

# Loop groups

Songyu Ye

September 15, 2025

## Abstract

These are reading notes for the book "Loop Groups" by Pressley and Segal.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	The Lie algebra extensions . . . . .	3
1.2	Extensions of $\text{Map}(X; \mathfrak{g})$ . . . . .	7
1.3	Extensions of $\text{Vect}(S^1)$ . . . . .	10
1.4	Adjoint and coadjoint actions of loop groups . . . . .	11

## 1 Introduction

**Definition 1.1** (Infinite dimensional Lie groups). *An **infinite dimensional Lie group** is a group  $\Gamma$  which is at the same time an infinite dimensional smooth manifold, and is such that the composition law  $\Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$  and the operation of inversion  $\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$  are given by smooth maps. The tangent space to  $\Gamma$  at the identity element is its Lie algebra, the bracket being defined by identifying tangent vectors at the identity element with left-invariant vector fields on  $\Gamma$ . If for each element  $\xi$  of the Lie algebra there is a unique one-parameter subgroup*

$$\gamma_\xi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \Gamma$$

*such that  $\gamma'_\xi(0) = \xi$ , then the exponential map is defined. This is the case in all known examples.*

**Example 1.2.** *The simplest example of an infinite dimensional Lie group is the group  $\text{Map}_{\text{cts}}(X; G)$  of all continuous maps from a compact space  $X$  to a finite dimensional Lie group  $G$ . (The group law, of course, is pointwise composition in  $G$ .) The natural topology on  $\text{Map}_{\text{cts}}(X; G)$  is the topology of uniform convergence. We see that it is a smooth manifold as follows.*

If  $U$  is an open neighbourhood of the identity element in  $G$  which is homeomorphic by the exponential map to an open set  $\tilde{U}$  of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of  $G$ , then

$$\mathcal{U} = \text{Map}_{\text{cts}}(X; U)$$

is an open neighbourhood of the identity in  $\text{Map}_{\text{cts}}(X; G)$  which is homeomorphic to the open set

$$\tilde{\mathcal{U}} = \text{Map}_{\text{cts}}(X; \tilde{U})$$

of the Banach space  $\text{Map}_{\text{cts}}(X; \mathfrak{g})$ . If  $f$  is any element of  $\text{Map}_{\text{cts}}(X; G)$ , then

$$\mathcal{U}_f = \mathcal{U} \cdot f$$

is a neighbourhood of  $f$  which is also homeomorphic to  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ . The sets  $\mathcal{U}_f$  provide an atlas which makes  $\text{Map}_{\text{cts}}(X; G)$  into a smooth manifold, and in fact into a Lie group: there is no difficulty at all in checking that the transition functions are smooth, or that multiplication and inversion are smooth maps.

**Definition 1.3 (Loop groups).** Suppose now that  $X$  is a finite dimensional compact smooth manifold, and let  $\text{Map}(X; G)$  denote the group of **smooth** maps  $X \rightarrow G$ . The case we are primarily interested in is when  $X$  is the circle  $S^1$ ; then  $\text{Map}(X; G)$  is the **loop group** of  $G$ , which is denoted by  $LG$ . We shall think of the circle as consisting interchangeably of real numbers  $\theta$  modulo  $2\pi$  or of complex numbers  $z = e^{i\theta}$  of modulus one.

Fix once and for all  $G$  a compact connected Lie group. A fundamental property of the loop group  $LG$  is the existence of interesting central extensions

$$\mathbb{T} \rightarrow \widetilde{LG} \rightarrow LG$$

of  $LG$  by the circle  $\mathbb{T}$ . (In other words,  $\widetilde{LG}$  is a group containing  $\mathbb{T}$  in its centre and such that the quotient group  $\widetilde{LG}/\mathbb{T}$  is  $LG$ .)

The  $\widetilde{LG}$  are analogous to the finite-sheeted covering groups of a finite dimensional Lie group, in that any projective unitary representation of  $LG$  comes from a genuine representation of some  $\widetilde{LG}$ . We recall that a projective unitary representation of a group  $L$  on a Hilbert space  $H$  is the assignment to each  $\lambda \in L$  of a unitary operator  $U_\lambda : H \rightarrow H$  so that

$$U_\lambda U_{\lambda'} = c(\lambda, \lambda') U_{\lambda\lambda'}$$

holds for all  $\lambda, \lambda' \in L$ , where  $c(\lambda, \lambda')$  is a complex number of modulus 1.  $c : L \times L \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$  is called the *projective multiplier* or *cocycle* of the representation.

As topological spaces the  $\widetilde{LG}$  are fibre bundles over  $LG$  with the circle as fibre. Except for the product extension  $LG \times \mathbb{T}$  they are non-trivial fibre bundles: that is to say  $\widetilde{LG}$  is not homeomorphic

to the cartesian product  $LG \times \mathbb{T}$ , and there is no continuous cross-section  $LG \rightarrow \widetilde{LG}$ . In fact the group extension  $\widetilde{LG}$  is completely determined by its topological type as a fibre bundle, and every circle bundle on  $LG$  can be made into a group extension. It is interesting that the behaviour of  $\text{Map}(X; G)$  when  $\dim(X) > 1$  is completely different. There are often non-trivial circle bundles on  $\text{Map}(X; G)$ , but if  $X$  is simply connected only the flat ones can be made into groups.

When  $G$  is a simple and simply connected group, there is a universal central extension among the  $\widetilde{LG}$ , i.e. one of which all the others are quotient groups. This is analogous to the universal covering group of a finite dimensional group. Any central extension  $E$  of  $LG$  by any abelian group  $A$  arises from the universal extension  $\widetilde{LG}$  by a homomorphism  $\mathbb{T} \rightarrow A$ .

$\theta : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow A$ , in the sense that

$$E = \widetilde{LG} \times_{\mathbb{T}} A.$$

(The last notation denotes the quotient group of  $\widetilde{LG} \times A$  by the subgroup consisting of all elements

$$\{(z, -\theta(z)) : z \in \mathbb{T}\}.$$

) When  $G$  is simply connected but not simple there is still a universal central extension, but, as we shall see, it is an extension of  $LG$  by the homology group  $H_3(G; \mathbb{T})$ , a torus whose dimension is the number of simple factors in  $G$ .

It is worth noticing that the central extensions of  $LG$  are closely related to its natural affine action on the space of *connections* in the trivial principal  $G$ -bundle on the circle. (See (4.3.3).)

## 1.1 The Lie algebra extensions

On the level of Lie algebras the extensions can be defined and classified very simply: **they correspond precisely to invariant symmetric bilinear forms on  $\mathfrak{g}$** . As a vector space

$$\widetilde{L\mathfrak{g}} = L\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbb{R},$$

and the bracket is given by

$$[(\xi, \lambda), (\eta, \mu)] = ([\xi, \eta], \omega(\xi, \eta)) \quad (1)$$

for  $\xi, \eta \in L\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ , where  $\omega : L\mathfrak{g} \times L\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the bilinear map

$$\omega(\xi, \eta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \langle \xi(\theta), \eta'(\theta) \rangle d\theta \quad (2)$$

and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is a symmetric invariant form on the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Recall that if  $\mathfrak{g}$  is semisimple then every invariant bilinear form on  $\mathfrak{g}$  is symmetric.

**Remark 1.4.** Notice that the bracket (1) does not depend on the value of  $\lambda$  or  $\mu$ . In other words, the central  $\mathbb{R}$  commutes with everything in  $\widetilde{L\mathfrak{g}}$ .

For the formula (1) to define a Lie algebra,  $\omega$  must be skew—which is clear by integrating by parts in (2)—and must satisfy the 'cocycle condition'

$$\omega([\xi, \eta], \zeta) + \omega([\eta, \zeta], \xi) + \omega([\zeta, \xi], \eta) = 0. \quad (3)$$

This condition follows from the Jacobi identity in the Lie algebra  $L\mathfrak{g}$  and the fact that the inner product on  $\mathfrak{g}$  is invariant:

$$\langle [\xi, \eta], \zeta \rangle = \langle \xi, [\eta, \zeta] \rangle.$$

There are essentially no other cocycles on  $L\mathfrak{g}$  than the  $\omega$  given by (2). To make this precise, notice that  $\omega$  is invariant under conjugation by constant loops, i.e.  $\omega(\xi, \eta) = \omega(g\xi, g\eta)$  for  $g \in G$ , where  $g\xi, g\eta$  are the adjoint action of  $g$  on  $\xi, \eta$ .

**Remark 1.5.** *We elaborate a little on the invariance of  $\omega$  under the adjoint action of  $G$ . Recall that for a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{a}$  with trivial coefficients, a 2-cocycle is a bilinear form  $\omega : \mathfrak{a} \times \mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that is skew-symmetric and satisfies the cocycle condition*

$$\delta\omega(\xi, \eta, \zeta) = \omega([\xi, \eta], \zeta) + \omega([\eta, \zeta], \xi) + \omega([\zeta, \xi], \eta) = 0.$$

*On the loop algebra  $L\mathfrak{g}$ , the group  $G$  (constant loops) acts by conjugation:*

$$(g \cdot \xi)(\theta) = \text{Ad}_g \xi(\theta).$$

*If we push forward a cocycle  $\omega$  by  $g$ , we get a new cocycle*

$$(g \cdot \omega)(\xi, \eta) = \omega(g^{-1} \cdot \xi, g^{-1} \cdot \eta).$$

*To see that this transformation preserves the cohomology class, we can pass to the infinitesimal adjoint action. In particular, for  $\zeta$  in the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , it is enough to show that*

$$[\omega] = [\omega + (\zeta \cdot \omega)] \quad \text{in } H^2.$$

*where the infinitesimal action is given by*

$$(\zeta \cdot \omega)(\xi, \eta) := \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} (\exp(t\zeta) \cdot \omega)(\xi, \eta).$$

*Use  $\text{Ad}_{\exp(-t\zeta)} = \exp(-t \text{ ad } \zeta) = \text{id} - t \text{ ad } \zeta + o(t)$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} (\exp(t\zeta) \cdot \omega)(\xi, \eta) &= \omega\left((\text{id} - t \text{ ad } \zeta)\xi, (\text{id} - t \text{ ad } \zeta)\eta\right) + o(t) \\ &= \omega(\xi, \eta) - t\omega([\zeta, \xi], \eta) - t\omega(\xi, [\zeta, \eta]) + o(t). \end{aligned}$$

*Differentiating at  $t = 0$  gives*

$$(\zeta \cdot \omega)(\xi, \eta) = -\omega([\zeta, \xi], \eta) - \omega(\xi, [\zeta, \eta])$$

Define the 1-cochain  $\phi_\zeta$  by

$$\phi_\zeta(\xi) := \omega(\zeta, \xi).$$

With trivial coefficients, the Chevalley–Eilenberg differential on a 1-cochain is

$$(\delta\phi_\zeta)(\xi, \eta) = -\phi_\zeta([\xi, \eta]) = -\omega(\zeta, [\xi, \eta]).$$

Now compare  $(\zeta \cdot \omega)$  with  $\delta\phi_\zeta$ :

$$(\zeta \cdot \omega)(\xi, \eta) - (\delta\phi_\zeta)(\xi, \eta) = -\omega([\zeta, \xi], \eta) - \omega(\xi, [\zeta, \eta]) + \omega(\zeta, [\xi, \eta]).$$

Use the 2-cocycle identity (cyclic sum zero):

$$\omega([\zeta, \xi], \eta) + \omega([\xi, \eta], \zeta) + \omega([\eta, \zeta], \xi) = 0.$$

Rewrite the last two terms:

$$\omega(\zeta, [\xi, \eta]) = -\omega([\xi, \eta], \zeta), \quad \omega(\xi, [\zeta, \eta]) = -\omega([\zeta, \eta], \xi).$$

Plugging these into the difference gives exactly the negative of the cyclic sum above, hence zero:

$$-\omega([\zeta, \xi], \eta) - \omega(\xi, [\zeta, \eta]) + \omega(\zeta, [\xi, \eta]) = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$(\zeta \cdot \omega) = \delta\phi_\zeta$$

is a coboundary, and  $[\omega] = [\omega + (\zeta \cdot \omega)]$  in  $H^2(L\mathfrak{g}; \mathbb{R})$ .

So the extension defined by  $\alpha$  is also given by the invariant cocycle

$$\int_G g \cdot \alpha \, dg$$

obtained by averaging  $\alpha$  over the compact group  $G$  because they are in the same cohomology class in  $H^2(L\mathfrak{g}; \mathbb{R})$ . Therefore, every cocycle of  $L\mathfrak{g}$  is equivalent in cohomology to a  $G$ -invariant cocycle. The cocycle identity (3) expresses precisely that the cohomology class of the cocycle does not change under an infinitesimal conjugation.

**Proposition 1.6 (Invariant cocycles).** *If  $\mathfrak{g}$  is semisimple then the only continuous  $G$ -invariant cocycles on the Lie algebra  $L\mathfrak{g}$  are those given by (2).*

*Proof.* A cocycle  $\alpha : L\mathfrak{g} \times L\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  extends to a complex bilinear map  $\alpha : L\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} \times L\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . An element  $\xi \in L\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$  can be expanded in a Fourier series  $\sum \xi_k z^k$ , with  $\xi_k \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ . By continuity  $\alpha$  is completely determined by its values on elements of the form  $\xi_p z^p$ . Let us write

$$\alpha_{p,q}(\xi, \eta) = \alpha(\xi z^p, \eta z^q), \quad \xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

Then  $\alpha_{p,q}$  is a  $G$ -invariant bilinear map  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , which is necessarily symmetric, and  $\alpha_{p,q} = -\alpha_{q,p}$ . The cocycle identity (3) translates into the statement

$$\alpha_{p+q,r} + \alpha_{q+r,p} + \alpha_{r+p,q} = 0 \quad (4)$$

for all  $p, q, r$ . Putting  $q = r = 0$  we find  $\alpha_{p,0} = 0$  for all  $p$ .

$r = -p - q$  we find

$$\alpha_{p+q,-p-q} = \alpha_{p,-p} + \alpha_{q,-q},$$

whence

$$\alpha_{p,-p} = p \alpha_{1,-1}.$$

Putting  $r = n - p - q$  in (4.2.5) we find

$$\alpha_{n-p-q,p+q} = \alpha_{n-p,p} + \alpha_{n-q,q},$$

whence

$$\alpha_{n-k,k} = k \alpha_{n-1,1}.$$

This implies that  $\alpha_{p,q} = 0$  if  $p + q \neq 0$ , for

$$n \alpha_{n-1,1} = \alpha_{0,n} = 0.$$

Returning to  $\xi = \sum \xi_p z^p$  and  $\eta = \sum \eta_q z^q$ , we have

$$\alpha(\xi, \eta) = \sum p \alpha_{1,-1}(\xi_p, \eta_{-p}) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \alpha_{1,-1}(\xi(\theta), \eta'(\theta)) d\theta,$$

which is of the form (4.2.2).  $\square$

Proposition 1.6 determines the universal central extension of  $L\mathfrak{g}$ . We can reformulate it in the following way. For any finite dimensional Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  there is a universal invariant symmetric bilinear form

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_K : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow K \quad (5)$$

from which every  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued form arises by a unique linear map  $K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

The cocycle  $\omega_K$  given by

$$\omega_K(\xi, \eta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \langle \xi(\theta), \eta'(\theta) \rangle_K d\theta \quad (6)$$

defines an extension of  $L\mathfrak{g}$  by  $K$ , which by Proposition (4.2.4) is the universal central extension of  $L\mathfrak{g}$  when  $\mathfrak{g}$  is semisimple. For semisimple groups  $K$  can be identified with  $H^3(\mathfrak{g}; \mathbb{R})$ , because a bilinear form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  gives rise to an invariant skew 3-form

$$(\xi, \eta, \zeta) \mapsto \langle \xi, [\eta, \zeta] \rangle,$$

and all elements of  $H^3(\mathfrak{g}; \mathbb{R})$  are so obtained. When  $\mathfrak{g}$  is simple then  $K = \mathbb{R}$ .

**Remark 1.7.** If  $\mathfrak{g}$  is semisimple, then every invariant symmetric bilinear form on  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a multiple of the Killing form. So in that case, the cocycle

$$\omega(\xi, \eta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \langle \xi(\theta), \eta'(\theta) \rangle d\theta$$

is unique up to scalar.

But in general (if  $\mathfrak{g}$  is not simple), the space of invariant symmetric bilinear forms on  $\mathfrak{g}$  may have higher dimension. So instead of fixing one  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ , introduce the universal bilinear form:

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_K : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow K,$$

where  $K$  is a vector space that “records all possible invariant bilinear forms at once.”

Concretely:  $K = (\text{space of invariant bilinear forms on } \mathfrak{g})^*$ . Then for any actual  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued invariant form  $\beta$ , there is a unique linear functional  $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\beta(x, y) = f(\langle x, y \rangle_K).$$

Using this universal bilinear form, we define a universal cocycle:

$$\omega_K(\xi, \eta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \langle \xi(\theta), \eta'(\theta) \rangle_K d\theta.$$

This cocycle takes values in  $K$ , not just in  $\mathbb{R}$ . For any linear functional  $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , composing gives you back an  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued cocycle. So  $\omega_K$  parametrizes all possible central extensions of  $L\mathfrak{g}$  by  $\mathbb{R}$ .

## 1.2 Extensions of $\text{Map}(X; \mathfrak{g})$

Before leaving the subject of Lie algebra extensions, it is worth pointing out that very little extra work is needed to determine all central extensions of  $\text{Map}(X; \mathfrak{g})$  for any smooth manifold  $X$ . We shall indicate briefly a proof of the following result, which is a very simple case of a general theory of Loday and Quillen relating the cohomology of Lie algebras to Connes’s cohomology. We shall content ourselves with the case of a simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . There is then an essentially unique inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ .

**Proposition 1.8 (4.2.8).** If  $\mathfrak{g}$  is simple then the kernel of universal central extension of  $\text{Map}(X; \mathfrak{g})$  is the space  $K = \Omega^1(X)/d\Omega^0(X)$  of 1-forms on  $X$  modulo exact 1-forms. The extension is defined by the cocycle

$$(\xi, \eta) \mapsto \langle \xi, d\eta \rangle. \quad (7)$$

Equivalently, the extensions of  $\text{Map}(X; \mathfrak{g})$  by  $\mathbb{R}$  correspond to the one-dimensional closed currents  $C$  on  $X$ , the cocycle being given by integrating (7) over  $C$ .

Before proving this let us remark that from one point of view it is a disappointing result, as it tells us that there are no 'interesting' extensions of  $\text{Map}(X; \mathfrak{g})$  when  $\dim(X) > 1$ . More precisely, if  $f : S^1 \rightarrow X$  is any smooth loop in  $X$  one can always obtain an extension of  $\text{Map}(X; \mathfrak{g})$  by pulling back the universal extension of  $L\mathfrak{g}$  by  $f$ . Proposition (4.2.8) asserts that any extension is a weighted linear combination of extensions of this form. The first 'interesting' cohomology class of  $\text{Map}(X; \mathfrak{g})$ , for a compact  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional manifold  $X$ , is in dimension  $n$ , and is defined by the cocycle

$$(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \mapsto P(\xi_1, d\xi_2, \dots, d\xi_n),$$

*Proof.* Let us write  $\text{Map}(X; \mathfrak{g})$  as  $A \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ , where  $A$  is the ring of smooth functions on  $X$ . Any  $G$ -invariant real-valued bilinear form on  $A \otimes \mathfrak{g}$  must be of the form

$$(f \otimes \xi, g \otimes \eta) \mapsto \alpha(f \otimes g) \langle \xi, \eta \rangle,$$

where  $\alpha : A \otimes A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is linear. Such an  $\alpha$  can be identified with a distribution with compact support on  $X \times X$ . The cocycle condition translates into the statement that  $\alpha$  vanishes on functions of the form

$$fg \otimes h + gh \otimes f + hf \otimes g, \tag{8}$$

where  $f, g, h$  are smooth functions on  $X$ . This means that  $\alpha(f \otimes g) = 0$  when  $f$  and  $g$  have disjoint support, for then  $fg = 0$  and one can find  $h$  so that  $fh = f$  and  $gh = 0$ . Thus the distribution  $\alpha$  has support along the diagonal. Proposition (4.2.8) is the assertion that  $\alpha(f \otimes g)$  depends only on the 1-form  $fdg$ . This in turn reduces to two facts:

(i)  $\alpha(f \otimes 1) = 0$  for all  $f$ ; and

(ii)  $\alpha|_{I^2} = 0$ , where  $I$  is the ideal of functions in  $A \otimes A$  which vanish on the diagonal.

Put  $h = 1$ :

$$\alpha(fg \otimes 1) + \alpha(g \otimes f) + \alpha(f \otimes g) = 0.$$

By (skew),  $\alpha(g \otimes f) = -\alpha(f \otimes g)$ , so those two cancel and we get

$$\alpha(fg \otimes 1) = 0 \quad \forall f, g.$$

Since finite sums of products  $fg$  span  $A$ , it follows that

$$\alpha(f \otimes 1) = 0 \quad \forall f \in A.$$

Let  $I \subset A \otimes A$  be the ideal of functions vanishing on the diagonal  $\Delta = \{(x, x)\}$ . It is generated (as an ideal) by the differences  $a \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes a$  ( $a \in A$ ). Thus  $I^2$  is generated by products

$$(a \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes a)(b \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes b).$$



It therefore suffices to check that  $\alpha$  vanishes on each such generator. Expand:

$$(a \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes a)(b \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes b) = ab \otimes 1 - a \otimes b - b \otimes a + 1 \otimes ab.$$

Apply  $\alpha$  and use (i) and (skew):

$$\alpha(ab \otimes 1) = 0, \quad \alpha(1 \otimes ab) = 0, \quad \alpha(a \otimes b) + \alpha(b \otimes a) = 0.$$

Hence

$$\alpha((a \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes a)(b \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes b)) = 0.$$

By linearity,

$$\alpha|_{I^2} = 0$$

Define the canonical linear map

$$\theta : A \otimes A \longrightarrow \Omega^1(X), \quad \theta(f \otimes g) = f dg.$$

A quick check on the generators above shows  $\theta(I^2) = 0$ :

$$\theta(ab \otimes 1 - a \otimes b - b \otimes a + 1 \otimes ab) = ab d1 - a db - b da + d(ab) = 0.$$

So  $\theta$  descends to a well-defined map  $\bar{\theta} : I/I^2 \rightarrow \Omega^1(X)$ , which is the standard isomorphism  $I/I^2 \cong \Omega^1(X)$  (Kähler differentials).

Since  $\alpha$  kills  $I^2$ , there is a unique linear functional

$$\Lambda : \Omega^1(X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad \text{such that} \quad \alpha(f \otimes g) = \Lambda(f dg)$$

Using  $()$ , compute skew-symmetry:

$$0 = \alpha(f \otimes g) + \alpha(g \otimes f) = \Lambda(f dg) + \Lambda(g df) = \Lambda(f dg + g df) = \Lambda(d(fg)).$$

Because  $f, g$  were arbitrary, the linear span of  $\{d(fg)\}$  is all of  $d\Omega^0(X)$ . Hence

$$\Lambda|_{d\Omega^0(X)} = 0.$$

$\Lambda$  factors through the quotient  $\Omega^1(X)/d\Omega^0(X)$ , so the only data that survives is the class  $[\Lambda] \in (\Omega^1/d\Omega^0)^*$ .  $\square$

**Remark 1.9.** In the case  $X = S^1$ , we have  $\Omega^1(S^1)/d\Omega^0(S^1) \cong H_{\text{dR}}^1(S^1) \cong \mathbb{R}$  (generated by the period functional  $[\alpha] \mapsto \int_{S^1} \alpha$ ). Taking  $\Lambda(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{S^1} \omega$  gives

$$\alpha(f \otimes g) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{S^1} f dg, \quad c(\xi, \eta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{S^1} \langle \xi, \eta' \rangle d\theta,$$

the standard Kac-Moody cocycle.

### 1.3 Extensions of $\text{Vect}(S^1)$

Another calculation that fits in very naturally at this point is that for the Lie algebra  $\text{Vect}(S^1)$  of smooth vector fields on the circle, i.e. the Lie algebra of the group  $\text{Diff}(S^1)$ . A complex-linear 2-cocycle

$$\alpha : \text{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}(S^1) \times \text{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}(S^1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C},$$

where  $\text{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}(S^1) = \text{Vect}(S^1) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ , is determined by the numbers

$$\alpha_{p,q} = \alpha(L_p, L_q), \quad L_n = e^{in\theta} \frac{d}{d\theta}.$$

Recall the Witt algebra basis

$$L_n = ie^{in\theta} \frac{d}{d\theta}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

with brackets

$$[L_n, L_m] = i(m - n)L_{n+m}.$$

The bracket identity follows from the definition of the commutator of derivations:

$$[X, Y] = X(Y(h)) - Y(X(h)) \quad \text{for } h \in C^\infty(M)$$

and the general formula for brackets of vector fields in one variable:

$$[f(\theta) \frac{d}{d\theta}, g(\theta) \frac{d}{d\theta}] = (f(\theta)g'(\theta) - g(\theta)f'(\theta)) \frac{d}{d\theta}.$$

Now check the three vector fields:

$$L_{-1}, \quad L_0, \quad L_1.$$

The brackets close as

$$[L_1, L_{-1}] = 2iL_0, \quad [L_0, L_{\pm 1}] = \mp iL_{\pm 1}.$$

which up to rescaling gives a copy of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$ .

The cocycle identity for  $(L_0, L_p, L_q)$  shows that the cohomology class of  $\alpha$  is not changed by rotation, and so we can (by averaging) assume that  $\alpha$  is itself invariant. Then  $\alpha_{p,q} = 0$  unless  $p + q = 0$ . If we write  $\alpha_{p,-p} = \alpha_p$ , and notice that  $\alpha_{-p} = -\alpha_p$ , then the cocycle identity gives

$$(p + 2q)\alpha_p - (2p + q)\alpha_q = (p - q)\alpha_{p+q}.$$

This determines all the  $\alpha_p$  in terms of  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$ . The general solution is  $\alpha_p = \lambda p^3 + \mu p$ . But  $\alpha_p = p$  is a coboundary, so the value of  $\mu$  is unimportant. We have proved

**Proposition 1.10 (Virasoro cocycle).** *The most general central extension of  $\text{Vect}(S^1)$  by  $\mathbb{R}$  is described by the cocycle  $\alpha$ , where*

$$\alpha\left(e^{in\theta} \frac{d}{d\theta}, e^{im\theta} \frac{d}{d\theta}\right) = \begin{cases} i\lambda n(n^2 - 1), & \text{if } n + m = 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } n + m \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .

The representing cocycle given here is characterized by the fact that it is invariant under rotation and vanishes on the subalgebra  $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$  of  $\text{Vect}(S^1)$ .

## 1.4 Adjoint and coadjoint actions of loop groups

**Proposition 1.11.** *The adjoint action of  $L\mathfrak{g}$  on its central extension  $\widetilde{L\mathfrak{g}}$  comes from an action of  $LG$  given by*

$$(\gamma) \cdot (\xi, \lambda) = (\text{Ad}_\gamma \xi, \lambda - \langle \gamma^{-1} \gamma', \xi \rangle)$$

*Proof.* We will differentiate the group action along the one-parameter subgroup  $\gamma(t) = \exp(t\eta)$ , where  $\eta \in L\mathfrak{g}$ . Differentiate at  $t = 0$ :

- First coordinate:

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \text{Ad}_{\gamma(t)} \xi = [\eta, \xi].$$

- Second coordinate: use  $\gamma(t)^{-1} \gamma'(t) = t \eta' + O(t^2)$  (standard Maurer–Cartan expansion along the loop variable), hence

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} (-\langle \gamma(t)^{-1} \gamma'(t), \xi \rangle) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \langle \eta'(\theta), \xi(\theta) \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} d\theta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \langle \eta(\theta), \xi'(\theta) \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} d\theta,$$

where the last equality is by integration by parts (boundary term vanishes by periodicity).

Define the Kac-Moody 2-cocycle

$$\omega(\eta, \xi) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \langle \eta(\theta), \xi'(\theta) \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} d\theta.$$

Thus the derivative of the action is

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} (\gamma(t) \cdot (\xi, \lambda)) = ([\eta, \xi], \omega(\eta, \xi)).$$

This is exactly the standard adjoint action of  $L\mathfrak{g}$  on the central extension  $\widetilde{L\mathfrak{g}} = L\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbb{R}K$ :

$$\text{ad}_{(\eta, 0)}(\xi, \lambda) = ([\eta, \xi], \omega(\eta, \xi)), \quad [K, \cdot] = 0.$$

as desired.  $\square$

**Proposition 1.12 (Loop group coadjoint action).** *The coadjoint action of  $LG$  on  $\widetilde{L\mathfrak{g}}^* \cong (L\mathfrak{g})^* \oplus \mathbb{R}$  is given by*

$$\gamma \cdot (\phi, \lambda) = (\text{Ad}_\gamma \phi + \lambda \gamma' \gamma^{-1}, \lambda).$$

*Proof.* Identify  $\widetilde{L\mathfrak{g}}^* \cong (L\mathfrak{g})^* \oplus \mathbb{R}$  with pairing (note that  $(\phi, \lambda) \in (\widetilde{L\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \mathbb{R})^*$  and  $(\xi, a) \in \widetilde{L\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ )

$$\langle (\phi, \lambda), (\xi, a) \rangle = \phi(\xi) + \lambda a.$$

By definition of coadjoint action,

$$\langle \gamma \cdot (\phi, \lambda), (\xi, a) \rangle = \langle (\phi, \lambda), \gamma^{-1} \cdot (\xi, a) \rangle.$$

Insert the adjoint formula with  $\gamma^{-1}$ . Using  $(\gamma^{-1})^{-1}(\gamma^{-1})' = \gamma(\gamma^{-1})' = -\gamma'\gamma^{-1}$ ,

$$\gamma^{-1} \cdot (\xi, a) = \left( \text{Ad}_{\gamma^{-1}} \xi, a - \langle (\gamma^{-1})^{-1}(\gamma^{-1})', \xi \rangle \right) = \left( \text{Ad}_{\gamma^{-1}} \xi, a + \langle \gamma'\gamma^{-1}, \xi \rangle \right).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \gamma \cdot (\phi, \lambda), (\xi, a) \rangle &= \phi(\text{Ad}_{\gamma^{-1}} \xi) + \lambda \left( a + \langle \gamma'\gamma^{-1}, \xi \rangle \right) \\ &= (\phi \circ \text{Ad}_{\gamma^{-1}})(\xi) + \lambda a + \lambda \langle \gamma'\gamma^{-1}, \xi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since this holds for all  $(\xi, a)$ , we read off

$$\gamma \cdot (\phi, \lambda) = (\phi \circ \text{Ad}_{\gamma^{-1}} + \lambda \langle \gamma'\gamma^{-1}, \cdot \rangle, \lambda).$$

Using the invariant inner product to identify  $(L\mathfrak{g})^* \cong L\mathfrak{g}$ , write  $\phi(\cdot) = \langle \phi, \cdot \rangle$ . Then

$$\phi \circ \text{Ad}_{\gamma^{-1}} = \langle \text{Ad}_{\gamma} \phi, \cdot \rangle, \quad \langle \gamma'\gamma^{-1}, \cdot \rangle \leftrightarrow \gamma'\gamma^{-1},$$

so the coadjoint action becomes

$$\gamma \cdot (\phi, \lambda) = (\text{Ad}_{\gamma} \phi + \lambda \gamma'\gamma^{-1}, \lambda).$$

as desired.  $\square$

**Remark 1.13 (Reminder about the infinitesimal adjoint and coadjoint actions).** Let  $G$  be compact, connected, and simply connected, with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  and an  $\text{Ad}$ -invariant inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}$ . For loops we use the  $L^2$ -pairing

$$\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_{L\mathfrak{g}} := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \langle \xi(\theta), \eta(\theta) \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} d\theta, \quad \xi, \eta \in L\mathfrak{g}.$$

Define the 2-cocycle

$$\omega(\eta, \xi) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \langle \eta(\theta), \xi'(\theta) \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} d\theta.$$

The (Lie-algebra) central extension is

$$\widetilde{L\mathfrak{g}} = L\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbb{R}K, \quad [(\eta, aK), (\xi, bK)] = ([\eta, \xi], \omega(\eta, \xi) K).$$

Here  $K$  is central,  $[K, \cdot] = 0$ . We identify  $\widetilde{L\mathfrak{g}}^* \cong (L\mathfrak{g})^* \oplus \mathbb{R}$  and, via the  $L^2$ -pairing,  $(L\mathfrak{g})^* \cong L\mathfrak{g}$ . We write the dual pairing as

$$\langle (\phi, \lambda), (\xi, aK) \rangle = \langle \phi, \xi \rangle_{L\mathfrak{g}} + \lambda a.$$

**Adjoint (Lie–algebra) action.** By definition,  $\text{ad}_{(\eta, aK)}(\xi, bK) = [(\eta, aK), (\xi, bK)]$ ; since  $K$  is central,

$$\text{ad}_{(\eta, 0)}(\xi, bK) = ([\eta, \xi], \omega(\eta, \xi) K), \quad \text{ad}_{(0, aK)}(\xi, bK) = 0.$$

Equivalently, the adjoint representation of  $\widetilde{L\mathfrak{g}}$  on itself is

$$\text{ad}_{(\eta, aK)} \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ bK \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} [\eta, \xi] \\ \omega(\eta, \xi) K \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Coadjoint (Lie–algebra) action.** Recall the coadjoint action is defined by

$$\langle \text{ad}_X^*(\Phi), Y \rangle = \langle \Phi, [Y, X] \rangle \quad (X, Y \in \widetilde{L\mathfrak{g}}, \Phi \in \widetilde{L\mathfrak{g}}^*),$$

which matches the sign convention that integrates to the group formula in Pressley–Segal. Take  $X = (\eta, 0)$ ,  $Y = (\xi, aK)$ ,  $\Phi = (\phi, \lambda)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \text{ad}_{(\eta, 0)}^*(\phi, \lambda), (\xi, aK) \rangle &= \langle (\phi, \lambda), [(\xi, aK), (\eta, 0)] \rangle \\ &= \langle (\phi, \lambda), ([\xi, \eta], \omega(\xi, \eta) K) \rangle \\ &= \langle \phi, [\xi, \eta] \rangle_{L\mathfrak{g}} + \lambda \omega(\xi, \eta). \end{aligned}$$

Use Ad–invariance of  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}$ :  $\langle \phi, [\xi, \eta] \rangle = \langle [\phi, \eta], \xi \rangle$ , and integrate by parts (using periodicity) for the cocycle term

$$\omega(\xi, \eta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \langle \xi, \eta' \rangle d\theta.$$

Thus

$$\langle \text{ad}_{(\eta, 0)}^*(\phi, \lambda), (\xi, aK) \rangle = \langle [\eta, \phi] + \lambda \eta', \xi \rangle_{L\mathfrak{g}},$$

which identifies

$$\text{ad}_{(\eta, 0)}^*(\phi, \lambda) = ([\eta, \phi] + \lambda \eta', 0).$$

Since  $K$  is central,  $\text{ad}_{(0, aK)}^* = 0$ . Therefore, for general  $(\eta, aK)$ :

$$\text{ad}_{(\eta, aK)}^*(\phi, \lambda) = ([\eta, \phi] + \lambda \eta', 0).$$

**Consistency with the group formula.** Let  $\gamma(t) = \exp(t\eta) \in LG$ . The group–level coadjoint action is

$$\gamma \cdot (\phi, \lambda) = (\text{Ad}_\gamma \phi + \lambda \gamma' \gamma^{-1}, \lambda).$$

Differentiating at  $t = 0$  gives

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_0 (\text{Ad}_{\gamma(t)} \phi) = [\eta, \phi], \quad \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_0 (\gamma'(t) \gamma(t)^{-1}) = \eta',$$

hence

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_0 (\gamma(t) \cdot (\phi, \lambda)) = ([\eta, \phi] + \lambda \eta', 0) = \text{ad}_{(\eta, 0)}^*(\phi, \lambda),$$

as derived above.

Let us assume that the inner product on  $\mathfrak{g}$  is positive-definite. Then  $L\mathfrak{g}$  is identified with a dense subspace of  $(L\mathfrak{g})^*$  which we shall call the ‘smooth part’ of the dual. We can describe the orbits of the action of  $LG$  on this in the following way.

For each smooth element  $(\phi, \lambda) \in (\widetilde{L\mathfrak{g}})^*$  with  $\lambda \neq 0$  we can find a unique smooth path  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow G$  by solving the differential equation

$$f' f^{-1} = \lambda^{-1} \phi \quad (9)$$

with the initial condition  $f(0) = 1$ .

**Definition 1.14 (Parallel transport ODE).** Define  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow G$  by the first-order ODE

$$f'(\theta) f(\theta)^{-1} = \lambda^{-1} \phi(\theta), \quad f(0) = 1. \quad (10)$$

**Lemma 1.15 (Existence and uniqueness).** For any smooth  $\phi$  and  $\lambda \neq 0$ , the initial value problem (10) has a unique smooth solution on all of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Moreover,

$$f(\theta) = \mathcal{P} \exp \left( \lambda^{-1} \int_0^\theta \phi(s) ds \right), \quad (11)$$

where  $\mathcal{P} \exp$  denotes the path-ordered exponential.

Because  $\phi$  is periodic in  $\theta$  we have

$$f(\theta + 2\pi) = f(\theta) \cdot M_\phi,$$

where  $M_\phi = f(2\pi)$ . If  $(\phi, \lambda)$  is transformed by  $\gamma \in LG$  then  $f$  is changed to  $\tilde{f}$ , where

$$\tilde{f}(\theta) = \gamma(\theta) f(\theta) \gamma(0)^{-1}. \quad (12)$$

Thus  $M_\phi$  is changed to  $\gamma(0) M_\phi \gamma(0)^{-1}$ . In fact (9) defines a bijection between  $L\mathfrak{g} \times \{\lambda\}$  and the space of maps  $f$  such that  $f(0) = 1$  and  $f(\theta + 2\pi) = f(\theta) \cdot M$  for some  $M \in G$ .

**Definition 1.16 (Monodromy / holonomy).** Because  $\phi$  is  $2\pi$ -periodic, there exists a unique  $M_\phi \in G$  (the monodromy) such that

$$f(\theta + 2\pi) = f(\theta) M_\phi \quad (\theta \in \mathbb{R}), \quad (13)$$

equivalently  $M_\phi = f(2\pi)$ . In terms of (11),

$$M_\phi = \mathcal{P} \exp \left( \lambda^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi} \phi(\theta) d\theta \right). \quad (14)$$

*Proof of (13).* Let  $g(\theta) := f(\theta + 2\pi)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} g'g^{-1} &= f'(\theta + 2\pi)f(\theta + 2\pi)^{-1} \\ &= \lambda^{-1}\phi(\theta + 2\pi) \\ &= \lambda^{-1}\phi(\theta), \end{aligned}$$

and  $g(0) = f(2\pi)$ . By uniqueness for (10),  $g(\theta) = f(\theta)f(2\pi)$ , giving  $f(\theta + 2\pi) = f(\theta)M_\phi$  with  $M_\phi = f(2\pi)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 1.17** (Transformation under the  $LG$ -coadjoint action). *Let  $\gamma \in LG$ . The  $LG$ -coadjoint action on  $(\widetilde{LG})^*$  is*

$$\gamma \cdot (\phi, \lambda) = (\text{Ad}_\gamma \phi + \lambda \gamma' \gamma^{-1}, \lambda).$$

*If  $f$  solves (10) for  $(\phi, \lambda)$ , then*

$$\tilde{f}(\theta) := \gamma(\theta) f(\theta) \gamma(0)^{-1} \tag{15}$$

*solves (10) for  $(\text{Ad}_\gamma \phi + \lambda \gamma' \gamma^{-1}, \lambda)$  and satisfies  $\tilde{f}(0) = \mathbf{1}$ . Consequently the monodromy transforms by conjugation:*

$$M_{\gamma \cdot \phi} = \gamma(0) M_\phi \gamma(0)^{-1}. \tag{16}$$

*Proof.* Let  $\gamma \in LG$ , and suppose  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow G$  solves

$$f'(\theta) f(\theta)^{-1} = \lambda^{-1} \phi(\theta), \quad f(0) = \mathbf{1}.$$

Define

$$\tilde{f}(\theta) := \gamma(\theta) f(\theta) \gamma(0)^{-1}.$$

We claim that

$$\tilde{f}'(\theta) \tilde{f}(\theta)^{-1} = \lambda^{-1} \left( \text{Ad}_{\gamma(\theta)} \phi(\theta) + \lambda \gamma'(\theta) \gamma(\theta)^{-1} \right),$$

so  $\tilde{f}$  solves the ODE corresponding to  $(\text{Ad}_\gamma \phi + \lambda \gamma' \gamma^{-1}, \lambda)$  and satisfies  $\tilde{f}(0) = \mathbf{1}$ .

*Proof.* First compute the derivative:

$$\tilde{f}'(\theta) = \gamma'(\theta) f(\theta) \gamma(0)^{-1} + \gamma(\theta) f'(\theta) \gamma(0)^{-1}.$$

Next note that

$$\tilde{f}(\theta)^{-1} = \gamma(0) f(\theta)^{-1} \gamma(\theta)^{-1}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}'(\theta) \tilde{f}(\theta)^{-1} &= \left( \gamma' f \gamma(0)^{-1} + \gamma f' \gamma(0)^{-1} \right) \left( \gamma(0) f^{-1} \gamma^{-1} \right) \\ &= \gamma' f f^{-1} \gamma^{-1} + \gamma f' f^{-1} \gamma^{-1} \\ &= \gamma' \gamma^{-1} + \gamma (f' f^{-1}) \gamma^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Insert the original ODE  $f' f^{-1} = \lambda^{-1} \phi$ :

$$\tilde{f}' \tilde{f}^{-1} = \gamma' \gamma^{-1} + \lambda^{-1} \gamma \phi \gamma^{-1} = \lambda^{-1} (\text{Ad}_\gamma \phi + \lambda \gamma' \gamma^{-1}).$$

Finally,  $\tilde{f}(0) = \gamma(0) f(0) \gamma(0)^{-1} = \mathbf{1}$ , as required. Also  $\tilde{f}(0) = \gamma(0) \mathbf{1} \gamma(0)^{-1} = \mathbf{1}$ . Evaluating at  $\theta = 2\pi$  and using  $\gamma(2\pi) = \gamma(0)$  (loop),

$$M_{\gamma \cdot \phi} = \tilde{f}(2\pi) = \gamma(0) f(2\pi) \gamma(0)^{-1} = \gamma(0) M_\phi \gamma(0)^{-1}.$$

as desired.  $\square$

**Remark 1.18.** Equation (11) identifies  $f$  as the parallel transport for the connection one-form  $A = \lambda^{-1} \phi(\theta) d\theta$  on the trivial  $G$ -bundle over  $S^1$ , and  $M_\phi$  as its holonomy around the circle.

The following proposition follows from the previous discussion.

**Proposition 1.19 (Coadjoint orbits and conjugacy classes).** (i) If  $G$  is simply connected and  $\lambda \neq 0$  then the orbits of  $LG$  on the smooth part of  $(L\mathfrak{g})^* \times \{\lambda\} \subset (\widehat{L\mathfrak{g}})^*$  correspond precisely to the conjugacy classes of  $G$  under the map  $(\phi, \lambda) \mapsto M_\phi$ .

(ii) The stabilizer of  $(\phi, \lambda)$  in  $LG$  is isomorphic to the centralizer  $Z_\phi$  of  $M_\phi$  in  $G$  by the map  $\gamma \mapsto \gamma(0)$ ; and  $\gamma$  stabilizes  $(\phi, \lambda)$  if and only if

$$\gamma(\theta) = f(\theta) \gamma(0) f(\theta)^{-1}.$$

*Proof.* The relation  $M_{\gamma \cdot (\phi, \lambda)} = \gamma(0) M_\phi \gamma(0)^{-1}$  shows that  $(\phi, \lambda)$  and  $(\phi', \lambda)$  are in the same  $LG$ -orbit implies  $M_\phi$  and  $M_{\phi'}$  are conjugate in  $G$ . Conversely, if  $M_{\phi'} = g M_\phi g^{-1}$  for some  $g \in G$ , there exists a loop  $\gamma \in LG$  with  $\gamma(0) = g$ . Then by the previous proposition,  $\gamma \cdot (\phi, \lambda)$  has monodromy  $M_{\phi'}$ .

The map  $(\phi, \lambda) \mapsto M_\phi$  is surjective onto conjugacy classes. Let  $C \subset G$  be a conjugacy class. Choose  $g \in C$ . Pick  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$  with  $\exp(2\pi X) = g$  (for compact connected  $G$  this is always possible since every element lies in a maximal torus and  $\exp : \mathfrak{t} \rightarrow T$  is surjective). Take  $\phi(\theta) \equiv -\lambda X$  (constant). Then the solution is  $f(\theta) = \exp(\theta X)$ , hence  $M_\phi = g \in C$ .

we can find  $\phi''$  such that  $M_{\phi''} = M_{\phi'}$ . Thus  $(\phi', \lambda)$  and  $(\phi'', \lambda)$  have the same monodromy and hence are in the same  $LG$ -orbit. This establishes the bijection between  $LG$ -orbits and conjugacy classes in  $G$ .

Now we show injectivity of fixed monodromy. Suppose  $(\phi, \lambda)$  and  $(\phi', \lambda)$  have the same monodromy:  $M_\phi = M_{\phi'} = M$ . Let  $f, f'$  be their ODE solutions with  $f(0) = f'(0) = \mathbf{1}$ . Define  $\gamma(\theta) := f'(\theta) f(\theta)^{-1}$ . Then  $\gamma(0) = \mathbf{1}$  and, using  $f(\theta + 2\pi) = f(\theta) M$ ,  $f'(\theta + 2\pi) = f'(\theta) M$ ,



$\gamma(\theta + 2\pi) = f'(\theta)M(f(\theta)M)^{-1} = \gamma(\theta)$ , so  $\gamma \in LG$ . A direct calculation gives (with the "+" convention)

$$\gamma \cdot \phi = \text{Ad}_\gamma \phi + \lambda \gamma' \gamma^{-1} = \phi'.$$

Hence points with the same monodromy lie in the same  $LG$ -orbit.

As for the second claim, suppose  $\gamma \in LG$  stabilizes  $(\phi, \lambda)$ . Then by definition of the action,  $(\phi, \lambda) = \gamma \cdot (\phi, \lambda)$ . This means the transformed ODE solution  $\tilde{f}(\theta) = \gamma(\theta)f(\theta)\gamma(0)^{-1}$  equals the original  $f(\theta)$  (since both solve the same ODE with same initial condition). So we must have  $f(\theta) = \gamma(\theta)f(\theta)\gamma(0)^{-1}$ , or equivalently,  $\gamma(\theta) = f(\theta)\gamma(0)f(\theta)^{-1}$ . In particular, at  $\theta = 2\pi$ ,  $\gamma(2\pi) = f(2\pi)\gamma(0)f(2\pi)^{-1}$ . But since  $\gamma$  is a loop,  $\gamma(2\pi) = \gamma(0)$ . This forces  $\gamma(0) \in Z_G(M_\phi)$ , i.e.  $\gamma(0)$  lies in the centralizer of  $M_\phi$ .

So the stabilizer subgroup of  $LG$  maps isomorphically to the centralizer  $Z_\phi$  under the map  $\gamma \mapsto \gamma(0)$ .

□

**Remark 1.20.** *In general, the coadjoint action only integrates to an action of the component containing  $\gamma$ . To guarantee there's no component obstruction (and to integrate the infinitesimal formulas globally), we want  $LG$  to be connected. For connected  $G$ ,  $\pi_0(LG) \cong \pi_1(G)$ . Thus if  $G$  is simply connected, then  $LG$  is connected, and the coadjoint action integrates on all of  $LG$  with no ambiguity.*

According to Kirillov's idea, the irreducible unitary representations of a group  $\Gamma$  correspond to the coadjoint orbits  $\Omega$  with the property

(C) if the stabilizer of  $\Phi \in \Omega$  is the subgroup  $H$  of  $\Gamma$  then  $\Phi$  is the derivative of a character of the identity component of  $H$ .

The group-level central extension is  $1 \rightarrow \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \widetilde{LG} \rightarrow LG \rightarrow 1$ , where  $\mathbb{T} = U(1)$  is the circle. The Lie algebra of this circle is just  $\mathbb{R}K$  with basis element  $K$ . In the dual  $(\widetilde{LG})^*$ , the functional  $(\phi, \lambda)$  evaluates to  $\langle (\phi, \lambda), K \rangle = \lambda$ .

Kirillov's condition (C) says: If  $H$  is the stabilizer of a coadjoint point  $\Phi$ , then the restriction  $\Phi|_h$  must equal the differential of a unitary character of  $H^0$ . For every  $(\phi, \lambda)$ , the central subgroup  $\mathbb{T} \subset \widetilde{LG}$  is contained in its stabilizer (since it's central, it fixes everything). So  $H^0$  contains  $\mathbb{T}$ , and we must check condition (C) on that subgroup.

So we need: the restriction of  $\Phi$  to the Lie algebra of  $\mathbb{T}$  (spanned by  $K$ ) must be the differential of some unitary character  $\chi : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow U(1)$ . The circle group  $\mathbb{T} = \{e^{i\theta} : \theta \in \mathbb{R}\}$  has all unitary characters given by  $\chi_n(e^{i\theta}) = e^{in\theta}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Differentiate at the identity ( $\theta = 0$ ):  $\chi'_n(0) = in$ . By definition of  $(\phi, \lambda)$ ,  $\langle (\phi, \lambda), K \rangle = \lambda$ . Condition (C) requires this number  $\lambda$  to equal the derivative of some unitary character of  $\mathbb{T}$ . Therefore we have  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

By the above argument, if  $(L\mathfrak{g})^* \times \{\lambda\}$  is allowable then  $\lambda$  must be an integer. Then an orbit in the smooth part of the dual corresponds to the conjugacy class of an element  $g \in G$ , which we can assume to belong to a given maximal torus  $T$ . If we choose

$$\xi \in \mathfrak{t} \subset \mathfrak{g} \subset L\mathfrak{g} \subset (L\mathfrak{g})^*$$

so that  $\exp(\lambda^{-1}\xi) = g$ , then  $(\xi, \lambda)$  belongs to the orbit. This is because one can check that the solution of (10) is  $f(\theta) = \exp(\lambda^{-1}\theta\xi)$ , which has monodromy  $M_\phi = \exp(2\pi\lambda^{-1}\xi) = g$ .

If  $g$  is sufficiently generic then its centralizer in  $G$  is  $T$ . Recall that we say an element  $g \in G$  (or equivalently  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ ) is regular if its centralizer has minimal possible dimension. The minimal possible centralizer in a compact Lie group is precisely a maximal torus  $T$ . Concretely, if  $X \in \mathfrak{t}$ , then  $Z_G(X)$  consists of the torus  $T$  plus all root subgroups  $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$  for which  $\alpha(X) = 0$ . If  $\alpha(X) = 0$  for some root, then the centralizer strictly contains  $T$ . So for  $X$  regular (i.e.  $\alpha(X) \neq 0$  for all roots),  $Z_G(X) = T$ . Therefore, if  $g = \exp(X)$  with  $X$  regular in  $\mathfrak{t}$ , then  $Z_G(g) = T$ .

And the condition (C) amounts to the requirement that  $\xi \in \mathfrak{t} \subset \mathfrak{t}^*$  belongs to the lattice  $\hat{T}$ .

Recall that the stabilizer  $H$  of  $(\phi, \lambda)$  in  $LG$  is isomorphic to  $Z_G(g) = T$  by  $\gamma \mapsto \gamma(0)$ ; more concretely, after conjugating by the associated  $f$ , one may (and we will) work at the representative  $(\xi, \lambda)$  with  $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}$  constant. Then  $H^0 \cong T$  and  $\mathfrak{h} \cong \mathfrak{t}$ . Condition (C) says that the restriction of  $(\xi, \lambda)$  to  $\mathfrak{h} \cong \mathfrak{t}$  must be the differential of a character of  $T$ . The restriction is simply  $Y \in \mathfrak{t} \mapsto \langle \xi, Y \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$  (using the fixed invariant inner product to identify  $\mathfrak{t} \cong \mathfrak{t}$ ). This linear form exponentiates to a character of  $T$  if and only if it takes integral values on the period lattice  $\hat{T} := \ker(\exp : \mathfrak{t} \rightarrow T)$ . That is,  $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$  for all  $\eta \in \hat{T}$ . With our normalization (Pressley-Segal identify  $\mathfrak{t} \simeq \mathfrak{t}^*$  using the basic inner product and absorb the  $2\pi$  in the definition of  $\hat{T}$ ), this is precisely the statement  $\xi \in \hat{T}$ .

On the other hand,  $(\xi, \lambda)$  and  $(\tilde{\xi}, \lambda)$  belong to the same orbit if  $\tilde{\xi} = w \cdot \xi + \lambda\eta$  for some  $\eta \in \hat{T}$  and some  $w$  in the Weyl group  $W$  of  $G$ .

**Proposition 1.21 (Coadjoint orbits satisfying (C)).** *If  $\lambda$  is a non-zero integer then the coadjoint orbits in the smooth part of  $(L\mathfrak{g})^* \times \{\lambda\}$  which satisfy the condition (C) correspond to the orbits of the affine Weyl group  $W_{\text{aff}} = W \ltimes \hat{T}$  on the lattice  $\hat{T}$ , where  $(w, \eta) \in W_{\text{aff}}$  acts on  $\hat{T}$  by*

$$\xi \mapsto w \cdot \xi + \lambda\eta.$$

*Proof.* Every orbit contains a representative  $(\xi, \lambda)$  with  $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}$ , since any conjugacy class of  $G$  meets  $T$  and the monodromy of  $(\xi, \lambda)$  is  $M_\xi = \exp(2\pi\xi/\lambda) \in T$ . Suppose  $(\xi, \lambda)$  and  $(\tilde{\xi}, \lambda)$  are in the same orbit. Then there exists  $\gamma \in LG$  such that

$$\tilde{\xi} = \text{Ad}_\gamma \xi + \lambda \gamma' \gamma^{-1}.$$

Both  $M_\xi$  and  $M_{\tilde{\xi}}$  lie in  $T$ . Since  $M_{\tilde{\xi}} = \gamma(0)M_\xi\gamma(0)^{-1}$ , the endpoint  $\gamma(0)$  normalizes  $T$ . This is because if  $(\xi, \lambda)$  and  $(\tilde{\xi}, \lambda)$  are in the same orbit, then their monodromies are conjugate by  $\gamma(0)$ , but since they both lie in  $T$ , they are in fact equal and therefore

$$M_{\tilde{\xi}} = M_\xi \implies \gamma(0)M_\xi\gamma(0)^{-1} = M_\xi$$

So  $\gamma(0) \in N_G(T)$ . Modulo  $T$  this determines an element  $w \in W$ , and the constant loop  $\gamma(\theta) \equiv n$  with  $n \in N_G(T)$  representing  $w$  acts by

$$\gamma \cdot (\xi, \lambda) = (w \cdot \xi, \lambda).$$

There are a second class of loops  $\gamma(\theta)$  in  $T$  act trivially on  $\xi$  but contribute through the cocycle term:

$$\text{Ad}_\gamma \xi = \xi, \quad \gamma' \gamma^{-1} \in \widehat{T}.$$

Concretely, if  $\gamma(\theta) = \exp(\theta\eta)$  with  $\eta \in \widehat{T}$ , then  $\gamma' \gamma^{-1} = \eta$  and

$$\gamma \cdot (\xi, \lambda) = (\xi + \lambda\eta, \lambda).$$

because of the general formula for the coadjoint action.

$$\gamma \cdot (\phi, \lambda) = (\text{Ad}_\gamma \phi + \lambda \gamma' \gamma^{-1}, \lambda).$$

This shows that the orbit contains all points of the form  $(w \cdot \xi + \lambda\eta, \lambda)$  with  $w \in W$  and  $\eta \in \widehat{T}$ .

The converse will be treated in the following lemma. This establishes a bijection between orbits and  $W_{\text{aff}}$ -orbits in  $\widehat{T}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 1.22 (Exhaustion by Weyl and lattice moves).** *Let  $G$  be compact, connected and simply connected,  $T \subset G$  a maximal torus with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{t}$ , Weyl group  $W = N_G(T)/T$ , and  $\widehat{T} = \ker(\exp : \mathfrak{t} \rightarrow T)$ . Fix  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ . If  $(\xi, \lambda)$  and  $(\tilde{\xi}, \lambda)$  with  $\xi, \tilde{\xi} \in \mathfrak{t}$  lie in the same  $LG$ -orbit, then there exist  $w \in W$  and  $\eta \in \widehat{T}$  such that*

$$\tilde{\xi} = w \cdot \xi + \lambda\eta.$$

*Proof.* Assume  $(\tilde{\xi}, \lambda) = \gamma \cdot (\xi, \lambda)$  for some  $\gamma \in LG$ .

Let  $M_\xi := \exp(2\pi\xi/\lambda) \in T$  and  $M_{\tilde{\xi}} := \exp(2\pi\tilde{\xi}/\lambda) \in T$  be their monodromies. The general monodromy formula gives

$$M_{\tilde{\xi}} = \gamma(0) M_\xi \gamma(0)^{-1}.$$

Since  $M_\xi, M_{\tilde{\xi}} \in T$ , by the standard conjugacy theorem (“ $G$ -conjugacy on  $T$  is  $W$ -conjugacy”), there exists  $n \in N_G(T)$  with

$$M_{\tilde{\xi}} = n M_\xi n^{-1}.$$

Let  $w \in W$  be the class of  $n$ . Replace  $\gamma$  by

$$\gamma_1 := n^{-1}\gamma \in LG, \quad \text{and set} \quad \hat{\xi} := \text{Ad}_{n^{-1}} \tilde{\xi} = w^{-1} \cdot \tilde{\xi} \in \mathfrak{t}.$$

Then  $\gamma_1 \cdot (\xi, \lambda) = (\hat{\xi}, \lambda)$  and

$$M_{\hat{\xi}} = \gamma_1(0) M_{\xi} \gamma_1(0)^{-1} = n^{-1} \gamma(0) M_{\xi} \gamma(0)^{-1} n = n^{-1} M_{\tilde{\xi}} n = M_{\xi}.$$

Thus  $\xi, \hat{\xi} \in \mathfrak{t}$  have *equal* monodromy:  $\exp(2\pi\hat{\xi}/\lambda) = \exp(2\pi\xi/\lambda)$ .

Consider the solutions

$$f(\theta) = \exp\left(\frac{\theta}{\lambda}\xi\right), \quad \hat{f}(\theta) = \exp\left(\frac{\theta}{\lambda}\hat{\xi}\right) \quad (\in T),$$

and define the  $T$ -valued loop

$$\delta(\theta) := \hat{f}(\theta) f(\theta)^{-1} \in T.$$

Since  $T$  is abelian, we have

$$\delta(\theta) = \exp\left(\frac{\theta}{\lambda}(\hat{\xi} - \xi)\right), \quad \delta'(\theta) \delta(\theta)^{-1} = \frac{1}{\lambda}(\hat{\xi} - \xi) \in \mathfrak{t}.$$

Moreover  $\delta(2\pi) = 1$  because  $M_{\hat{\xi}} = M_{\xi}$ . Hence

$$\eta := \frac{1}{\lambda}(\hat{\xi} - \xi) \in \widehat{T}, \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\xi} = \xi + \lambda\eta.$$

By the coadjoint action formula,

$$\delta \cdot (\xi, \lambda) = (\xi + \lambda\eta, \lambda) = (\hat{\xi}, \lambda).$$

Finally, undoing the  $n^{-1}$ -conjugation gives

$$(\tilde{\xi}, \lambda) = (n \cdot \delta) \cdot (\xi, \lambda) = \left(w \cdot (\xi + \lambda\eta), \lambda\right) = \left(w \cdot \xi + \lambda w \cdot \eta, \lambda\right).$$

Since  $\widehat{T}$  is  $W$ -stable,  $w \cdot \eta \in \widehat{T}$ ; renaming  $\eta \leftarrow w \cdot \eta$  yields the claimed form  $\tilde{\xi} = w \cdot \xi + \lambda\eta$ .  $\square$

**Remark 1.23 (Rotation action).** We can rotate the loop parameter:  $(R_{\alpha}\phi)(\theta) := \phi(\theta + \alpha)$ , where  $\alpha \in \mathbb{T} = S^1$ . This gives an action of the rotation group  $\mathbb{T}$  on  $L\mathfrak{g}$ , hence also on  $(\widehat{L\mathfrak{g}})^*$ .

An orbit  $\mathcal{O}$  is in the smooth part if it is stable under circle rotations. In other words, if rotating the loop parameter can be undone by some  $LG$ -coadjoint action.

At every point  $(\phi, \lambda) \in \mathcal{O}$ , the vector field generating rotations is tangent to the orbit. Equivalently: the infinitesimal variation  $\delta_{\text{rot}}\phi = \phi'$  must lie in the tangent space of the orbit. The tangent space at  $(\phi, \lambda)$  to the coadjoint orbit is spanned by infinitesimal coadjoint actions:

$$T_{(\phi, \lambda)}(\mathcal{O}) = \{([\eta, \phi] + \lambda\eta', 0) : \eta \in L\mathfrak{g}\}$$

*So for stability we require  $\phi' \in \{[\eta, \phi] + \lambda\eta' : \eta \in L\mathfrak{g}\}$ . Thus there must exist some  $\eta \in L\mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\phi'(\theta) = [\eta(\theta), \phi(\theta)] + \lambda\eta'(\theta)$ .*

*If  $\eta \in L\mathfrak{g}$  is smooth, then both  $[\eta, \phi]$  and  $\eta'$  are smooth in  $\theta$ . Hence  $\phi'$  is smooth, which forces  $\phi$  to be smooth. Representation-theoretically, this matches the fact that positive energy representations (the ones stable under rotations) correspond to smooth coadjoint orbits.*