typename ShapeType>
decltype(auto) amountOfSeeds(ShapeType const & shape) {
    auto area = shape.area();
    return area * seedsPerSquareMeter;
};
```

```
unsigned amountOfSeeds(Square const & shape) {
    auto area = shape.area();
    return area * seedsPerSquareMeter;
};
```

```
},
```

```
Circle const & shape) {
    a();
    rSquareMeter;
```

```
},
```


```
Triangle const & shape) {
    a();
    rSquareMeter;
```

Substitution Failure Is Not An Error (SFINAE)



- What do you expect is the return value of the program on the right?


- None, the program does not compile!



```
auto increment(unsigned i) -> unsigned {  
    return i++;  
}  
  
template <typename T>  
auto increment(T value) -> T {  
    return value.increment();  
}  
  
auto main() -> int {  
    return increment(42);  
}
```

```
error: request for member 'increment' in 'value',  
which is of non-class type 'int'
```

- During overload resolution the template parameters in a template declaration are substituted with the deduced types
 - This may result in template instances that cannot be compiled
 - Or otherwise suboptimal selection
- If the substitution of template parameter fails that overload candidate is discarded
- Substitution failure might happen in
 - Function return type
 - Function parameter
 - Template parameter declaration
 - And expressions in the above
- Errors in the instance body are still errors




```
template <typename T>
auto increment(T value) -> decltype(value.increment()) {
    return value.increment();
}
```

- We can break the return type
- If we tell the compiler to use the type of `value.increment()` as return type for `increment<int>`
 - That type cannot be determined during substitution

```
error: no matching function for call to 'increment(int)'
```

```
    increment(42);
               ^
```

```
note: candidate: template<class T> decltype (value.increment()) increment(T)
```

```
    auto increment(T value) -> decltype(value.increment()) {
        ^~~~~~
```


```
note:   template argument deduction/substitution failed:
```

```
In substitution of 'template<class T> decltype (value.increment()) increment(T) [with T = int]':
```

```
error: request for member 'increment' in 'value', which is of non-class type 'int'
```

```
    auto increment(T value) -> decltype(value.increment()) {
```

- Since there is a problem during substitution that overload is discarded



- Now the result is 42

```
auto increment(unsigned i) -> unsigned {  
    return i++;  
}  
  
template <typename T>  
auto increment(T value) ->  
    decltype(value.increment()) {  
    return value.increment();  
}  
  
auto int main() -> int {  
    return increment(42);  
}
```

- This approach, using `decltype(...)` as trailing return type, is infeasible in general
 - Function might have return type void
 - It is not elegant for complex bodies

- The standard library provides many predefined checks for type traits¹

- A trait contains a boolean value

- Usually, they are available in two versions

- Example:

- `std::is_same<T, U>`
- `std::is_same_v<T, U>`

```
template <typename T, typename U>
struct is_same : false_type {
    // inherits
    // static constexpr bool value = false;
};

template <typename T>
struct is_same<T, T> : true_type {
    // inherits
    // static constexpr bool value = true;
};

template <typename T, typename U>
constexpr bool is_same_v = is_same<T, U>::value;
```

¹ https://en.cppreference.com/w/cpp/header/type_traits

- Let's examine our example again

- We want the increment template to be selected only for class type arguments

- There exists a template `std::is_class<T>`

- contains static constexpr bool value;
- value is true if T is a class, false otherwise

- Variable template: `std::is_class_v<T>`


- Type bool (direct access of `::value`)

- Can we apply this to the increment template directly?

- No, either value (true or false) is still valid
- We need something to create an error in the type of the function

```
template <typename T>
auto increment(T value) -> T {
    return value.increment();
}

auto main() -> int {
    return increment(42);
}
```



```
#include <type_traits>

struct S{};

auto main() -> int {
    std::is_class<S>::value; // true
    std::is_class<int>::value; // false
}
```

```
template <bool expr, typename T = void>
struct enable_if;
```

- The `std::enable_if_t` template takes an expression and a type
 - If the expression evaluates to true `std::enable_if_t` represents the given type
 - Otherwise it does NOT represent a type

```
auto main() -> int {
    std::enable_if_t<true, int> i;          // int
    std::enable_if_t<false, int> error;     // no type
}
```

- Inside `std::enable_if`

```
template <bool expr,
          typename T = void>
struct enable_if{};
```

```
template <typename T>
struct enable_if<true, T> {
    using type = T;
};
```

```
template <bool expr,
          typename T = void>
using enable_if_t = typename
    enable_if<expr, T>::type;
```

```
template <typename T,  >
auto increment(T value) -> T {
    return value.increment();
}
```

 Spots to apply enable_if (SFINAE)

● Possibilities

```
template <typename T>
auto increment(T value) -> std::enable_if_t<std::is_class_v<T>, T> {
    return value.increment();
}
```

enable_if as parameter
impairs type deduction

```
template <typename T>
auto increment(std::enable_if_t<std::is_class_v<T>, T> value) -> T {
    return value.increment();
}
```


```
template <typename T, typename = std::enable_if_t<std::is_class_v<T>, void>>
auto increment(T value) {
    return value.increment();
}
```

would be void per default

- **Example: Box-Container with**

- Default constructor
- Copy constructor
- Move constructor
- Size constructor

```
Box<MemoryOperationCounter> b{1};
```



```
template <typename T>
struct Box {
    Box() = default;
    Box(Box const& box)
        : items{box.items}{}
    Box(Box&& box)
        : items{std::move(box.items)} {}
    explicit Box(size_t size)
        : items(size) {}
    //...
private:
    std::vector<T> items{};
};
```

- What if we replace the copy/move constructors with a forwarding constructor?

```
Box<MemoryOperationCounter> b{1};
```

```
template <typename T>
struct Box {
    Box() = default;
    template <typename BoxType>
    explicit Box(BoxType&& other)
        : items(std::forward<BoxType>(other).items) {}
    explicit Box(size_t size)
        : items(size) {}
    //...
private:
    std::vector<T> items{};
};
```

```
Test.cpp:14:41: error: request for member 'items' in 'std::forward<int>((* & other))',
               which is of non-class type 'int'
    : items(std::forward<BoxType>(other).items) {}
      ~~~~~^~~~~
```


- **We don't want the forwarding constructor to match anything else than Boxes**

- Type traits can be used to narrow down the valid calls.

```
template <typename T>
struct Box {
    Box() = default;
    template <typename BoxType, typename = std::enable_if_t<std::is_same_v<Box, BoxType>>>
    explicit Box(BoxType && other)
        : items(std::forward<BoxType>(other).items) {}
    explicit Box(size_t size)
        : items(size) {}
    //...
private:
    std::vector<T> items{};
};
```

- **This is just an example for demonstrating the mechanism. Do not implement a forwarding constructor as replacement for the move and copy constructor.**

```
template <typename T>
auto consume(T&& value)
    -> std::enable_if_t<
        std::negation_v<
            std::is_reference<T>
        >
    >
{ /*...*/ }
//This function can only be called with rvalues
```

```
template <typename T>
auto convert(T value) -> int {
    using namespace std;
    enable_if_t<
        is_constructible_v<int, T>, int
    > converted{value};
    return converted;
}
//This function can be eliminated by SFINAE
```

```
template <typename T>
auto consume(T&& value)
    -> std::enable_if_t<
        std::negation_v<
            std::is_reference<T>
        >
    >
{ /*...*/ }
//This function can only be called with rvalues
```

Correct

`std::is_reference` is `std::true_type` if `T` is a reference otherwise `std::false_type`. If the forwarding reference is initialized with an lvalue `T` is a reference. `std::negation_v` negates the true/false_type. The overload is only enabled for rvalues.

```
template <typename T>
auto convert(T value) -> int {
    using namespace std;
    enable_if_t<
        is_constructible_v<int, T>, int
    > converted{value};
    return converted;
}
//This function can be eliminated by SFINAE
```

Incorrect


This is not SFINAE! It will compile if called with something that can be used to construct an int, but the overload will be taken anyway.

Concepts

C++20 introduced constraints for template parameters



- **Provide a means to specify the characteristics of a type (requirement) in template contexts**
 - Opposed to the implicit syntactic requirements imposed by the use of the template parameter
- **Earlier detection of violation in the template instantiation process**
 - Better error messages
- **More expressive SFINAE**



- So called `requires` clauses allow constraining template parameters
- `requires` is followed by a compile-time constant boolean expression
- `requires` clauses are either placed after the template parameter list...

```
template <typename T>  
requires true  
auto function(T argument) -> void {  
}
```

- ... or after the function template's declarator

```
template <typename T>  
auto function(T argument) -> void requires true {  
}
```

```
template <typename T>
requires std::is_class_v<T>
auto function(T argument) -> void {
}
```

```
function(1);
function(std::string{});
```

Function.cpp:87:11: error: no matching function for call to 'function(int)'

```
87 |   function(1);
    |   ~~~~~^~
```

Function.cpp:75:6: note: candidate: 'template<class T> requires is_class_v<T> void function(T)'

```
75 |   auto function(T argument) -> void {
    |   ^~~~~~
```

Function.cpp:75:6: note: template argument deduction/substitution failed:

Function.cpp:75:6: note: constraints not satisfied

Function.cpp: In substitution of 'template<class T> requires is_class_v<T> void function(T) [with T = int]':

Function.cpp:87:11: required from here

Function.cpp:75:6: required by the constraints of 'template<class T> requires is_class_v<T> void function(T)'

Function.cpp:74:15: note: the expression 'is_class_v<T> [with T = int]' evaluated to 'false'

```
74 |   requires std::is_class_v<T>
    |   ~~~~~^~~~~~
```

- **requires** also starts an expression that evaluates to bool

```
requires {  
    // Sequence of requirements  
}
```

```
requires ($parameter-list$) {  
    // Sequence of requirements  
}
```

- **Requirements overview**

- Simple requirements are statements that are true when they can be compiled
- Type requirements check whether a specific type exists (typically, for nested types)
- Compound requirements checks constraints on an expression's type
- Nested requirements contain further (nested) requires expressions

- Simple requirements are statements that are true when they can be compiled

```
requires (T v) {  
    v.increment();  
}
```

- Applied to our previous «incrementable» example

```
template <typename T>  
requires requires (T const v) { v.increment(); }  
auto increment(T value) -> T {  
    return value.increment();  
}
```

- Type requirements check whether a specific type exists (typically, for nested types)
- Starts with `typename` keyword

```
requires {  
    typename $type$;  
}
```

- To check the types on `BoundedBuffer` the requirements could look as follows

```
requires {  
    typename BoundedBuffer<int>::value_type;  
    typename BoundedBuffer<int>::size_type;  
    typename BoundedBuffer<int>::reference;  
    typename BoundedBuffer<int>::const_reference;  
}
```

- Compound requirements checks whether an expression is valid and can check constraints on the expression's type
- The return-type-requirement is optional

```
requires (T v) {  
    { $expression$ } -> $type-constraint$;  
}
```

- Applied to our previous «incrementable» example

```
template <typename T>  
requires requires (T const v) {  
    { v.increment() } -> std::same_as<T>;  
}  
auto increment(T value) -> T {  
    return value.increment();  
}
```

- Specifies a named type requirement

```
template <typename T>  
concept NameTypeRequirement = $bool-expression$;
```

- Typically, a `requires` expression is used as `bool` expression (or is at least part of it)
- Conjunctions (`&&`) and disjunctions (`||`) can be used to combine constraints

```
template <typename T>  
concept Incrementable = requires (T const v){  
    {v.increment()} -> std::same_as<T>;  
};
```

- Named constraints can be used in template parameter declarations

```
template <Incrementable T>
auto increment(T value) -> T {
    return value.increment();
}
```

- Or as part of a requires clause

```
template <typename T>
requires Incrementable<T>
auto increment(T value) -> T {
    return value.increment();
}
```

- **Use auto as parameter type instead of template declaration**

- with an unconstrained parameter


```
auto function(auto argument) -> void {  
}
```

- **This is equivalent to**

```
template <typename T>  
auto function(T argument) -> void {  
}
```

- What is the equivalent for?

```
auto function(auto arg1, auto arg1) -> void {  
}
```



```
template <typename T>  
auto function(T arg1, T arg2) -> void {  
}
```

```
template <typename T1, typename T2>  
auto function(T1 arg1, T2 arg2) -> void {  
}
```

- Abbreviated function template parameters can be constrained too

```
auto increment(Incrementable auto value) -> T {  
    return value.increment();  
}
```

- Corresponds to

```
template <Incrementable T>  
auto increment(T value) -> T {  
    return value.increment();  
}
```


- Given the following named type constraints

```
template <typename T>
concept Printable = requires (T const v, std::ostream& os) {
    v.print(os);
};

template <typename T>
concept LeftshiftOutputtable = requires (T const v, std::ostream& os) {
    {os << v} -> std::same_as<std::ostream&>;
};
```

- Function overloads that have constraints not satisfied are excluded from overload resolution too

```
auto print(Printable auto const& printable) {  
    printable.print(std::cout);  
}  
  
auto print(LeftshiftOutputtable auto const& outputtable) {  
    std::cout << outputtable;  
}  
  
auto printAll(auto const& first, auto const&... rest) -> void {  
    print(first);  
    if constexpr (sizeof...(rest)) {  
        std::cout << ", ";  
        printAll(rest...);  
    }  
}
```

- **Man predefined type constraints exist in the standard library**
- **Examples**
 - `std::equality_comparable` checks whether a type can be `==` and `!=` compared
 - `std::default_initializable` checks whether a type can be default constructed
 - `std::floating_point` checks whether a type is a floating-point type
 - Many more

See <https://en.cppreference.com/w/cpp/concepts>

Summary



- **Function calls resolved at compile-time can be much faster**
- **SFINAE is used to eliminate overload candidates**
- **Concepts encode requirements for types and (should) provide compiler error messages that are easier to read**

Templates Recap

Repetition Material for Self-Study



- **Template declaration**

- template Keyword
- Template Parameters

template
Keyword

Template
Parameters

```
template<typename ShapeType>  
decltype(auto) amountOfSeeds(ShapeType const & shape) {  
    auto area = shape.area();  
    return area * seedsPerSquareMeter;  
};
```

- **Function is implicitly inline**

- **Template arguments might be deduced from the function call arguments**

ShapeType is deduced
to be Rectangle

```
Rectangle r{5, 8};  
auto seeds = amountOfSeeds(r);
```

Explicitly specified
to be Rectangle

```
Rectangle r{5, 8};  
auto seeds = amountOfSeeds<Rectangle>(r);
```

Template Template
Parameter

Template Type
Parameter

Template Non-Type
Parameter

```
template<template<typename, unsigned> typename Container, typename Target, std::size_t N>
Target extractMiddleElement(Container<Target, N> & container) {
    using std::swap;
    Target middleElement{};
    swap(container.at(N / 2), middleElement);
    return middleElement;
}
```

```
std::array<int, 3> values{1, 2, 3};
extractMiddleElement(values);
```

```
Container => std::array
Target    => int
N         => 3
```

```
BoundedBuffer<int, 3> values{1, 2, 3};
extractMiddleElement(values);
```

```
Container => BoundedBuffer
Target    => int
N         => 3
```

- Before C++17 template template parameter were declared with «template <...> class»

- **We could also implement `extractMiddleElement` differently**

- Instead of `N` we might use `size()`
- Instead of `Target` we have the member type `value_type` of `Container`

- **That changes the Concept of the parameter. How?**

Container must have:

- member type `value_type`
- `size()` member function

It does not need to be a template with type and unsigned parameter anymore.

- **The member type `value_type` is a dependent type**

- The compiler does not know whether `Container::value_type` is a member type, function or variable
- To tell the compiler that it is a type the `typename` keyword is required (there is also a `template` keyword for cases where the member is a template)

```
template<typename Container>
auto extractMiddleElement(Container & container) {
    typename Container::value_type middleElement{};
    std::swap(container.at(container.size() / 2), middleElement);
    return middleElement;
}
```

- In specific cases the number of template parameters might not be fix/known upfront
- Thus the template shall take an arbitrary number of parameters

- **Example:**

```
template<typename First, typename...Types>
void printAll(First const & first, Types const &...rest) {
    std::cout << first;
    if (sizeof...(Types)) {
        std::cout << ", ";
    }
    printAll(rest...);
}
```

- **Syntax (ellipses everywhere): ...**
 - ... in template parameter list for an arbitrary number of template parameters (Template Parameter Pack)
 - ... in function parameter list for an arbitrary number of function arguments (Function Parameter Pack)
 - ... after sizeof to access the number of elements in template parameter pack
 - ... in the variadic template implementation after a pattern (Pack Expansion)

- Templates allow generic programming in C++
- A template is instantiated for a specific set of template arguments

Type
Parameter

Non-Type
Parameter

```
template<typename Freight, unsigned Space>
struct Carriage {
    std::array<Freight, Space> cargo{};
};

decltype(auto) createSmallTankWagon() {
    return Carriage<Oil, 1>{};
}
```

Creates
Template Instance

```
struct Carriage {
    std::array<Oil, 1> cargo{};
};
```




- Templates can be (partially) specialized
- Liskov's Substitution Principle does not apply for specializations, i.e. a specialized template does not need to satisfy the interface of the base template!

```
template<typename Freight, unsigned Space>
struct Carriage;

template<unsigned Space>
struct Carriage<Passenger, Space> {
    unsigned const doors{7};
}

decltype(auto) createPassengerWagon() {
    return Carriage<Passenger, 124>{};
}
```

```
struct Carriage {
    unsigned const doors{7};
}
```



- When a template is instantiated the compiler has to decide whether to use the base template or one of its specializations

● Syntax

```
template<[Parameters]>  
Type name [= initialization];
```

- Can be specialized
- Usually constexpr

● Purpose

- Compile-time predicates and properties of types
- Usually applied in template meta programming
- Before C++14 it was necessary to create a class template with a static member variable
 - Now less code is required for the same effect

```
template<typename T>  
constexpr T pi = T(3.1415926535897932385);  
  
template<typename T>  
constexpr bool is_integer = false;  
  
template<>  
constexpr bool is_integer<int> = true;
```

Deduction Guides



- Class template arguments can usually be determined by the compiler

```
template <typename T>
struct Box {
    Box(T content)
        : content{content}{}
    T content;
};

int main() {
    Box<int> b0{0}; //Before C++17
    Box      b1{1}; //Since C++17
}
```


- The behavior is similar to pretending as if there was a factory function for each constructor

```
template <typename T>
Box<T> make_box(T content) {
    return Box<T>{content};
}
```

```
auto gift = make_box(teddy);
```

- In the following example the only template parameter is T, which can be deduced from `std::initializer_list<T>`


```
template <typename T>
class Sack {
    //...
    Sack(std::initializer_list<T> values)
        : theSack(values) {
    }
    //...
};
```



A blue arrow originates from the `T` in `std::initializer_list<T>` and points to the `T` in the template parameter `<typename T>`.

```
void testImplicitDeductionGuide () {
    Sack charSack{'a', 'b', 'c'};
    ASSERT_EQUAL(3, charSack.size());
}
```


```
std::initializer_list<char>
```



A blue arrow originates from `Sack charSack` and points to the `std::initializer_list<char>` box.

- There is no direct relation from `Iter` to `T` (constructor parameters to template parameter)

```
template <typename T>
struct BoundedBuffer {
    //...
    template <typename Iter>
    BoundedBuffer(Iter begin, Iter end);
    //...
};
```



```
void testDeductionFromIterators() {
    std::vector values{3, 1, 4, 1, 5, 9, 2, 6};
    BoundedBuffer buffer{begin(values), end(values)};
    ASSERT_EQUAL(values.size(), buffer.size());
}
```

```
error: class template argument deduction failed:
      Sack aSack(begin(values), end(values));
```

- **User-defined deduction guides can be specified in the same scope as the template**
 - Usually, after the template definition itself

```
TemplateName(ConstructorParameters) -> TemplateID;
```

- **Might be necessary for complex cases, e.g. template constructors if the constructor template parameters do not map directly to the class template parameters**
- **The deduction guide can be (and usually is) a template itself**
- **It looks similar to a free-standing constructor**
- **Unfortunately, C++ does not recognize the deduction guides yet**

Template declaration for
Iter

```
template <typename Iter>  
BoundedBuffer(Iter begin, Iter end) -> BoundedBuffer<typename std::iterator_traits<Iter>::value_type>;
```

Constructor signature

Deduced template instance

- Test for deducing template argument from iterator works

```
void testDeductionFromIterators() {  
    std::vector values{3, 1, 4, 1, 5, 9, 2, 6};  
    BoundedBuffer buffer{begin(values), end(values)};  
    ASSERT_EQUAL(values.size(), buffer.size());  
}
```

Pack Expansion (Recap)

Variadic Template Instances Unfolded (Recap)



- **Template declaration:**

```
template<typename First, typename...Types>  
void printAll(First const & first, Types const &...rest);
```

- **Implicit instantiation:**

```
int i{42}; double d{1.25}; std::string book{"Lucid C++"};  
printAll(i, f, book);
```

- **Template instance:**

```
void printAll(int const & first, double const & __rest0,  
              std::string const & __rest1) {  
    std::cout << first;  
    if (2) { //sizeof...(Types) - Number of arguments in the pack  
        std::cout << ", ";  
    }  
    printAll(__rest0, __rest1); //rest... expansion  
}
```

- **sizeof...(<PACK>) will be replaced by the number of arguments in the pack parameter**

- 0, 1, 2, ...

```
template<typename First, typename...Types>
void printAll(First const & first, Types const &...rest) {
    //...
    printAll(rest...);
}
```

- **Pattern: rest**
- **The pattern must contain at least one pack parameter**
- **An expansion is a coma-separated list of instances of the pattern**
- **For each argument in that pack an instance of the pattern is created**
- **In an instance of the pattern the parameter pack name is replaced by an argument of the pack**

```
void printAll(int const & first, double const & __rest0, std::string const & __rest1) {
    //...
    printAll(__rest0, __rest1); //rest...
}
```

- For the call `printAll(__rest0, __rest1): printAll<double, std::string>`

```
void printAll(double const & first, std::string const & __rest0) {  
    std::cout << first;  
    if (1) { //sizeof...(Types) - Number of arguments in the pack  
        std::cout << ", ";  
    }  
    printAll(__rest0); //rest... expansion  
}
```

- For the call `printAll(<rest0>): printAll<std::string>`

```
void printAll(std::string const & first) {  
    std::cout << first;  
    if (0) { //sizeof...(Types) - Number of arguments in the pack  
        std::cout << ", ";  
    }  
    printAll(); //rest... expansion  
}
```

- What about `printAll()`?

- What about printAll()?
 - The variadic template printAll is not viable, as it requires at least one parameter
- We need a base case for the recursion

```
void printAll() {  
}
```

- Wouldn't it be feasible to just rearrange the code in the variadic template?

```
template<typename First, typename...Types>  
void printAll(First const & first, Types const &...rest) {  
    std::cout << first;  
    if (sizeof...(Types)) {  
        std::cout << ", ";  
        printAll(rest...);  
    }  
}
```

