Department I - C Plus Plus

Modern and Lucid C++ Advanced for Professional Programmers

Week 4 - Type Deduction

Prof. Peter Sommerlad / Thomas Corbat Rapperswil, 14.03.2019 FS2019







• Topics:

- Recap: Move Semantics
- Overload Resolution
- Type Deduction

- You know which function overload is taken in presence of different kinds of references
- You recognize forwarding references and can decide what they become
- You can determine the deduced type for function templates and auto/decltype(auto)
- You can explain how lambdas can be represented by functors


Recap: Move Semantics













```
struct CopyableThing {
  CopyableThing() {
    std::cout << "Create Thing\n";</pre>
  CopyableThing(CopyableThing const &) {
    std::cout << "Copy Thing\n";</pre>
};
CopyableThing create() {
  CopyableThing t{};
  return t;
int main() {
  CopyableThing created = create();
```

- Compile in GCC with -fno-elide-constructors
- Pre C++17: One additional copy would happen without optimization



- Compile in GCC with -fno_elide_constructors
- Pre C++17: One additional move would happen without optimization

```
struct MoveableThing {
  MoveableThing() {
    std::cout << "Create Thing\n";</pre>
  MoveableThing(MoveableThing &&) {
    std::cout << "Move Thing\n";</pre>
MoveableThing create() {
  MoveableThing t{};
  return t;
int main() {
  MoveableThing created = create();
```



Create Thing

```
struct Thing {
  Thing() {
    std::cout << "Create Thing\n";</pre>
  Thing(Thing const &) {
    std::cout << "Copy Thing\n";</pre>
  Thing(Thing &&) {
    std::cout << "Move Thing\n";</pre>
};
Thing create() {
  Thing t{};
  return t;
int main() {
  Thing created = create();
```

```
struct ContainerForBigObject {
 ContainerForBigObject()
      : resource{std::make_unique<BigObject>()) {}
 ContainerForBigObject(ContainerForBigObject const & other)
      : resource{std::make unique<BigObject>(*other.resource)} {}
 ContainerForBigObject(ContainerForBigObject && other)
      : resource{std::move(other.resource)} {}
 ContainerForBigObject & operator=(ContainerForBigObject const & other) {
    resource = std::make unique<BigObject>(*other.resource);
    return *this:
 ContainerForBigObject & operator=(ContainerForBigObject && other) {
    std::swap(resource, other.resource);
    //resource = std::move(other.resource) is possible too
    return *this;
private:
 std::unique ptr<BigObject> resource;
```

An Ivalue Reference is an alias for a variable

- Syntax: T&
- The original must exist as long as it is referred to!

expr glvalue rvalue lvalue xvalue prvalue

Can be used as

- Function parameter type (most useful: no copy and side-effect on argument possible)
- Member or local variable (barely useful)
- Return type (Must survive!)


```
void increment(int & i) {
   ++i; // side-effect on argument
}
```

Beware of dangling references: undefined behavior!



References for rvalues

- Syntax: T&&
- Binds to an rvalue (xvalue or prvalue)



Argument is either a literal or a temporary object

```
std::string createGlass();

void fancy_name_for_function() {
   std::string mug{"cup of coffee"};
   std::string && glass_ref = createGlass(); //life-extension of temporary
   std::string && mug_ref = std::move(mug); //explicit conversion lvalue to xvalue
   int && i_ref = 5; //binding rvalue reference to prvalue
}
```

- Beware: Parameters and variables declared as rvalue references are Ivalues in the context of function bodies! (Everything with a name is an Ivalue)
- Beware 2.0: T&&/auto&& is not always an rvalue reference! (We'll come to that later)

No spaces at all

- Makes visual distinction of the individual parts a bit difficult
- Possible reason: You need to stay within 80 characters wide lines (valid pre 2000)

```
int&ref //1
int& ref //2
int &ref //3
int & ref //4
```

2. Attached to the type

- If you consider the reference as belonging to the type
- Seems logical as it groups the all parts of the type and separates the declarator (name of the variable)
- Might be misleading when declaring multiple variables in a single declaration!

```
int& ref, is_this_a_ref_too;
```



3. Attached to the declarator

- Is consistent with the way the C++ grammar is structured. The & is part of the declarator (ref) not the decl specifier (int)
- Avoids confusion when declaring multiple variables in a single declaration

4. Space before and after the ampersand

If you cannot make up your mind

Eventually, you should adhere the coding guidelines of your project!

```
int&ref //1
int& ref //2
int &ref //3
int & ref //4
```


Overload Resolution







- Ivalue Reference
 - binds




```
void f(Type &);

Type t{};
f(t);
```

const Ivalue Reference

binds




```
void f(Type const &);

Type t{};
f(t);
f(std::move(t));
f(Type{});
```

rvalue Reference

binds



```
void f(Type &&);

Type t{};
f(Type{});
f(std::move(t));
```

	f(S)	f(S &)	f(S const &)	f(S &&)
S s{}; f(s);	✓	√ (preferred over const &)	√	×
<pre>S const s{}; f(s);</pre>	√	×	✓	×
f(S{});	√	×	✓	√ (preferred over const &)
<pre>S s{}; f(std::move(s));</pre>	√	×	✓	√ (preferred over const &)

- The overload for value parameters imposes ambiguities.
- For deciding between two Ivalue reference overloads (const and non-const) the constness of the argument is considered.

	S::m()	S::m() const	S::m() &	S::m() const &	S::m() &&
S s{}; s.m();	✓	✓	√ (preferred over const &)	✓	×
<pre>S const s{}; s.m();</pre>	×	✓	×	✓	×
S{}.m();	✓	✓	×	✓	(preferred over const &)
<pre>S s{}; std::move(s).m();</pre>	✓	✓	×	✓	√ (preferred over const &)

- Reference and non-reference overloads cannot be mixed!
- The reference qualifier affects the this object and the overload resolution
- const && would theoretically be possible, but it is an artificial case

- In some contexts T&& does not necessarily mean rvalue reference
- Exceptions
 - auto &&
 - T && when template type deduction applies for type T
- In these cases the reference can bind to rvalues and Ivalues depending on the context

```
template<typename T>
void f(T && param);
```

```
int x = 23;
f(x);  //lvalue


void f(int & param);
```

```
f(23); //rvalue

void f(int && param);
```

Type deduction

Based on Modern Effective C++ by Scott Meyers







```
template<typename T>
void f(ParamType param);
```

T and ParamType are not necessarily exactly the same type

```
template<typename T>
void f(T const & param);
```

Now what is T and ParamType for the following call?

```
int x = 0;
f(x);
```

- T: int
- ParamType: int const &

Context:

```
template<typename T>
void f(ParamType param);
```


- Deduction of type T depends on the structure of ParamType
- Cases:
 - 1. ParamType is a value type (e.g. void f(T param))
 - 2. ParamType is pointer type (e.g. void f(T * param))
 - 3. ParamType is a reference (e.g. void f(T & param))
 - 4. ParamType is a forwarding reference (exactly: void f(T && param))

Note: ParamType might be a nested composition of templates (e.g. void f(std::vector<T> param))

- ParamType is a value type
- Steps:
 - 1. <expr> is a reference type: ignore the reference
 - Ignore const of <expr> (outermost)
 - Pattern match <expr>'s type against ParamType to figure out T

template<typename T>
void f(T param);

f(<expr>);



- ParamType is a value type
- Steps:
 - 1. <expr> is a reference type: ignore the reference
 - Ignore const of <expr> (outermost)
 - 3. Pattern match <expr>'s type against ParamType to figure out T
- Example const pointer to const int

```
template<typename T>
void f(T param);

f(<expr>);
```

```
Call:

char const * const ptr = "...";
f(ptr);

Instance:

void f(char const * param);

T = char const *

T = char const *
```

- ParamType is a pointer type
- Steps:
 - 1. <expr> is a reference type: ignore the reference
 - Ignore const of <expr>> (outermost)
 - 3. Pattern match <expr>'s type against ParamType to figure out T

• Examples for Pointers:

```
Calls: Instances: Deduced Ts:


f(\&x); \rightarrow void f(int * param); \rightarrow T = int

f(px); \rightarrow void f(int const * param); \rightarrow T = int const

f(rpx); \rightarrow void f(int const * param); \rightarrow T = int const
```

- ParamType is a reference type, but not a forwarding reference
- Steps:
 - 1. <expr> is a reference type: ignore the reference
 - 2. Pattern match <expr>'s type against ParamType to figure out T

• Examples for References:




- ParamType is a reference type, but not a forwarding reference
- Steps:
 - 1. <expr> is a reference type: ignore the reference
 - Pattern match <expr>'s type against ParamType to figure out T

void f(T const & param);
f(<expr>);

template<typename T>


• Examples for Const References:



- ParamType is a forwarding reference
- Cases:
 - 1. <expr> is an Ivalue: T and ParamType become Ivalue references!
 - 2. Otherwise (if <expr> is an rvalue): Rules for references apply

template<typename T>
void f(T && param);

f(<expr>);



- What happens if initializer_lists are used for template type deduction?
 - It does not work!

```
template<typename T>
void f(T param);

f({23}); //error
```

Correct way:

Keywords auto and decltype







- Essentially type deduction for auto is the same as we have seen before
- auto takes the place of T

Special case

¹Fixed in C++17 (N3922) – Some compiler vendors have retroactively applied this fix to earlier C++ versions

- Since C++14 it is possible to use auto as return type and auto for parameter declarations in lambdas and functions
 - Body must be available to deduce the type
- Rules of these uses of auto follow the rules of template type deduction

```
auto createInitList() {
  return {1, 2, 3};
}
```

```
auto createInt() {
  return 23;
}
```

```
[](auto p) {
    ...
}
```

```
void f(auto p) {
   ...
}
```

This is a GCC Extension, not a Standard C++ Feature Will be available with Concepts (C++20)

- Since C++11 there is the decltype keyword
- decltype can be applied to an expression: decltype(x)
 - Represents the declared type of a name expression
 - Since C++14: decltype(auto) deduces the type, but does not strip references like auto

- Unparenthesized variable name or data member
 - T Type of the expression (retains reference)
- Expression of value category xvalue
 - T&& Rvalue reference to type of the expression
- Expression of value category Ivalue
 - T& Lvalue reference to type of the expression
- Expression of value category prvalue
 - T Value type of the expression

```
decltype(auto) funcName() {
  int local = 42;
  return local; //decltype(local) => int
decltype(auto) funcNameRef() {
  int local = 42;
  int & lref = local;
  return lref; //decltype(lref) => int &
decltype(auto) funcXvalue() {
  int local = 42;
  return std::move(local); //int && -> bad
decltype(auto) funcLvalue() {
  int local = 42;
  return (local); //int & -> bad
decltype(auto) funcPrvalue() {
  return 5; //int
```

decltype(auto) allows deduction of inline function return types

```
template<typename Container, typename Index>
decltype(auto) access(Container & c, Index i) {
  return c[i];
}
```

decltype can take an expression depending on parameters for specifying trailing return types

```
template<typename Container, typename Index>
auto access(Container & c, Index i) -> decltype(c[i]) {
  return c[i];
}
```

- decltype(expr) for non-name lvalue expressions returns an lvalue reference
 - Check the difference:

```
decltype(auto) f1() {
  int x = 23;
  return x;
}
int f1() {
  int x = 23;
  return x;
  }
}
```

```
decltype(auto) f1() {
  int x = 23;
  return (x);
}

int & f1() {
  int x = 23;
  return (x);
}
```



Deduction in Lambdas







What do you think about this code snippet?

```
int i0 = 42;
auto missingMutable = [i0] {return i0++;};
```

The compiler will generate something like this:

```
struct CompilerKnows {
  int operator()() const {
    return i0++;
  }
  int i0;
};
```

• The code won't compile as the generated operator is const

How about now?

```
int i1 = 42;
auto everyThingIsOk = [i1] () mutable {return i1++;};
```

The compiler will generate something like this:

```
struct CompilerKnows {
  int operator()() {
    return i1++;
  }
  int i1;
};
```

• The code will compile as the generated operator is not const

How about now?

```
int const i2 = 42;
auto surprise = [i2] () mutable {return i2++;};
```

The compiler will generate something like this:

```
struct CompilerKnows {
  int operator()() {
    return i2++;
  }
  int const i2;
};
```

• The code won't compile since i2 is const

How about now?

```
int const i3 = 42;
auto srslyWhy = [i3 = i3] () mutable {return i3++;};
```

The compiler will generate something like this:

```
struct CompilerKnows {
  int operator()() {
    return i3++;
  }
  int i3;
};
```

• The init capture is deduced as if it was auto

ParamType is a value/pointer type

- <expr> is a reference type: ignore the reference
- Ignore const of <expr> type (outermost)
- Pattern match <expr>'s type against ParamType to figure out T

ParamType is a reference/pointer type

- <expr> is a reference type: ignore the reference
- Pattern match <expr>'s type against ParamType to figure out T

ParamType is a forwarding reference (T&&/auto&&)

- <expr> is an Ivalue: T and ParamType become Ivalue references!
- Otherwise (if <expr> is an rvalue): Rules for pointer/references apply

template<typename T>
void f(ParamType param);