Case evaluation

The case is based on the UTKFaces database: https://susanqq.github.io/UTKFace/.

The case is fairly open, and you will be evaluated on your presentation of the solution as well as the technical foundation of your solution. A clear aim and to what extend the aim is achieved, including expected accuracy (if supervised), and the limitations of your work are important information to include in the poster. Furthermore, a concise description of the chosen approach/method including pros and cons of the method should be included in the poster. Below you will find a full evaluation form for the posters.

Practical aspects:
- The case is handed in as a video presentation of 2-5 minutes together with a pdf version of your poster or slides (digitally on inside).
- You should hand in the case as groups, and you may be up to 4 in a group.
- The presentations will be evaluated by you through peergrade on inside.
- The posters/presentations will be evaluated by you based on two overall criteria (the presentation and the technical solution). Below is a guideline for the evaluation. Each point is rated on a 5 point Likert scale (poor, fair, average, good, excellent) and counts 0-2.5 points out of 25, and summed up to give a total score for a poster.

- Grading rubric:
  - The organization and presentation:
    - Clearly identified topic and purpose
    - Important information is readily available and easy to grasp
    - Figures and visual aids are appropriate
    - Layout (organized, effective, professional, captures interest)
    - Presentation is professional and confident

  - The technical solution (content):
    - Clarity of content (purpose, method)
    - Quality of content (background, methodology, findings, etc.)
    - Originality and complexity of method
    - Quality of results (How well does the method solve the problem?)
    - The method supports the main points (the aim)