

Complex Manifolds

Songyu Ye

February 17, 2026

Abstract

1 Feb 17

Let $\text{Gr}(k, n)$ denote the Grassmannian of k -planes in V , a real or complex vector space of dimension n . We will not distinguish since the statements we make will be true for both cases. For the real Grassmannian, we take homology with $\mathbb{Z}/2$ coefficients, and for the complex Grassmannian, we take homology with \mathbb{Z} coefficients.

Theorem 1.1. For a CW complex X , $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}$ -vector bundles over X up to isomorphism are classified by homotopy classes of maps to the infinite Grassmannian $Gr_k(\mathbb{C}^\infty) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Gr_k(\mathbb{C}^n)$.

Remark 1.2. $\text{Sp}(n) = U(2n) \cap GL(n, \mathbb{H})$ is the compact symplectic group acts on \mathbb{H}^n by left multiplication and commutes with the right \mathbb{H} -action which is the structure map for \mathbb{H} -vector bundles.

Recall that the Schubert stratification is given by row echelon form for matrices. Let $T \subset V$ be a subspace of dimension k . Write T as the row space of a $k \times n$ matrix. We can perform row operations to put it in row echelon form, and this depends only on T . In particular, T has a canonical basis but it does not depend continuously on T . It may jump whenever the pivots move. The Schubert stratification is given by the locus where the pivots are fixed. Therefore, it is enough to give an increasing sequence σ_p of pivot positions or a sequence s of 0's and 1's of length n with exactly k 1's.

Example 1.3. Let us row reduce from right to left. For example in $\text{Gr}(2, 4)$

$$\begin{bmatrix} * & 0 & * & 1 \\ * & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

corresponds to the sequence $s = (0, 1, 0, 1)$ and the pivot positions $\sigma_1 = 2, \sigma_2 = 4$. The dimension is given by

$$\dim S_\sigma = \sum_{p=1}^k (\sigma_p - p) = (2 - 1) + (4 - 2) = 3 = \sum_{1s \in s} \text{total number of 0s to the left} = 1 + 2$$

There are two inclusions called i, j of $\text{Gr}(k, n)$ into $\text{Gr}(k, n + 1)$ and $\text{Gr}(k + 1, n + 1)$ given by $T \mapsto T \oplus e_{n+1}$ and $T \mapsto e_0 \oplus T$ respectively.

1.1 Some generalities

These cells define a CW decomposition. They give a basis of \mathbb{Z} homology for \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H} or $\mathbb{Z}/2$ homology for \mathbb{R} . It is obvious for \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H} because there are no attaching maps, but for \mathbb{R} you have to argue that the attaching maps have even degree. The closure of S_σ is the union of cells S_τ if $\tau_p \leq \sigma_p$ for all p meaning no pivot of σ is to the left of the corresponding pivot of τ . Poincare duality is implemented by reverseing the sequence of 0s and 1s, reverse the order of the basis and check the intersection of the cells. Most $\overline{S_\sigma}$ are singular but the singularities have codimension at least 2 and thus define fundamental classes and can use the intersection pairing to check Poincare duality. In particular they are normal varieties.

1.2 The inclusions i, j

What are the smallest cells i, j are missing? For i we are missing

$$[F_k | M | e_0]$$

where F_k is the antidiagonal identity, M is stars in the top row and 0s elsewhere, and e_0 is $1, 0, \dots, 0$ in the last column. This corresponds to the sequence $s = 11 \dots 10 \dots 01$ and is of dimension $n - k$.

For j we are missing

$$[* | F_{k+1} | 0]$$

corresponds to the sequence $01 \dots 10 \dots 0$ and is of dimension $k + 1$.

Corollary 1.4 (Approximation theorem). If $\dim X < n - k$ or $\dim X < 2(n - k)$ in the complex case, then a map $X \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}(k, n + 1)$ or $X \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{\mathbb{C}}(k, n + 1)$ can be homotoped to a map $X \rightarrow \text{Gr}(k, n)$. In particular $\text{Gr}(k, n + 1) / \text{Gr}(k, n)$ is $(n - k - 1)$ -connected or $(2(n - k) - 1)$ -connected in the complex case.

If $\dim X < k + 1$ or $\dim X < 2(k + 1)$ in the complex case, then a map $X \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}(k + 1, n + 1)$ or $X \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{\mathbb{C}}(k + 1, n + 1)$ can be homotoped to a map $X \rightarrow \text{Gr}(k, n)$. In particular $\text{Gr}(k + 1, n + 1) / \text{Gr}(k, n)$ is k -connected or $2k + 1$ -connected in the complex case.

Corollary 1.5 (Stability for classification of vector bundles). If $\dim X < n - k - 1$ or $\dim X < 2(n - k) - 1$ in the complex case, then $\text{Vect}^k(X) = [X, \text{Gr}(k, n)]$.

Note that we lost one dimension because we need to leave room for the homotopy.

Corollary 1.6. If $\dim X < k + 1$ or $\dim X < 2(k + 1)$ in the complex case, then any vector bundle of rank $k + 1$ has a nonvanishing section.

This also follows from transversality.

1.3 Stiefel manifolds and classifying spaces

Recall that $T^k \rightarrow \text{Gr}(k, n)$ is the tautological subbundle of \mathbb{R}^n or \mathbb{C}^n . We have the frame bundle $\text{St}(k, n)$ given by basis of k -planes. Gram Schmidt tells us that orthonormal frames \hookrightarrow frames is a homotopy equivalence so we have $\text{St}(k, n) \rightarrow \text{Gr}(k, n)$ is a principal GL_k -bundle and $\text{St}^{on}(k, n) \rightarrow \text{Gr}(k, n)$ is a principal $O(k)$ -bundle or $U(k)$ -bundle.

What is the codimension of the complement of $\text{St}(k, n)$ in $\text{Mat}_{k,n}$? Well $\text{Mat}(k, n)$ is stratified by rank and the largest stratum in the complement of $\text{St}(k, n)$ is given by rank $k - 1$ matrices which has codimension $n - k + 1$.

Corollary 1.7. If $\dim X < n - k$ or $\dim X < 2(n - k) + 1$ then $[X, \text{St}(k, n)] = *$. In particular $\text{St}(k, \infty)$ and $\text{St}^{on}(k, \infty)$ are contractible.

Thus we have stumbled upon the fact that $\text{St}^{on}(k, \infty)$ is a contractible space with a free $O(k)$ or $U(k)$ action and $\text{Gr}(k, \infty)$ is the quotient.

Definition 1.8. Let G be a topological group which is nice in the sense that it has the homotopy type of a CW complex. A CW complex with a principal G bundle $EG \rightarrow BG$ with contractible total space is called a **classifying space** for G .

Theorem 1.9. $EG \rightarrow BG$ is unique up to homology equivalence, canonical up to homotopy if you choose the base point $*$ in BG and identify the fiber over $*$ with G . Moreover, isomorphism classes of principal G -bundles over a CW complex X , $*$ with trivialization over $*$ are in bijection with homotopy classes of pointed maps $[X, BG]$.

In fact (2) implies (1) because we have identified BG as a functor out of the homotopy category of pointed CW complexes, and the functor is unique up to natural isomorphism.

Remark 1.10. G is connected if and only if BG is simply connected. Extreme cases are G is discrete, then EG is the universal cover of BG .

Remark 1.11. G discrete, X is connected. Then $[X, BG]$ is the set of homomorphisms $\pi_1(X) \rightarrow G$ up to conjugation, whereas $[X, BG]_* = \text{Hom}(\pi_1(X), G)$. The first is isomorphism classes of principal G -bundles, the second is isomorphism classes of principal G -bundles with a trivialization at the base point.

Next we have two models of $BU(k) \rightarrow BU(k+1)$. One is the obvious inclusion, and the other model gives it as a fiber bundle with fiber S^{2k+1} .