
Department I - C Plus Plus

Modern and Lucid C++ Advanced for Professional Programmers

Week 9 - User Defined Literals

Prof. Peter Sommerlad / Thomas Corbat Rapperswil, 18.04.2019 FS2019



mInBounds(element_index





Recap Week 8







constexpr unsigned pi = 3;

- Evaluated at compile-time (mandatory)
- Initialized by a constant expression
 - Literal value
 - Expression computable by the compiler
 - constexpr function calls
- Require literal type
- Can be used in constant expression contexts


- Possible contexts
 - Local scope
 - Namespace scope
 - static data members
- constexpr variables are const

• Instance for printAll("Hello"s);

```
void printAll(std::string const & first) {
  std::cout << first;
  if constexpr (0) {
    std::cout << ", ";
    printAll(); //rest... expansion
  }
}</pre>
void printAll(std::string const & first) {
  std::cout << first;
  std::cout << first;
}
```

• We dont' need a base case anymore!

 Since there is a problem during substitution that overload is discarded



Now the result is 42

```
unsigned increment(unsigned i) {
  return i++;
template<typename T>
auto increment(T value) ->
    decltype(value.increment()) {
  return value.increment();
int main() {
  return increment(42);
```

- This approach, using decltype(...) as trailing return type, is infeasible in general
 - Function might have return type void
- It is not elegant for complex bodies

- You can encode scales in value types
- You know how to use traits for conversion of scales
- You can write your own user defined literal operators
- (You can compute values at compile-time from raw literals)

Value Types with Traits







```
struct Speed {
  constexpr explicit Speed(double kmh)
    : kmh { kmh } {}
  double kmh;
};
```

```
Speed v{1.0}; //Unit?
```

- Problem: Literal values lack a dimension
 - Can result in hard to detect bugs
 - Especially when they are implicitly convertible

```
struct Speed {
  constexpr Speed(double value) {...}
};
bool isFasterThanWalking(Speed speed);
```

```
ASSERT(isFasterThanWalking(10.0));
ASSERT(isFasterThanWalking(2.8));
ASSERT(isFasterThanWalking(6.2));
```

- Possible Approach: Add factory functions for disambiguation
 - Tedious to call in many places
 - Difficult to extend (Open Closed Principle is violated)

```
struct Speed {
   static Speed fromKmh(double value);
   static Speed fromMph(double value);
   static Speed fromMps(double value);
private:
   Speed(double value);
};
bool isFasterThanWalking(Speed speed);
```

```
ASSERT(isFasterThanWalking(Speed::fromKmh(10.0)));
ASSERT(isFasterThanWalking(Speed::fromMph(2.8)));
ASSERT(isFasterThanWalking(Speed::fromMps(6.2)));
```

Create a tag type for the scale

```
struct Kph;
struct Mph;
struct Mps;
```

Create a quantity type template for speed

```
template <Scale scale>
struct Speed {
  constexpr explicit Speed(double value)
    : value{value}{};
  constexpr explicit operator double() const {
    return value;
    }
  private:
    double value;
};
```

Add a speedCast function

```
template<typename Target, typename Source>
constexpr Speed<Target> speedCast(Speed<Source> const & source) {
  return Speed<Target>{ ConversionTraits<Target, Source>::convert(source) };
}
```

Create a ConversionTraits class template

```
template<typename Target, typename Source>
struct ConversionTraits {
  constexpr static Speed<Target> convert(Speed<Source> sourceValue) = delete;
};
```

Specialize ConversionTraits class template

```
template<typename Same>
struct ConversionTraits<Same, Same> {
  constexpr static Speed<Same> convert(Speed<Same> sourceValue) {
    return sourceValue;
static constexpr double mphToKphFactor { 1.609344 };
template<>
struct ConversionTraits<tags::Kph, tags::Mph> {
  constexpr static Speed<tags::Kph> convert(Speed<tags::Mph> sourceValue) {
    return Speed<tags::Kph>{static_cast<double>(sourceValue) * mphToKphFactor};
```

```
template <typename Unit>
bool isFasterThanWalking(Speed<Unit> speed) {
  return velocity::speedCast<Kph>(speed) > Speed<Kph>{5.0};
}
```

- Requires comparison operations, i.e >
 - They can be implemented using boost
- Arbitrary Speed objects can be compared with a == operator template

User-Defined Literals







Type for velocity

```
template <typename Scale>
struct Speed {
  constexpr explicit Speed(double value)
    : value{value}{};
  constexpr explicit operator double() const {
    return value;
  }
private:
  double value;
};
```

Can be used in

Quite verbose

```
Example
Speed<Scale::kmh> s{5.0};
Speed<Scale::kmh> s = 5.0;
Auto s = Speed<Scale::kmh>{5.0}
Auto s = 5.0;
Non-explicit
Yes
Auto s = 5.0;
Not a speed object
```

Repetitive occurrence of explicit conversion Speed<Scale::XYZ>{x}

```
auto speed1 = Speed<Kph>{5.0};
auto speed2 = Speed<Mph>{5.0};
auto speed3 = Speed<Mps>{5.0};
```

- What if we had the possibility to attach units to our literals?
 - User-defined literals

```
auto speed1 = 5.0_kph;
auto speed2 = 5.0_mph;
auto speed3 = 5.0_mps;
```

Overloading

- UDLSuffix could lexically be any identifier, but must start with underscore _ (other suffixes are reserved for the standard)
- Allows to add dimension, conversion, etc.
- If possible define UDL operator functions as constexpr
- Add the suffix to integer, float and string literals
 - Suffix belongs to literal (no whitespace between)
- Rule: put overloaded UDL operators that belong together in a separate namespace
 - Only a using namespace can import them

```
operator"" _UDLSuffix()
```

```
5.0_kph; //correct
5.0 _mph; //wrong
```

using namespace velocity::literals;

```
namespace velocity::literals{
constexpr inline Speed<Kph> operator"" _kph(unsigned long long value) {
  return Speed<Kph>{safeToDouble(value)};
}

constexpr inline Speed<Kph> operator"" _kph(long double value) {
  return Speed<Kph>{safeToDouble(value)};
}

//...
}
```

```
void overtakePedestrianAt10Kph() {
   ASSERT(isFasterThanWalking(10.0_kph));
}

void testConversionFromKphToMph() {
   ASSERT_EQUAL(1.60934_kph, 1.0_mph);
}
```

- Shorter and more expressive literals
- ASSERT_EQUAL for double already has a margin for its comparison

- For literal numbers the following signatures are useful
 - Integral constants

```
TYPE operator "" _suffix(unsigned long long)
```

Example

```
constexpr inline Speed<Kph> operator"" _kph(unsigned long long value) {
   return Speed<Kph>{safeToDouble(value)};
}
constexpr auto speed = 5_kmh;
```

Floating point constants

```
TYPE operator "" _suffix(long double)
```

For string literals the following signature is useful

```
TYPE operator "" _suffix(char const *, std::size_t len)
```

```
namespace mystring {
inline std::string operator"" _s(char const *s, std::size_t len) {
  return std::string { s, len };
}
}
```

- Note: Implementation above cannot be constexpr. Why?
- Example:

```
using namespace mystring;
auto s = "hello"_s;
s += " world\n";
std::cout << s;</pre>
```

"RAW" UDL Operator

```
TYPE operator "" _suffix(char const *)
```

```
namespace mystring {
inline std::string operator"" _s(char const *s) {
  return std::string { s };
}
}
```

- Note: Works only for integral and floating literals, not for string literals!
- Example:

```
using namespace mystring;
auto rs = 42_s;
rs += " raw\n";
std::cout << rs;</pre>
```

Ternary suffix

Base 3

Examples

Ternary	Decimal
0	0
1	1
2	2
10	3
11	4
12	5
20	6
21	7
22	8
100	9

Problem: exception at run-time

```
namespace ternary {
unsigned long long operator"" _3(char const *s) {
    size_t convertedupto{};
    auto res = std::stoull(s, &convertedupto, 3u);
    if (convertedupto != strlen(s))
        throw std::logic_error { "invalid ternary" };
    return res;
}
```

```
using namespace ternary;
int four = 11_3;
std::cout << "four is " << four << '\n';
try {
  four = 14_3; // throws
} catch (std::exception const &e) {
  std::cout << e.what() << '\n';
}</pre>
```

Template UDL Operator

```
template<char...>
TYPE operator "" _suffix()
```

- Empty parameter list
- Variadic template parameter
- Characters of the literal are template arguments

Unfortunately, the template UDL operator does not work with string literals

- Run-time errors for number conversion is bad
- There exists a variadic template version of UDL operators
- Interpretation of the characters (at compile-time) often requires a variadic class/variable template with specializations

```
template<char ...Digits>
constexpr unsigned long long operator"" _ternary() {
  return ternary_value<Digits...>;
}
```

We will also need a helper function to get the value of the digit: 3ⁿ

```
constexpr unsigned long long three_to(std::size_t power) {
  return power ? 3ull * three_to(power - 1) : 1ull;
}
```

```
template<char ...Digits>
extern unsigned long long ternary value;
template<char ...Digits>
constexpr unsigned long long ternary_value<'0', Digits...> {
  ternary value<Digits...>
};
template<char ...Digits>
constexpr unsigned long long ternary_value<'1', Digits...> {
  1 * three to(sizeof ...(Digits)) + ternary value<Digits...>
};
template<char ...Digits>
constexpr unsigned long long ternary value<'2', Digits...> {
  2 * three to(sizeof ...(Digits)) + ternary value<Digits...>
};
template<>
constexpr unsigned long long ternary value<>{0};
```

- Example: 120_ternary
- 120_ternary -> resolves to ternary_value<'1', '2', '0'>

```
Partial specialization: ternary_value<'1', Digits...>
Value: 1 * 3^2 + ternary_value<'2', '0'>
   Partial specialization: ternary value<'2', Digits...>
   Value: 2 * 3<sup>1</sup> + ternary value<'0'>
       Partial specialization: ternary_value<'0', Digits...>
       Value: ternary_value<>
          Partial specialization: parse ternary<>
         Value: 0
       Value: 0
   Value: 6 from 2 * 3^1 + 0
Value: 15 from 1 * 3^2 + 6
```

Can we avoid the duplication of the specialization for '0', '1' and '2'?

```
constexpr bool is_ternary_digit(char c) {
  return c == '0' || c == '1' || c == '2';
constexpr unsigned value_of(char c) {
  return c - '0';
template<char D, char ...Digits>
constexpr
std::enable_if_t<is_ternary_digit(D), unsigned long long>
ternary value<D, Digits...> {
 value_of(D) * three_to(sizeof ...(Digits)) + ternary_value<Digits...>
```

- The declaration of value is barely readable; let's try static_assert
 - static_assert(cond, msg);
 - It is a declaration itself and thus cannot be used with variable templates

```
template<char D>
constexpr unsigned value_of() {
    static_assert(is_ternary_digit(D), "Digits of ternary must be 0, 1 or 2");
    return D - '0';
}

template<char D, char ...Digits>
constexpr unsigned long long ternary_value<D, Digits...> {
    value_of<D>() * three_to(sizeof ...(Digits)) + ternary_value<Digits...>
};
```

- Nice error message during compilation
- static_assert prevents SFINAE

- Upcomming alternative: Concepts
 - concept keyword
 - Concept name used instead of typename

```
template<char D>
concept bool TernaryDigit = is_ternary_digit(D);

template<TernaryDigit D, TernaryDigit...Digits>
constexpr unsigned long long ternary_value<D, Digits...> {...};
```

Nice compiler messages

- Standard suffixes don't have a leading underscore
- Suffix for std::string: s
- Suffix for std::complex (imaginary): i, il, if
- Suffixes for std::chrono::duration:ns, us, ms, s, min, h
- More might be defined in the future

Is the following example a problem?

```
using namespace std::string_literals;
using namespace std::chrono_literals;
auto one_s = 1s;
auto one_point_zero_s = 1.0s;
auto fourty_two_s = "42"s;
```

- Create your own types if you want to represent values with dimension
- User defined literals help giving meaning to simple values
- They can be used to compute numbers at compile-time

Self-Study







- How can we reverse a tuple at compile-time?
- Let's try it step by step (TDD approach)
- How would you implement reverse?

```
constexpr auto nullary = std::make_tuple();
constexpr auto reversedNullary = reverse(nullary);
static_assert(nullary == reversedNullary);
```

Simplest thing that could possibly work:

```
constexpr auto reverse(std::tuple<> const & nullary) {
  return nullary;
}
```

Let's add a second case: Unary tuple

```
constexpr auto unary = std::make_tuple(1);
constexpr auto reversedUnary = reverse(unary);
static_assert(unary == reversedUnary);
```

Add an overload for a tuple with a single template argument

```
template <typename T>
constexpr auto reverse(std::tuple<T> const & unary) {
  return unary;
}
```

Let's add a third case: Binary tuple

```
constexpr auto binary = std::make_tuple("Hello", 5);
constexpr auto binaryReversed = reverse(binary);
static_assert(binaryReversed == std::make_tuple(5, "Hello"));
```

Add an overload for a tuple with a two template arguments

```
template <typename First, typename Second>
constexpr auto reverse(std::tuple<First, Second> const & binary) {
   return std::make_tuple(std::get<1>(binary), std::get<0>(binary));
}
```

Can this scale?

Let's add a fourth case: Ternary tuple

```
constexpr auto ternary = std::make_tuple("Hello", 5, 3.14);
constexpr auto ternaryReversed = reverse(ternary);
static_assert(ternaryReversed == std::make_tuple(3.14, 5, "Hello"));
```

Add an overload for a tuple with a two template arguments

Let's add a fourth case: Ternary tuple

```
constexpr auto quaternary = std::make_tuple("Hello", 5, 3.14, '*');
constexpr auto quaternaryReversed = reverse(quaternary);
static_assert(quaternaryReversed == std::make_tuple('*', 3.14, 5, "Hello"));
```

Add an overload for a tuple with a two template arguments

Pattern: N-1, N-2, N-3, ..., 1, 0

Using non-type template parameters it is possible to encode (integral) values as types

```
std::integral_constant<typename INT, INT val>
```

<type_traits>

std::true_type, std::false_type

Or even whole sequences of integral values

std::integer_sequence<typename INT, INT...vals>

<utility>

std::index_sequence<size_t...vals>

These classes do not contain data members, they are empty!

- but the value can be accessed:
 - ::value
 - Implicit cast to INT (template parameter type)
 - operator()

Universal reverse:

```
template <typename Tuple, size_t...Indices>
constexpr auto reverseImpl(Tuple const & nAry, std::index_sequence<Indices...>) {
  constexpr auto firstIndex = sizeof...(Indices) - 1;
  return std::make_tuple(std::get<firstIndex - Indices>(nAry)...);
}

template <typename...Types>
  constexpr auto reverse(std::tuple<Types...> const & nAry) {
  return reverseImpl(nAry, std::make_index_sequence<sizeof...(Types)>());
}
```