## Machine Learning 2 Homework 1

Group: DLBSP

April 24, 2019

## 1 Task 1

(i)

$$\varepsilon(W) = \sum_{i} \left| \alpha \vec{X}_{i} - \sum_{j} W_{ij} \alpha \vec{X}_{j} \right|^{2}$$

$$= \sum_{i} \left| \alpha \left( \vec{X}_{i} - \sum_{j} W_{ij} \vec{X}_{j} \right) \right|^{2}$$

$$\stackrel{\alpha \geq 0}{=} \sum_{i} \sqrt{\alpha} \left| \left( \vec{X}_{i} - \sum_{j} W_{ij} \vec{X}_{j} \right) \right|^{2}$$

$$= \sqrt{\alpha} \sum_{i} \left| \left( \vec{X}_{i} - \sum_{j} W_{ij} \vec{X}_{j} \right) \right|^{2}$$

The multiplication of  $\varepsilon$  with a scalar doesn't change the outcome of the minimization of  $\varepsilon$ .

(ii)

$$\varepsilon(w) = \sum_{i} \left| (\vec{X}_i + \vec{v}) - \sum_{j} W_{ij} (\vec{X}_i + \vec{v}) \right|^2$$

$$= \sum_{i} \left| \vec{X}_i + \vec{v} - \sum_{j} W_{ij} \vec{X}_i - \sum_{j} W_{ij} \vec{v} \right|^2$$

$$= \sum_{i} \left| \vec{X}_i + \vec{v} - \sum_{i} W_{ij} \vec{X}_i - \vec{v} \sum_{i} W_{ij} \right|^2$$

Since the sum of all weights  $\sum_{j} W_{ij} = 1$ , we can conclude that:

$$\varepsilon(w) = \sum_{i} \left| \vec{X}_i + \vec{v} - \sum_{j} W_{ij} \vec{X}_i + \vec{v} \right|^2 = \sum_{i} \left| \vec{X}_i - \sum_{j} W_{ij} \vec{X}_i \right|^2$$

(iii)

$$\varepsilon(W) = \sum_{i} \left| U \vec{X}_{i} - \sum_{j} W_{ij} U \vec{X}_{j} \right|^{2}$$

$$= \sum_{i} \left| U \left( \vec{X}_{i} - \sum_{j} W_{ij} \vec{X}_{j} \right) \right|^{2}$$

$$= \sum_{i} \left\| U \left( \vec{X}_{i} - \sum_{j} W_{ij} \vec{X}_{j} \right) \right\|_{2}^{2}$$

$$= \sum_{i} \left\langle U \left( \vec{X}_{i} - \sum_{j} W_{ij} \vec{X}_{j} \right), U \left( \vec{X}_{i} - \sum_{j} W_{ij} \vec{X}_{j} \right) \right\rangle$$

Since U is an orthogonal matrix, it holds that

$$\langle Ux, Uy \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle$$

for arbitrary  $x \in \mathbb{R}^D$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}^D$ . So

$$\begin{split} \varepsilon(W) &= \sum_{i} \langle \vec{X}_{i} - \sum_{j} W_{ij} \vec{X}_{j}, \vec{X}_{i} - \sum_{j} W_{ij} \vec{X}_{j} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i} \left\| \vec{X}_{i} - \sum_{j} W_{ij} \vec{X}_{j} \right\|_{2}^{2} \\ &= \sum_{i} \left| \vec{X}_{i} - \sum_{j} W_{ij} \vec{X}_{j} \right|^{2} \end{split}$$

thus, the multiplication of the data with an orthogonal matrix  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times D}$  doesn't change the outcome of the minimization of  $\varepsilon$ .

The data can be transformed in such a way the data is centered around the arbitrary fixed, which is nothing but a linear transformation, which doesn't affect the minima of  $\varepsilon$ , proved by (ii). The transformed data then gets rotated, which is the multiplication of each data point with an orthogonal rotation matrix. In statement (iii) is was proved that the minima are invariant under such such an operation. The last step would be again a linear retransformation of the centered and rotated data into the primal reference system (statement (ii)). An arbitrary transformation also includes scaling, thus the rotation matrix "contains" a scalar values and can be expressed by  $U = \beta \widetilde{U}$  with  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Statement (i) proves the invariance for this.

#### Task 2

(i)

$$\varepsilon = \left| \vec{x} - \sum_{j} w_{j} \vec{\eta}_{j} \right|^{2}$$

Since  $\vec{x} = \sum_j w_j \vec{x}$  because  $\sum_j w_j = 1 \iff w^\intercal \vec{1} = 1$  one can obtain

$$\varepsilon = \left| \sum_{j} w_{j} \left( \vec{x} - \vec{\eta}_{j} \right) \right|^{2}$$

$$= \left( \sum_{j} w_{j} \left( \vec{x} - \vec{\eta}_{j} \right) \right)^{\mathsf{T}} \left( \sum_{j} w_{j} \left( \vec{x} - \vec{\eta}_{j} \right) \right)$$

$$= \sum_{j,k} w_{j} \left( \vec{x} - \vec{\eta}_{j} \right)^{\mathsf{T}} \left( \vec{x} - \vec{\eta}_{k} \right) w_{k}$$

$$= \sum_{j,k} w_{j} C_{jk} w_{k}$$

$$= w^{\mathsf{T}} C w$$

Thus

$$\min_w \varepsilon(w) = \min_w w^\intercal C w$$
s. t.  $w^\intercal \vec{1} = 1$ 

(ii)

$$L(w,\lambda) = \frac{1}{2}w^{\mathsf{T}}Cw + \lambda(1 - w^{\mathsf{T}}\vec{1})$$
$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial w} = Cw - \lambda\vec{1} = 0$$
$$\iff Cw = \lambda\vec{1}$$
$$\iff w = \lambda C^{-1}\vec{1}$$

Choose  $\lambda$  in such a way, that the constraint is fulfilled

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{\sum_{j} w_{j}} = \frac{1}{\vec{1}C\vec{1}}$$

Thus

$$w = \frac{C^{-1}\vec{1}}{\vec{1}C\vec{1}}$$

(iii)

## Task 3

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial q_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} \left( \sum_j p_j \ln \left( \frac{p_j}{q_j} \right) \right)$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} \left( p_i \ln \left( \frac{p_i}{q_i} \right) \right)$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} \left( p_i \left( \ln \left( p_i \right) - \ln \left( q_i \right) \right) \right)$$

$$= p_i \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} \left( - \ln \left( q_i \right) \right)$$

$$= -\frac{p_i}{q_i}$$
(1)

4.1

$$\frac{\partial C(q_i(x_i))}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \sum_j p_j \ln \left( \frac{p_j \sum_k \exp(x_k)}{\exp(x_j)} \right) \right)$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \sum_j p_j (\ln p_j + \ln \left( \sum_k \exp(x_k) \right) - x_j \right) \right)$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \sum_j p_j \ln p_j + \sum_j p_j \ln \left( \sum_k \exp(x_k) \right) - \sum_j p_j x_j \right)$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \sum_j p_j \ln \left( \sum_k \exp(x_k) \right) - p_i$$

$$= \sum_j p_j \frac{\exp(x_i)}{\sum_k \exp(x_k)} - p_i$$

$$= \sum_j p_j q_i - p_i$$

Because  $\sum_{j} p_{j} = 1$  it follows

$$\frac{\partial C(q_i(x_i))}{\partial x_i} = q_i - p_i \tag{2}$$

$$C = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} p_{ij} \log \frac{p_{ij}}{q_{ij}} = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \left[ p_{ij} \log p_{ij} - p_{ij} \log \frac{q_{ij}}{q_{ij}} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \left[ p_{ij} \log p_{ij} - p_{ij} \left( \log e^{2ij} - \log \sum_{j} \sum_{i} e^{2ij} \right) \right]$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial z_{j}} = 0 - \rho_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{ij}} \cdot \overline{z}_{ij} + \sum_{z} \sum_{j} \rho_{z} \frac{e^{z_{ij}}}{\sum_{z} e^{z_{ij}}}$$

$$= -p_{ij} + q_{ij} \cdot \sum_{s} \sum_{p_{s}} p_{s} = -p_{ij} + q_{ij}$$

# Index of comments

- 3.1 Explain, how you came up with this choice. Also, this equation is incorrect. sum(w\_j)=1, so 1^T C 1=1 which means that w is always normalized anyways? Also, missing transposes
- 3.2 The solution is generally correct, but it is not the problem to the solution that was stated! C has double sums and q is indexed by two indices...
- 4.1 Why do you keep solving a different problem and use notation/variables that are different from what is stated in the task?
- 5.1 You already solved this