

Ricardo Lukas Jung  
6227492  
Empirische Sprachwissenschaft (B.A.)  
Phonetik & Digital Humanities  
15<sup>th</sup> Semester  
s2458588@stud.uni-frankfurt.de

**Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the  
degree of Bachelor of Arts**

# **Lexicalizing a BERT Tokenizer**

**Building Open-End MLM for Morpho-Syntactically Similar  
Languages**

Ricardo Lukas Jung

Date of Submission:  
December 28, 2022

Text Technology Lab  
Prof. Dr. Alexander Mehler  
Dr. Zakharia Pourtskhvanidze

## **Erklärung**

Hiermit bestätige ich, dass ich die vorliegende Arbeit selbstständig verfasst habe und keine anderen Quellen oder Hilfsmittel als die in dieser Arbeit angegebenen verwendet habe.

---

Ort, Datum

---

Unterschrift

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>7</b>
1.1	Motivation . . . . .	7
1.2	Literature Review . . . . .	8
1.3	Scope and Structure . . . . .	8
1.4	Hypotheses . . . . .	8
<b>2</b>	<b>Methodology</b>	<b>9</b>
2.1	Machine Learning Model . . . . .	9
2.2	Data . . . . .	9
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>10</b>

## List of Figures

## List of Tables

## List of Acronyms

**ML** Machine Learning

**CL** Computational Linguistics

**MLM** Masked Language Model

**BERT** Bidirectional Encoders from Transformers

# 1 Introduction

This chapter covers the background, intentions and scope of the thesis. Explain what the thesis is about.

This work shows how crucial the intervention in subsystems of machine learning is. Fundamental processes like tokenization carry

## 1.1 Motivation

There is an ongoing urge in the Computational Linguistics (CL) community to understand natural language. Research in the past decades shows use of frequentist and statistical methods (such as ZITATION) to their advantage, leading to the emergence of the first machine learning (ML) models. It became apparent that these ML models are the best currently available approach to an automated understanding of natural language. The structural parallels of machine learning to human learning have often been drawn (ZITATION)) to demonstrate how similar and more importantly: how different both can be. A powerful feature of Machine Learning (ML) (as opposed to human learning) is the possibility of actively controlling the the learning parameters in a supervised environment. To test the efficiency of ML parameters a variety of tasks (ZITATION) are designed and applied. A trained model will yield performance scores based on the quality of its training, much like humans on language tests. But the automated modeling of language is not the first instance language modelling in a broader sense. Traditional linguistics (DEFINITION has produced fundamental research the prior to the discovery of ML architectures and their implementation. While generic ML frameworks seem appealing in the presumption that they require less work to reach somewhat satisfactory results, they are far from complete or perfect. The integration of aforementioned traditional linguistic knowledge into learning processes for machine learning is the underlying motivation of this thesis.

Language learners usually build up a lexicon consisting of lexemes which they will have to analyze accurately in order to be productive in that target language. A ML model relies on a tokenizer to create such a vocabulary (ZITATION). It is programmed to segment tokens into subwords (if possible) and provide a vocabulary comprising all the components needed to analyze a given string. Ideally those subwords will be part of the functional vocabulary in the target language, so called morphemes ERKLÄRUNG. A morpheme is defined as the smallest unit carrying meaning in a language. The morphemes of a language and its generated tokenizer vocabulary rarely coincide. Typically, tokenizer vocabularies will contain a lot of noise and linguistically nonsensical segmentations or words. Following the guiding principle that **input quality is output quality** not only in language learning, the morpheme vocabulary is identified as the point of leverage in the upcoming section. Note: explain why i use tokens and words, they are interchangeable right? holistic, need less attention to produce satisfactory

## **1.2 Literature Review**

## **1.3 Scope and Structure**

## **1.4 Hypotheses**



## 2 Methodology

### 2.1 Machine Learning Model

Explain BERT and MLM tweak des tokenizers

### 2.2 Data

The field of NLP (Glück and Rödel 2016) has been expanded ever since the emergence of the language models. Natural language processing is understood as the

cite (Glück and Rödel 2016)  
citeast (2016)

cite (DeepL 2021)  
citeast ()2021)

This is a quote

The intent of this thesis is to inject linguistic bias into the machine learning framework of BERT to sharpen the analytical capacities of a masked language model. This is done by altering the

## Bibliography

- DeepL (Nov. 2021). *How does deepl work?* URL: <https://www.deepl.com/en/blog/how-does-deepl-work>. Last accessed: 28.12.2022.
- Glück, Helmut and Michael Rödel, eds. (2016). *Metzler Lexikon Sprache*. ger. 5th ed. Springer eBook Collection. Stuttgart: J.B. Metzler, Online-Ressource (XXVI, 814 S. 64 Abb., 12 Abb. in Farbe, online resource). ISBN: 978-3-476-05486-9. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-476-05486-9. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-476-05486-9>.