

Complex Manifolds

Songyu Ye

February 19, 2026

Abstract

Contents

1	Feb 17	1
1.1	Some generalities	2
1.2	The inclusions i, j	2
1.3	Stiefel manifolds and classifying spaces	3
2	Feb 19	4
2.1	Three classical theorems	6
2.2	Line bundles and chern classes	7

1 Feb 17

Let $\text{Gr}(k, n)$ denote the Grassmannian of k -planes in V , a real or complex vector space of dimension n . We will not distinguish since the statements we make will be true for both cases. For the real Grassmannian, we take homology with $\mathbb{Z}/2$ coefficients, and for the complex Grassmannian, we take homology with \mathbb{Z} coefficients.

Theorem 1.1. For a CW complex X , $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}$ -vector bundles over X up to isomorphism are classified by homotopy classes of maps to the infinite Grassmannian $Gr_k(\mathbb{C}^\infty) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Gr_k(\mathbb{C}^n)$.

Remark 1.2. $\text{Sp}(n) = U(2n) \cap GL(n, \mathbb{H})$ is the compact symplectic group acts on \mathbb{H}^n by left multiplication and commutes with the right \mathbb{H} -action which is the structure map for \mathbb{H} -vector bundles.

Recall that the Schubert stratification is given by row echelon form for matrices. Let $T \subset V$ be a subspace of dimension k . Write T as the row space of a $k \times n$ matrix. We can perform

row operations to put it in row echelon form, and this depends only on T . In particular, T has a canonical basis but it does not depend continuously on T . It may jump whenever the pivots move. The Schubert stratification is given by the locus where the pivots are fixed. Therefore, it is enough to give an increasing sequence σ_p of pivot positions or a sequence s of 0's and 1's of length n with exactly k 1's.

Example 1.3. Let us row reduce from right to left. For example in $\text{Gr}(2, 4)$

$$\begin{bmatrix} * & 0 & * & 1 \\ * & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

corresponds to the sequence $s = (0, 1, 0, 1)$ and the pivot positions $\sigma_1 = 2, \sigma_2 = 4$. The dimension is given by

$$\dim S_\sigma = \sum_{p=1}^k (\sigma_p - p) = (2 - 1) + (4 - 2) = 3 = \sum_{1 \leq s \leq n} \text{total number of 0s to the left} = 1 + 2$$

There are two inclusions called i, j of $\text{Gr}(k, n)$ into $\text{Gr}(k, n + 1)$ and $\text{Gr}(k + 1, n + 1)$ given by $T \mapsto T \oplus e_{n+1}$ and $T \mapsto e_0 \oplus T$ respectively.

1.1 Some generalities

These cells define a CW decomposition. They give a basis of \mathbb{Z} homology for \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H} or $\mathbb{Z}/2$ homology for \mathbb{R} . It is obvious for \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H} because there are no attaching maps, but for \mathbb{R} you have to argue that the attaching maps have even degree. The closure of S_σ is the union of cells S_τ if $\tau_p \leq \sigma_p$ for all p meaning no pivot of σ is to the left of the corresponding pivot of τ . Poincare duality is implemented by reverseing the sequence of 0s and 1s, reverse the order of the basis and check the intersectino of the cells. Most \overline{S}_σ are singular but the singularities have codimension at least 2 and thusd efine fundamental classes and can use the intersection pairing to check poincare duality. In particular they are normal varieties.

1.2 The inclusions i, j

What are the smallest cells i, j are missing? For i we are missing

$$[F_k | M | e_0]$$

where F_k is the antidiagonal identity, M is stars in the top row and 0s elsewhere, and e_0 is $1, 0, \dots, 0$ in the last column. This corresponds to the sequence $s = 11 \dots 10 \dots 01$ and is of dimension $n - k$.

For j we are missing

$$[* | F_{k+1} | 0]$$

corresponds to the sequence $01 \dots 10 \dots 0$ and is of dimension $k + 1$.

Corollary 1.4 (Approximation theorem). If $\dim X < n - k$ or $\dim X < 2(n - k)$ in the complex case, then a map $X \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}(k, n + 1)$ or $X \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{C}}(k, n + 1)$ can be homotoped to a map $X \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(k, n)$. In particular $\mathrm{Gr}(k, n + 1)/\mathrm{Gr}(k, n)$ is $(n - k - 1)$ -connected or $(2(n - k) - 1)$ -connected in the complex case.

If $\dim X < k + 1$ or $\dim X < 2(k + 1)$ in the complex case, then a map $X \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}(k + 1, n + 1)$ or $X \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{C}}(k + 1, n + 1)$ can be homotoped to a map $X \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(k, n)$. In particular $\mathrm{Gr}(k + 1, n + 1)/\mathrm{Gr}(k, n)$ is k -connected or $2k + 1$ -connected in the complex case.

Corollary 1.5 (Stability for classification of vector bundles). If $\dim X < n - k - 1$ or $\dim X < 2(n - k) - 1$ in the complex case, then $\mathrm{Vect}^k(X) = [X, \mathrm{Gr}(k, n)]$.

Note that we lost one dimension because we need to leave room for the homotopy.

Corollary 1.6. If $\dim X < k + 1$ or $\dim X < 2(k + 1)$ in the complex case, then any vector bundle of rank $k + 1$ has a nonvanishing section.

This also follows from transversality.

1.3 Stiefel manifolds and classifying spaces

Recall that $T^k \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(k, n)$ is the tautological subbundle of \mathbb{R}^n or \mathbb{C}^n . We have the frame bundle $\mathrm{St}(k, n)$ given by basis of k -planes. Gram Schmidt tells us that orthonormal frames \hookrightarrow frames is a homotopy equivalence so we have $\mathrm{St}(k, n) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(k, n)$ is a principal GL_k -bundle and $\mathrm{St}^{on}(k, n) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(k, n)$ is a principal $O(k)$ -bundle or $U(k)$ -bundle.

What is the codimension of the complement of $\mathrm{St}(k, n)$ in $\mathrm{Mat}_{k,n}$? Well $\mathrm{Mat}(k, n)$ is stratified by rank and the largest stratum in the complement of $\mathrm{St}(k, n)$ is given by rank $k - 1$ matrices which has codimension $n - k + 1$.

Corollary 1.7. If $\dim X < n - k$ or $\dim X < 2(n - k) + 1$ then $[X, \mathrm{St}(k, n)] = *$. In particular $\mathrm{St}(k, \infty)$ and $\mathrm{St}^{on}(k, \infty)$ are contractible.

Thus we have stumbled upon the fact that $\mathrm{St}^{on}(k, \infty)$ is a contractible space with a free $O(k)$ or $U(k)$ action and $\mathrm{Gr}(k, \infty)$ is the quotient.

Definition 1.8. Let G be a topological group which is nice in the sense that it has the homotopy type of a CW complex. A CW complex with a principal G bundle $EG \rightarrow BG$ with contractible total space is called a **classifying space** for G .

Theorem 1.9. $EG \rightarrow BG$ is unique up to homology equivalence, canonical up to homotopy if you choose the base point $*$ in BG and identify the fiber over $*$ with G . Moreover, isomorphism classes of

principal G -bundles over a CW complex X , $*$ with trivialization over $*$ are in bijection with homotopy classes of pointed maps $[X, BG]$.

In fact (2) implies (1) because we have identified BG as a functor out of the homotopy category of pointed CW complexes, and the functor is unique up to natural isomorphism.

Remark 1.10. G is connected if and only if BG is simply connected. Extreme cases are G is discrete, then EG is the universal cover of BG .

Remark 1.11. G discrete, X is connected. Then $[X, BG]$ is the set of homomorphisms $\pi_1(X) \rightarrow G$ up to conjugation, whereas $[X, BG]_* = \text{Hom}(\pi_1(X), G)$. The first is isomorphism classes of principal G -bundles, the second is isomorphism classes of principal G -bundles with a trivialization at the base point.

Next we have two models of $BU(k) \rightarrow BU(k+1)$. One is the obvious inclusion, and the other model gives it as a fiber bundle with fiber S^{2k+1} .

2 Feb 19

Last time we showed that $\text{Gr}_C(n, \infty)$ is a model for $BU(n)$. Note that there are parallel stories for $O(n)$ over \mathbb{R} and $\text{Sp}(n)$ over \mathbb{H} but we will now live over \mathbb{C} .

The Grassmannian $\text{Gr}(n, \infty)$ classifies iso classes of rank n complex vector bundles, and we have a principal $U(n)$ -bundle $\text{St}^{on}(n, \infty) \rightarrow \text{Gr}(n, \infty)$ which is the universal principal $U(n)$ -bundle with total space contractible.

We also know that we have embeddings $\text{Gr}(n, \infty) \rightarrow \text{Gr}(n+1, \infty)$ which is highly connected. One can think of inductively building $BU(n+1)$ from $BU(n)$ by attaching an extra cell of real dim $2n+2$ ($n+1, 4n+4$ in the real and quaternionic cases) at each step.

Example 2.1. If $n = 0$ then $\text{Gr}(1, \infty) = k\mathbb{P}^\infty$. $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^\infty$ is $BSU(2)$ and the homotopy equivalence $SU(2) \hookrightarrow \text{GL}_1(\mathbb{H})$ shows that $SU(2)$ bundles are the same as \mathbb{H} -line bundles.

Observe that $U(n) \hookrightarrow U(n+1)$ has quotient S^{2n+1} from the action of $U(n+1)$ on the unit sphere in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} . This gives a fiber bundle $S^{2n+1} \rightarrow BU(n) \rightarrow BU(n+1)$ which is the other model of the inclusion $BU(n) \rightarrow BU(n+1)$.

Proposition 2.2. The total space of the fiber bundle $\text{St}^{on}(n+1, \infty) \times S^{2n+1} \rightarrow \text{Gr}(n+1, \infty)$ is homotopy equivalent to $\text{Gr}(n, \infty)$ and the fiber bundle map is homotopic to the inclusion $\text{Gr}(n, \infty) \rightarrow \text{Gr}(n+1, \infty)$. Note that there is a natural lift $\text{Gr}(n, \infty) \rightarrow \text{St}^{on}(n+1, \infty)$ which is a homotopy equivalence.

This gives a nonprincipal fiber bundle $S^{2n+1} \rightarrow \text{Gr}(n, \infty) \rightarrow \text{Gr}(n+1, \infty)$.

Proof. A map $X \rightarrow \text{St}_{\mathbb{C}}(n+1, \infty) \times_{U(n+1)} S^{2n+1}$ classifies up to isomorphism a complex vector bundle of rank $n+1$ together with a section of unit norm. This is equivalent (up to isomorphism and rescaling of hermitian metrics (which is a contractible space)) to $E^{n+1} \rightarrow X, s$ where s is a nonvanishing section. This is equivalent to a rank n vector bundle by taking the quotient. Thus the target space $\text{St}_{\mathbb{C}}(n+1, \infty) \times_{U(n+1)} S^{2n+1}$ is a classifying space for rank n vector bundles, and thus homotopy equivalent to $\text{Gr}(n, \infty)$. The map to the base $\text{Gr}(n+1, \infty)$ classifies the stabilized bundle of rank $n+1$ and so is homotopy equivalent to natural map. \square

Now let us consider the general construction, note that $S^{2n+1} = U(n+1)/U(n)$ is a homogeneous space. Let G be a nice topological group. Let H be a closed subgroup of G .

Let $EG \rightarrow BG$ be the classifying space for principal G -bundles. Suppose that $H \rightarrow G \rightarrow G/H$ is a principal H -bundle, in particular locally trivial. This is always true for Lie groups.

Theorem 2.3. EG/H is a classifying space for H . In particular, in the diagram below, there is a unique up to homotopy lifting of this map which is a homotopy equivalence.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} EG & \xrightarrow{f} & EG/H \\ p \downarrow & \nearrow h & \downarrow q \\ BH & \longrightarrow & BG \end{array}$$

Proof. First we need a lemma. Isomorphism classes of principal H -bundles on X are the same as isomorphism classes of principal G bundles together with a section of associated G/H -bundle up to homotopy of sections. This is saying that if $P \rightarrow X$ is a principal H -bundle, then you can form $Q = P \times_H G$ which is a principal G -bundle. A section of Q/H is the same as an isomorphism of Q with a bundle of the form $P \times_H G$. \square

Now we can build classifying spaces for all Lie groups. Recall from the Peter Weyl theorem that every compact Lie group has a faithful finite dimensional unitary representation, faithful being the key word here. This gives us $G \hookrightarrow U(n)$ for some n . So we can build a classifying space for G using our favorite model for $BU(n)$ and then dividing by G

$$EU(n) \rightarrow EU(n)/G = BG$$

and the good thing here is that this makes BG into an increasing union of compact manifolds which stabilize in homology. This is the story of classifying spaces for compact Lie groups.

Remark 2.4 (Slice theorem for quotients). When groups act on spaces, you have to be careful when you take quotients. It might not be Hausdorff for example. Let G be a possibly noncompact Lie

group acting properly on a normal Hausdorff space X . (Normal means that have separation of closed sets by open neighborhoods. Urysohn's lemma says that this means we can separate by values of continuous functions.) Then there is a slice through each point: for each $x \in X$, there is a G_x -invariant neighborhood S of x such that the natural map $G \times_{G_x} S \rightarrow X$ is an equivariant homeomorphism onto a G -invariant neighborhood of the orbit $G \cdot x$. This gives a linear local model using the normal space at the orbit. **insert more remark**

2.1 Three classical theorems

Theorem 2.5 (Leray Hirsch). Let $F \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{p} B$ be a connected fiber bundle over a CW base. Let R be a coefficient ring for cohomology, i.e a quotient of \mathbb{Z} or something between \mathbb{Z} or \mathbb{Q} . Suppose $H^*(F; R)$ is a free R -module on $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$ and that there exist classes $a_1, \dots, a_k \in H^*(E; R)$ such that $a_i|_F = \alpha_i$. **Note that this is a strong assumption because there is some subtlety about identification of F with the fiber over a point in B .**

Then the map $H^*(F; R) \otimes_R H^*(B; R) \rightarrow H^*(E; R)$ given by $x \otimes y \mapsto p^*y \cup x$ is an isomorphism of R -modules.

Remark 2.6. This recovers Kunneth formula for the product $E = F \times B$ by taking $a_i = \alpha_i \otimes 1$. If F has R -torsion, this cannot work because of Kunneth.

Example 2.7 (Nonexamples). $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow S^1$. $S^3 \subset \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow S^2 = \mathbb{CP}^1$ the Hopf fibration. In the second case, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} H^*(S^3; \mathbb{Q}) &= \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}[-3] \\ H^*(S^2; \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H^*(S^1; \mathbb{Q}) &= \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}[-1] \oplus \mathbb{Q}[-2] \oplus \mathbb{Q}[-3] \end{aligned}$$

What is happening is that there is a grid

$$\begin{array}{cc} \mathbb{Z} & 0 \\ \mathbb{Z} & 0 \end{array}$$

and there is a differential d_2 from the \mathbb{Z} in the bottom right to the \mathbb{Z} in the top left which kills the \mathbb{Z} in the bottom right and the \mathbb{Z} in the top left.

Proof. Idea of proof. Build B from its skeleta B_n and check that the map remains an iso at each step from the LES and the five lemma.

$$H^{*-1}(B_n) \rightarrow H^*(B_{n+1}, B_n) \rightarrow H^*(B_{n+1}) \rightarrow H^*(B_n) \rightarrow H^{*+1}(B_{n+1}, B_n)$$

Tensor with $H^*(F)$. Also have a sequence for $\pi^{-1}(B_n)$. Get a map from $H^*(F) \otimes H^*(B_n)$ to $H^*(\pi^{-1}(B_n))$ and check that it is an iso at each step. The key input is that we have a map between the original complexes. \square

Variant with the same proof: $E' \hookrightarrow E$ two fiber bundles over B . Use $H^*(F, F'; R)$ and $H^*(E, E'; R)$. Same hypothesis and conclusions.

Example 2.8. $U(n-1) \rightarrow U(n) \rightarrow S^{2n-1}$ will work, even though $U(n)$ is not homotopy equivalent to a product of odd spheres. Rationally it is, but integrality is the issue.

The second classical theorem is an application of Leray.

Theorem 2.9 (Thom isomorphism theorem). Let $E^r \rightarrow X$ be a real vector bundle of rank r with a metric. Let $D(E)$ be the unit disk bundle and $\partial D(E)$ be the unit sphere bundle. **Assume** that E is relatively orientable for a ring R . Then there exist a class $\theta \in H^r(D(E), \partial D(E); R)$ which restricts to the preferred generator in each fiber. Consequently by Leray, θ is such that the map $H^*(X; R) \rightarrow H^{*+r}(D(E), \partial D(E); R)$ given by $x \mapsto x \cup \theta$ is an isomorphism.

E is relatively orientable means that we can choose orientations of the fibers which vary continuously over the base. If $R = \mathbb{Z}/2$ there is no condition. If X is an oriented manifold then $(D^r, \partial D^r)$ becomes an oriented manifold with boundary, and then E is Poincaré dual to the zero section of E . θ is called the Thom class of E and the isomorphism is called the Thom isomorphism.

The theorem is ok if you just have disk bundles and its boundary. One has disk bundles which do not come from vector bundles. The third theorem is the Gysin sequence but we will not get there today. This will show that $H^*(Gr(n, \infty))$ is a polynomial ring on n generators of degree $2, 4, \dots, 2n$ called the Chern classes.

Definition 2.10. The Euler class of E^r is the image of θ under the map $H^r(D(E), \partial D(E); R) \rightarrow H^r(D(E); R) = H^r(X; R)$ given by inclusion.

If X is compact oriented manifold, then $PD(e)$ is the zero locus of a generic section (transversal intersection with the zero section of E).

2.2 Line bundles and chern classes

Recall isomorphism classes of real, complex, quaternionic line bundles are classified by $BO(1) = \mathbb{RP}^\infty$, $BU(1) = \mathbb{CP}^\infty$, $B\mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}(1) = \mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^\infty$ respectively, and the cohomologies are (use the positive generators)

$$\begin{aligned} H^*(\mathbb{RP}^\infty; \mathbb{Z}/2) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H^*(\mathbb{RP}^n; \mathbb{Z}/2) = \mathbb{Z}/2[w], \deg w = 1 \\ H^*(\mathbb{CP}^\infty; \mathbb{Z}) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H^*(\mathbb{CP}^n; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[c], \deg c = 2 \\ H^*(\mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^\infty; \mathbb{Z}) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H^*(\mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^n; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[p], \deg p = 4 \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.11. w, c are the Euler classes of the respective line bundles over \mathbb{RP}^∞ and \mathbb{CP}^∞ respectively. For \mathbb{CP}^∞ , we use $\mathcal{O}(1)$ the dual of the tautological sub line bundle.

Proof. A linear section has zero locus a hyperplane, the PD of the positive generator of cohomology. \square

Definition 2.12 (Characteristic classes). For a real line bundle $L \rightarrow X$ classified by $k_L : X \rightarrow \mathbb{RP}^\infty$, the Stiefel-Whitney class of L is $w(L) = k_L^* w$. For a complex line bundle $L \rightarrow X$ classified by $k_L : X \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^\infty$, the Chern class of L is $c(L) = k_L^* c$.

Theorem 2.13. $w_1(L) = w(L)$ and $c_1(L) = c(L)$ determine the isomorphism class of L . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} w_1(L \otimes L') &= w_1(L) + w_1(L') \\ c_1(L \otimes L') &= c_1(L) + c_1(L') \end{aligned}$$