# Springer theory

#### Songyu Ye

March 7, 2025

#### **Abstract**

Notes on Chapter 3 of Chriss-Ginzburg's book.

#### **Contents**

1 Introduction2 The actors1

### 1 Introduction

Springer theory is about geometric constructions of representations of the Weyl group. In particular we aim to define a W-action on  $H_*(B_x)$ , where  $B_x$  is the Springer fiber  $\mu^{-1}(x)$  for each  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ .

## 2 The actors

Claim 2.1. Recall that we say a subalgebra of a semisimple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak g$  is solvable if its derived series terminates at 0. This means that

$$\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{b}_0 \supset \mathfrak{b}_1 \supset \cdots \supset \mathfrak{b}_n = 0$$

where  $\mathfrak{b}_{i+1} = [\mathfrak{b}_i, \mathfrak{b}_i]$ . A Borel subalgebra  $\mathfrak{b}$  is a maximal solvable subalgebra.

Then the key fact is when G is connective reductive (so that  $\mathfrak g$  is semisimple), the flag manifold G/B also parametrizes the set of Borel subalgebras of  $\mathfrak g$ . G acts on the set of Borel subalgebras by conjugation (the Adjoint Ad action). This action is transitive and for a fixed Borel subground  $B_0$  with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak b_0$ , the stabilizer of  $\mathfrak b_0$  is preicesly  $B_0$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \{(x, \mathfrak{b}) \in \mathfrak{g} \times G/B \mid x \in \mathfrak{b}\}$  and write  $\pi : \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \to G/B$  and  $\mu : \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \to \mathfrak{g}$  for the projections.

The projection  $\pi$  makes  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$  a G-equivariant vector bundle over G/B with fiber  $\mathfrak{b}$ . The other projection  $\mu$  is more complicated.

Recall that an element  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  is *nilpotent* if  $\operatorname{ad} x : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$  is nilpotent. The set of nilpotent elements in  $\mathfrak{g}$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{N}$  and is called the *nilpotent cone*. In particular it is a closed  $\operatorname{Ad} G$ -invariant subvariety of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and is closed under dilation by  $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$ .

Denote by

$$\tilde{\mathcal{N}} = \mu^{-1}(\mathcal{N}) = \{(x, \mathfrak{b}) \in \mathcal{N} \times G/B \mid x \in \mathfrak{b}\}$$

Fix a Borel subalgebra  $\mathfrak{b}_0$  and consider the fiber of the projection onto the second factor. These are the nilpotent elements of  $\mathfrak{b}_0$ . But it is clear that the operator  $\operatorname{ad} x$  is nilpotent if and only if x has no Cartan component in the decomposition  $\mathfrak{b}_0 = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$  where  $\mathfrak{h}$  is the Cartan subalgebra and  $\mathfrak{n} := [\mathfrak{b}_0, \mathfrak{b}_0]$  is the nilradical of  $\mathfrak{b}_0$ . It follows that the projection  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}} \to G/B$  is a vector bundle with fiber  $\mathfrak{n}$ . Moreover the projection makes  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$  a G-equivariant vector bundle over G/B.

$$\tilde{\mathcal{N}} \cong G \times_B \mathfrak{n}$$

In particular  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$  is a smooth variety, whereas  $\mathcal{N}$  is singular.

Claim 2.3. There is a natural G-equivariant isomorphism  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}} \cong T^*G/B$ .

*Proof.* Recall that we can identify the cotangent space at the point B with  $(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b})^* = \mathfrak{b}^{\perp}$ . Therefore we have a natural isomorphism  $T^*G/B \cong G \times_B \mathfrak{b}^{\perp}$ .

Using the Killing form, we get an isomorphism  $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{g}^*$  under which the annihilator  $\mathfrak{b}^{\perp}$  gets idenitified with the annihilator of  $\mathfrak{b}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$  with respect to the invariant form. The latter is equal to  $\mathfrak{n}$ , the nilradical of  $\mathfrak{b}$ .

We have previously identified  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$  with  $G \times_B \mathfrak{n}$ .  $\square$ 

**Proposition 2.4.** The projection  $\mu : \tilde{\mathcal{N}} = T^*G/B \to \mathcal{N}$  is the moment map for the Hamiltonian G-action on  $T^*G/B$  arising from the G-action on G/B. Moreover  $\mu$  is surjective.

This map is known as the *Springer resolution* and is indeed a resolution of singularities.