

# Homework 6

Songyu Ye

October 10, 2025

**1** Prove Krasner's Lemma ([S, p. 30, II.2 Exercise 1]) but only assuming that  $K$  is a non-Archimedean CVF.

Let  $E/K$  be a finite Galois extension of a complete field  $K$ . Prolong the valuation of  $K$  to  $E$ . Let  $x \in E$  and let  $\{x = x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  be the Galois conjugates of  $x$  over  $K$ , with  $x = x_1$ . Let  $y \in E$  so that  $|y - x| < |y - x_i|$  for  $i \geq 2$ . Show that  $x$  belongs to the field  $K(y)$ . Note that if  $x_i$  is conjugate to  $x$  over  $K(y)$ , then  $|y - x| = |y - x_i|$ .

*Note:* We need not assume that the valuation is discrete since the unique extension of valuations (as covered in class; see [?, N, II.4.8]) works without requiring discreteness.

*Solution:* Let  $E/K$  be a finite Galois extension of a complete non-Archimedean valued field  $K$ . Prolong the valuation of  $K$  to  $E$ . Let  $x \in E$  have Galois conjugates  $\{x_1 = x, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  over  $K$ . Suppose that  $y \in E$  satisfies

$$|y - x| < |y - x_i| \quad \text{for all } i \geq 2.$$

We will show that  $x \in K(y)$ .

Let  $f(T) = \prod_{i=1}^n (T - x_i) \in K[T]$  be the minimal polynomial of  $x$  over  $K$ . Then

$$f(y) = \prod_{i=1}^n (y - x_i) = (y - x) \cdot \prod_{i \geq 2} (y - x_i).$$

For each  $i \geq 2$ , since  $|y - x| < |y - x_i| = |\alpha_i - \alpha|$ , we have  $|y - x_i| = |x_i - x|$  by the ultrametric inequality. Therefore

$$|f(y)| = |y - x| \cdot \prod_{i \geq 2} |x_i - x| = |y - x| |f'(x)|.$$

Because  $|y - x| < |x_i - x|$  for all  $i \geq 2$ , it follows that

$$|f(y)| < |f'(x)|^2.$$

**Lemma (Hensel's Lemma)** Let  $A$  be a complete non-Archimedean valuation ring (for instance, a complete DVR), and let  $f \in A[x]$ . Suppose  $a_0 \in A$  satisfies

$$|f(a_0)| < |f'(a_0)|^2.$$

Then the sequence defined by Newton iteration

$$a_{n+1} := a_n - \frac{f(a_n)}{f'(a_n)} \quad (n \geq 0)$$

is well-defined and converges to a unique root  $a \in A$  of  $f$ , satisfying

$$|a - a_0| \leq \frac{|f(a_0)|}{|f'(a_0)|^2}.$$

Moreover, this root is unique within the ball  $\{z \in A : |z - a_0| < |f'(a_0)|\}$ .

By Hensel's lemma (which does not require the valuation to be discrete), there exists a unique root  $\tilde{x}$  of  $f$  such that

$$|\tilde{x} - y| \leq \frac{|f(y)|}{|f'(x)|} < |f'(x)|.$$

But the only conjugate of  $x$  that lies within this neighborhood of  $y$  is  $x$  itself, so  $\tilde{x} = x$ . Hence  $x$  is obtained from  $y$  by solving  $f(T) = 0$  within  $K(y)$ , showing that  $x \in K(y)$ .

## 2

1. Do [S, p. 30, Exercise 2 in Section II.2] but only assuming that  $K$  is a non-Archimedean CVF (not necessarily discrete). Let  $K$  be a complete field, and let  $f(X) \in K[X]$  be a separable irreducible polynomial of degree  $n$ . Let  $L/K$  be the extension of degree  $n$  defined by  $f$ . Show that for every polynomial  $h(X)$  of degree  $n$  that is close enough to  $f(X)$ ,  $h(X)$  is irreducible and the extension  $L_h/K$  defined by  $h$  is isomorphic to  $L/K$ .

- Two polynomials

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i, \quad g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n b_i x^i$$

are considered *close* if

$$\sup_{0 \leq i \leq n} |a_i - b_i|$$

is sufficiently small (i.e. less than some  $\varepsilon > 0$  depending on the initial data of the problem).

2. Note that the  $p$ -adic valuation on  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  extends uniquely to a valuation on  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ . (We still refer to the latter as the  $p$ -adic valuation.) Let  $C$  denote the completion of  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  with respect to the  $p$ -adic valuation. Use (i) to prove that  $C$  is algebraically closed. (People often write  $\mathbb{C}_p$  for this  $C$ .)

*Solution:*

1. Let  $f \in K[X]$  be separable irreducible of degree  $n$  and let  $L = K(\alpha)$  with  $f(\alpha) = 0$ . Write the distinct  $K$ -embeddings of  $L$  into a fixed algebraic closure as  $\sigma_1 = \text{id}, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n$ ,

and set  $\alpha_i := \sigma_i(\alpha)$ . Since  $f$  is separable,  $f'(\alpha) \neq 0$  and the finite set  $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^n$  has a positive mutual separation

$$\delta := \min_{i \geq 2} |\alpha - \alpha_i| > 0.$$

Let  $h(X) = \sum_{i=0}^n b_i X^i$  be a polynomial of degree  $n$  with coefficients sufficiently close to those of  $f(X) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i X^i$  in the sense that  $\sup_i |a_i - b_i| < \varepsilon$  for  $\varepsilon$  to be chosen below.

By continuity of evaluation, if  $\varepsilon$  is small then

$$|h(\alpha)| = \left| \sum_{i=0}^n (b_i - a_i) \alpha^i \right| \text{ is arbitrarily small,} \quad \text{and} \quad |h'(\alpha) - f'(\alpha)| \text{ is small,}$$

hence  $|h'(\alpha)| = |f'(\alpha)| \neq 0$  for  $\varepsilon$  small enough. Choose  $\varepsilon$  so that

$$|h(\alpha)| < |h'(\alpha)|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{|h(\alpha)|}{|h'(\alpha)|} < \delta.$$

Applying Hensel's lemma (Newton form) in the complete non-Archimedean field  $K$  to the pair  $(h, a_0 = \alpha)$ , we obtain a unique root  $\beta$  of  $h$  with

$$|\beta - \alpha| \leq \frac{|h(\alpha)|}{|h'(\alpha)|} < \delta.$$

Therefore  $|\beta - \alpha| < |\alpha - \alpha_i|$  for all  $i \geq 2$ . By Krasner's lemma, we conclude  $K(\alpha) \subseteq K(\beta)$ . But  $[K(\beta) : K] \leq \deg h = n = [K(\alpha) : K]$ , so necessarily  $[K(\beta) : K] = n$  and  $K(\beta) = K(\alpha)$ . In particular  $h$  is irreducible over  $K$  and the extension  $L_h := K(\beta)$  is  $K$ -isomorphic to  $L$ .

2. Let  $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$ , let  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  be its algebraic closure endowed with the unique extension of the  $p$ -adic valuation, and let  $C$  be the completion of  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  (often denoted  $\mathbb{C}_p$ ). We prove  $C$  is algebraically closed.

Take any nonconstant  $h \in C[X]$  of degree  $n$ . Approximate its coefficients by elements of  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  to obtain  $f \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}[X]$  of the same degree  $n$  with coefficients sufficiently close so that the inequalities used in (i) hold for each simple root of  $f$ . Since characteristic is 0, we may (and do) choose  $f$  *separable* (discriminant nonzero is an open condition on the coefficients). Fix a root  $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p} \subset C$  of  $f$ . By the same Hensel argument as in (i), there exists  $\beta \in C$  with  $h(\beta) = 0$  and  $|\beta - \alpha|$  arbitrarily small. Thus  $h$  has at least one root in  $C$ . Dividing  $h$  by  $(X - \beta)$  and repeating by induction on the degree, we factor  $h$  completely over  $C$ . Hence  $C$  is algebraically closed.

**3** Fix an integer  $n \geq 2$  and an algebraic closure  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  of the field  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  of  $p$ -adic numbers. Let  $L_n$  be a degree  $n$  extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  in  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  such that  $(p) \subset \mathbb{Z}_p$  is unramified in  $L_n$ . Write  $\mu(L_n)$  for the (multiplicative) torsion subgroup of  $L_n^\times$ , namely the group of all roots of unity in  $L_n$ , and  $\mu_N$  for the subgroup of  $N$ -th roots of unity in  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}^\times$ .

(1) Show that

$$\mu(L_n) = \begin{cases} \mu_{p^n-1} & \text{if } p \text{ is odd,} \\ \mu_{2(p^n-1)} & \text{if } p \text{ is even (namely if } p = 2). \end{cases}$$

*Hint:* Hensel's lemma can help to show  $\supseteq$ .

(2) Prove that

$$L_n = \mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n-1}).$$

*Note:* This implies that there exists a *unique* degree  $n$  unramified extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  in  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ . It also follows that such an extension is Galois over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

*Solution:*

1. Let  $\mathcal{O}_{L_n}$  denote the valuation ring of  $L_n$ , with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{p}_{L_n}$  and residue field  $k_{L_n} = \mathcal{O}_{L_n}/\mathfrak{p}_{L_n}$ . Since  $L_n/\mathbb{Q}_p$  is unramified of degree  $n$ , we have  $k_{L_n} \cong \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$  and  $\mathfrak{p}_{L_n} = p\mathcal{O}_{L_n}$ . The reduction map

$$\mathcal{O}_{L_n}^\times \twoheadrightarrow k_{L_n}^\times = \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^\times$$

has kernel  $1 + p\mathcal{O}_{L_n}$ , which is a torsion-free. Therefore all torsion in  $\mathcal{O}_{L_n}^\times$  comes from lifts of roots of unity in  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}^\times$ . Since  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}^\times$  is cyclic of order  $p^n - 1$ , we expect  $\mu(L_n)$  to have the same order.

Let  $\bar{\zeta} \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^\times$  be a generator. It satisfies  $\bar{\zeta}^{p^n-1} = 1$  and  $(\bar{\zeta})^{p^n-1} - 1 = 0$  in  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ . Consider the polynomial

$$f(X) = X^{p^n-1} - 1 \in \mathcal{O}_{L_n}[X].$$

Its derivative  $f'(X) = (p^n - 1)X^{p^n-2}$  is nonzero mod  $p$ , since  $p \nmid (p^n - 1)$ . Thus all roots of  $f$  in the residue field are simple. By Hensel's lemma, each simple root in  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$  lifts uniquely to a root in  $\mathcal{O}_{L_n}$ . Hence the reduction map induces an isomorphism

$$\mu_{p^n-1}(\mathcal{O}_{L_n}) \cong \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^\times,$$

and we conclude that  $\mu(L_n) = \mu_{p^n-1}$  when  $p$  is odd. For  $p = 2$ , we also have  $-1 \in L_n$  (of order 2), so

$$\mu(L_n) = \mu_{2(p^n-1)}.$$

2. We now show that  $L_n = \mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n-1})$ . The polynomial  $X^{p^n-1} - 1$  splits completely over  $L_n$  since all  $(p^n - 1)$ -st roots of unity lie in  $L_n$ . Reducing mod  $p$ ,  $X^{p^n-1} - 1$  also splits completely over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ , and not over any smaller field, because  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}^\times$  is the unique cyclic group of order  $p^n - 1$ . Hence the minimal polynomial of a primitive  $(p^n - 1)$ -st root of unity over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  has degree  $n$ . Therefore

$$[\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n-1}) : \mathbb{Q}_p] = n.$$

Since  $p \nmid (p^n - 1)$ , the extension  $\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n-1})/\mathbb{Q}_p$  is unramified. But there exists a *unique* unramified degree- $n$  extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  in  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ , so we must have

$$L_n = \mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n-1}).$$

In summary, we have shown

$$\mu(L_n) = \begin{cases} \mu_{p^n-1}, & p \text{ odd,} \\ \mu_{2(p^n-1)}, & p = 2, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad L_n = \mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n-1}).$$

**4** Do [N, p. 134, Exercise 1 in Section II.4]: Show that an infinite *separable* algebraic extension  $L$  of a non-Archimedean complete valued field  $K$  is never complete. (The separability condition is missing in that exercise but it is needed. It is unnecessary, but feel free to assume that the valuation is discrete.)

*Hint:* A possible idea is to construct a well-designed Cauchy sequence in  $L$  that does not converge (so you get a contradiction if it converges). Krasner's lemma can help.

**Examples:** When  $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$ , examples of naturally occurring infinite extensions (which are thus incomplete) are:

- the algebraic closure  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ ,
- the *maximal unramified extension*

$$\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{unr}} := \bigcup_{n \geq 1} L_n \quad (\text{where } L_n \text{ is as above}),$$

- the *infinite  $p$ -cyclotomic extension*

$$\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^\infty}) := \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}).$$

*Note:* The complete field  $C$  is an infinite but non-algebraic extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . So it does not contradict the conclusion of Problem 4 above.

*Solution:* Let  $K$  be a complete non-Archimedean valued field and let  $L/K$  be an infinite separable algebraic extension. Write  $L = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} L_n$  where  $L_1 \subset L_2 \subset \cdots$  is an ascending tower of finite separable extensions with  $[L_n : K] < \infty$  and  $\bigcup_n L_n = L$ . Each  $L_n$  is complete because finite extensions of complete fields remain complete.

For each  $n$ , choose  $\alpha_n \in L_{n+1} \setminus L_n$  and let  $f_n(X) \in L_n[X]$  be its minimal polynomial. Since  $f_n$  is separable, its distinct conjugates  $\sigma(\alpha_n)$  satisfy

$$\delta_n := \min_{\sigma \neq 1} |\alpha_n - \sigma(\alpha_n)| > 0.$$

By the density of  $L_n$  in  $L_{n+1}$ , we can choose  $\beta_n \in L_{n+1}$  with  $|\beta_n - \alpha_n| < \frac{1}{2}\delta_n$ . By Krasner's lemma,  $L_n(\alpha_n) = L_n(\beta_n)$ , so replacing  $\alpha_n$  by  $\beta_n$  does not change the field extension, but we may assume  $|\alpha_n|$  is as small as we wish.

Now define

$$x_m := \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \cdots + \alpha_m \in L_m.$$

By choosing each  $\alpha_n$  small enough so that  $|\alpha_{n+1}| < |\alpha_n|^2$ , the sequence  $(x_m)$  satisfies  $|x_{m+1} - x_m| = |\alpha_{m+1}| \rightarrow 0$ . Hence  $(x_m)$  is Cauchy in  $L$ . However, the limit of  $(x_m)$  cannot lie in any finite stage  $L_n$  since each  $\alpha_{n+1} \notin L_n$ ; thus it has no limit in  $L$ . Therefore  $L$  is not complete.