

Quiz 3

Name:

1. Most content-based recommender systems use simple retrieval models, such as keyword matching or the Vector Space Model (VSM) with basic TF-IDF weighting. Typically, in VSM, we estimate the relevance of a word t_k for a document d_j as

$$TF-IDF(t_k, d_j) = \frac{f_{k,j}}{\max_{k'} f_{k',j}} \cdot \log_{10} \frac{N}{n_k}$$

where N denotes the number of documents in the corpus, and n_k denotes the number of documents in the collection in which the term t_k occurs at least once. $f_{k,j}$ represents the number of appearances of t_k in d_j .

Suppose that an online news service provider has a corpus with a million documents and two samples of them is shown as follows (2 lists of keywords preprocessed):

$$d_1 = \{\text{Interest, real, estate, rising, real, estate}\}$$
$$d_2 = \{\text{Feds, interest, rising, interest, rate, rising}\}$$

A user called Kim have read a news article

$$d_3 = \{\text{Lower, interest, rate, hotter, real, estate, market}\}$$

Note that n_k of each word is

| word | n_k |
|----------|--------|
| Interest | 10 |
| Real | 100 |
| Estate | 10 |
| Rising | 10 |
| Feds | 100 |
| Rate | 100 |
| Lower | 1000 |
| Hotter | 1000 |
| Market | 100000 |

Using the cosine similarity between TF-IDF vectors of documents, **which article among d1 and d2 would you recommend Kim?**

(sol)

$$TF-IDF(\text{"interest"}, d_1) = 1/2 \log_{10} \frac{1000000}{10} = 2.5$$

$$TF-IDF(\text{"real"}, d_1) = 2/2 \log_{10} \frac{1000000}{100} = 4$$

$$TF-IDF(\text{"estate"}, d_1) = 5$$

...

For $\langle \text{interest, real, estate, rising, feds, rate, lower, hotter, market} \rangle$,

$$d_1 = \langle 2.5, 4, 5, 2.5, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \rangle$$

$$d_2 = \langle 5, 0, 0, 5, 2, 2, 0, 0, 0 \rangle$$

$$d_3 = \langle 3, 4, 5, 0, 0, 4, 3, 3, 1 \rangle$$

Because cosine similarity is

$$\text{sim}(d_i, d_j) = \frac{\sum_k w_{ki} \cdot w_{kj}}{\sqrt{\sum_k w_{ki}^2} \cdot \sqrt{\sum_k w_{kj}^2}}$$

We can obtain the similarities of d_3 to the others as

- $\text{sim}(d_1, d_3) = 0.72$
- $\text{sim}(d_2, d_3) = 0.33$

Therefore, we recommend d_1 .

2. Naive Bayes is a probabilistic approach to inductive learning and belongs to the general class of Bayesian classifiers. Suppose that Kim have *read* d_3 but *does not read* d_2 in the above question. Based on naïve Bayesian classifier model, **estimate the probability that Kim reads d_1 .**

Refer to the 14th page of *Content-based Recommendation Systems* by M. J. Pazzani¹ and D. Billsus. In this question, a class is identified between *read* and *unread* articles.

(sol)

Note that we use the multinomial naïve Bayes formulation since it was shown to outperform the multivariate Bernoulli model.

$$P(c_j | d_i; \hat{\theta}) = \frac{P(c_j | \hat{\theta})P(d_i | c_j; \hat{\theta})}{P(d_i | \hat{\theta})}$$

where

$$P(d_i | c_j; \theta) = P(|d_i|) \prod_{t=1}^{|d_i|} P(w_t | c_j; \theta)^{N_{it}}$$

And for each $P(w|c)$ is

$$P(w_t | c_j; \theta) = \frac{1 + \sum_{i=1}^{|D|} N_{it} P(c_j | d_i)}{|V| + \sum_{s=1}^{|V|} \sum_{i=1}^{|D|} N_{is} P(c_j | d_i)}$$

- $P(c_j|d_i)$ is 1 if the document d_i is classified as c_j , 0 otherwise
- N_{it} is the number of occurrences of the word w_t in d_i

Recall that

- $d_1 = \{\text{Interest, real, estate, rising, real, estate}\} \rightarrow ?$
- $d_2 = \{\text{Feds, interest, rising, interest, rate, rising}\} \rightarrow \text{unread}$
- $d_3 = \{\text{Lower, interest, rate, hotter, real, estate, market}\} \rightarrow \text{read}$

We obtain the following probabilities:

- $P(\text{class} = \text{"unread"}) = 0.5$
- $P(\text{class} = \text{"read"}) = 0.5$
- $P(\text{"interest"} | \text{"unread"}) = \frac{1+2}{9+6} = 0.2$
- $P(\text{"interest"} | \text{"read"}) = \frac{1+1}{9+7} = 0.125$

- $P(\text{"real"} | \text{"unread"}) = \frac{1+0}{9+6} = 0.0667$
- $P(\text{"real"} | \text{"read"}) = \frac{1+1}{9+7} = 0.125$
- $P(\text{"estate"} | \text{"unread"}) = \frac{1+0}{9+6} = 0.0667$
- $P(\text{"estate"} | \text{"read"}) = \frac{1+1}{9+7} = 0.125$
- $P(\text{"rising"} | \text{"unread"}) = \frac{1+2}{9+6} = 0.2$
- $P(\text{"rising"} | \text{"read"}) = \frac{1+0}{9+7} = 0.0625$

$P(\text{class} = \text{"unread"} | d_1 = \{\text{Interest, real, estate, rising, real, estate}\}) \rightarrow ?$

$P(\text{class} = \text{"unread"}) * P(\text{"interest"} | \text{"unread"}) * P(\text{"real"} | \text{"unread"})^2 * P(\text{"estate"} | \text{"unread"})^2 * P(\text{"rising"} | \text{"unread"}) = 0.000000395852445$

$P(\text{class} = \text{"read"}) * P(\text{"interest"} | \text{"read"}) * P(\text{"real"} | \text{"read"})^2 * P(\text{"estate"} | \text{"read"})^2 * P(\text{"rising"} | \text{"read"}) = 0.000000953674316$

By Baye's theorem, $P(\text{unread} | d_1) = \frac{p(d_1 | \text{unread}) \cdot p(\text{unread})}{p(d_1 | \text{unread}) \cdot p(\text{unread}) + p(d_1 | \text{read}) \cdot p(\text{read})}$

- $P(\text{class} = \text{unread} | d_1 = \{\text{Interest, real, estate, rising, real, estate}\}) = \frac{0.000000395852445}{0.000000395852445 + 0.000000953674316}$
- $P(\text{class} = \text{read} | d_1 = \{\text{Interest, real, estate, rising, real, estate}\}) = \frac{0.000000953674316}{0.000000395852445 + 0.000000953674316}$

3. The Rocchio's method is used for inducing linear, profile-style classifiers. This algorithm represents a class as vectors and recommends a document in a class with similar vectors. Rocchio's method computes a classifier $c_i = \langle \omega_{1i}, \dots, \omega_{|T|i} \rangle$ for a class i where $|T|$ denotes the size of vocabulary (i.e., it represents each class as a vector of $|T|$). Let us assume that Kim has read d_3 but does not d_2 similar to the above question. Thus, d_3 becomes a positive sample for *read articles* class and d_2 is a negative sample for the class. On the other hand, d_3 is a negative sample for *unread articles* class and d_2 is a positive sample for the class.

Compute a classifier $c_i = \langle \omega_{1i}, \dots, \omega_{|T|i} \rangle$ for *read article* class (Use the TF-IDF vectors calculated in Question 1).

(sol)

$$\omega_{ki} = \beta \cdot \sum_{\{d_j \in POS_i\}} \frac{\omega_{kj}}{|POS_i|} - \gamma \cdot \sum_{\{d_j \in NEG_i\}} \frac{\omega_{kj}}{|NEG_i|}$$

From Question 1,

- $d_2 = \langle 5, 0, 0, 5, 2, 2, 0, 0, 0 \rangle \rightarrow NEG_{read}$
- $d_3 = \langle 3, 4, 5, 0, 0, 4, 3, 3, 1 \rangle \rightarrow POS_{read}$

Assume that β and γ are 1. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} c_{read} &= \left\langle \frac{3}{1} - \frac{5}{1}, \frac{4}{1} - \frac{0}{1}, \frac{5}{1} - \frac{5}{1}, \frac{0}{1} - \frac{0}{1}, \frac{0}{1} - \frac{5}{1}, \frac{2}{1} - \frac{2}{1}, \frac{4}{1} - \frac{3}{1}, \frac{2}{1} - \frac{3}{1}, \frac{3}{1} - \frac{0}{1} \right\rangle \\ &= \langle -2, 4, 5, -5, -2, 2, 3, 3, 1 \rangle \end{aligned}$$