Ricardo Lukas Jung 6227492 Empirische Sprachwissenschaft (B.A.) Phonetik & Digital Humanities 15th Semester s2458588@stud.uni-frankfurt.de

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Bachelor of Arts

Lexicalizing a BERT Tokenizer

Building Open-End MLM for Morpho-Syntactically Similar Languages

Ricardo Lukas Jung

Date of Submission: January 4, 2023

Text Technology Lab Prof. Dr. Alexander Mehler Dr. Zakharia Pourtskhvanidze

Erklärung

Hiermit bestätige ich, dass ich die vorliegende Arbeit selbstständig verfasst habe und keine anderen Quellen oder Hilfsmittel als die in dieser Arbeit angegebenen verwendet habe.											
Ort, Datum											

Unterschrift

Contents

1	Introduction													7					
	1.1	Motiva	ion																7
	1.2		eses																
	1.3	Scope a	nd Structure														•		8
2	Ove	Overview													9				
	2.1	State o	the Art																9
3	Met	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·													10				
	3.1	Requir	ments																10
		3.1.1	Machine Learning	Mode	1														10
		3.1.2	Data																
		3.1.3	Benchmark																10
	3.2	Implen	entation								•								10
Bi	bliog	raphy																	11

List of Figures

List of Tables

List of Acronyms

ML Machine Learning

 $\pmb{\mathsf{CL}}\ \ \mathsf{Computational}\ \mathsf{Linguistics}$

Im LMLanguage Model

MLM Masked Language Model

BERT Bidirectional Encoders from Transformers

1 Introduction

This thesis shows the use of specific intervention in tokenization subsystems of machine learning.

This chapter covers the background, intentions and scope of the thesis. Explain what the thesis is about.

1.1 Motivation

There is an ongoing urge in the Computational Linguistics (CL) community to understand natural language. Research in the past decades shows use of frequentist and statistical methods (such as ZITATION) to their advantage, leading to the emergence of the first machine learning (ML) models. It became apparent that these ML models are the best currently available approach to an automated understanding of natural language. The structural parallels of machine learning to human learning have often been drawn (ZITATION)) to demonstrate how similar and more importantly: how different both can be. A powerful feature of Machine Learning (ML) (as opposed to human learning) is the possibility of actively controlling the the learning parameters in a supervised environment. To test the efficiency of ML parameters a variety of tasks (ZITATION) are designed and applied. A trained model will yield performance scores based on the quality of its training, much like humans on language tests. But the automated modeling of language is not the first instance language modelling in a broader sense. Traditional linguistics (DEFINITION has produced fundamental research the prior to the discovery of ML architectures and their implementation. While generic ML frameworks seem appealing in the presumption that they require less work to reach somewhat satisfactoy results, they are far from complete or perfect. The integration of aforementioned traditional linguistic knowledge into learning processes for machine learning is the underlying motivation of this thesis.

Language learners usually build up a lexicon consisting of lexemes which they will have to analyze accurately in order to be productive in that target language. A ML model relies on a tokenizer to create such a vocabulary (ZITATION). It is programmed to segment tokens into subwords (if possible) and provide a vocabulary comprising all the components needed to analyze a given string. Ideally those subwords will be part of the functional vocabulary in the target language, so called morphemes ERKLÄRUNG. A morpheme is defined as the smallest unit carrying meaning in a language. The morphemes of a language and its generated tokenizer vocabulary rarely coincide. Typically, tokanizer vocabularies will contain a lot of noise and linguistically nonsensical segmentations or words. Following the guiding principle that input quality is ouput quality not only in language learning, the morpheme vocabulary is identified as the point of leverage in the upcoming section. Note: explain why i use tokens and words, they are interchangeable right? holistic, need less attention to produce satisfactory

1.2 Hypotheses

The following research questions will be formulated for testing:

HYP1: Adjustments to tokenization have significant impact on an LM (lm)s performance.

How to achieve this hypothesis?

HYP2: Providing lexical information to a tokenizer increases benchmark accuracy on MLM tasks.

How to achieve this hypothesis?

1.3 Scope and Structure

What is covered and what not? What is the shape of this thesis and what order does it have?

2 Overview

2.1 State of the Art

describe the most recent findings on morphologically pretrained models in machine learning literature ${\bf r}$

3 Methodoloy

in this section the whole methodology is covered. what do i use in this thesis, why do i use it and lastly, how? make sure the why covers methodological implications. (vergiss nicht alle pakete als quelle im Anhang)

3.1 Requirements

3.1.1 Machine Learning Model

Explain BERT and MLM. To Bidirectional Encoders from Transformers (BERT) Vaswani et al. 2017 is a machine learning architecture designed for **nlp!** (**nlp!**) tasks. tweak des tokenizers

3.1.2 Data

explain the data that is used

3.1.3 Benchmark

explain olmpics

3.2 Implementation

Tatsächliche Anwendung der Methoden auf die Daten

The field of NLP (Glück and Rödel 2016) has been expanded ever since the emergence of the language models. Natural language processing is understood as the

```
cite (Glück and Rödel 2016)
citeast (2016)
cite (DeepL 2021)
citeast ()2021)
```

This is a quote

The intent of this thesis is to inject linguistic bias into the machine learning framework of BERT to sharpen the analytical capacities of a masked language model. This is done by altering the

Bibliography

DeepL (Nov. 2021). *How does deepl work?* URL: https://www.deepl.com/en/blog/how-does-deepl-work. Last accessed: 28.12.2022.

Glück, Helmut and Michael Rödel, eds. (2016). *Metzler Lexikon Sprache*. ger. 5th ed. Springer eBook Collection. Stuttgart: J.B. Metzler, Online–Ressource (XXVI, 814 S. 64 Abb., 12 Abb. in Farbe, online resource). ISBN: 978-3-476-05486-9. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-476-05486-9. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-476-05486-9.

Vaswani, Ashish et al. (2017). *Attention Is All You Need.* DOI: 10.48550/ARXIV.1706.03762. URL: https://arxiv.org/abs/1706.03762.